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## Abstract

Within the framework of algebraic geometry and commutative algebra, this thesis makes advances in the Gröbner's longstanding problem of determining whether a monomial projection of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ is an aCM variety, where $N_{n, d}=\binom{n+d}{n}$; and it contributes to the fundamental problem of describing the internal structure of the ring of invariants of a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. Our approach towards these subjects involves combinatorics with an application to the Lefschetz properties of artinian ideals. The heart of this dissertation is expounded in four chapters with an introductory Chapter 1 collecting all the basic notions and results needed onwards; and an Appendix A containing two algorithms and implementations with the software Wolfram Mathematica [91].

In Chapter 2, we treat Gröbner's problem and we study the invariants of the cyclic extension $\bar{G} \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ of a finite diagonal abelian group $G \subset$ $\operatorname{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ of order $d$. We prove that the set $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ of monomial invariants of $G$ of degree $d$ minimally generates the ring $R^{\bar{G}}$ of invariants. We establish that $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ parameterizes an aCM monomial projection $X_{d}$ of $X_{n, d}$, we call to $X_{d}$ a $\bar{G}$-variety with group $G$. They form a family of aCM monomial projections of $X_{n, d}$ blending commutative algebra, algebraic geometry, combinatorics and the Lefschetz properties.

In Chapter 3, we study the geometry of $\bar{G}$-varieties $X_{d}$ with group $G$. We investigate their Hilbert function and series from the perspectives of invariant theory and combinatorics. We prove that their homogeneous ideals $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ are generated by binomials of degree at most 3 and we exhibit examples reaching this bound. We identify the canonical module $\omega_{X_{d}}$ of $X_{d}$ with an ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right) \subset R^{\bar{G}}$ and we prove that it is generated by monomial of degree $d$ and $2 d$. We characterize the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of $X_{d}$ in terms of $\omega_{X_{d}}$.

In Chapter 4, we investigate the invariants of finite supgroups of $\operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ and we relate them to the weak Lefschetz property. We consider the cyclic extension $\overline{D_{2 d}}$ of a representation in $\operatorname{SL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ of the dihedral group $D_{2 d}$ of order $2 d$. We prove that $R^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}$ is minimally generated by a set of monomials and binomials of degree $2 d$ which generates a non monomial $G T$-system with group $D_{2 d}$ and parameterizes an aCM projection $S_{D_{2 d}}$ of $X_{2, d}$. We describe a minimal graded free resolution of $S_{D_{2 d}}$ and we compute a minimal set of generators of I $\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right)$ of degree 2 .

In Chapter 5, we introduce $R L$-varieties $\mathcal{X}_{d}$ : a family of smooth rational non aCM monomial projections of $X_{n, d}$ related to $\bar{G}$-varieties $X_{d}$ with group $G$. They are parameterized by a set of monomials of degree $d$ determined by $\omega_{X_{d}}$ which defines an embedding of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$. These properties allow us to describe their normal bundles $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}$ and to contribute to the classical problem of computing the dimension of the cohomology of the normal bundle of projective varieties.
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## Notation

| $\mathbb{K}$ | an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero |
| :---: | :---: |
| $R$ | the polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ |
| $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ | the $n$-dimensional projective space over $\mathbb{K}$ |
| $\mathcal{M}_{n, d}$ | the set of all monomials of degree $d$ in $R$ |
| $N_{n, d}$ | the cardinality $\binom{n+d}{n}$ of $\mathcal{M}_{n, d}$, equivalently the dimension of the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $R_{d}$ |
| $\Omega_{n, d}$ | a subset of $\mathcal{M}_{n, d}$ |
| $\mu_{n, d}$ | the cardinality of $\Omega_{n, d}$ |
| $X_{n, d}$ | the Veronese variety in $\mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ parameterized by $\mathcal{M}_{n, d}$ |
| $\nu_{n, d}$ | the $d$ th Veronese embedding of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$. |
| $Y_{n, d}$ | the variety in $\mathbb{P}^{\mu_{n, d}-1}$ parameterized by $\Omega_{n, d}$ |
| CM | Cohen-Macaulay |
| aCM | arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay |
| pdim | projective dimension |
| h.s.o.p | homogeneous system of parameters |
| $\mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ | the group of invertible $(n+1) \times(n+1)$ matrices with coefficients in $\mathbb{K}$ |


| $\mathrm{SL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ | the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ of matrices with determinant $\pm 1 \in \mathbb{K}$. |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{diag}\left(\beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{n}\right)$ | a diagonal matrix of $\operatorname{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ with $\beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{n}$ in the main diagonal |
| $\mathcal{S}_{n+1}$ | the group of permutations of $n+1$ elements |
| $M_{d ; \alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}$ | $\operatorname{diag}\left(e^{\alpha_{0}}, \ldots, e^{\alpha_{n}}\right)$ with $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $e$ a $d$ th primitive root of $1 \in \mathbb{K}$ |
| $\Lambda$ | a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ of order $\|\Lambda\|$ |
| $\bar{\Lambda}$ | the cyclic extension of $\Lambda$ |
| $R^{\Lambda}$ | the ring of invariants of $\Lambda$ |
| $G$ | a finite diagonal abelian subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ |
| $\bar{G}$ | the cyclic extension of $G$ |
| $\mathbb{K}[H]$ | the semigroup ring of an affine semigroup $H$ |
| $X_{d}$ | a $\bar{G}$-variety with group $G$ |
| $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ | the homogeneous coordinate ring of $X_{d}$ |
| $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ | the homogenous ideal of $X_{d}$ |
| WLP | weak Lefschetz property |
| GT | Galois-Togliatti |
| $J^{-1}$ | the inverse system of an ideal $J \subset R$ |
| HF | Hilbert function |
| HS | Hilbert series |
| relint | relative interior |
| $\omega_{X_{d}}$ | the canonical module of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ |


| reg | Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathcal{X}_{d}$ | an $R L$-variety |
| $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}$ | the normal bundle of $\mathcal{X}_{d}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}^{i}(X, \mathcal{E})$ | the $i$ th cohomology of a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ on $X$ |
| $\mathrm{~h}^{i}(X, \mathcal{E})$ | the dimension of $\mathrm{H}^{i}(X, \mathcal{E})$ |

## Introduction

This thesis presents progress of research on two problems of relevant significance that thrive unsolved and encompass the imposing edifices of commutative algebra and algebraic geometry. First, it contributes to the remarkable Gröbner's longstanding problem regarding the arithmetic CohenMacaulayness of projections of Veronese varieties. Second, it makes advances in the fundamental problem of determining the internal structure of the algebra of invariants of finite groups. We work under a determined effort to evince the symbiosis between these two subjects and to understand their connection, a priori unexpected, with Lefschetz properties of artinian ideals.

The third main ingredient of this dissertation is, undoubtedly, combinatorics. It provides a vantage point from which to tackle these fascinating topics. On one hand, a unified and alternative treatment of the abovementioned questions that not only stresses close connections between them and other branches of mathematics, but appeals to a broad audience. On the other hand, it provides a wealth amount of machinery that has leaded us to investigate geometric aspects of arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay projections of Veronese varieties. In this direction, our utmost is towards finding explicitly the minimal free resolution of arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay projection of the Veronese variety.

Without further ado, let us contextualise and introduce the aims of this thesis.

A monomial projection of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ parameterized by the set $\mathcal{M}_{n, d} \subset R$ of all monomials of degree $d$ is a variety $Y_{n, d}$ parameterized by a subset $\Omega_{n, d} \subset \mathcal{M}_{n, d}$ of $1 \leq \mu_{n, d} \leq N_{n, d}$ monomials. In 1967, Gröbner [39] showed that the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ is an arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay (shortly aCM) variety and exhibited examples of aCM and non aCM monomial projections of $X_{n, d}$. Motivated by
this phenomenon, he posed the problem of determining whether a monomial projection $Y_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{\mu_{n, d}-1}$ of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ is an aCM variety. Since then, Gröbner's problem (Problem 2.1.1) has been the center of attention of many works and it has been tackled from different perspectives as geometry, algebra or combinatorics.

One point of view consists of determining the aCM property of a monomial projection $Y_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{\mu_{n, d}-1}$ of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ in terms of either the set of monomials $\Omega_{n, d}$ parameterizing $Y_{n, d}$ or the deleted monomials $\mathcal{M}_{n, d} \backslash \Omega_{n, d}$. In this setting, $Y_{n, d}$ is called a simple monomial projection if $\Omega_{n, d}$ is obtained from $\mathcal{M}_{n, d}$ by deleting one monomial. Analogously, double and triple monomial projections of $X_{n, d}$ are defined if two or three monomials are deleted, respectively. Otherwise, $Y_{n, d}$ is called a multiple monomial projection of $X_{n, d}$. This standpoint is based on the fact that the homogeneous coordinate ring of $Y_{n, d}$ is the semigroup ring $\mathbb{K}\left[\Omega_{n, d}\right]$, i.e. the $\mathbb{K}$-subalgebra of $R$ generated by $\Omega_{n, d}$. Thus, Gröbner's problem can be regarded as determining whether a semigroup ring is a Cohen-Macaulay (shortly CM) ring, in addition, it provides algebraic and combinatoric techniques to tackle it. This insight was first applied by Schenzel [72] to positively answer Gröbner's problem for simple monomial projections of $X_{n, d}$ and, subsequently, by Trung [84] and Hoa [48] for double and triple monomial projections of $X_{n, d}$, respectively. To the same extent, monomial projections of the rational normal curve $X_{1, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{d}$ (Example 1.3.13(ii)) were treated by Cavaliere and Niese [11] and by Trung [85]. Notwithstanding, Gröbner's problem for multiple monomial projections of $X_{n, d}$, with the exception of the rational normal curve $X_{1, d}$, remains open and barely known.

Our purpose in this thesis is fourfold. First, we contribute to Gröbner's problem for multiple monomial projections of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset$ $\mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ in any dimension $n \geq 2$ (Chapter 2). Our approach blends algebra, combinatorics and invariant theory of finite groups with an application to an active area of research: the weak Lefschetz property of artinian ideals. Second, we study the geometry of the family of aCM monomial projections of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ parameterized by monomial invariants of degree $d$ of a finite abelian group $G$ of order $d$ linearly represented in $\operatorname{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$, we call them $\bar{G}$-varieties with group $G$ (Chapter 3). Our investigation addresses their Hilbert function and series, a minimal set of generators of their homogeneous ideal and the canonical module of their
homogeneous coordinate ring, all three are key ingredients to describe how looks like their minimal free graded resolution. Third, we investigate projections $S_{D_{2 d}}$ of the Veronese surface $X_{2, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{2, d}-1}$ parameterized by invariants of a dihedral group $D_{2 d} \subset \operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ of order $2 d$. We prove that $S_{2 d}$ is an aCM surface and, as in Chapter 3, we concern about the geometry of $S_{D_{2 d}}$. We compute their minimal graded free resolution and a minimal set of generators of their homogeneous ideal. Fourth, we introduce a family of smooth rational monomial projections of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ related to $\bar{G}$-varieties with group $G \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$, we call them $R L$-varieties and we present their normal bundles $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}$. To determine the cohomology of the normal sheaf of an arbitrary variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ is a very difficult problem and it is out of reach in most cases. $R L$-varieties $\mathcal{X}_{d}$ are achievable for this matter since they are smooth rational projections of $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ parameterized by a subset $\Omega_{n, d} \subset \mathcal{M}_{n, d}$ determined by the action of $G$ which induces an embedding. These facts allow us to compute the dimension of the cohomology of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}$ (Chapter 5).

Let $2 \leq n<d$ be integers and $e$ a $d$ th primitive root of $1 \in \mathbb{K}$. We consider an abelian group $G=\Gamma_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Gamma_{s} \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ of order $d=d_{1} \cdots d_{s}$, where each $\Gamma_{i} \subset \operatorname{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ is a cyclic group of order $d_{i}$ generated by a diagonal matrix

$$
M_{d_{i} ; \alpha_{\sigma_{i}(0)}^{i}, \ldots, \alpha_{\sigma_{i}(n)}^{i}}:=\operatorname{diag}\left(e_{i}^{\alpha_{\sigma_{i}(0)}^{i}}, \ldots, e_{i}^{\alpha_{\sigma_{i}(n)}^{i}}\right)
$$

where $\sigma_{i} \in \mathcal{S}_{n+1}, e_{i}=e^{d / d_{i}}$ is a $d_{i}$ th primitive root of $1 \in \mathbb{K}$ and $0 \leq \alpha_{0}^{i} \leq$ $\cdots \leq \alpha_{n}^{i}<d_{i}$ are integers such that $\operatorname{GCD}\left(d_{i}, \alpha_{0}^{i}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}^{i}\right)=1$ (Notation 2.2.1).

The cyclic extension of $G$ is defined as the finite abelian group $\bar{G} \subset$ $\operatorname{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ generated by $G$ and $M_{d ; 1, \ldots, 1}=\operatorname{diag}(e, \ldots, e)$ (Definition 1.3.2). The ring of invariants of $G$ is $R^{G}=\{p \in R \mid g(p)=p, \forall g \in G\}$ and it inherits a natural grading from $R$

$$
R^{G}=\bigoplus_{t \geq 0} R_{t}^{G}, R_{t}^{G}:=R_{t} \cap R^{G} .
$$

The graded $\mathbb{K}$-subalgebra $R^{\bar{G}}:=\bigoplus_{t \geq 0} R_{t d}^{G} \subset R^{G} \subset R$ is the ring of invariants of its cyclic extension $\bar{G} \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$, it is called the $d$ th Veronese
subalgebra of $R^{G}$. Since $\bar{G}$ acts diagonally on $R$, each graded component $R_{t}^{\bar{G}}=R_{t d}^{G}$ has a monomial $\mathbb{K}$-basis, we denote it by $\mathcal{B}_{t}$.

The first main result of this thesis concerns the problem of determining a minimal set of generators of $R^{\bar{G}}$ (see, for instance, [77] and [81]). We prove that the set $\mathcal{B}_{1}=\left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{\mu_{d}}\right\}$ of all monomial invariants of $G$ of degree $d$ minimally generates the ring of invariants of $\bar{G}$, i.e $R^{\bar{G}}=\mathbb{K}\left[\mathcal{B}_{1}\right]$ (Theorem 2.2.11). The set $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ is called a minimal set of fundamental monomial invariants of $\bar{G}$. The proof is based on showing that any monomial of degree $t d$ in $\mathcal{B}_{t}$ can be factored as a product of $t$ monomials in $\mathcal{B}_{1}$. It is developed in a purely combinatoric way and the main tools we use are a combination of results on normal affine semigroups $H \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ (Definition 1.2.12) appearing as the $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$-solutions of linear systems of congruences (see Subsection 1.2.1) and zero-sums over finite abelian groups (see Section 2.2).

In [52], Eagon and Hochster proved that the ring of invariants of any finite group acting linearly on $R$ is a CM ring (Theorem 1.3.10). This result provides the motivation for our perspective to contribute to Gröbner's problem as well as further developments in this thesis. The minimal set $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ of fundamental monomial invariants of $\bar{G} \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ parameterizes a monomial projection $X_{d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{\mu_{d}-1}$ of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$. We call a $\bar{G}$-variety with group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ to $X_{d}$ (Definition 2.2.17). As a consequence of Theorem 2.2.11, we establish that the homogeneous coordinate ring $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ of $X_{d}$ is isomorphic to $R^{\bar{G}}$ (Theorem 2.2.18). Thus, $\bar{G}$-varieties $X_{d}$ with group $G \subset G \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ are aCM monomial projections of $X_{n, d}$ parameterized by the set $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ of all monomial invariants of $G$ of degree $d$.

From a combinatoric point of view, the ring $R^{\bar{G}}$ is the semigroup ring $\mathbb{K}\left[H_{\mathcal{A}}\right]$ of the normal affine semigroup $H_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ of the $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$-solutions of the linear system of congruences:

$$
(*)_{\mathcal{A}}:\left\{\begin{array}{lllll}
y_{0} & +y_{1} & +\cdots & +y_{n} & \equiv 0 \bmod d \\
\alpha_{\sigma_{1}(0)}^{1} y_{0} & +\alpha_{\sigma_{1}(1)}^{1} y_{1} & +\cdots & +\alpha_{\sigma_{1}(n)}^{1} y_{n} & \equiv 0 \bmod d_{1} \\
& & & & \\
\alpha_{\sigma_{s}(0)}^{s} y_{0}+\alpha_{\sigma_{s}(1)}^{s} y_{1}+\cdots & +\cdots & +\alpha_{\sigma_{s}(n)}^{s} y_{n} & \equiv 0 \bmod d_{s}
\end{array}\right.
$$

This strategy gives an alternative way to show that any $\bar{G}$-variety $X_{d}$ with group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ is an aCM variety, since Hochster in [51] proved
that the semigroup ring associated to a normal affine semigroup is a CM ring. In addition, this approach is computationally friendly and we have implemented it with the software Wolfram Mathematica [91] to compute the examples related to the set $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ of fundamental monomial invariants of $\bar{G}$. Both points of view were studied by Stanley [78] and they play a central role in this thesis.

In addition to Gröbner's problem, the second line of motivation of this thesis concerns the weak Lefschetz property of artinian ideals. This area of research has made considerable progress in recent years, in part due to its interplay with, among other, algebra, algebraic and differential geometry, combinatorics and representation theory. In Section 1.4, we introduce this notion and we review recent developments in this area. Given an integer $i_{0}$ and an artinian ideal $J \subset R$, we say that $J$ fails the WLP in degree $i_{0}$ if for any linear form $L \in(R / J)_{1}$ the multiplication map

$$
\times(L):(R / J)_{i_{0}} \longrightarrow(R / J)_{i_{0}+1}
$$

does not have maximal rank, i.e. it is neither injective nor surjective.
In [59], Mezzetti, Miró-Roig and Ottaviani established a connection between the failure of the WLP and the existence of varieties satisfying at least one Laplace equation. The precise result is known as the Tea Theorem (Theorem 1.4.6). It shows: let $J \subset R$ be an artinian ideal generated by $r \leq N_{n-1, d}$ forms $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{r}$ of degree $d$ and $J^{-1}$ its inverse system (Definition 1.4.4). Then, the artinian ideal $J$ fails the WLP in degree $d-1$ if and only if the variety $Y=\overline{\varphi_{J_{d}^{-1}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-r-1}$, where $\varphi_{J_{d}^{-1}}: \mathbb{P}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-r-1}$ is the rational map defined by $J_{d}^{-1}$, satisfies at least one Laplace equation of order $d-1$. They called a Togliatti system to such an ideal $J$, a Togliatti variety to the variety $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d^{-r-1}}}$ associated to $J_{d}^{-1}$ (Definition 1.4.7) and a monomial Togliatti system to any Togliatti system which can be generated by monomials. The name is in honour of the italian mathematician Togliatti, who proved that for $n=2$ the only smooth monomial Togliatti system (i.e. its associated Togliatti variety $Y$ is smooth) of cubics is the monomial ideal

$$
T=\left(x_{0}^{3}, x_{1}^{3}, x_{2}^{3}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}\right) \subset \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right],
$$

known also as Togliatti's example. In addition to the Togliatti variety $Y \subset$ $\mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-r-1}$, to a Togliatti system $J$ we associate the variety $X=\varphi_{J}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right) \subset$
$\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ image of the morphism $\varphi_{J}: \mathbb{P}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ defined by $\left(F_{1}: \cdots: F_{r}\right)$. In [17], the authors called $X$ the variety parameterized by the Togliatti system $J$.

In [57], it was introduced the notion of a Galois-Togliatti system (shortly $G T$-system) $I_{d} \subset R$ with a finite cyclic group $\mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z}$ (Definition 1.4.10). A Togliatti system $I_{d}$ generated by $\mu_{d}$ forms of degree $d$ is called a $G T$-system with group $\mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z}$ if the associated morphism $\varphi_{I_{d}}: \mathbb{P}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\mu_{d}-1}$ is a Galois covering with group $\mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z}$ (Definition 1.4.11). This geometric condition on $\varphi_{I_{d}}$ translates into the variety $X_{d}$ parameterized by $I_{d}$. The subgroup $\Lambda$ of Aut $\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)$ commuting with $\varphi_{I_{d}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Lambda$ acts transitively on any fibre $\varphi_{I_{d}}^{-1}(p), p \in X_{d}$. For instance, the quotient variety $\mathbb{P}^{n} / \Lambda$ of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ by the action of $\Lambda \subset \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)$ gives rise to a Galois covering with group $\Lambda \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. Moreover, the coordinate ring of $\mathbb{P}^{n} / \Lambda$ is the ring of invariants of $\Lambda$ which makes the study of this variety appealing.

Motivated by these facts, the authors of [17] considered a finite cyclic group $\Gamma=\left\langle M_{d ; \alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ of order $d$ and they proved that the ideal $I_{d}$ generated by all monomial invariants of $\Gamma$ of degree $d$ is a $G T$-system with group $\Gamma$, provided $\mu_{d} \leq N_{n-1, d}$ (Theorem 1.4.6). For instance, Togliatti's example $T=\left(x_{0}^{3}, x_{1}^{3}, x_{2}^{3}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}\right) \subset \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$ is a $G T$-system with group $\Gamma=\left\langle M_{3 ; 0,1,2}\right\rangle \subset G L(3, \mathbb{K})$ since it is generated by a minimal set of fundamental monomial invariants of $\bar{\Gamma}$. If $\mu_{d} \leq N_{n-1, d}$, they call $X_{d}$ a $G T$-variety with group $\Gamma$. From the perspective of this dissertation, $G T$-systems and $G T$-varieties with cyclic group $\Gamma \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ are studied in [57], [18], [20] and [17]. Later in [19], the notions of a $G T$-system and a $G T$-variety with cyclic group $\Gamma \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ have been extended by considering any finite subgroup $\Lambda \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$, even not abelian, and the authors investigated $G T$-systems and $G T$-surfaces with a dihedral group linearly represented in $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$.

Resuming our previous discussion, the ideal $I_{d} \subset R$ generated by the minimal set $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ of fundamental invariants of $\bar{G}$ is an artinian ideal inducing a Galois covering $\varphi_{I_{d}}: \mathbb{P}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\mu_{d}-1}$ with group $G$. Actually, the terminology $\bar{G}$-variety with group $G \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ has been conceived not only to emphasize the roles of the abelian group $G$ and the $\operatorname{ring} R^{\bar{G}}$, but the Galois covering as well. Furthermore, if the condition $\mu_{d} \leq N_{n-1, d}$ is satisfied (Theorem 1.4.6), we prove that $I_{d}$ is automatically a $G T$-system with group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ (Proposition 2.3.1). Thus, $G T$-varieties with group
$G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ are aCM monomial projections of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d^{-1}}}$ parameterized by a minimal set $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ of fundamental monomial invariants of $\bar{G}$ which generates an ideal $I_{d}$ failing the WLP in degree $d$ 1 and such that the monomial projection of $X_{n, d}$ induced by $\left\langle I_{d}^{-1}\right\rangle_{d}$ is a Togliatti variety satisfying at least one Laplace equation of order $d-1$.

In contrast to $\bar{G}$-varieties $X_{d}$ with group $G \subset G \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$, there are examples of non aCM varieties $X$ parameterized by a monomial Togliatti system (see, for instance, Section 2.4). In view of these facts, in [17] we posed the analogous of Gröbner's problem for this kind of monomial projections of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$. This thesis contributes to this question in Section 2.4 with a family of aCM monomial projections of the Veronese surface $X_{2, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{2, d}-1}$ parameterized by Togliatti systems arising from the $G T$-system $T=\left(x_{0}^{3}, x_{1}^{3}, x_{2}^{3}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}\right)$ with cyclic group $\Gamma=\left\langle M_{3 ; 0,1,2}\right\rangle \subset$ $\mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$, but their coordinate rings are neither the ring of invariants of a finite group $\Lambda \subset G L(3, \mathbb{K})$ nor the semigroup ring associated to a normal affine semigroup (Theorem 2.4.10).

The heart of this thesis (Chapter 3) deals with the geometry of any $\bar{G}$-variety $X_{d}$ with group $G \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. The frame of reference of this objective is, first, [57] where the authors computed a minimal graded free resolution of $G T$-surfaces with cyclic group $\Gamma=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1,2}\right\rangle \subset G L(3, \mathbb{K})$ of order $d \geq 3$ and, second, [20] where we computed a minimal set of binomial generators of the homogeneous ideal of any $G T$-threefold with cyclic group $\Gamma=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1,2,3}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{K})$ of order $d \geq 4$. The results obtained in both works agree that the homogeneous ideal of these varieties are minimally generated by binomials of degree 2 and 3 and they rose the interest of these varieties.

As we have pointed out before, any $\bar{G}$-variety $X_{d}$ with group $G$ is an aCM variety whose homogeneous coordinate ring $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is isomorphic to $R^{\bar{G}}=\mathbb{K}\left[\mathcal{B}_{1}\right]=\mathbb{K}\left[H_{\mathcal{A}}\right]$ (Theorem 2.2.18). These facts provide, on one hand, a motivation for determining a minimal free graded resolution of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ and, one the other hand, techniques from invariant theory and combinatorics to explore this problem and to tackle the geometry of $X_{d}$. Along Chapter 3, we work on these subjects which generalize and extend the results obtained so far for $\bar{G}$-varieties with a finite cyclic group $\Gamma=\left\langle M_{d ; \alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}\right\rangle \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ in [57], [20], [17] and [21].

We take new variables $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{\mu_{d}}$ and we set $S=\mathbb{K}\left[w_{1}, \ldots, w_{\mu_{d}}\right]$. Since
$X_{d}$ is an aCM variety, a minimal graded free $S$-resolution of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is an exact sequence of length $c:=\operatorname{codim}\left(X_{d}\right)=\mu_{d}-n-1$ :

$$
F_{\bullet}: 0 \longrightarrow F_{c} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_{2} \longrightarrow F_{1} \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow A\left(X_{d}\right) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where

$$
F_{i} \cong \bigoplus_{j \geq 1}^{f_{i}} S(-j-i)^{\beta_{i, j}}
$$

with $\beta_{i, f_{i}}>0$ and $\beta_{i}=\beta_{i, 1}, \ldots, \beta_{i, f_{i}}$ is the $i$ th graded Betti number of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$, $1 \leq i \leq c$ (see Section 1.1). The minimal free resolution encodes a large quantity of geometric information of $X_{d}$, including for instance its Hilbert function and series. The first graded Betti number $\beta_{1}=\beta_{1,1}, \ldots, \beta_{1, f_{1}}$ collects the cardinality $\beta_{1, j}$ of generators of degree $1+j, j=1, \ldots, f_{1}$, in a minimal set of generators of the homogeneous ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right) \subset S$ of $X_{d}$. Similarly, the last Betti number $\beta_{c}=\beta_{c, 1}, \ldots, \beta_{c, f_{c}}$ defines the CM-type $\operatorname{dim}\left(F_{c}\right)$ of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ and collects the cardinality $\beta_{c, j}$ of generators of degree $c+j$ in a minimal set of generators of $\omega_{X_{d}}\left(\mu_{d}\right), j=1, \ldots, f_{c}$, where $\omega_{X_{d}}$ is the canonical module of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$. On the other hand, $f_{c}+1$ is the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)$ of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ (Definition 3.1.13). In the opposite direction, the knowledge of the Hilbert function and series of $X_{d}$, the ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$, the canonical module $\omega_{X_{d}}$ and $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)$ plays an important role in determining a minimal graded free $S$-resolution $F_{\bullet}$ of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$, as well as how complex $F$ • could be (see Section 3.1).

Our first concern is the Hilbert function and series of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ (Section 3.1). We interpret both numerical functions from the invariant theory point of view which allows us to describe them in terms of the monomial invariants of $\bar{G}$ and to conclude that $X_{d}$ is a variety of degree $\frac{d^{n+1}}{|\bar{G}|}$ (Proposition 3.1.2). The Hilbert series of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)=\frac{\delta_{n} z^{n}+\cdots+\delta_{1} z+1}{(1-z)^{n+1}},
$$

where $\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{n}$, the so called $h$-vector of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$, is the sequence of multiplicities of the degrees of the monomials $x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \in \mathcal{B}_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{B}_{n}$ satisfying $a_{0}<d, \ldots, a_{n}<d$. Exploring different strategies, we compute explicitly the Hilbert function and series of different families of $\bar{G}$-varieties, for instance: for any $\bar{G}$-variety with cyclic group $G=\left\langle M_{d ; \alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$
of prime order $d$ and $\alpha_{0}<\cdots<\alpha_{n}$ in any dimension $n \geq 2$; for any $G T$-surface with cyclic group $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}\right\rangle \subset G L(3, \mathbb{K})$ of order $d \geq 3$ and $\alpha_{1}<\alpha_{2}$ (Theorem 3.1.21); and for any $G T$-threefold with group $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1,2,3}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{K})$ of order $d \geq 4$ (Theorem 3.1.26 and Corollary 3.1.27).

Afterwards, we focus our attention on the structure of the homogeneous ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ of $X_{d}$ and we determine a minimal set of generators of $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ (Section 3.2). The ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is the kernel of the morphism

$$
\rho: S \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}\left[m_{1}, \ldots, m_{\mu_{d}}\right]
$$

defined by $\rho\left(w_{i}\right)=m_{i}$, it is called the ideal of syzygies among the invariants of $\bar{G} . \mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is the homogeneous binomial prime ideal generated by the set of binomials:

$$
\left\{w_{i_{1}} \cdots w_{i_{k}}-w_{j_{1}} \cdots w_{j_{k}} \in S \mid m_{i_{1}} \cdots m_{i_{k}}=m_{j_{1}} \cdots m_{j_{k}}, k \geq 2\right\}
$$

Our main result in this direction proves that $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is generated by binomials of degree at most 3 (Theorem 3.2.6). The proof is inspired by the theory of Markov basis of lattice ideals, which we have previously used in [20], and the main technique is to use zero-sums over abelian groups. Moreover, by means of families of examples in any dimension $n \geq 2$, we show that this bound is sharp and it depends strongly on the group $G$. To explore the minimal generation of $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$, we focus on $G T$-threefolds with group $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1,2,3}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{K})$ (Theorem 3.2.24 and Corollary 3.2.25).

Lastly, we study the canonical module $\omega_{X_{d}}$ of $\bar{G}$-varieties $X_{d}$ with group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ (Section 3.3). Stanley [78] and Danilov [22] proved independently that the canonical module of the semigroup ring $\mathbb{K}[H]$ associated to a normal affine semigroup $H \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ is the ideal of $\mathbb{K}[H]$ induced by the relative interior relint $(H)$ of $H$ (Definition 1.2.7). Thus, we can identify the canonical module $\omega_{X_{d}}$ of $A\left(X_{d}\right) \cong \mathbb{K}\left[H_{\mathcal{A}}\right]$ with the ideal

$$
\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)=\left(x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \in R^{\bar{G}} \mid a_{0} \cdots a_{n} \neq 0\right)
$$

Our main result regarding $\omega_{X_{d}}$ shows that $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$ is generated by monomials of degree at most $2 d$ (Theorem 3.3.3). The approach we develop is similar to the one performed in the proof of Theorem 2.2.11. Moreover, it allows us to characterize the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)$
in terms of the set $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}$ of generators of $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$ of degree $d$. We establish that

$$
n \leq \operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right) \leq n+1
$$

with equality $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=n+1$ if and only if $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1} \neq \emptyset$ (Theorem 3.3.5). For the sake of completeness, we end this block discussing how a minimal graded free $S$-resolution of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ looks like in view of the developments on the Hilbert series $\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), z\right)$, the ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$, the canonical module $\omega_{X_{d}}$ and $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)$. Among others, we gather the results obtained so far for $G T$-surfaces with group $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}\right\rangle \subset G L(3, \mathbb{K})$ in [17].

One of the advantages of the strategy built to study $\bar{G}$-varieties $X_{d}$ with group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ and, in particular, Togliatti systems, $G T$-systems and $G T$-varieties, is that it applies for any finite subgroup $\Lambda$ of $\mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ of degree $|\Lambda|$ (Proposition 1.4.17). In addition, when $\Lambda \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ is a non abelian group, the generators of $R_{d}^{\Lambda}$ are not necessarily monomials. Hence, if they generate a non monomial artinian ideal $J$, to prove that $J$ is a Togliatti system, i.e. it fails the WLP in degree $|\Lambda|-1$, we only need to check that $\operatorname{dim}\left(R_{d}^{\Lambda}\right) \leq N_{n-1,|\Lambda|}$ (Proposition 4.1.1). Any development in this direction shed new light on non monomial Togliatti (GT) systems, while the majority of works towards these notions deal with the monomial case. Furthermore, if $R^{\Lambda}$ is minimally generated by forms of degree $|\Lambda|$, then they parameterize an aCM projection of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d^{-}} 1}$ from the linear system $\left\langle J^{-1}\right\rangle_{|\Lambda|}$. In view of these facts, we investigate the invariants of non abelian finite groups $\Lambda \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. This thesis presents our progresses (Chapter 4) in this area.

It is worth to mention that, when dealing with invariants of finite groups, there are certain points that make difficult to work with a non abelian group $\Lambda \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ of order $|\Lambda|$. The landmark of our approach is finding a minimal set of fundamental invariants of the cyclic extension $\bar{\Lambda}$ of $\Lambda$. However, elements in such a set of generators could be very cumbersome to describe or manipulate (see, for instance, Section 4.1). In addition, when taking the cyclic extension $\bar{\Lambda}$, the computational complexity increases considerably.

Thus far, we have considered the classification of finite subgroups of $\operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ given in $[6]$ and [90], which we include in Section 4.1. They are classified in types A-L, only A being abelian. Among them, groups
$\Lambda \subset \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ of types B,C,D,H and I give rise to a Togliatti system, i.e the condition $\operatorname{dim}\left(R_{|\Lambda|}^{\Lambda}\right) \leq|\Lambda|+1$ is satisfied. However, showing that they are $G T$-systems with group $\Lambda \subset \operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ is, in general, out of reach. In this context, our main contribution positively answers this question for a representation in $\operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ of the dihedral group $D_{2 d}$ of order $2 d, d \geq 3$ (Section 4.2). We take a cyclic group $\Gamma=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1, d-1}\right\rangle \subset \operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ of order $d \geq 3$ and the linear transformation

$$
\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

which fixes the variable $x_{0}$ and permutes $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$. They generate a dihedral group $D_{2 d}=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1, d-1}, \sigma\right\rangle \subset \operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ of order $2 d$ and we consider its cyclic extension $\overline{D_{2 d}} \subset G \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$. Our main result proves that the ring $R^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}$ is minimally generated by monomials and binomials of degree $2 d$ which we completely describe (Theorem 4.2.6). Our approach is based on the knowledge of the rings $R^{\bar{\Gamma}}$ and $R^{D_{2 d}}$ and the natural structure of $R^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}$ as a subalgebra of $R^{D_{2 d}}$. Thus, the ideal generated by a minimal set of fundamental invariants of $\overline{D_{2 d}}$ is a $G T$-system with group $D_{2 d}$ (Proposition 4.2.9). The associated $G T$-surfaces $S_{D_{2 d}}$ with group $D_{2 d}$ are treated subsequently (Subsection 4.2.1). We establish that $S_{D_{2 d}}$ is an aCM surface whose coordinate ring is isomorphic to $R^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}$ (Theorem 4.2.12). We compute its Hilbert function and series and the CM-type of its homogenous coordinate ring $A\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right)$ in terms of the Hilbert function and series and the CM-type of the ring $R^{\bar{\Gamma}}$. In addition to that $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right)\right)=3$, we determine how a minimal free graded resolution of $A\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right)$ looks like (Theorem 4.2.14). Finally, we address the problem of finding an explicit minimal set of generators of the homogeneous ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right)$ of $S_{D_{2 d}}$. We show that $\mathrm{I}\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right)$ is minimally generated by quadrics and we describe them (Theorem 4.2.17).

The last objective of this thesis appears lately, motivated by the results obtained so far from the study of the canonical module of $\bar{G}$-varieties with group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ (Section 3.3) and the recent methods developed by Alzati and Re [4] to compute the cohomology of the normal bundle of smooth rational varieties embedded in $\mathbb{P}^{N}$. As seen before, the canonical module $\omega_{X_{d}}$ of a $\bar{G}$-variety $X_{d}$ with group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ is identified with the ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$ induced by the relative interior of the normal affine semigroup
$H_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ associated to $R^{\bar{G}} \cong \mathbb{K}\left[H_{\mathcal{A}}\right]$. We call $X_{d}$ a level $\bar{G}$-variety with an enough general group $G \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ (Definitions 5.1.1 and 5.1.6) if the following two conditions are satisfied: $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$ is generated by monomials of degree $d$, i.e. $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is a level ring with $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=$ $n+1$; and the abelian group $G \subset \operatorname{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ contains at least one matrix $\operatorname{diag}\left(e^{j \lambda_{0}}, \ldots, e^{j \lambda_{n}}\right)$ with at least three entries two by two distinct, where $e^{j}$ is a $d^{\prime}$ th primitive root of $1 \in \mathbb{K}$. If so, we associate to $X_{d}$ a smooth rational variety $\mathcal{X}_{d}$ embedded in $\mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}$ by a monomial parametrization $f_{d}: \mathbb{P}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}$ defined by $\mathcal{M}_{n, d} \backslash \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}$ where $N_{d}=N_{n, d}-\left|\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)\right|-1$ (Proposition 5.1.11). We call $\mathcal{X}_{d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}$ an $R L$-variety associated to $X_{d}$ and we give examples in any dimension $n \geq 2$ (Definition 5.1.7). The name has been conceived to stress the link with the relative interior and the levelness.

In contrast to its associated $\bar{G}$-variety $X_{d}$, the $R L$-variety $\mathcal{X}_{d}$ is a non aCM monomial projection of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ parameterized by a set of monomials $\mathcal{M}_{n, d} \backslash \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$ generating an artinian ideal $J_{d}$ which has the WLP (Definition 1.4.1 and Proposition 5.1.10). The interest of $R L$-varieties $\mathcal{X}_{d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}$ resides in as being a rational smooth projection of $X_{n, d}$ from the linear system $\left\langle\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}\right\rangle$ and to deduce, if any, which role plays the action of the group $G \subset G \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. This thesis contributes to this point. We compute the dimension of the cohomology of the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}$ of an $R L$-variety $\mathcal{X}_{d}$ associated to a level $\bar{G}$-variety with an enough general group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ (Section 5.2). We presented the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}$ of $\mathcal{X}_{d}$ by an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}^{n+1}(1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}^{N_{d}+1}(d) \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

leading to $\mathrm{h}^{i}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right)$ with the exception of $i=n-1, n$ and $k \geq d+n+1$ (Proposition 5.2.3). For the remaining cases, we determine $\mathrm{h}^{i}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right)$ applying the results of [4] where we strongly use the action of the abelian group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. Theorem 5.2.6 gathers the cohomology table of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}$.
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This thesis is structured in five chapters, each of them is accompanied by an introduction we refer for further details; and an Appendix A.

Chapter 1 is a compilation of all the basic notations, definitions and tools needed in the main body of this dissertation. Each section is illustrated with examples which have been prepared to familiarize the reader with subsequent chapters. Section 1.1 gives an introduction to CM rings and modules towards algebraic geometry. Section 1.2 is devoted to affine semigroups and their associated semigroup rings. The definition of a normal affine semigroup is given along to examples coming from combinatorics and the Cohen-Macaulayness of their associated semigroup rings. In Section 1.3, we give an introduction to the theory of invariant rings of finite groups. Lastly, Section 1.4 deals with the WLP of artinian ideals. In particular, the notions of having/failing the WLP (Definition 1.4.1), Togliatti systems (Definition 1.4.7), Galois coverings (Definition 1.4.11), GT-system and $G T$-varieties (Definition 1.4.18) are defined and exemplified.

Chapter 2 treats the Gröbner's problem and presents our main contribution to this area based on invariant theory of finite groups and combinatorics with an application to the WLP. The results of this chapter are illustrated
with examples, to this end we have implemented a routine to solve linear systems of congruences with the software Wolfram Mathematica [91], which is collected in Appendix A. 2.1 presents and reviews historically the Gröbner's problem (Problem 2.1.1). A criterion to determine the CohenMacaulayness of semigroup rings associated to a simplicial affine semigroup is introduced (Theorem 2.1.4), which plays an important role in the last section of this chapter. In Section 2, we study the invariants of finite abelian groups $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ and their cyclic extensions $\bar{G} \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. This section contains an introduction to zero-sums over abelian groups. In the main result of this Chapter we prove that a minimal set $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ of fundamental monomial invariants of $\bar{G}$ is the set of monomial invariants of $G$ of degree $d$ (Theorem 2.2.11). In Subsection 2.2.1, we define the notion of a $\bar{G}$-variety $X_{d}$ with group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ (Definition 2.2.17) and we show that $X_{d}$ is an aCM monomial projection of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d^{-1}}}$ parameterized by $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ (Theorem 2.2.18). In Section 2.3, we study the connection of the monomial artinian ideal $I_{d}$ generated by $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ and the WLP (Proposition 2.3.1). In section 2.4, we investigate Gröbner's problem for surfaces parameterized by Togliatti systems. We prove using Theorem 2.1.4 the arithmetic Cohen-Macaulayness of a family of monomial projections of the Veronese surface $X_{2, d}$ parameterized by monomial Togliatti systems which are not connected neither to invariant theory nor to normal affine semigroups (Theorem 2.4.10).

The results of subsequent chapters are illustrated with examples computed or/and checked with the software Macaulay2 [36], as much as the computational complexity has allowed it.

In Chapter 3, we study $\bar{G}$-varieties $X_{d}$ with group $G \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ from a geometric point of view. Section 3.1 is devoted to the Hilbert function $\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)$ and series $\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), z\right)$ of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$. The notions CastelnuovoMumford regularity and the Betti diagram of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ are given (Definition 3.1.13 and Definition 3.1.10). We interpret $\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)$ and $\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), z\right)$ from the invariant theory standpoint (Proposition 3.1.2) and we explore different strategies to compute them. In Subsections 3.1.1 and 3.1.2, we we deal with the Hilbert function and series of $G T$-surfaces with group $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ (Theorem 3.1.21) and $G T$-threefolds with group $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1,2,3}\right\rangle \subset G L(4, \mathbb{K})$ (Theorem 3.1.26), respectively. In Sec-
tion 3.2, we look at a set of generators of the homogeneous ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ of $X_{d}$. In our main result we prove that $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is generated by binomials of degree at most 3 (Theorem 3.2.6) and we show that this bound is sharp, i.e. it cannot be improved. It is enhanced by Subsection 3.2.1, where we compute a minimal set of binomial generators of the homogeneous ideal of any $G T$-threefold with group $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1,2,3}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{K})$ (Theorem 3.2.24 and Corollary 3.2.25). In Section 3.3, we study the canonical module $\omega_{X_{d}}$ of $X_{d}$. We identify it with the ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right) \subset R^{\bar{G}}$ and we prove that $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$ is generated by monomials of degree $d$ and $2 d$ (Theorem 3.3.3). We characterize the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ in terms of the degree of generators of $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$ (Theorem 3.3.5). The examples of this section have been computed or/and checked with routines implemented with the software Wolfram Mathematica [91], which we explain in Appendix A. In Subsection 3.3.1, we gather all the main results of this chapter for sake of previous and further investigations on the minimal graded free $S$-resolution of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$.

Chapter 4 deals with the invariants of non abelian finite subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ and enlarges the family of non monomial Togliatti systems, as well as $G T$-systems, considering a representation in $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ of the dihedral group $D_{2 d}$ or order $2 d, d \geq 3$. Section 2.3 contains the classification of finite subgroups of $\operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ given in [6] and [90]; as well as new examples of Togliatti systems coming from invariant theory (Table 4.1.1 and Example 4.1.2). In Section 4.2, we study the invariants of the cyclic extension $\overline{D_{2 d}} \subset$ $\mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$. In our main results we prove that $R^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}$ is minimally generated by monomials and binomials of degree $2 d$ (Theorem 4.2.6) and we show that they generate a $G T$-system with group $D_{2 d}$ (Proposition 4.2.9). In Subsection 4.2.1, we focus our attention on the geometry of the associated $G T$-surface $S_{D_{2 d}}$ with group $D_{2 d}$ (Theorems 4.2.12, 4.2.14 and 4.2.17).

In Chapter 5, we introduce and study $R L$-varieties: a family of smooth rational monomial projections of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ related to $\bar{G}$-varieties with group $G \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. In Section 5.1 , we define and investigate the notions of level $\bar{G}$-variety with an enough general group (Definitions 5.1.1 and 5.1.6) and its associated $R L$-variety $\mathcal{X}_{d}$ (Definition 5.1.7 and Proposition 5.1.11). In Section 5.2, we introduce the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}$ of an $R L$-variety $\mathcal{X}_{d}$ and we compute the dimension of the cohomology
of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}$ (Proposition 5.2.3 and Theorem 5.2.6).
In Appendix A, we collect two algorithms and their implementation with the software Wolfram Mathematica [91]. Algorithm 1 computes the monomial invariants of $G$ of degree $t d, t \geq 0$. Algorithm 2 gives a minimal set of monomial generators of the ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$. They are based on the results obtained so far in Chapters 2 and 3. We provide functions in Wolfram Mathematica's language which illustrate them in addition to concrete examples.

## Chapter 1

## Preliminaries

This introductory chapter contains the main objects, results and tools that we shall use in the forthcoming chapters. We do not claim any originality on this chapter, which is divided in four sections. Section 1.1 is devoted to introduce Cohen-Macaulay rings and modules as well as their basic properties. In Section 1.2, we define affine semigroups and semigroup rings, and we relate them to algebraic varieties. In Subsection 1.2.1, we introduce affine normal semigroups and we recall the Cohen-Macaulay property of their associated semigroup ring. A large family of affine normal semigroups appears, for instance, as the set of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$-solutions of linear systems of congruences. In Section 1.3, we present the algebra of invariants of a linear finite group acting on $R$. We gather the classical results on its Hilbert function and series, as well as on the Cohen-Macaulay property. In Section 1.4, we focus on artinian algebras failing the weak Lefschetz property. In this context, we introduce Togliatti and Galois-Togliatti systems. Lastly, we relate both notions to invariant theory of finite groups.

### 1.1 Cohen-Macaulay rings and modules

We begin with a quick overview of dimension theory. After introducing the notions height of an ideal and dimension of rings and modules, we present Noether's normalization theorem for affine algebras. The content of this section is addressed towards algebraic geometry, thus in the last part we focus on graded $R$-modules. We recall the graded version of Noether's normalization theorem, the notions of perfect modules, minimal graded free $R$-resolutions, projective dimension and give characterizations of the

Cohen-Macaulay property of graded $R$-modules. We mainly follow [9], [25] and [69].

Through this section, $A$ denotes a commutative ring with unit.
Definition 1.1.1. Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ be a prime ideal. The height of $\mathfrak{p}$ is the supremum of lengths of strictly descending chains of prime ideals contained in $\mathfrak{p}$. The height of an arbitrary ideal $I$ of $A$ is defined as

$$
\operatorname{height}(I)=\inf \{\operatorname{height}(\mathfrak{p}) \mid \mathfrak{p} \text { is a prime ideal containing } I\}
$$

The Krull dimension of $A$ is the supremum of the heights of its prime ideals

$$
\operatorname{dim}(A)=\sup \{\operatorname{height}(\mathfrak{p}) \mid \mathfrak{p} \subset A \text { is a prime ideal }\}
$$

If $I \subset A$ is a proper ideal, we have the inequality

$$
\operatorname{height}(I)+\operatorname{dim}(A / I) \leq \operatorname{dim}(A)
$$

The codimension of $I$ if defined as

$$
\operatorname{codim}(I)=\operatorname{dim}(A)-\operatorname{dim}(A / I)
$$

For noetherian rings, we have the following classical result and a characterization for the Krull dimension in the local case.

Theorem 1.1.2 (Krull's principal ideal theorem). Let $A$ be a noetherian ring and $I=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}\right) \subsetneq A$ an ideal. Then, height $(\mathfrak{p}) \leq r$ for every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ which is minimal among the prime ideals of $A$ containing $I$.

Proof. See [25, Theorem 10.2].
Let $A$ be a noetherian ring and $I \subsetneq A$ an ideal. We say that $I$ is an artinian ideal if $\operatorname{dim}(A / I)=0$.

Theorem 1.1.3. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a noetherian local ring. Then, the following conditions are equivalent.
(i) $\operatorname{dim}(A)=r$.
(ii) $\operatorname{height}(\mathfrak{m})=r$.
(iii) $r$ is the infimum of all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for which there are $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m} \in A$ such that $\operatorname{rad}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)=\mathfrak{m}$.
(iv) $r$ is the infimum of all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for which there are $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m} \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)$ is an artinian ideal.

In particular, if $\operatorname{dim}(A)=r$, then elements $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}$ as in (iii) and (iv) are called $a$ system of parameters of $A$.

Proof. See [69, viii §9 Theorem 20].
Example 1.1.4. $\operatorname{dim}(\mathbb{K})=0$ and $\operatorname{dim}(R)=n+1$.
Let $M$ be a finitely generated $A$-module and $\operatorname{Ann}(M)=(0: M)_{A}$ its annihilator. We denote by $\operatorname{Supp}(M)$ the support of $M$ defined as the set of all prime ideals of $A$ containing $\operatorname{Ann}(M)$. The dimension of $M$ is defined as $\operatorname{dim}(M)=\operatorname{dim}(A / \operatorname{Ann}(M))$. If $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ is a noetherian local ring and $0 \neq M$ is a finitely generated $A$-module with $\operatorname{dim}(M)=n$, a system of parameters for $M$ is a sequence of elements $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$ such that $\operatorname{dim}\left(M /\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) M\right)=0$.

Proposition 1.1.5. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a noetherian local ring and $M$ a finitely generated $A$-module. Then, for any $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r} \in \mathfrak{m}$

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(M /\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}\right)\right) \geq \operatorname{dim}(M)-r
$$

and the equality holds if and only if $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}$ is part of a system of parameters of $M$.

Proof. See [25, Proposition 10.8 and Corollary 10.9].
Next, we recall the Noether's normalization theorem. As usual, a finitely generated $\mathbb{K}$-algebra will be called an affine $\mathbb{K}$-algebra.

Theorem 1.1.6. Let $A$ be an affine $\mathbb{K}$-algebra, $I \subsetneq A$ an ideal and set $r=\operatorname{dim}(A)$. Then, there exist $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r} \in A$ such that
(i) $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{K}$.
(ii) $A$ is integral over $\mathbb{K}\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}\right]$.
(iii) There exists an integer $0 \leq t \leq r$ such that

$$
I \cap \mathbb{K}\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}\right]=\sum_{i=t+1}^{r} y_{i} \mathbb{K}\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}\right]=\left(y_{t+1}, \ldots, y_{r}\right)
$$

If $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}$ satisfy (i) and (ii), then $\mathbb{K}\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}\right]$ is called a Noether normalization of $A$.

Proof. See [69, vii $\S 7$ Theorem 25] and [25, Theorem 13.3].

Cohen-Macaulay modules. Our next goal is to introduce, in terms of regular sequences, the notions of grade and depth, and some of the results needed in the sequel.

Definition 1.1.7. Let $M$ be an $A$-module. A sequence $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}$ of elements of $A$ is called an $M$-regular sequence if the following two conditions are satisfied:
(i) for each $i=2, \ldots, r, y_{i}$ is not a zero divisor in $M /\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{i-1}\right) M$ and $y_{1}$ is not a zero divisor in $M$.
(ii) $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}\right) M \neq M$.

An $M$-regular sequence $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}$ contained in an ideal $I \subset A$ is said maximal in $I$ if for any $y_{r+1} \in I, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}, y_{r+1}$ is not an $M$-regular sequence in $I$.

Example 1.1.8. $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$ is a maximal $R$-regular sequence.
If $A$ is noetherian, any $M$-regular sequence can be extended to a maximal one. In this setting, we have:

Theorem 1.1.9. Let $A$ be a noetherian ring, $M$ a finitely generated $A$ module and let $I \subset A$ be an ideal such that $I M \neq M$. Then, all maximal $M$-regular sequences in I have the same length $n:=\operatorname{grade}(I, M)$, called the grade of $I$ on $M$.

Proof. See [9, Theorem 1.2.5].

For any ideal $I$ in a noetherian ring $A$, the bound height $(I) \leq \operatorname{grade}(I)$ is always satisfied. The grade of $M$ is defined as

$$
\operatorname{grade}(M)=\operatorname{grade}(\operatorname{Ann}(M), A) .
$$

We focus now on local rings $(A, \mathfrak{m})$. For a finitely generated $A$-module $M$, the grade of $\mathfrak{m}$ on $M$ is called the depth of $M$, denoted depth $M$. We have:

Proposition 1.1.10. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a noetherian local ring and $0 \neq M a$ finitely generated $A$-module.
(i) Every permutation of an $M$-regular sequence is again an $M$-regular sequence.
(ii) Every $M$-regular sequence is part of a system of parameters of $M$.

Proof. See [9, Propositions 1.1.6 and 1.2.12].
As a consequence, $\operatorname{depth}(M) \leq \operatorname{dim}(M)$. This inequality motivates the following definition.

Definition 1.1.11. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a noetherian local ring and $M$ a finitely generated $A$-module. We say that $M$ is a Cohen-Macaulay (shortly CM) module if $\operatorname{depth}(M)=\operatorname{dim}(M)$. $A$ is called a $C M$ ring if $A$ itself is a CM module.

In general, for an arbitrary noetherian ring $A$, a finitely generated $A-$ module $M$ is a CM module if the localization $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a CM module for any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Supp}(M)$. Next, we see how CM rings and modules interact with grade, regular sequences and height.

Theorem 1.1.12. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a noetherian local ring and $0 \neq M$ a finitely generated CM $A$-module. Then
(i) $\operatorname{grade}(I, M)=\operatorname{dim}(M)-\operatorname{dim}(M / I M)$ for any ideal $I \subset \mathfrak{m}$.
(ii) $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}$ is an $M$-regular sequence if and only if

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(M /\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}\right) M\right)=\operatorname{dim}(M)-r .
$$

(iii) $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}$ is an $M$-regular sequence if and only it it is part of a system of parameters of $M$.

Proof. See [9, Theorem 2.1.2].
The grade and the height of a proper ideal $I$ in a CM noetherian ring coincide, i.e. height $(I)=\operatorname{grade}(I, A)$. If, in addition, $A$ is local, from the above theorem it follows that

$$
\operatorname{height}(I)=\operatorname{codim}(I)=\operatorname{grade}(I, A)
$$

Regular rings. Regular local rings are examples of CM noetherian local rings. Let us recall their definition.

Definition 1.1.13. A noetherian local ring $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ is regular if the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ is generated by a system of parameters, called a regular system of parameters.

Proposition 1.1.14. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a noetherian local ring and $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}$ a minimal systems of generators of $\mathfrak{m}$.
(i) $A$ is regular if and only if $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}$ is an $A$-regular sequence or equivalently $r=\operatorname{dim}(A)$.
(ii) If $A$ is regular and $I \subset A$ is an ideal, then $A / I$ is regular if and only if $I$ is generated by a subset of a regular system of parameters.

Proof. See [9, Propositions 2.2.4 and 2.2.5].
As a consequence, we have that any regular local ring $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ is a CM ring. Furthermore, the following proposition characterizes the CM property of local rings in terms of their regular subrings.

Proposition 1.1.15. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a noetherian local ring and $A^{\prime}$ a regular local subring such that $A$ is a finitely generated $A^{\prime}$-module. Then, $A$ is a CM ring if and only if it is a free $A^{\prime}$-module.

Proof. See [9, Proposition 2.2.11].

Example 1.1.16. (i) $\mathbb{K}$ is regular and a CM ring.
(ii) The localization $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ at $\mathfrak{m}=\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is a regular noetherian local ring, so it is a CM ring.

In general, a noetherian ring $A$ is called regular if for any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subset A$ the localization $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is regular. We have the following.

Theorem 1.1.17. Set $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$ indeterminates. $A$ noetherian ring $A$ is regular if and only if $A\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ is regular. In particular, $R$ is regular.

Proof. See [9, Theorem 2.2.13].

Graded $R$-modules. Through the rest of this section we deal only with finitely generated graded modules over $R$. We denote $\mathfrak{m}=\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. We see $R$ as a graded ring with the standard grading $R=\oplus_{t \geq 0} R_{t}$, where $R_{t}$ denotes the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space generated by all monomials of degree $t$. We investigate the CM property of finitely generated graded $R$-modules. In this setting, we introduce the notions of minimal graded free $R$-resolutions, projective dimension and perfect rings.

Theorem 1.1.18. Let $M$ be a finitely generated graded $R$-module. Then, $M$ is a CM module if and only if $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a CM module. In particular, $R$ is a CM ring.

Proof. See [9, Corollary 2.2.15].
The most important graded $R$-modules arise in algebraic geometry as the coordinate rings of projective varieties. They are positively graded affine $\mathbb{K}$-algebras of the form $R / I$ where $I \subset R$ is an homogeneous ideal. A projective variety $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ is an arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay (shortly $\mathrm{aCM})$ variety if its homogeneous coordinate ring $R / \mathrm{I}(X)$ is a CM ring. In this context, we have the graded version of Noether's normalization theorem (Theorem 1.1.6).

Theorem 1.1.19. Let $M$ be a positively graded affine $\mathbb{K}$-algebra and $r:=$ $\operatorname{dim}(M)$. Then, there exist $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r} \in R$ satisfying the following equivalent conditions:
(i) $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}$ is a h.s.o.p.
(ii) $M$ is integral over $\mathbb{K}\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}\right]$.
(iii) $M$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{K}\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}\right]$-module.

In particular, $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{K}$. Moreover, $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}$ can be chosen to be of degree 1 .

Proof. See [9, Theorem 1.5.17].
Theorems 1.1.18 and 1.1.17 also apply to non standard graded polynomial rings, i.e. $\mathbb{K}\left[y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}\right]$ with $\operatorname{deg}\left(y_{i}\right) \geq 1, i=0, \ldots, n$. We have:

Theorem 1.1.20. Let $M$ be a positively graded affine $\mathbb{K}$-algebra and $r:=$ $\operatorname{dim}(M)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.
(i) $M$ is $C M$.
(ii) There is a h.s.o.p $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}$ of $M$ such that $M$ is a free $\mathbb{K}\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}\right]$ module.
(iii) For any h.s.o.p $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}$ of $M$, it holds that $M$ is a free $\mathbb{K}\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}\right]$ module.

Proof. It follows from Theorems 1.1.17 and 1.1.18 and Proposition 1.1.15.

Keeping the above notation, if $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}$ is a h.s.o.p of $M$ and $M$ is CM, there exist homogeneous $\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{t} \in M$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\oplus_{i=1}^{t} \eta_{i} \mathbb{K}\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}\right] \tag{1.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such a decomposition is called a Hironaka decomposition of $M$.
A minimal graded free $R$-resolution of a finitely generated graded $R-$ module $M$ is an exact sequence

$$
F_{\bullet}: \quad \cdots \longrightarrow F_{r} \xrightarrow{\delta_{r}} F_{r-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_{1} \xrightarrow{\delta_{1}} F_{0} \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where each $F_{i}$ is a finite graded free $R$-module and for each $i \geq 1, \delta_{i}\left(F_{i}\right) \subset$ $\mathfrak{m} F_{i-1}$. The free $R$-module $F_{i}$ is called the $i t h$ syzygy module of $M$ and its
rank $\beta_{i}$ the $i$ th Betti number of $M$. The Hilbert syzygy theorem assures that every finitely generated graded $R$-module $M$ has a minimal graded free $R$-resolution of length smaller or equal to $n+1$. The projective dimension of $M$ is the length of a minimal graded free $R$-resolution of $M$, denoted $\operatorname{pdim}(M)$. A finitely generated graded $R$-module $0 \neq M$ is called perfect if $\operatorname{pdim}(M)=\operatorname{grade}(M)$. In particular, a graded ideal $I \subset R$ is called perfect if $R / I$ is perfect. We have the following.

Proposition 1.1.21. Let $M$ be a finitely generated graded $R$-module. Then $M$ is a $C M$ module if and only if $M$ is perfect.

Proof. See [9, Corollary 2.2.15].
Corollary 1.1.22. Let $I \subset R$ be an homogeneous ideal. Then, $R / I$ is a $C M$ ring (or I is a CM ideal) if and only if $\operatorname{codim}(I)=\operatorname{pdim}(R / I)$.
Proof. Since $R$ is a CM ring, $\operatorname{grade}(I, R)=\operatorname{codim}(I)$. Now, the result follows from Proposition 1.1.21.

We end this section introducing the canonical module of a CM ring $R / I$. We set $\operatorname{dim}(R / I)=d, \mathfrak{m}_{I}=\mathfrak{m} / I$ and $\mathbb{K}(R / I)=(R / I) / \mathfrak{m}_{I}$.
Definition 1.1.23. A finitely generated graded $R / I$-module $C$ is the canonical module of $R / I$ if there exist homogeneous isomorphisms

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{R / I}^{i}(\mathbb{K}(R / I), C) \cong \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } i \neq d \\ \mathbb{K}(R / I) & \text { for } i=d\end{cases}
$$

The canonical module of $R$ is $R(-n-1)$.
If $R / I$ is a CM ring with canonical module $C$, then there is an isomorphism $C \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{n+1-d}(R / I, R(-n-1))$. Therefore, we have:
Remark 1.1.24. Set $r=\operatorname{codim}(I)$ and

$$
F_{\bullet}: \quad 0 \longrightarrow F_{r} \longrightarrow F_{r-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_{1} \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow R / I \longrightarrow 0
$$

a minimal graded free $R$-resolution of $R / I$. Dualizing $F_{\bullet}$ we obtain a minimal graded free $R$-resolution of $C(n+1)$ :

$$
0 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow F_{1}^{\vee} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_{r-1}^{\vee} \longrightarrow F_{r}^{\vee} \longrightarrow C(n+1) \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

The $r$ th Betti number of $R / I$ corresponds to the cardinality of a minimal set of generators of $C$.

Definition 1.1.25. Let $R / I$ be a CM ring. We define the Cohen-Macaulay type (shortly CM-type) of $R / I$ as the $r$ th Betti number $\beta_{r}$ of $R / I$. If $\beta_{r}=1$, then $R / I$ is called a Gorenstein ring, $I$ a Gorenstein ideal and the associated variety an arithmetically Gorenstein variety. If the canonical module of $R / I$ is generated in only one degree, $R / I$ is called a level ring, the CM-type of $R / I$ is $\beta_{r}$.
Example 1.1.26. (i) A minimal graded free $R$-resolution of $R / \mathfrak{m}=\mathbb{K}$ is given by the Koszul complex:

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \longrightarrow R(-n-1) \longrightarrow R(-n)^{\binom{n+1}{n}} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \\
\longrightarrow R(-i)^{\binom{n+1}{i}} \longrightarrow R(-(i-1))^{\binom{n+1}{i-1}} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \\
\longrightarrow R(-2)^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \longrightarrow R(-1)^{n+1} \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow R / \mathfrak{m} \longrightarrow 0
\end{gathered}
$$

The CM-type of $R / \mathfrak{m}$ is 1 , so $R / \mathfrak{m}$ is a Gorenstein ring and, in particular, it is a level ring of CM-type 1 .
(ii) Any Gorenstein ring $R / I$ is a level ring of CM-type 1 .
(iii) A ring $R / I$, or an ideal $I$, are said to be a complete intersection (CI) if $I$ is generated by an $R$-regular sequence. Any CI $R / I$ is a Gorenstein ring, hence a level ring of CM-type 1 . A minimal graded free $R$-resolution of a CI $R / I$ is given by the Koszul complex. As a first example of a CI we have the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. As another example: let $h_{1} \in R_{d_{1}}$ and $h_{2} \in R_{d_{2}}$ be two irreducible forms of degrees $0<d_{1}<d_{2}$. Then $R /\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right)$ is a CI and

$$
0 \longrightarrow R\left(-d_{1}-d_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\binom{h_{1}}{-h_{2}}} R\left(-d_{2}\right) \oplus R\left(-d_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(h_{2} \quad h_{1}\right)} R \longrightarrow R /\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

is a minimal graded free $R$-resolution of $R /\left(h_{1}, h_{2}\right)$.
(iv) The twisted cubic $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ is the rational curve image of the morphism

$$
\varphi: \mathbb{P}^{1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{3}, \quad \varphi\left(\left(y_{0}: y_{1}\right)\right)=\left(y_{0}^{3}: y_{0}^{2} y_{1}: y_{0} y_{1}^{2}: y_{1}^{3}\right) .
$$

The homogeneous ideal $\mathrm{I}(X) \subset R=\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right]$ of $X$ is generated by three quadrics $\mathrm{I}(X)=\left(x_{0} x_{2}-x_{1}^{2}, x_{1} x_{3}-x_{2}^{2}, x_{0} x_{3}-x_{1} x_{2}\right)$. A minimal graded free $R$-resolution of $R / \mathrm{I}(X)$ is

$$
0 \longrightarrow R(-3)^{2} \longrightarrow R(-2)^{3} \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow R / \mathrm{I}(X) \longrightarrow 0
$$

The equality $\operatorname{codim}(\mathrm{I}(X))=\operatorname{pdim}(R / \mathrm{I}(X))=2$ is satisfied, so $R / \mathrm{I}(X)$ is a CM ring and $X$ is an aCM curve in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. Moreover, $R / \mathrm{I}(X)$ is a level ring of CM-type 2.
(v) Set $R=\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$. In $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, we consider the Fermat cubic $S_{1}=V\left(x_{0}^{3}+\right.$ $\left.x_{1}^{3}+x_{2}^{3}\right)$ and the three lines $L=V\left(x_{0}+x_{1}+x_{2}\right), L_{1}=V\left(x_{0}-x_{1}\right), L_{2}=\left(a x_{0}+\right.$ $x_{2}$ ) where $a=-\sqrt[3]{2}$. The Fermat cubic $S_{1}$ and the two conics $C_{1}=L \cup L_{1}$ and $C_{2}=L \cup L_{2}$ intersect in four non colinear points

$$
X=\{(1:-1: 0),(1: 0:-1),(0: 1:-1),(1: 1: a)\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2} .
$$

The homogenous ideal $\mathrm{I}(X)$ of $X$ is generated by one cubic and two quadrics. $R / \mathrm{I}(X)$ has a minimal graded free $R$-resolution:

$$
0 \longrightarrow R(-4) \oplus R(-3) \longrightarrow R(-3) \oplus R(-2)^{2} \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow R / \mathrm{I}(X) \longrightarrow 0
$$

The equality $\operatorname{codim}(\mathrm{I}(X))=\operatorname{pdim}(R / \mathrm{I}(X))=2$ is satisfied. We have that $R / \mathrm{I}(X)$ is a non level CM ring of CM-type 2 .

### 1.2 Affine semigroups and semigroup rings

An affine semigroup $H$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ is a finitely generated semigroup of $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$. $H$ is called a positive affine semigroup if the group $H_{0}$ of invertible elements of $H$ is $H_{0}=\{0\}$. Through this thesis we deal only with positive affine semigroups $H$ of $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$, which we refer as affine semigroups $H \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$. In this section, we present the basic definitions, properties and results on this topic needed in the sequel. We define the semigroup ring associated to an affine semigroup $H \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ and we show that it is the coordinate ring of an affine variety. We define affine normal semigroups, we see that their semigroup rings are CM rings and we introduce two families of affine normal semigroups which play a central role in subsequently chapters. For this section, we mainly refer to [9] and [63].

Let $H \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ be an affine semigroup. We denote by $\mathbb{Z}(H)$ the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ generated by $H$. The rank of $H$ is defined as the rank of $\mathbb{Z}(H)$ as the $\mathbb{Z}$-module generated by $H$. We often refer to the elements of $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ as lattice points. Any element $l=\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ defines a monomial
$m_{l}:=x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \in R$ and, conversely, any monomial $m=x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \in$ $R$ defines an element $l_{m}:=\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$. The assignation $H \longrightarrow$ $\mathcal{M}(H):=\left\{m_{h} \in R \mid h \in H\right\}$ gives a bijection between the set of affine semigroups $H \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ and the set of monomial semigroups $M \subset R$, with inverse $M \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}(M):=\left\{h_{m} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1} \mid m \in M\right\}$.

Definition 1.2.1. Let $H \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ be an affine semigroup. The semigroup ring $\mathbb{K}[H]$ of $H$ is the $\mathbb{K}$-vector subspace of $R$ with a monomial $\mathbb{K}$-basis $\left\{m_{h} \in R \mid h \in H\right\}$. Endowed with the multiplication defined by $m_{h_{1}} \cdot m_{h_{2}}=$ $m_{h_{1}+h_{2}}, h_{1}, h_{2} \in H$, the semigroup ring $\mathbb{K}[H]$ is the $\mathbb{K}$-subalgebra of $R$ generated by $\left\{m_{h} \mid h \in H\right\}$, that is $\mathbb{K}[H]=\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{M}(H)]$.

Example 1.2.2. (i) $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ is a positive affine semigroup and $R$ is its associated semigroup ring.
(ii) Let $1<d$ be an integer. The set $H_{1}=\left\{\left(t_{1} d, t_{2} d, t_{3} d\right) \mid t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right\}$ is the affine semigroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{3}$ generated by $(d, 0,0),(0, d, 0)$ and $(0,0, d)$. The associated semigroup ring is the polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{d}, x_{1}^{d}, x_{2}^{d}\right]$.
(iii) Set $e_{1}=(3,0,0), e_{2}=(0,3,0), e_{3}=(0,0,3), e_{4}=(1,1,1)$ and $H_{2}$ be the affine semigroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{3}$ generated by them. Then $H_{2}=\left\{(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1} \mid\right.$ $a \equiv b \equiv c \bmod (3)\}$ and $H_{1}$ is a subsemigroup of $H_{2}$. The semigroup ring of $H_{2}$ is the subring $\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{3}, x_{1}^{3}, x_{2}^{3}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}\right] \subset \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{3}\right]$.

An affine semigroup $H \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ and its associated semigroup ring $\mathbb{K}[H]$ are intrinsically related. If $I \subseteq \mathbb{K}[H]$ is a subset, we denote $\mathrm{H}(I):=\{h \in$ $\left.H \mid m_{h} \in I\right\}$. Naturally, if $I$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-vector subspace of $\mathbb{K}[H]$, then $\mathrm{H}(I)$ is a subsemigroup of $H$.

Definition 1.2.3. Let $H \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ be an affine semigroup. A subset $\mathcal{H} \subset$ $H \backslash\{0\}$ is called an ideal if for all $h_{1}, h_{2} \in \mathcal{H}, \quad h_{1}+h_{2} \in \mathcal{H}$. The radical $\operatorname{rad}(\mathcal{H})$ of an ideal $\mathcal{H} \subset H$ is $\{h \in H \mid z h \in \mathcal{H}$ for some integer $0<z\}$. An ideal $\mathcal{H} \subset H$ is said radical if $\mathcal{H}=\operatorname{rad}(\mathcal{H})$. An ideal $H \neq \mathcal{H} \subset H$ is said prime if given $h_{1}+h_{2} \in \mathcal{H}$, then $h_{1} \in \mathcal{H}$ or $h_{2} \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proposition 1.2.4. Let $H \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ be an affine semigroup and $I, I^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{K}[H]$ $\mathbb{K}$-vector subspaces.
(i) $I \subseteq I^{\prime}$ if and only if $\mathrm{H}(I) \subseteq \mathrm{H}\left(I^{\prime}\right)$.
(ii) $\mathrm{H}\left(I \cap I^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{H}(I) \cap \mathrm{H}\left(I^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}\left(I+I^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{H}(I) \cup \mathrm{H}\left(I^{\prime}\right)$.
(iii) I is a prime (respectively radical) ideal if and only if $\mathrm{H}(I)$ is a prime (respectively radical) ideal.
(iv) If $I$ is an ideal, then $\mathrm{H}(\operatorname{rad}(I))=\operatorname{rad}(\mathrm{H}(I))$.

Proof. See [9, Proposition 6.1.1].
Example 1.2.5. We take $e_{1}=(3,0,0), e_{2}=(0,3,0), e_{3}=(0,0,3), e_{4}=$ $(1,1,1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{3}$ and $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ the affine semigroups generated by $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ and $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right\}$, respectively (Example 1.2.2). By construction $H_{1} \backslash\{0\}$ is an ideal of $H_{2}$, but not prime. Indeed, $h_{1}=(4,4,4), h_{2}=(5,5,5) \in H_{2} \backslash H_{1}$ and $(9,9,9) \in H_{1}$. Now let $H^{\prime}$ be the affine semigroup generated by $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$. $H^{\prime} \backslash\{0\}$ is a prime ideal of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$. Let $h_{1}=(a, b, c), h_{2}=(d, e, f) \in H_{1} \backslash$ $\{0\}$ (respectively $h_{2} \in H_{2} \backslash\{0\}$ ) such that $h_{1}+h_{2}=(a+d, b+e, c+f) \in H^{\prime}$. Therefore $c=f=0$ and we obtain that $h_{1}, h_{2} \in H^{\prime} \backslash\{0\}$.

A subset $F$ of an affine semigroup $H$ is called a face if the complement $H \backslash F$ is an ideal of $H$. There is a correspondence between the set of prime ideals of the semigroup ring $\mathbb{K}[H]$ and its faces.

Theorem 1.2.6. Let $H \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ be an affine semigroup. $F \subset H$ is a face of $H$ if and only if the ideal $I \subset \mathbb{K}[H]$ generated by the monomials $m_{h}$ with $h \in H \backslash F$ is a prime ideal.

Proof. See [63, Lemma 7.10].
A non-empty subset of the euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is called a cone if it is closed under $\mathbb{R}$-linear combinations with non negative coefficients. For an affine semigroup $H \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$, we define the cone generated by $H$ as

$$
\operatorname{cone}(H):=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{t} r_{i} h_{i} \mid h_{i} \in H, r_{i} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}
$$

It is the smallest cone of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ containing $H$. We denote by relint $(\operatorname{cone}(H))$ the relative interior of cone $(H)$ defined as the interior of the $\mathbb{R}$-vector space $\langle H\rangle \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ relative to cone $(H)$, with the induced topology.

Definition 1.2.7. Let $H \subset \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{n+1}$ be an affine semigroup. The relative interior of $H$ is $\operatorname{relint}(H):=H \cap \operatorname{relint}(\operatorname{cone}(H))$.

We denote by $\mathrm{I}(\operatorname{relint}(H))$ the ideal of $\mathbb{K}[H]$ generated by the monomials $m_{h}$ with $h \in \operatorname{relint}(H)$. We have:

Proposition 1.2.8. Let $H \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ be an affine semigroup. $\mathrm{I}(\operatorname{relint}(H))$ is a radical ideal of $\mathbb{K}[H]$.

Proof. See [9, Lemma 6.1.6].
Example 1.2.9. Set $e_{1}=(3,0,0), e_{2}=(0,3,0), e_{3}=(0,0,3)$ and $e_{4}=$ $(1,1,1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{3}, H^{\prime}, H^{\prime \prime}$ and $H$ the affine semigroups generated by $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$, $\left\{e_{3}, e_{4}\right\}$ and $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right\}$, respectively (Examples 1.2.2). It holds $H \backslash$ $H^{\prime \prime}=H^{\prime} \backslash\{0\}$. So $H^{\prime \prime}$ is a face of $H$ and $\left(x_{0}^{3}, x_{1}^{3}\right)$ is a prime ideal of $\mathbb{K}[H]$ (Example 1.2.5). We have that $\operatorname{relint}(H)=\left\{(a, b, c) \in H_{2} \mid a b c \neq 0\right\}$. From this description, it follows that $\operatorname{relint}(H) \subset H$ is a radical ideal and $\mathrm{I}(\operatorname{relint}(H))=\left(x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}\right) \subset \mathbb{K}[H]$ is a principal ideal.

Next, we present the geometrical interpretation of the semigroup ring of an affine semigroup. Let $H \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{n+1}$ be an affine semigroup minimally generated by $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r}$. We write $\bar{h}_{i}=\left(a_{0}^{i}, \ldots, a_{n}^{i}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ and we set $m_{h_{i}}=x_{0}^{a_{0}^{i}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}^{i}}, i=1, \ldots, r$. We define the morphism $\varphi_{H}: \mathbb{A}^{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^{r}$ by sending an affine point $p=\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ to

$$
\varphi_{H}\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)=\left(m_{h_{1}}(p), \ldots, m_{h_{r}}(p)\right):=\left(\left(y_{0}^{a_{0}^{1}} \cdots y_{n}^{a_{n}^{1}}\right), \ldots,\left(y_{0}^{a_{0}^{r}} \cdots y_{n}^{a_{n}^{r}}\right)\right) .
$$

We take new variables $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{r}$ and $S=\mathbb{K}\left[w_{1}, \ldots, w_{r}\right]$. We define an epimorphism of rings

$$
\rho: S \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}[H] \text { by } \rho\left(w_{i}\right):=m_{h_{i}}, i=1, \ldots, r .
$$

Theorem 1.2.10. Let $H \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ be an affine semigroup minimally generated by $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r}$. Then $\mathbb{K}[H]$ is the coordinate ring of the affine variety $X:=\varphi_{H}\left(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{r}$. Moreover, $\mathrm{I}(X) \subset S$ is a binomial prime ideal.

Proof. The kernel $\operatorname{ker}(\rho)$ of $\rho: S \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}[H]$ is a prime ideal of $S$ and we have $\mathbb{K}[H] \cong S / \operatorname{ker}(\rho)$. In particular, $\rho$ structures $\mathbb{K}[H]$ as a $\mathbb{K}$-subalgebra
of $S$. The ideal $\operatorname{ker}(\rho)$ is a binomial prime ideal generated by all binomials of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{r} w_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}-\prod_{i=1}^{r} w_{i}^{\beta_{i}} \text { such that } \prod_{i=1}^{r} m_{h_{i}}^{\alpha_{i}}=\prod_{i=1}^{r} m_{h_{i}}^{\beta_{i}} . \tag{1.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By construction, they belong to $\operatorname{ker}(\rho)$. To see the converse, let $f=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{t} \alpha_{i} w_{1}^{\alpha_{1, i}} \cdots w_{r}^{\alpha_{r, i}} \in \operatorname{ker}(\rho)$ with $\alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{K}^{*}$ and $\left(\alpha_{1, i}, \ldots, \alpha_{r, i}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{r+1}$, we have $\rho(f)=\sum_{i=1}^{t} \alpha_{i} m_{h_{1}}^{\alpha_{1, i}} \cdots m_{h_{r}}^{\alpha_{r, i}}=0$. First, we assume that all $m_{h_{1}}^{\alpha_{1, i}} \cdots m_{h_{r}}^{\alpha_{r, i}}$ are of the same degree, namely $d$. Since $\rho(f)=0$, we have that $\sum_{i=1}^{t} \alpha_{i} m_{h_{1}}^{\alpha_{1, i}} \cdots m_{h_{r}}^{\alpha_{r, i}}=0$ is a trivial linear combination of the monomial $\mathbb{K}$-basis of $R_{d}$. Therefore, for $m_{h_{1}}^{\alpha_{1,1}} \cdots m_{h_{r}}^{\alpha_{r, 1}}$ there exists $2 \leq j \leq t$ such that $m_{h_{1}}^{\alpha_{1, j}} \cdots m_{h_{r}, j}^{\alpha_{r, j}}=m_{h_{1}}^{\alpha_{1,1}} \cdots m_{h_{r} .}^{\alpha_{r, 1}}$. Redefining $\alpha_{j}$ if needed, we ob$\operatorname{tain} 0=\rho(f)=\alpha_{1}\left(m_{h_{1}}^{\alpha_{1,1}} \cdots m_{h_{r}}^{\alpha_{r, 1}}-m_{h_{1}}^{\alpha_{1, j}} \cdots m_{h_{r}}^{\alpha_{r, j}}\right)+\sum_{i=2}^{t} \alpha_{i} m_{h_{1}}^{\alpha_{1, i}} \cdots m_{h_{r}}^{\alpha_{r, i}}=$ $\sum_{i=2}^{t} \alpha_{i} m_{h_{1}}^{\alpha_{1, i}} \cdots m_{h_{r}}^{\alpha_{r, i}}$. We define $b_{1}=w_{1}^{\alpha_{1,1}} \cdots w_{r}^{\alpha_{r, 1}}-w_{1}^{\alpha_{1, j}} \cdots w_{r}^{\alpha_{r, j}}$ and we iterate the same process for $\sum_{i=2}^{t} \alpha_{i} m_{h_{1}}^{\alpha_{1, i}} \cdots m_{h_{r}}^{\alpha_{r, i}}$. It stops at step $s \leq t$ and we get binomials $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}$ such that $f=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \gamma_{i} b_{i}$ for certain $\gamma_{i} \in \mathbb{K}^{*}$. If $\rho(f)$ is not homogeneous, we apply the same argument to each homogeneous component of $\rho(f)$.

The semigroup ring $\mathbb{K}[H]$ of an affine semigroup $H \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ inherits from $R=\bigoplus_{t \geq 0} R_{t}$ a natural grading $\mathbb{K}[H]=\oplus_{t \geq 0} \mathbb{K}[H]_{t}$, where $\mathbb{K}[H]_{t}:=$ $\mathbb{K}[H] \cap R_{t}$. If $h=\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in H$, we denote $\operatorname{deg}(h):=a_{0}+\cdots+a_{n}$. With this notation, each component $\mathbb{K}[H]_{t}$ has a monomial $\mathbb{K}$-basis $\left\{m_{h} \mid\right.$ $\left.\operatorname{deg}\left(m_{h}\right)=\operatorname{deg}(h)=t\right\}$. If $H$ is minimally generated by $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r}$, then $\mathbb{K}[H]$ is minimally generated by $m_{h_{1}}, \ldots, m_{h_{r}}$ as a graded $\mathbb{K}$-algebra and they generate the homogeneous maximal ideal of $\mathbb{K}[H]$. In addition, if $\operatorname{deg}\left(m_{h_{1}}\right)=\cdots=\operatorname{deg}\left(m_{h_{r}}\right)$, then the projective version of Theorem 1.2.10 is true. In this setting, $\mathbb{K}[H]$ is the homogeneous coordinate ring of the projective variety $X:=\overline{\varphi_{H}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)}$, where $\varphi_{H}: \mathbb{P}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ is the rational map sending a projective point $p=\left(y_{0}: \ldots: y_{n}\right) \notin V\left(m_{h_{1}}, \ldots, m_{h_{r}}\right)$ to
$\varphi_{H}\left(y_{0}: \ldots: y_{n}\right)=\left(m_{h_{1}}(p): \cdots: m_{h_{r}}(p)\right)=\left(\left(y_{0}^{a_{0}^{1}} \cdots y_{n}^{a_{n}^{1}}\right): \ldots:\left(y_{0}^{a_{0}^{r}} \cdots y_{n}^{a_{n}^{r}}\right)\right)$.
The homogeneous ideal $\mathrm{I}(X) \subset S$ of $X$ is the homogeneous binomial prime ideal generated by all binomials of the same form as in (1.2.1).

Example 1.2.11. Take $\mathbb{K}[H]=\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{3}, x_{1}^{3}, x_{2}^{3}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}\right]$ (Example 1.2.2(iii)), $S=\mathbb{K}\left[w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4}\right]$ and $\rho: S \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}[H]$ given by $\rho\left(w_{1}\right)=x_{0}^{3}, \rho\left(w_{2}\right)=$ $x_{1}^{3}, \rho\left(w_{3}\right)=x_{2}^{3}$ and $\rho\left(w_{4}\right)=x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}$. The semigroup ring $\mathbb{K}[H]$ is the homogeneous coordinate ring of the cubic surface $X=V\left(w_{4}^{3}-w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\right)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$.

### 1.2.1 Normal affine semigroups

In this subsection, we introduce normal affine semigroups, we recall the CM property of their associated semigroup rings and we see a combinatorial application involving linear systems of congruences.

Definition 1.2.12. An affine semigroup $H \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ is said normal if the following condition holds: if $h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3} \in H$ and $z h_{1}=z h_{2}+h_{3}$ for some $z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, then there exists $h \in H$ such that $h_{3}=z h$.

The normalization of $H$ is defined as

$$
\bar{H}:=\{h \in \mathbb{Z}(H) \mid z h \in H \text { for some integer } 0<z\}
$$

Since by assumption $H$ is positive, $\bar{H} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ is a positive affine semigroup containing $H$. We have that $H$ is normal if and only if $H=\bar{H}$.

Example 1.2.13. (i) Let $H \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{3}$ be the affine semigroup generated by $e_{1}=(3,0,0), e_{2}=(0,3,0), e_{3}=(0,0,3), e_{4}=(1,1,1)$ (Example 1.2.2(iii)). We have that $H=\left\{(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{n+1} \mid a \equiv b \equiv c \bmod (3)\right\}$ is normal. Indeed, $\mathbb{Z}(H)=\left\{(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \mid a \equiv \bar{b} \equiv c \bmod (3)\right\}$ and if $h=(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{Z}(H)$ is such that $z h \in H$ for integer $z>0$, then $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ and hence $h \in H$.
(ii) Let $d \geq 1$ be an integer and $H=\left\{\left(t_{1} d, t_{2} d, t_{3} d\right) \mid t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right\}$ (Example 1.2.2(ii)). For $d=1, H=\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{3}$ is normal. However for $d>1$, $(1,1,1) \in \bar{H}$ but $(1,1,1) \notin H$, so $H$ is not normal.

In [51], Hochster proved that the semigroup ring of any normal semigroup is a CM ring. In particular,

Theorem 1.2.14. Let $H \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ be an affine normal semigroup. Then $\mathbb{K}[H]$ is a CM ring.

Proof. See [51, Theorem 1] or [9, Theorem 6.3.5].

For instance, since the semigroup $H$ of Example 1.2.13(i) is normal, the associated semigroup $\mathbb{K}[H]=\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{3}, x_{1}^{3}, x_{2}^{3}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}\right]$ is a CM ring. Geometrically, $\mathbb{K}[H]$ is the homogeneous coordinate ring of the cubic surface $V\left(w_{4}^{3}-w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\right)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ (Example 1.2.11). Actually, $H$ is a member of a large family of affine normal semigroups of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ which can be translated combinatorially as the $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$-solutions of linear integral systems. In [78], this topic and related questions, including the CM property, were treated from the point of view of invariant theory of finite groups. Next section contains an exposition of invariant theory of finite groups and we will see examples pointing out this perspective.

Let $0<r$ be an integer and $\mathcal{A}=\left(\alpha_{i, j}\right)$ a $r \times(n+1)$ matrix of integers. We denote by $(*)_{\mathcal{A}}$ the homogeneous linear systems of integral equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\alpha_{1,0} y_{0}+\cdots+\alpha_{1, n} y_{n}=0 \\
\vdots \\
\alpha_{r, 0} y_{0}+\cdots+\alpha_{r, n} y_{n}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

The set of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$-solutions of $(*)_{\mathcal{A}}$ defines a positive affine semigroup $H_{\mathcal{A}} \subset$ $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$. Keeping this notation, we have:

Proposition 1.2.15. $H_{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ is an affine normal semigroup.
Proof. Assume that $h=\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ and $z>0$ is an integer such that $z h \in H_{\mathcal{A}}$. We have that $\left(z y_{0}, \ldots, z y_{n}\right)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}-$ solution of $(*)_{\mathcal{A}}$, so $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ and since $(*)_{\mathcal{A}}$ is homogenous, we obtain $h \in H_{\mathcal{A}}$.

A consequence of Theorem 1.2 .14 is that the semigroup ring $\mathbb{K}\left[H_{\mathcal{A}}\right]$ is a CM ring. Similar arguments apply to homogeneous linear systems of congruences. This standpoint plays a crucial role in this thesis. In this setting, we fix integers $0<d_{1}, \ldots, d_{r}, \mathcal{A}$ is an $r \times(n+1)$ matrix of positive integers and $H_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the set of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$-solutions of the systems:

$$
(*)_{\mathcal{A} ; t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}}:\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\alpha_{1,0} y_{0}+\cdots+\alpha_{1, n} y_{n}=t_{1} d_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\alpha_{r, 0} y_{0}+\cdots+\alpha_{r, n} y_{n}=t_{r} d_{r}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $0<t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}$. With this notation, we have:

Proposition 1.2.16. $H_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ is an affine normal semigroup.
Proof. Assume $h=\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ and $z>0$ is an integer such that $z h \in H_{\mathcal{A}}$. The first hypothesis implies that $h$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$-solution of some $\operatorname{system}(*)_{\mathcal{A} ; t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}}$. From the second we obtain that $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$.

By Theorem 1.2.14, $\mathbb{K}\left[H_{\mathcal{A}}\right]$ is a CM ring. For $i=0, \ldots, n$, we set $e_{i}=(0, \ldots, 0,1,0, \ldots, 0)$ with 1 in position $i$ th and $M:=\operatorname{LCM}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{r}\right)$. The semigroup $H_{\mathcal{A}}$ contains all points $M e_{i}, i=1, \ldots, r$ and $i=0, \ldots, n$. Therefore, $\operatorname{cone}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)=\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ and, hence, $\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ is the set of points of $H_{\mathcal{A}}$ which are outside any coordinate hyperplane of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. In other words, $\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)=\left\{\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in H_{\mathcal{A}} \mid a_{0} \cdots a_{n} \neq 0\right\}$, and since $(M, \ldots, M)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$-solution of $(*)_{\mathcal{A} ; t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}}$ for some integers $0<t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}$, we have that $\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right) \neq \emptyset$.
Example 1.2.17. (i) Let $d>0$ be an integer and set

$$
(*)_{\mathcal{A} ; t}: y_{0}+\cdots+y_{n}=t d
$$

with $t \geq 0$. The semigroup $H_{\mathcal{A}}=\left\{\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1} \mid a_{0}+\cdots+a_{n}=\right.$ $t d$, for some integer $t \geq 0\}$ is normal. $\mathbb{K}\left[H_{\mathcal{A}}\right]$ is the $d$ th Veronese subalgebra $\oplus_{t \geq 0} R_{t d}$ of $R$, which is minimally generated by the set of monomials of degree $d$ and it is a CM ring. Let $t_{0}=\min \left\{t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \mid t d \geq n+1\right\}$. The ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right) \subset \mathbb{K}\left[H_{\mathcal{A}}\right]$ is generated by the set $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{t_{0}}:=$ $\left\{x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \mid a_{0}+\cdots+a_{n}=t_{0} d\right.$ and $\left.a_{0} \cdots a_{n} \neq 0\right\}$. Indeed, let $h=$ $\left(b_{0}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \in \operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$. We have $b_{0}+\cdots+b_{n} \geq t_{0} d$ and $b_{0} \cdots b_{n} \neq 0$, so $h_{1}:=\left(b_{0}-1, \ldots, b_{n}-1\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$. If $\operatorname{deg}(h)>t_{0} d$, then $\operatorname{deg}\left(h_{1}\right)>t_{0} d-(n+1)$. We define $i$ as the smallest integer $0 \leq j \leq n$ such that

$$
\sum_{l=0}^{j-1}\left(b_{l}-1\right)<t_{0} d-(n+1) \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{l=0}^{j}\left(b_{l}-1\right) \geq t_{0} d-(n+1)
$$

and we set $B:=\sum_{l=0}^{i-1}\left(b_{l}-1\right)$. Therefore, $h_{2}:=\left(b_{0}-1, \ldots, b_{i-1}-1, t_{0} d-\right.$ $(n+1)-B, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ has degree $\operatorname{deg}\left(h_{2}\right)=t_{0} d-(n+1)$ and so $h^{\prime}=$ $h_{2}+(1, \ldots, 1) \in \operatorname{relint}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$. Hence, we obtain that $m_{h_{2}} \in \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{t_{0}}$ divides $m_{h}$.
(ii) Set $e_{1}=(3,0,0), e_{2}=(0,3,0), e_{3}=(0,0,3), e_{4}=(1,1,1)$ and $H \subset \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{3}$ the affine semigroup generated by $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right\}$ (Examples 1.2.2(iii) and
1.2.13(i)). We have that $H$ is a normal semigroup and it coincides with the set of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{3}$-solutions of the systems of congruences:

$$
(*)_{\mathcal{A}_{;} ; t_{1}, t_{2}}:\left\{\begin{aligned}
y_{0}+y_{1}+y_{2} & =3 t_{1} \\
y_{1}+2 y_{2} & =3 t_{2}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

with $t_{1}, t_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} . \mathbb{K}[H]$ is a CM ring.

### 1.3 Rings of invariants of finite groups

Invariant theory of finite groups and affine semigroups and semigroup rings are the main tools we use in this thesis. In this section, we gather the basic ideas and results needed onwards. We define the ring of invariants of a finite subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ acting on $R$. We address the internal structure of the ring of invariants by means of describing a minimal set of generators and determining the Hilbert function and series. On the other hand, we discuss the CM property of these rings and a geometric interpretation, which plays a central role in next chapter. We mainly follow [77], [81] and [9].

Let $\Lambda \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ be a finite group of order $|\Lambda|$. For any pair $(\lambda, f) \in \Lambda \times R$ the assignation $\lambda(f)=\lambda \circ f$ defines a natural action of $\Lambda$ on $R$. A polynomial $f \in R$ satisfying $\lambda(f)=f$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ is called an invariant of $\Lambda$. Any finite group $\Lambda$ admits invariants.

Theorem 1.3.1. Let $\Lambda \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ be a finite group. Then there exist $n+1$, but not $n+2$, algebraically independent invariants of $\Lambda$.

Proof. See [81, Proposition 2.1.1].
$R^{\Lambda}=\{f \in R \mid \lambda(f)=f, \forall \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is called the ring of invariants of $\Lambda$ or the algebra of invariants of $\Lambda$. It has the structure of a $\mathbb{K}$-subalgebra of $R$. The above theorem is equivalent to say that $R^{\Lambda}$ has Krull dimension $n+1$. $R^{\Lambda}$ inherits a natural grading $R^{\Lambda}=\oplus_{t \geq 0} R_{t}^{\Lambda}, R_{t}^{\Lambda}=R_{t} \cap R^{\Lambda}$.

Definition 1.3.2. Let $\Lambda \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ be a finite group of order $|\Lambda|$ and $e$ a $|\Lambda|$ th primitive root of $1 \in \mathbb{K}$. The cyclic extension of $\Lambda$ is the finite group $\bar{\Lambda} \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ generated by $\Lambda$ and the diagonal matrix $\operatorname{diag}(e, \ldots, e)$.

The ring of invariants of the cyclic extension $\bar{\Lambda}$ of $\Lambda$ is the graded $\mathbb{K}$-subalgebra $R^{\bar{\Lambda}}=\oplus_{t \geq 0} R_{t}^{\bar{\Lambda}}, \quad R^{\bar{\Lambda}}=R_{t|\Lambda|}^{\Lambda}$, called the $|\Lambda|$ th Veronese subalgebra of $R^{\Lambda}$.

Definition 1.3.3. Let $\Lambda \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ be a finite group of order $|\Lambda|$. A finite set $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right\} \subset R^{\Lambda}$ is called $a$ set of fundamental invariants of $\Lambda$ if $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$ generate $R^{\Lambda}$ as a $\mathbb{K}$-algebra, i.e. $R^{\Lambda}=\mathbb{K}\left[f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right]$.

The existence of a finite set of fundamental invariants of $R^{\Lambda}$ is granted by Noether's degree bound:

Theorem 1.3.4. Let $\Lambda \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ be a finite group of order $|\Lambda|$. Then $R^{\Lambda}$ is generated by no more than $N_{n+1,|\Lambda|}$ homogenous invariants of degree not exceeding $|\Lambda|$.

Proof. See [77, Theorem 1.2] and [87, viii §15].
Thus, if $\mathcal{B}_{i}$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-basis of the vector space $R_{i}^{\Lambda}, i=1, \ldots,|\Lambda|$, then $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{B}_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{B}_{|\Lambda|}$ generates $R^{\Lambda}$. However, $\mathcal{B}$ is not necessarily a minimal set of fundamental invariants and a complete description of such a set of generators is, in general, unknown.

Example 1.3.5. (i) Let $d>0$ be an integer, $e$ a $d$ th primitive root of $1 \in \mathbb{K}$ and we denote by $G_{V} \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ the finite cyclic group of order $d$ generated by $\operatorname{diag}(e, \ldots, e) . R^{G_{V}}$ is the $d$ th Veronese subalgebra of $R$ and a minimal set of fundamental invariants of $G_{V}$ is the set of $N_{n, d}<N_{n+1, d}$ monomials of degree exactly $d$ (see also Example 1.2.17(i)).
(ii) Let $e$ be a $3 r d$-primitive root of $1 \in \mathbb{K}$ and $\Lambda=\left\langle\operatorname{diag}\left(1, e, e^{2}\right)\right\rangle \subset$ $G L(3, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 3 . The set of all monomial invariants of $\operatorname{diag}\left(1, e, e^{2}\right)$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-basis of $R_{t}^{\Lambda}$. By Noether's degree bound, the following $\left\{x_{0}, x_{0}^{2}, x_{1} x_{2}, x_{0}^{3}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{2}^{3}, x_{3}^{3}\right\}$ is a set of fundamental invariants of $\Lambda$ and $R^{\Lambda}$ is minimally generated by $\left\{x_{0}, x_{1} x_{2}, x_{1}^{3}, x_{2}^{3}\right\}$.
(iii) Let $\bar{\Lambda}$ be the cyclic extension of $\Lambda$ in (ii), i.e. the finite abelian group of order 9 generated by $\operatorname{diag}\left(1, e, e^{2}\right)$ and $\operatorname{diag}(e, e, e) \cdot R^{\bar{\Lambda}}=\bigoplus_{t \geq 0} R_{3 t}^{\Lambda}$ is the 3rd Veronese subalgebra of $R^{\Lambda}$. We have that $\left\{x_{0}^{3}, x_{1}^{3}, x_{2}^{3}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}\right\} \subset R^{\bar{\Lambda}}$ and a monomial $m=x_{0}^{a_{0}} x_{1}^{a_{1}} x_{2}^{a_{2}}$ is an invariant $\bar{\Lambda}$ if and only if ( $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}$ ) is a
$\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{3}$-solution of the linear system of congruences:

$$
(*)_{\mathcal{A} ; t_{1}, t_{2}}:\left\{\begin{aligned}
y_{0}+y_{1}+y_{2} & =3 t_{1} \\
y_{1}+2 y_{2} & =3 t_{2}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

for some $t_{1}, t_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ (Example 1.2.17(ii)). $R^{\bar{\Lambda}}=\mathbb{K}\left[H_{\mathcal{A}}\right]$, where $H_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{3}$ is the normal affine semigroup generated by $(3,0,0),(0,3,0),(0,0,3)$ and $(1,1,1)$.
(iv) The dihedral group $D_{2.3}$ of order 6 can be represented in $\operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{K})$ as the linear group of order 6 generated by the matrices:

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e & 0 \\
0 & e^{2}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $e$ is a 3 rd primitive root of $1 \in \mathbb{K}$. A minimal set of fundamental invariants of $D_{2 \cdot 3}$ is $\left\{x_{0}, x_{1} x_{2}, x_{1}^{3}+x_{2}^{3}\right\}$ and $R^{D_{2 \cdot 3}}=\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}, x_{1} x_{2}, x_{1}^{3}+x_{2}^{3}\right]$ is a non standard grading polynomial ring.

Hilbert function and Hilbert series. The Hilbert function

$$
\operatorname{HF}\left(R^{\Lambda}, \bullet\right): \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}
$$

of the ring of invariants $R^{\Lambda}$ is defined by $\operatorname{HF}\left(R^{\Lambda}, t\right):=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{K}} R_{t}^{\Lambda}$. Fix $t \geq 1$ and a $\mathbb{K}$-basis $\mathcal{C}_{t}=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{N_{t}}\right)$ of the $N_{n, t}$-dimensional vector space $R_{t}$. If $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we denote by $\lambda^{(t)}: R_{t} \longrightarrow R_{t}$ the induce linear transformation on $R_{t}$, i.e. the $N_{n, t} \times N_{n, t}$ matrix whose $i t h-c o l u m n ~ i s ~ t h e ~ c o o r d i n a t e ~ v e c t o r ~$ of $\lambda\left(f_{i}\right)$. In this linear setting, $R_{t}^{\Lambda}$ appears as the invariant subspace of $R_{t}$ :

$$
\left\{v \in \mathbb{K}^{N_{t}} \mid \lambda^{(t)} v=v, \forall \lambda \in \Lambda\right\}
$$

which provides the following expression:

$$
\operatorname{HF}\left(R^{\Lambda}, t\right)=\frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \operatorname{trace}\left(\lambda^{(t)}\right) .
$$

The Hilbert series of $R^{\Lambda}$, also called the Molien series of $\Lambda$, is the formal series:

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(R^{\Lambda}, z\right)=\sum_{t \geq 0} \operatorname{HF}\left(R^{\Lambda}, t\right) z^{t} .
$$

In [65], Molien gave an explicit formula for $\operatorname{HS}\left(R^{\Lambda}, z\right)$ in terms of the elements of $\Lambda \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. More precisely,

Theorem 1.3.6. Let $\Lambda \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ be a finite group of order $|\Lambda|$. Then the Molien series of $\Lambda$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(R^{\Lambda}, z\right)=\frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{1}{\operatorname{det}(\operatorname{Id}-z \lambda)},
$$

where Id denotes the identity matrix.
Proof. See [9, Theorem 6.4.8] or [77, Theorem 2.1].
Example 1.3.7. (i) Let $d>0$ be an integer and $R^{G_{V}} \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ the $d$ th Veronese subalgebra of $R$ (Example 1.3.5(i)). The Hilbert function of $R^{G_{V}}$ is $\operatorname{HF}\left(R^{G_{V}}, t\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(R_{t}\right)=N_{n, t}$ if $t$ is a multiple of $d$ and it is $\operatorname{HF}\left(R^{G_{V}}, t\right)=0$ otherwise. By Theorem 1.3.6, we have that

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(R^{G_{V}}, z\right)=\sum_{t \geq 0} N_{n, t d} z^{t d}=\frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \frac{1}{\left(1-e^{k} z\right)^{n+1}}
$$

(ii) Let $e$ be a $3 r d$ primitive root of $1 \in \mathbb{K}$ and $\Lambda=\left\langle\operatorname{diag}\left(1, e, e^{2}\right)\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 3 . We have $\Lambda=\left\{\operatorname{Id}, \operatorname{diag}\left(1, e, e^{2}\right), \operatorname{diag}\left(1, e^{2}, e\right)\right\}$. So, by Theorem 1.3.6,

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(R^{\Lambda}, z\right)=\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1}{(1-z)^{3}}+\frac{2}{1-z^{3}}\right)=\frac{z^{4}+z^{2}+1}{(1-z)\left(1-z^{3}\right)^{2}} .
$$

Expanding $\operatorname{HS}\left(R^{\Lambda}, z\right)$, we obtain:

$$
\operatorname{HF}\left(R^{\Lambda}, t\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{t^{2}+3 t+6}{2} & \text { if } t \equiv 0 \bmod (3) \\ \frac{t^{2}+3 t+2}{6} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

(iii) Take the cyclic extension $\bar{\Lambda}$ of $\Lambda$ (Example 1.3.5(iii)). As a direct consequence of (ii), the Hilbert function and series of $R^{\bar{\Lambda}}$ are, respectively:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{HF}\left(R^{\bar{\Lambda}}, t\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{t^{2}+3 t+6}{2} & \text { if } t \equiv 0 \bmod (3) \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
\operatorname{HS}\left(R^{\bar{\Lambda}}, t\right)=\frac{z^{6}+z^{3}+1}{\left(1-z^{3}\right)^{3}}
\end{gathered}
$$

The Cohen-Macaulay property. For any finite group $\Lambda \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$, $R^{\Lambda}$ is a CM ring. This fundamental result was proved by Hochster and Eagon [52] as a consequence of the existence of a Reynolds operator for the pair ( $R, R^{\Lambda}$ ) and the fact that $R$ is integral over $R^{\Lambda}$. In general, if $A^{\prime}$ is a subring of a ring $A$, a Reynolds operators for $\left(A, A^{\prime}\right)$ is an $A^{\prime}$-linear map $\phi: A \longrightarrow A^{\prime}$ such that the restriction of $\phi$ to $A^{\prime}$ is the identity. The existence of such a map is equivalent to the fact that $A^{\prime}$ is a direct summand of $A$ as an $A^{\prime}$-module.

Proposition 1.3.8. Assume that there exists a Reynolds operators for the pair ( $A, A^{\prime}$ ). Then,
(i) for every ideal $I$ of $A^{\prime}$ one has $I A \cap A^{\prime}=I$.
(ii) If $A$ is a noetherian ring, then $A^{\prime}$ is a noetherian ring.
(ii) If $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}$ is an $A$-regular sequence in $A^{\prime}$, then it is an $A^{\prime}$-regular sequence.

Proof. See [9, Proposition 6.4.4].
Theorem 1.3.9. Let $A$ be a $C M$ ring and $A^{\prime}$ a subring of $A$ such that there exists a Reynolds operator for $\left(A, A^{\prime}\right)$. If $A$ is integral over $A^{\prime}$, then $A^{\prime}$ is a CM ring.

Proof. See [52, Proposition 12].
For the pair $\left(R, R^{\Lambda}\right)$, the assignation

$$
\phi(f)=\frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \lambda(f), \quad f \in R,
$$

defines a Reynolds operator. On the other hand, set $z$ an indeterminate, let $f \in R$ and consider the following polynomial

$$
p_{f}(z):=\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda}(z-\lambda(f)) \in R[z] .
$$

$p_{f}(z)$ is a monic polynomial in $z$ of degree $|\Lambda|$ with coefficients in $R^{\Lambda}$. Furthermore, since $\operatorname{Id} \in \Lambda$, we have that $f$ is a solution of the equation $p_{f}(z)=0$. So, $R$ is integral over $R^{\Lambda}$.

Proposition 1.3.10. For any finite group $\Lambda \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K}), R^{\Lambda}$ is a $C M$ ring.

Proof. See [52, Proposition 13].
By Noether's graded normalization theorem (Theorem 1.1.19) and Theorem 1.1.20, there exists a h.s.o.p $\theta_{0}, \ldots, \theta_{n}$ of $R^{\Lambda}$ such that $R^{\Lambda}$ is a free $\mathbb{K}\left[\theta_{0}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right]$-module. This approach appears as an standard alternative for proving $R^{\Lambda}$ is a CM ring (see, for instance, [77] and [81]). In subsequently chapters, we will take advantage of this strategy, which is useful to understand the structure of $R^{\Lambda}$ when $\Lambda$ acts diagonally on $R$, as well as its Hilbert series.

We end this section with the geometrical interpretation of the ring $R^{\Lambda}$. Let $\mathcal{B}=\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right\}$ be a minimal set of fundamental invariants of $\Lambda$, take variables $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{r}$ and $S=\mathbb{K}\left[w_{1}, \ldots, w_{r}\right]$. The assignation $\rho\left(f_{i}\right)=w_{i}$, $i=1, \ldots, r$, defines an epimorphism of rings $\rho: S \longrightarrow R^{\Lambda}$.

Theorem 1.3.11. Let $\Lambda \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ be a finite group, $\mathcal{B}=\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right\}$ a minimal set of fundamental invariants of $\Lambda$ and $\varphi_{\mathcal{B}}: \mathbb{A}^{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}^{r}$ be the morphism defined by $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$. Then, $R^{\Lambda}$ is the coordinate ring of the affine variety $X:=\varphi_{\mathcal{B}}\left(\mathbb{A}^{n+1}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{A}^{r}$. Moreover, $X$ is an aCM variety.

The ideal $\mathrm{I}(X)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\varphi_{\mathcal{B}}\right)$ of $X$ is often called the ideal of syzygies among $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}$, denoted $\operatorname{syz}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$ (or syz $(\mathcal{B})$ for simplicity). The projective version of Theorem 1.3.11 is true when $\mathcal{B}$ is a minimal set of fundamental homogeneous invariants of $\Lambda$ all of the same degree. Furthermore, since $R^{\Lambda}$ contains an h.s.o.p of $R, \mathcal{B}$ induces a morphism of projective varieties $\varphi_{\mathcal{B}}: \mathbb{P}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$. Hence, $R^{\Lambda}$ is the homogeneous coordinate ring of an aCM projective variety $X=\varphi_{\mathcal{B}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)$.

Since Veronese varieties play a central role through this thesis, we introduce the following notation.

Notation 1.3.12. Let $n, d \geq 1$ be integers and $\mathcal{M}_{n, d}=\left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{N_{n, d}}\right\} \subset$ $R$ the set of monomials of degree $d$, ordered lexicographically. The Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ is the image of the Veronese embedding of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$

$$
\nu_{n, d}: \mathbb{P}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}
$$

which sends a point $p=\left(y_{0}: \ldots: y_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{n}$ to $\nu_{n, d}(p)=\left(m_{1}(p): \cdots:\right.$ $\left.m_{N_{n, d}}(p)\right) \in \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$.

Example 1.3.13. (i) Let $n, d \geq 1$ be integers and $R^{G_{V}}$ the $d$ th Veronese subalgebra of $R$ (Example 1.3.5(i)). The set $\mathcal{M}_{n, d} \subset R$ of all monomials of degree $d$ is a minimal set of fundamental invariants of $G_{V}$ and $R^{G_{V}}$ is the homogeneous coordinate ring of the aCM Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$.
(ii) Let $d \geq 1$ be an integer. We set $S=\mathbb{K}\left[y_{0}, \ldots, y_{d}\right]$. The rational normal curve of degree $d$ is the Veronese curve $X_{1, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{d}$. It is the image of the morphism

$$
\nu_{1, d}: \mathbb{P}^{1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{d}, \quad \nu_{1, d}\left(x_{0}: x_{1}\right)=\left(x_{0}^{d}: x_{0}^{d-1} x_{1}: \cdots: x_{0} x_{1}^{d-1}: x_{1}^{d}\right)
$$

The homogeneous coordinate ring $A\left(X_{1, d}\right)$ of the rational normal curve $X_{1, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{d}$ is isomorphic to the $d$ th Veronese subalgebra of $\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right]$. The homogeneous ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{1, d}\right) \subset S$ is generated by the $\binom{d}{2}$ quadrics obtained from the $2 \times 2$ minors of the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
y_{0} & y_{1} & \cdots & y_{d-1} \\
y_{1} & y_{2} & \cdots & y_{d}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

A minimal graded free $S$-resolution of $A\left(X_{1, d}\right)$ is given by the EagonNorthcott complex:

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \longrightarrow S(-d)^{d-1} \longrightarrow S(1-d)^{d(d-2)} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow S(i-d)^{(d-i-1)\binom{d}{i}} \longrightarrow \\
\longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow S(-3)^{2\binom{d}{3}} \longrightarrow S(-2)^{\binom{d}{2}} \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow S / \mathrm{I}\left(X_{1, d}\right) \longrightarrow 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

$A\left(X_{1, d}\right)$ is a level ring of CM-type $d-1$.
(iii) Set $S=\mathbb{K}\left[y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, y_{4}, y_{5}\right]$. The Veronese surface $X_{2,5} \subset \mathbb{P}^{5}$ is the image of the morphism

$$
\nu_{2,5}: \mathbb{P}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{5}, \quad \nu_{2,5}\left(x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}\right)=\left(x_{0}^{2}: x_{0} x_{1}: x_{0} x_{2}: x_{1}^{2}: x_{1} x_{2}: x_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

The coordinate ring $A\left(X_{2,5}\right)$ of $X_{2,5} \subset \mathbb{P}^{5}$ is the 5th Veronese subalgebra of $\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$. The homogeneous ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{2,5}\right) \subset S$ of $X_{2,5}$ is generated by the quadrics obtained from the $2 \times 2$ minors of the symmetric matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
y_{0} & y_{3} & y_{4} \\
y_{3} & y_{1} & y_{5} \\
y_{4} & y_{5} & y_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

A minimal graded free $S$-resolution of $A\left(X_{2,5}\right)$ is:

$$
0 \longrightarrow S(-4)^{3} \longrightarrow S(-3)^{8} \longrightarrow S(-2)^{6} \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow S / \mathrm{I}\left(X_{2,5}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

$A\left(X_{2,5}\right)$ is a CM level ring of CM-type 3.
(iv) Take $\Lambda=\left\langle\operatorname{diag}\left(1, e, e^{2}\right)\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 3, where $e$ is a 3 rd primitive root of $1 \in \mathbb{K}$ (Example 1.3.5(iii)). $\left\{x_{0}^{3}, x_{1}^{3}, x_{2}^{3}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}\right\}$ is a set of fundamental invariants of its cyclic extension $\bar{\Lambda}$ and $R^{\bar{\Lambda}}$ is the homogeneous coordinate ring of the aCM cubic surface $X=V\left(w_{4}^{3}-w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\right)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ (Example 1.2.11).

### 1.4 Artinian ideals and the weak Lefschetz property

We finish this preliminary chapter presenting the weak Lefschetz properties of artinian algebras. It provides context and motivation for developing the results of this dissertation. We begin with the following definition.

Definition 1.4.1. Let $J \subset R$ be an homogeneous artinian ideal and $A=$ $R / J=: \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A_{i}$ the associated artinian graded $\mathbb{K}$-algebra. We say that $A$ (or $J$ ) has the weak Lefschetz property (WLP) if there exists a homogeneous linear form $L \in A_{1}$ such that for all $i \geq 0$, the multiplication map

$$
\times L: A_{i} \longrightarrow A_{i+1}
$$

has maximal rank, i.e. it is injective or surjective.
In [80], Stanley proved that any monomial complete intersection $J=$ $\left(x_{0}^{a_{0}}, \ldots, x_{n}^{a_{n}}\right)$ of $R$ has the WLP. Since then, the weak Lefschetz property of artinian graded $\mathbb{K}$-algebras have been extensively studied, from many different perspectives, as one can see in [86, $67,61,62,41,40]$. The natural problem of determining which artinian $\mathbb{K}$-algebras hold or fail the WLP remains open and a deeper research is needed to understand which conditions prevents such algebras from having the WLP. We say that $A$ fails de WLP in degree $i_{0}$ if for any linear form $L \in A_{1}$, the multiplication map $\times L: A_{i_{0}} \longrightarrow$ $A_{i_{0}+1}$ does not have maximal rank. By abuse of notation, we say that the ideal $J$ has the WLP (respectively fails the WLP in degree $i_{0}$ ).

Example 1.4.2. (i) The ideal $J=\left(x_{0}^{3}, x_{1}^{3}, x_{2}^{3},\left(x_{0}+x_{1}+x_{2}\right)^{3}\right) \subset R=$ $\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$ has the WLP. Take $L=x_{0}+2 x_{1}+3 x_{2}$, the multiplication map $\times L:(R / J)_{i} \longrightarrow(R / J)_{i+1}$ has maximal rank for all $i \geq 0$.
(ii) The ideal $J=\left(x_{0}^{4}, x_{1}^{4}, x_{2}^{4}, x_{3}^{4}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}\right) \subset R=\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right]$ fails the WLP in degree 5. $J$ is a monomial ideal and, as we will see in Proposition 1.4.3, it suffices to show that the multiplication by $x_{0}+x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3} \in R_{1}$ does not have maximal rank. Indeed,

$$
\times\left(x_{0}+x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\right):(R / J)_{5} \longrightarrow(R / J)_{6}
$$

is neither injective nor surjective.
In this thesis, we mainly work with monomial artinian ideals $J \subset R$. For a monomial artinian ideal $J \subset R$ to check if $J$ has or fails the WLP it suffices to prove the behaviour of the multiplication map by the linear form $x_{0}+x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n} \in R_{1}$. Indeed, we have:

Proposition 1.4.3. Let $J \subset R$ be a monomial artinian ideal and set $L=$ $x_{0}+x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n} \in R_{1}$. Then, $J$ has the WLP if and only if the multiplication map $\times L:(R / J)_{i} \longrightarrow(R / J)_{i+1}$ has maximal rank for all $i \geq 0$.

Proof. See [62, Proposition 2.2].
In [7], Brenner and Kaid showed that any ideal of the form

$$
J=\left(x_{0}^{3}, x_{1}^{3}, x_{2}^{3}, f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right) \subset \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right],
$$

where $f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 3 , fails the WLP in degree 2 if and only if $f\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ belongs to the monomial ideal

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\left(x_{0}^{3}, x_{1}^{3}, x_{2}^{3}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}\right) \subset \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right] . \tag{1.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, in [82] and [83], Togliatti proved that the only smooth projection of the Veronese surface $X_{2,3} \subset \mathbb{P}^{9}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{5}$ satisfying a Laplace equation of order 2 and parameterized by an ideal $I$ generated by forms of degree 3 such that $I_{3}^{-1}$ is an artinian ideal, where $I^{-1}$ denotes the inverse system of $I$ (Definition 1.4.4), is the smooth rational surface parameterized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{T}=\left(x_{0}^{2} x_{1}, x_{0}^{2} x_{2}, x_{0} x_{1}^{2}, x_{0} x_{2}^{2}, x_{1}^{2} x_{2}, x_{1} x_{2}^{2}\right) \subset \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right] . \tag{1.4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we look at the systems $T$ and $\bar{T}$, we realize that $\bar{T}$ is the Macaulay's inverse system of $T$, and vice versa. Motivated by these facts, in [59] Mezzetti, Miró-Roig and Ottaviani established a connection between artinian ideals failing the WLP and the existence of rational varieties satisfying at least one Laplace equation. To state the result we need first to recall the notions of Macaulay's inverse system and Laplace equations.

Take new variables $z_{0}, \ldots, z_{n}$ and $\bar{R}=\mathbb{K}\left[z_{0}, \ldots, z_{n}\right]$. Let $m=x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \in$ $R$ and $\delta=z_{0}^{b_{0}} \cdots z_{n}^{b_{n}} \in \bar{R}$ be two monomials and we define $m \circ \delta=$ $z_{0}^{b_{0}-a_{0}} \cdots z_{n}^{b_{n}-a_{n}}$ if $b_{i} \geq a_{i}$ for all $i=0, \ldots, n$, and $m \circ \delta=0$ otherwise. Extended by linearity, o induces an operation on $\bar{R}$ that structures it as an $R$-module.

Definition 1.4.4. Let $J \subset R$ be an artinian ideal. The Macaulay's inverse system of $J$, denoted by $J^{-1}$, is the $R$-module $\{f \in \bar{R} \mid J \circ f=0\}$.
$J^{-1}$ inherits a natural grading $\oplus_{t \geq 0} J_{t}^{-1}$ from $\bar{R}$, where $J_{t}^{-1}:=J^{-1} \cap \bar{R}_{t}$. In the monomial case, by abuse of notation, we regard $J^{-1}$ in $R$ by the linear change of variables which sends $z_{i}$ to $x_{i}, i=0, \ldots, n$. In particular, if $J$ is a homogeneous artinian ideal generated by monomials $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{r}$ of degree $d$, then $J_{d}^{-1}$ is the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space with monomial basis $\mathcal{M}_{n, d} \backslash\left\{F_{1}, \ldots, F_{r}\right\}$.

On the other hand, let $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r} \in R$ be homogeneous polynomials of degree $d$ and $\varphi: \mathbb{P}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ be a rational map defined as $\left(f_{1}: \ldots: f_{r}\right)$. We denote $Y=\overline{\varphi\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)}$ and let $p=\varphi\left(p_{0}\right)$ with $p_{0}=\left(y_{0}: \ldots: y_{n}\right) \notin V\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{r}\right)$. The sth osculating space of $Y$ at $p$ is the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $T_{p}^{(s)} Y$ spanned by all partial derivatives of $\varphi$ of degree $s$ evaluated at $p_{0}$. We denote by $\mathbb{T}_{p}^{(s)}(Y)$ its projectivization. The expected dimension of $\mathbb{T}_{p}^{(s)} Y$ is $N_{n, s}-1$. Nevertheless, linear dependences among the derivatives of $\varphi$ at $p_{0}$ may occur, leading to the following definition.

Definition 1.4.5. Let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^{r}$ be as above. We say that $Y$ satisfies $0<\chi \in$ $\mathbb{Z}$ Laplace equations of order $s$ if the following two conditions are satisfied.
(i) For all smooth points $p \in Y, \quad \operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{T}_{p}^{(s)} Y\right)<N_{n, s}-1$.
(ii) There is a general point $q \in Y$ such that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathbb{T}_{q}^{(s)} Y\right)=N_{n, s}-1-\chi$.

The result relating artinian ideals failing the WLP and varieties satisfying at least one Laplace equation, also known in the literature as the Tea theorem, is the following:

Theorem 1.4.6. Let $J \subset R$ be an artinian ideal generated by $r$ forms $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{r}$ of degree $d$ and let $J^{-1}$ be its Macaulay's inverse system. If $r \leq$ $N_{n-1, d}$, then the following conditions are equivalent.
(i) $J$ fails the $W L P$ in degree $d-1$.
(ii) $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{r}$ become $\mathbb{K}$-linearly dependent on a general hyperplane $H \subset$ $\mathbb{P}^{n}$.
(iii) The $n$-dimensional variety $Y:=\overline{\varphi\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)}$ satisfies at least one Laplace equation of order $d-1$, where $\varphi=\mathbb{P}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d^{-r-1}}}$ is the rational map associated to $J_{d}^{-1}$.

Proof. See [59, Theorem 3.2].
As a consequence of the above theorem and in honour to Togliatti, the authors of [59] introduced the following definition:

Definition 1.4.7. A Togliatti system is a homogeneous artinian ideal $J=$ $\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{r}\right) \subset R$ generated by $r \leq N_{n-1, d}$ forms of degree $d$ satisfying the three equivalent conditions in Theorem 1.4.6.

Example 1.4.8. (i) $J=\left(x_{0}^{4}, x_{1}^{4}, x_{2}^{4}, x_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{0} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}\right) \subset R=\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$ is a monomial Togliatti system generated by $r=5$ monomials of degree $d=4$. The inequality $r \leq N_{n-1, d}$ is satisfied. Take $L=x_{0}+x_{1}+x_{2} \in R_{1}$. The multiplication map $\times L:(R / J)_{3} \longrightarrow(R / J)_{4}$ is not injective. By Proposition 1.4.3, $J$ fails the WLP in degree 3. By Theorem 1.4.6, $J$ is a Togliatti system.
(ii) $J=\left(x_{0}^{6}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}, x_{1}^{6}+x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{3}\left(x_{1}^{3}+x_{2}^{3}\right), x_{0}\left(x_{1}^{4} x_{2}+x_{1} x_{2}^{4}\right)\right) \subset R=$ $\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$ is a non monomial Togliatti system generated by $r=7$ forms of degree $d=6$. The inequality $r \leq N_{n-1, d}$ is satisfied. As a consequence of Proposition 4.2.9, $J$ fails the WLP in degree 5 . Indeed, $J$ is generated by a minimal set of fundamental invariants of the dihedral group $D_{2 \cdot 3} \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ of order 6 generated by the matrices:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & e & 0 \\
0 & 0 & e^{2}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $e$ is a 3 rd primitive root of $1 \in \mathbb{K}$.

To any Togliatti system $J$, we can associate, in a natural way, two projective varieties which will play a central role in this thesis. In fact,
(i) Since $J$ is an artinian ideal, it induces a morphism $\varphi_{J}: \mathbb{P}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ defined by $\left(F_{1}: \cdots: F_{r}\right)$. Its image $X:=\varphi_{J}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)$ is an $n$-dimensional projective variety called a variety parameterized by the Togliatti system $J$.
(ii) The $n$-dimensional variety $Y$ parameterized by a $\mathbb{K}$-basis of $J_{d}^{-1}$ is called a Togliatti variety.

We say that $X$ is apolar to $Y$, and vice versa.
Definition 1.4.9. (i) A Togliatti system $J$ is called a monomial Togliatti system if it can be generated by monomials.
(ii) A Togliatti system $J$ is called a smooth Togliatti system if the associated Togliatti variety $Y$ is smooth.

Let $J=\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{r}\right)$ be a Togliatti system generated by $r \leq N_{n-1, d} 2$ forms of degree $d$. Its Togliatti variety $Y$ exhibits a non expected behaviour: it satisfies at least one Laplace equation of order $d-1$. Since [59], many works have focused on the study of Togliatti systems, Togliatti varieties and the varieties parameterized by them, as for example [58, 57, 60, 64, 20, 17, $18,19,21,1,23]$. The earliest ones deal with the problem of classifying them in terms of number of generators or minimality. Nevertheless, the failure of the WLP does not impose, in general, other conditions on the structure of a Togliatti system, neither statements (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 1.4.6. In [57], a new family of Togliatti systems was introduced, the so called Galois-Togliatti systems (shortly $G T$-system).

Definition 1.4.10. A $G T$-system is a Togliatti system $J=\left(F_{1}, \ldots, F_{r}\right) \subset$ $R$ generated by $r \leq N_{n-1, d}$ forms of degree $d$ whose associated morphism $\varphi_{J}: \mathbb{P}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ is a Galois covering with a finite cyclic group $\mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z}$.

Before continuing, we present the notion of Galois covering and gather some related results needed in the sequel.

Galois coverings. We recall that a covering of a variety $X$ is a pair $(Y, f)$, where $Y$ is a variety and $f: Y \longrightarrow X$ is a finite morphism. The group of deck transformations $\operatorname{Aut}(f)$ is defined as the subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(Y)$
commuting with $f$. We say that $f: Y \longrightarrow X$ is a covering with group Aut (f).

Definition 1.4.11. A covering $f: Y \longrightarrow X$ with $\operatorname{group} \operatorname{Aut}(f)$ is a Galois covering if $\operatorname{Aut}(f)$ acts transitively on a fibre $f^{-1}(x)$ for some $x \in X$.

When a group $\Lambda$ acts on a variety $X$, there is a natural way to construct Galois coverings.

Definition 1.4.12. Let $\Lambda$ be a group acting on a variety $X$. A quotient of $X$ by $\Lambda$ is a variety $Y$ and a surjective morphism $p: X \longrightarrow Y$ such that any morphism $\rho: X \longrightarrow Z$ to a variety $Z$ factors through $p$ if and only if $\rho(x)=\rho(\lambda(x))$, for all $x \in X$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Remark 1.4.13. If it exists, the quotient variety is unique up to isomorphism and it is denoted by $X / \Lambda$. In particular, the morphism $p: X \longrightarrow X / \Lambda$ verifies that if $x, y \in X$, then $p(x)=p(y)$ if and only if $\lambda(x)=y$ for some $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Proposition 1.4.14. Let $\Lambda$ be a finite group acting on an affine variety $X$. Then, $X / \Lambda$ is the affine variety whose coordinate ring $A(X / \Lambda)$ is the ring of regular functions on $X$ invariants of $\Lambda$, and $\pi: X \longrightarrow X / \Lambda$ is the quotient of $X$ by $\Lambda$.

Proof. See [74, §12, Proposition 18].
Proposition 1.4.15. Let $\Lambda$ be a finite group acting on a projective variety $X$ and $X / \Lambda$ its quotient space. If the orbit of any point $x \in X$ is contained in an affine open subset of $X$, then $X / \Lambda$ is a projective variety and $\pi: X \longrightarrow X / \Lambda$ is the quotient of $X$ by $\Lambda$.

Proof. See [74, §12, Proposition 19].
Proposition 1.4.16. Let $X$ be an irreducible projective variety and $\Lambda \subset$ Aut $(X)$ be a finite group. If the quotient variety $X / \Lambda$ exists, then $\pi: X \longrightarrow$ $X / \Lambda$ is a Galois covering with group $\Lambda$.

Proof. Set $\Lambda=\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}, \operatorname{Id}\right\}$. The group $\operatorname{Aut}(\pi)$ consists of all automorphisms of $X$ commuting with $\pi$. If $f: X \longrightarrow X$ belongs to $\operatorname{Aut}(\pi)$, then for all $x \in X$ we have $\pi(f(x))=\pi(x)$. For any $x \in X$, there exists $\lambda_{i} \in \Lambda$
such that $f(x)=\lambda_{i}(x)$, and hence $X=V\left(f-\lambda_{1}\right) \cup \cdots \cup V\left(f-\lambda_{n}\right)$. The irreducibility of $X$ allows us to conclude that $f=\lambda_{i}$, for some $\lambda_{i} \in \Lambda$. Therefore, $\operatorname{Aut}(\pi)=\Lambda$ and it is clear that given $\pi(x) \in X / \Lambda$, the fibre $\pi^{-1}(\pi(x))=\Lambda_{x}$, so $\operatorname{Aut}(\pi)=\Lambda$ acts transitively on $\pi^{-1}(\pi(x))$.

When $X$ is the $n$-dimensional projective space $\mathbb{P}^{n}$, a finite group $\Lambda$ of automorphisms of $X$ can be regarded as a finite subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. If $\mathcal{B}=\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}\right\}$ is a minimal set of homogeneous fundamental invariants of $\Lambda$ of the same degree $\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{i}\right)=d, i=1, \ldots, r$, then the quotient variety $\mathbb{P}^{n} / \Lambda$ is the projective variety of $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ whose homogeneous coordinate ring is the ring $R^{\Lambda}$ of invariants. In particular, we have the following.

Proposition 1.4.17. Let $\Lambda \subset \operatorname{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ be a finite group of order $|\Lambda|$ and $\mathcal{B}=\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}\right\}$ a minimal set of homogeneous fundamental invariants of $\Lambda$ with $\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{1}\right)=\cdots=\operatorname{deg}\left(g_{r}\right)=: d$. Let $\varphi_{\mathcal{B}}: \mathbb{P}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ be the morphism defined by $\left(g_{1}: \cdots: g_{r}\right)$. It holds:
(i) $R^{\Lambda}$ is the homogeneous coordinate ring of the projective variety $X:=$ $\varphi_{\mathcal{B}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right) \subset \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$. Thus $X$ is the quotient variety $\mathbb{P}^{n} / \Lambda$ and it is an aCM variety.
(ii) $\varphi_{\mathcal{B}}: \mathbb{P}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ is a Galois covering of $X$ with group $\Lambda$.
(iii) The homogeneous ideal of $X$ is the ideal $\operatorname{syz}(\mathcal{B})$ of syzygies among the invariants $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}$.
Proof. (i) and (iii) They follow from the projective version of Theorem 1.3.11.
(ii) It is a consequence of Proposition 1.4.16.

The above result evinces a closed connection between $G T$-systems and the theory of invariants of finite groups and rouses attention for the varieties parameterized by them. Recently in [19], Definition 1.4.10 has been generalized as follows.
Definition 1.4.18. Let $\Lambda \subset \operatorname{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ be a finite group of order $d$ with $2 \leq n<d$. A Togliatti system $J \subset R$ generated by $r \leq N_{n-1, d}$ forms $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{r}$ of degree $d$ is said to be a $G T$-system with group $\Lambda$ if the associated morphism $\varphi_{J}: \mathbb{P}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ is a Galois covering with group $\Lambda$. In this case, $X=\varphi_{J}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)$ is called a $G T$ - variety with group $\Lambda$.

Monomial $G T$-systems with a finite cyclic group have been treated subsequently in [57], [18] and [17]; while in [19] the authors studied $G T$-systems with the dihedral group acting on $\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$. In fact, $G T$-systems with a dihedral group form the first known family of non-monomial $G T$-systems, which we will study in detail in Chapter 4. In [17] and [19], the authors applied invariant theory techniques to tackle $G T$-systems with group a finite cyclic group or a dihedral group, and their associated varieties.

Example 1.4.19. (i) Take $n=2, d=3, e$ a 3 rd primitive root of $1 \in \mathbb{K}$ and $\Lambda=\left\langle\operatorname{diag}\left(1, e, e^{2}\right)\right\rangle \subset \operatorname{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 3. Its cyclic extension $\bar{\Lambda}=\left\langle\operatorname{diag}\left(1, e, e^{2}\right), \operatorname{diag}(e, e, e)\right\rangle \subset \operatorname{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ is an abelian group of order 9. A minimal set of fundamental invariants of $\bar{\Lambda}$ is $\left\{x_{0}^{3}, x_{1}^{3}, x_{2}^{3}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}\right\}$ (Example 1.3.5(iii)). The ideal generated by them is the Togliatti system $T$ (see (1.4.1)) described by Brenner and Kaid and, as we pointed out before, it is the first Togliatti system that appears in the literature. It follows from Proposition 1.4.17 that $T$ is a $G T$-system with group $\Lambda$ (see also [17, Corollary 3.4]).
(ii) As another example of $G T$-system with finite cyclic group have: take $n=3, d=4, e$ a 4th primitive root of $1 \in \mathbb{K}$ and $\Lambda=\left\langle\operatorname{diag}\left(1, e, e^{2}, e^{3}\right)\right\rangle \subset$ $\mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 4 . There are $r=10$ monomial invariants of $\Lambda$ of degree 4 ([20, Example 3.2]):

$$
x_{0}^{4}, x_{1}^{4}, x_{0} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1} x_{3}, x_{2}^{4}, x_{1} x_{2}^{2} x_{3}, x_{1}^{2} x_{3}^{2}, x_{0} x_{2} x_{3}^{2}, x_{3}^{4} .
$$

They form a minimal set of fundamental invariants of the cyclic extension $\bar{\Lambda}=\left\langle\operatorname{diag}\left(1, e, e^{2}, e^{3}\right), \operatorname{diag}(e, e, e, e)\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{K})$ of $\Lambda([17$, Theorem 3.1]). The ideal $J$ generated by them fails the WLP in degree 3. By Propositions 1.4.17 and 1.4.3, $J$ is a $G T$-system with group $\Lambda$ (see also [20, Proposition 3.3] or [17, Corollary 3.4]).
(iii) The dihedral group $D_{2 \cdot 4}$ of order 8 can be represented in $\mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ as the group generated by the matrices $M=\operatorname{diag}\left(1, e, e^{3}\right)$ and $\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$, where $e$ is a 4rd primitive root of $1 \in \mathbb{K}$. Its cyclic extension $\overline{D_{2 \cdot 4}}=$ $\langle M, \sigma, \operatorname{diag}(e, e, e)\rangle \subset \operatorname{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ is a non abelian group of order 64 . A minimal set of fundamental invariants of $\overline{D_{2.4}}$ is the following set of $r=9$ monomials and binomials of degree 8: $\left\{x_{0}^{8}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}, x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{4}, x_{0}^{4}\left(x_{1}^{4}+\right.\right.$
$x_{2}^{4}$ ), $\left.x_{0}^{2}\left(x_{1}^{5} x_{2}+x_{1} x_{2}^{5}\right), x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{2}+x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{6}, x_{1}^{8}+x_{2}^{8}\right\}$ (Example 3.3.12(ii)). By Proposition 4.2.9, the ideal generated by them is a non monomial $G T$-system with group $D_{2 \cdot 4}$.

## Chapter 2

## Invariants of finite abelian groups and aCM projections of Veronese varieties. Applications

In this chapter, we relate invariant theory of finite groups to the longstanding problem, posed by Gröbner in [39], of determining when a monomial projection $Y_{n, d}$ of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ is an aCM variety. $Y_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{\mu_{n, d}-1}$ is a projective variety parameterized by a subset $\Omega_{n, d} \subset \mathcal{M}_{n, d}$ of $\mu_{n, d} \leq N_{n, d}$ monomials of degree $d$. As a nice family of examples we prove: the set $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ of monomial invariants of degree $d$ of a finite diagonal abelian group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ of order $d$ parameterizes an aCM monomial projection $X_{d}$ of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ (Theorems 2.2 .11 and 2.2.18). We call $X_{d}$ a $\bar{G}$-variety with group $G$ and we show that the homogeneous coordinate ring of $X_{d}$ is isomorphic to the $d$ th Veronese subalgebra of $R^{G}$, i.e. the ring $R^{\bar{G}}$ of invariants of the cyclic extension $\bar{G} \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ of $G$. Set $\left|\mathcal{B}_{1}\right|=\mu_{d}$ and $\varphi_{\mathcal{B}_{1}}: \mathbb{P}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\mu_{d}-1}$ the morphism defined by $\mathcal{B}_{1}$. We show that $\varphi_{\mathcal{B}_{1}}$ is a Galois covering with group $G$ and that the ideal $I_{d} \subset R$ generated by $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ is a $G T$-system with group $G$ (Definition 1.4.18), provided $\mu_{d} \leq N_{n-1, d}$ (Proposition 2.3.1).

This chapter is structured as follows. In Section 2.1, we give an outlook on the state of the art of the Gröbner's problem from a historical point of view. We gather the main results, techniques and contributions towards this subject from the standpoint of deciding when a monomial projection $Y_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{\mu_{n, d}-1}$ of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ is an aCM variety in terms of the deleted monomials $\mathcal{M}_{n, d} \backslash \Omega_{n, d}$.

In Section 2.2, we study the ring of invariants of a finite diagonal abelian group $G \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ of order $d$. We focus on determining a minimal set of fundamental invariants of its cyclic extension $\bar{G} \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ (Definition
1.3.2). Our main result proves that the set $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ of monomial invariants of $G$ of degree $d$ generates the algebra $R^{\bar{G}}$ (Theorem 2.2.11). The arguments we develop to achieve our goal are combinatorics and involve the notions of zerosum sequences and the Davenport constant, which are introduced along some results on this topic. In Subsection 2.2.1, we give a concrete h.s.o.p of $R^{\bar{G}}$ and its corresponding Hironaka decomposition. We introduce $\bar{G}$-varieties $X_{d}$ with group $G$ and we established that they are aCM monomial projections of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$. The main results of this section for finite cyclic groups $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ have been published in [17].

In Section 2.3, we analyse the WLP of the monomial artinian ideal $I_{d}$ generated by the minimal set $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ of fundamental invariants of $\bar{G}$. We show that $I_{d}$ is a $G T$-system with group $G$, provided $\mu_{d} \leq N_{n-1, d}$ (Proposition 2.3.1) and we exhibit examples showing that $G T$-varieties with group $G$ are a wealth subfamily of $\bar{G}$-varieties. Moreover, they are monomial projections of Veronese varieties such that their apolar variety (Theorem 1.4.6) satisfies at least one Laplace equation of order $d-1$.

In Section 2.4, we introduce a new family of monomial projections of the Veronese surface $X_{2, d}$ which are aCM surfaces (Theorem 2.4.10 and Corollary 2.4.12). They are parameterized by Togliatti systems which naturally arise from $G T$-systems with a finite cyclic group. Nevertheless, their coordinate rings are neither the ring of invariants of any finite group nor they correspond to the semigroup ring of a normal affine semigroup. The content of this last section has been published in [17].

### 2.1 Monomial projections of Veronese varieties

The Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ is the variety parameterized by set $\mathcal{M}_{n, d} \subset R$ of monomials of degree $d$. As we observed before, the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ is the image of the Veronese embedding $\nu_{n, d}: \mathbb{P}^{n} \longrightarrow$ $\mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ defined by $\mathcal{M}_{n, d}$. Given a subset $\Omega_{n, d} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{n, d}$ of $\mu_{n, d} \leq N_{n, d}$ monomials, we denote by $\varphi_{\Omega_{n, d}}: \mathbb{P}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\mu_{n, d}-1}$ the rational map defined by $\Omega_{n, d}$ and we say that $Y_{n, d}:=\overline{\varphi_{\Omega_{n, d}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)} \subset \mathbb{P}^{\mu_{n, d}-1}$ is the monomial projection of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d}$ parameterized by $\Omega_{n, d}$. Set $\mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}=\operatorname{Proj} \mathbb{K}\left[w_{m_{i}}\right]_{m_{i} \in \mathcal{M}_{n, d}}$. So, we have the commutative diagram:

where $\pi$ is the projection of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ from the linear subspace generated by the coordinate points $(0: \cdots: 0: 1: 0: \cdots$ : $0) \in \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ with 1 in position $i$ such that $m_{i} \in \Omega_{n, d}$ to the linear subspace $V\left(w_{m_{i}}, m_{i} \in \Omega_{n, d}\right) \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$. In particular, $Y_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{\mu_{n, d}-1}$ is called a simple monomial projection if $\Omega_{n, d}$ is obtained from $\mathcal{M}_{n, d}$ by deleting only one monomial.

The homogenous coordinate ring of $Y_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{\mu_{n, d^{-1}}}$ is isomorphic to the semigroup ring $\mathbb{K}\left[\Omega_{n, d}\right] \subset R$ associated to the monomial semigroup generated by $\Omega_{n, d}$. Thus, $\mathbb{K}\left[\Omega_{n, d}\right]$ is the semigroup ring of the affine semigroup $\mathrm{H}\left(\Omega_{n, d}\right) \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ (Section 1.2). For the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$, $\mathbb{K}\left[\mathcal{M}_{n, d}\right]$ is called the dth Veronese subalgebra of $R$. In [39], Gröbner proved that for any integers $n, d \geq 1, \quad \mathbb{K}\left[\mathcal{M}_{n, d}\right]$ is a CM ring and showed a family of simple monomial projections of $X_{n, d}$ whose homogeneous coordinate rings are not CM rings. Precisely, fix integers $2 \leq n, 4 \leq d$ and let $\Omega_{n, d}=\mathcal{M}_{n, d} \backslash\left\{x_{0}^{d-2} x_{1}^{2}\right\}$. The author proved that $\mathbb{K}\left[\Omega_{n, d}\right]$ is not a CM ring. Actually, this family generalizes the first known example of a non CM domain $\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{4}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}, x_{0} x_{1}^{3}, x_{1}^{4}\right]$, given by Macaulay in [55]. Geometrically, it corresponds to the homogeneous coordinate ring of the rational quartic in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ obtained as the monomial projection of the rational normal curve $X_{1,4}$ of degree 4 in $\mathbb{P}^{4}=\operatorname{Proj}\left(\mathbb{K}\left[w_{0}, w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4}\right]\right)$ from the coordinate point $(0: 0: 1: 0: 0)$ to the hyperplane $V\left(w_{2}\right) \subset \mathbb{P}^{4}$. Observe that the monomial projection of the rational normal curve $X_{1,4} \subset \mathbb{P}^{4}$ from the coordinate point $(1: 0: 0: 0: 0)$ to the hyperplane $V\left(w_{0}\right)$ is a rational twisted cubic in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ and, hence, it is an aCM curve. Motivated by this behaviour, at the end of [39] Gröbner posed the following problem.

Problem 2.1.1. To determine which monomial projections $Y_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{\mu_{n, d}-1}$ of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ are aCM varieties.

From the point of view of semigroup rings, this formulation can be regarded as the problem of characterizing when a semigroup ring is a CM ring in terms of its associated semigroup. The first fundamental contribution towards this topic is due to Hochster [51], who proved that the semigroup ring of any normal semigroup is a CM ring (Theorem 1.2.14). As an example of a normal affine semigroup we have $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n, d}\right)$ (Example 1.2.17(i)). Thus, Hochster's result provides a nice way of reproving that any Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ is an aCM variety. Actually, $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n, d}\right)$ belongs to a bigger family of normal affine semigroups which we have studied in Section 1.2. Precisely, the $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$-solutions of the linear systems of congruences:

$$
(*)_{\mathcal{A} ; t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}}:\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\alpha_{1,0} y_{0}+\cdots+\alpha_{1, n} y_{n}=t_{1} d_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\alpha_{r, 0} y_{0}+\cdots+\alpha_{r, n} y_{n}=t_{r} d_{r}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{r} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\mathcal{A}=\left(\alpha_{i, j}\right)$ is a $r \times(n+1)$ matrix with coefficients $\alpha_{i, j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. In [78], Stanley related these kind of semigroups to rings of invariants of finite groups and studied the Cohen-Macaulay property. Nevertheless, there are non normal semigroup rings which are CM rings. In Section 2.4, we will introduce a new family of non normal CM semigroup rings.

In [72], Schenzel positively answer Gröbner's problem (Problem 2.1.1) for simple monomial projections of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$. Using Hochster's result, the author proved the following:

Theorem 2.1.2. Let $n \geq 1$ and $d \geq 2$ be integers, $m:=x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \in$ $\mathcal{M}_{n, d}, \Omega_{n, d}:=\mathcal{M}_{n, d} \backslash\{m\}$ and $Y_{n, d}$ the simple monomial projection of $X_{n, d}$ parameterized by $\Omega_{n, d}$. Then $Y_{n, d}$ is an aCM variety if and only if one of the following cases holds:
(i) $m=x_{i}^{d}$, for some $0 \leq i \leq n$ and all $n \geq 1$,
(ii) $n=1$ and $m \in\left\{x_{0}^{d-1} x_{1}, x_{0} x_{1}^{d-1}\right\}$,
(iii) $n=d=2$ and $m \in \mathcal{M}_{2,2}$.

Proof. See [72, Theorem 2, Proposition 2 and §4].

Notwithstanding, to determine whether a semigroup is a normal semigroup could not always be an easy task, especially if its generators have been chosen arbitrarily. The second fundamental contribution to the Gröbner's problem, or in far greater generality, to determine whether a semigroup ring is a CM ring is a criterion due to Goto, Suzuki and Watabane [35] and Hoa and Trung [47] for simplicial semigroup rings. This result has been of key importance in most of the subsequently attempts to solve Problem 2.1.1. It will play a central role in Section 2.4.

Definition 2.1.3. An affine semigroup $H \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ is called simplicial if there are $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly independent elements $e_{0}, \ldots, e_{n} \in H$ verifying the following condition: for any $h \in H$ there exist $z, z_{0}, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $z>0$ such that $z h=z_{0} e_{0}+\cdots+z_{n} e_{n}$.

For instance, if $\Omega_{n, d} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{n, d}$ contains $x_{0}^{d}, \ldots, x_{n}^{d}$, then $\mathrm{H}\left(\Omega_{n, d}\right)$ is simplicial.

Theorem 2.1.4. Let $H \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ be an affine semigroup and set $H_{1}:=\{h \in$ $\bar{H} \mid h+e_{i} \in H$ and $h+e_{j} \in H$ for some $\left.0 \leq i \neq j \leq n\right\}$. Then, $\mathbb{K}[H]$ is a CM ring if and only if $H=H_{1}$.

Proof. See [35, Theorem 2.6] and [47, Corollary 4.4].
Remark 2.1.5. For simplicial normal affine semigroups $H \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$, Theorem 2.1.4 holds automatically since $H \subseteq H_{1} \subseteq \bar{H}=H$.

In [11], Cavaliere and Niese characterized aCM monomial projections of the rational normal curve $X_{1, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{d}$ whose coordinate rings are semigroup rings of simplicial affine semigroups. In our notation, they are monomial projections of $X_{1, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{d}$ parameterized by a subset of monomials

$$
\Omega_{1, d}:=\left\{x_{0}^{d}, x_{0}^{d_{1}} x_{1}^{d-d_{1}}, \ldots, x_{0}^{d_{r}} x_{1}^{d-d_{r}}, x_{1}^{d}\right\},
$$

where $1 \leq r \leq d-1$ and $1 \leq d_{1}<d_{2}<\cdots<d_{r}<d$ are integers. The authors combine the theory of numerical semigroups, i.e. semigroups $\left\langle z_{1}, \ldots, z_{r}\right\rangle$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $\operatorname{GCD}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{r}\right)=1$, and Theorem 2.1.4 to give a specific criterion ([11, Theorem 4.6]) of the CM property of such monomial projections $Y_{1, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{r+1}$. They applied their result to study the CM-type of the homogeneous coordinate ring of $Y_{1, d}$.

Shortly after in [85], Trung dealt with monomial projections $Y_{1, d}$ of the rational normal curve $X_{1, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{d}$ in general. They are defined by non-decreasing sequence of integers $d_{0}, \ldots, d_{2 r+1}$ such that $0=d_{0} \leq d_{1}<d_{2} \leq d_{3}<\cdots<$ $d_{2 r} \leq d_{2 r+1}=d$. Precisely, $Y_{1, d}$ is parameterized by a subset of monomials

$$
\Omega_{1, d}:=\left\{x_{0}^{d-a} x_{1}^{a} \mid a \in \cup_{i=0}^{r}\left[d_{2 i}, d_{2 i+1}\right]\right\},
$$

where $\left[d_{2 i}, d_{2 i+1}\right]$ denotes the set of integers $z$ with $d_{2 i} \leq z \leq d_{2 i+1}$. The approach consisted of determining whether the curve $Y_{1, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{d_{2 r}}$ is an aCM curve in terms of arithmetical relations between $d_{0}, d_{1}, \ldots, d_{2 r}, d_{2 r+1}$. The author distinguished the following three cases.
(a) $d_{1}=0$ and $d_{2 r}=d$,
(b) $d_{1}=0$ and $d_{2 r}<d$,
(c) $d_{1}>0$ and $d_{2 r}<d$.

For (a) and (b) only partial solutions were found ([85, Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.5]), while case (c) is completely settled in [85, Theorem 4.1 and Remark 4.2]. Concretely, if $d_{1}>0$ and $d_{2 r}<d$, then $Y_{1, d}$ is an aCM curve if and only if it is the rational normal curve $X_{1, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{d}$. Similarly, many other works have tackled the Gröbner's problem and other related topics for monomial projections of the rational normal curve $X_{1, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{d}$ (see, for instance, [53, 44, 5, 46]).

The perspective of Schenzel in [72] was continued in a very natural way as follows. In [84] (respectively [48]) a monomial projection $Y_{n, d}$ of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ parameterized by $\Omega_{n, d}$ is called a double (respectively triple) monomial projection of $X_{n, d}$ if $\Omega_{n, d}$ is obtained from $\mathcal{M}_{n, d}$ by deleting two (respectively three) monomials. Gröbner's problem was successfully answered for double monomial projections of $X_{n, d}$ by Trung [84] and, shortly after, for triple monomial projections of $X_{n, d}$ by Hoa [48]. We remark that the techniques used in [72] do not apply for double and triple monomials projections of $X_{n, d}$ and the authors of [48] and [84] developed different strategies for tackling these cases. In both works, they divided the monomial projections in several types according to the classes of sum representations of the elements of $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n, d}\right)$ and they checked case by case when these monomial projections of $X_{n, d}$ are aCM varieties. The precise result for double monomial projections of $X_{n, d}$ is collected in [84, Table II,
pag 576-577]. Triples monomial projections of $X_{n, d}$ are rather complicated, they are split in nine different types and each one of them in several subtypes. The result is gathered in [48, Tables A-E and $\S 6]$.

Outcomes for simple, double and triple monomial projections of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ agree in the following extremal cases. Monomial projections of $X_{n, d}$ are aCM varieties when the deleted monomials belong to $\left\{x_{0}^{d}, \ldots, x_{n}^{d}\right\}$. For $n \geq 2$, they are not aCM varieties when all the coefficients of the deleted monomials belong to the relative interior $\operatorname{relint}\left(\mathrm{H}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n, d}\right)\right)=\left\{\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathrm{H}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n, d}\right) \mid a_{0} \cdots a_{n} \neq 0\right\}$ (Definition 1.2.7). We present generalizations of both statements.

Proposition 2.1.6. Let $0 \leq k \leq n$ be an integer and $\Omega_{n, d}=\mathcal{M}_{n, d} \backslash$ $\left\{x_{0}^{d}, \ldots, x_{k}^{d}\right\}$. Then, $\mathbb{K}\left[\Omega_{n, d}\right]$ is a CM ring.

Proof. We prove that the affine semigroup $\mathrm{H}\left(\Omega_{n, d}\right) \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ is normal and then the result follows from Theorem 1.2.14. We proceed by induction on $k \geq 0$. The initial case $k=0$ is $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n, d} \backslash\left\{x_{0}^{d}\right\}\right)$ and it is a CM ring by Theorem 2.1.2. We fix $0<k \leq n$, we write $\Omega_{n, d}^{\prime}=\Omega_{n, d} \cup\left\{x_{k}^{d}\right\}$ and we assume by induction that $\mathrm{H}\left(\Omega_{n, d}^{\prime}\right)$ is normal. We have that $\mathrm{H}\left(\Omega_{n, d}\right) \subset \mathrm{H}\left(\Omega_{n, d}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\overline{\mathrm{H}\left(\Omega_{n, d}\right)}$ is normal. Let $l \in \overline{\mathrm{H}\left(\Omega_{n, d}\right)}$ with $z l \in \mathrm{H}\left(\Omega_{n, d}\right)$, therefore $l \in \mathrm{H}\left(\Omega_{n, d}^{\prime}\right)$ so $l=z_{k} e_{k}+l^{\prime}$ with $l^{\prime} \in \mathrm{H}\left(\Omega_{n, d}\right)$ and $z l=z z_{k} e_{k}+z l^{\prime} \in \mathrm{H}\left(\Omega_{n, d}\right)$. Since $\overline{\mathrm{H}\left(\Omega_{n, d}\right)}$ is normal, we obtain that $z_{k} e_{k} \in \overline{\mathrm{H}\left(\Omega_{n, d}\right)}$, which is a contradiction unless $z_{k}=0$. So, $l=l^{\prime}$ and then $l \in \mathrm{H}\left(\Omega_{n, d}\right)$.

We set $\mathcal{M}_{n, d}=\left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{N_{n, d}}\right\}$ and let $\nu_{n, d}: \mathbb{P}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ be the Veronese embedding given by $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{N_{n, d}}\right)$. We take variables $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{N_{n, d}}$ and $S=\mathbb{K}\left[w_{1}, \ldots, w_{N_{n, d}}\right]$. The homogeneous ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{n, d}\right)$ of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ is the homogenous binomial prime ideal generated by all binomials of degree 2 of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{N_{n, d}} w_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}-\prod_{i=1}^{N_{n, d}} w_{i}^{\beta_{i}} \quad \text { such that } \prod_{i=1}^{N_{n, d}} m_{i}^{\alpha_{i}}=\prod_{i=1}^{N_{n, d}} m_{i}^{\beta_{i}} \tag{2.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [39]). We denote by $p_{m_{i}}$ the coordinate point ( $\left.0: \ldots: 1: 0: \ldots: 0\right) \in$ $\mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d^{-1}}}$ with 1 in position $i$. From (2.1.1), it follows that $p_{x_{0}^{d}}, \ldots, p_{x_{n}^{d}} \in X_{n, d}$. Moreover, if $m_{i} \notin\left\{x_{0}^{d}, \ldots, x_{n}^{d}\right\}$, then $p_{m_{i}} \notin X_{n, d}$. Indeed, we write $m_{i}=$ $x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}}$ with at least $a_{j}, a_{k}>0$ for some $j, k \in\{0, \ldots, n\}, j \neq k$. We have
$m_{i}^{d}=\left(x_{0}^{d}\right)^{a_{0}} \cdots\left(x_{n}^{d}\right)^{a_{n}}$. As a consequence $0 \neq w_{i}^{d}-w_{x_{0}^{d}}^{a_{0}} \cdots w_{x_{n}^{d}}^{a_{0}} \in \mathrm{I}\left(X_{n, d}\right)$ and it does not vanish at $p_{m_{i}}$. Geometrically, we have that monomial projections of $X_{n, d}$ from the linear space spanned by a set of coordinate points lying on $X_{n, d}$ are aCM varieties.

We consider now the other extremal case. Given a monomial $m=$ $x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \in R$, we denote by $l_{m}=\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ its associated lattice point, it holds:

Proposition 2.1.7. Let $2 \leq n<d$ be integers and $m_{i_{1}}, \ldots, m_{i_{k}}$ monomials such that $l_{m_{i_{1}}}, \ldots, l_{m_{i_{k}}} \in \operatorname{relint}\left(\mathrm{H}\left(\mathcal{M}_{n, d}\right)\right)$. If $\Omega_{n, d}=\mathcal{M}_{n, d} \backslash\left\{m_{i_{1}}, \ldots, m_{i_{k}}\right\}$, then $\mathbb{K}\left[\Omega_{n, d}\right]$ is a non $C M$ ring.

Proof. For simplicity, we denote $\mathrm{H}\left(\Omega_{n, d}\right)$ by $H$ and we set $m:=m_{i_{1}}$. For each $i=0, \ldots, n$, we denote $e_{i}=(0, \ldots, d, \ldots)$ with $d$ in position $i$. We consider $H_{1}:=\left\{h \in \bar{H} \mid h+\in H\right.$ and $h+e_{j} \in H$ for some $\left.0 \leq i \neq j \leq n\right\}$. We write $m=x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}}, l:=\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}(H)$ and we prove that $l \in \bar{H} \cap H_{1}$, by Theorem 2.1.4 it follows that $\mathbb{K}\left[\Omega_{n, d}\right]$ is a non CM ring.

Let $M=\operatorname{LCM}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}, d\right)$ and we set $l^{\prime}:=M l$. Then, we obtain $\left(M a_{0}, \ldots, M a_{n}\right)=\left(d k_{0}, \ldots, d k_{n}\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} k_{j}(0, \ldots, d, \ldots, 0)$, for certain integers $1 \leq k_{0}, \ldots, k_{n}$. So, $l^{\prime} \in H$ and hence $l \in \bar{H}$. Since $n \geq 2$, we set $l^{1}:=l+(d, 0, \ldots, 0)$ and $l^{2}:=l+(0, d, 0, \ldots, 0)$. We see that $l^{1} \in H$. Indeed, by hypothesis $a_{0}+\cdots+a_{n}=d$ and each $a_{i}>0$. Thus, $l \neq\left(a_{0}+a_{1}, 0, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in H$ and it follows that

$$
l^{1}=\left(a_{0}+a_{1}, 0, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)+\left(d-a_{1}, a_{1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \in H
$$

Analogously, $l^{2} \in H\left(\Omega_{n, d}\right)$, so $l \in H_{1}$ and the proof is complete.
In Proposition 2.1.6 (respectively Proposition 2.1.7), $\Omega_{n, d}$ parameterizes a monomial projection of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ from the linear space spanned by a set of coordinate points on $X_{n, d}$ (respectively outside $\left.X_{n, d}\right)$. However, this geometric conditions alone are not enough to conclude whether a monomial projection of $X_{n, d}$ is an aCM variety. For instance, for $n=2$ and $d=3$, the monomial projection $Y_{2,3} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ of the Veronese surface $X_{2,3} \subset \mathbb{P}^{9}$ parameterized by $\Omega_{2,3}=\left\{x_{0}^{3}, x_{1}^{3}, x_{2}^{3}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}\right\}$ is an aCM surface and $Y_{2,3}$ is a monomial projection of $X_{2,3}$ from the linear space spanned by a set of coordinate points outside $X_{2,3}$.

In [49], Hoa considered the complexity of solving the Gröbner's problem by applying Theorem 2.1.4. It is established that only a finite number of operations are required to check the aCM property of an arbitrary projection of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$. However, as the author pointed out, this number is very large and with the exception of projections of the rational normal curves $X_{1, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{d}$, the arithmetical conditions involved in the criterion are very cumbersome. Computationally and algorithmically approaches to Problem 2.1.1 can be found as well in [32, 33, 31]. Since then, monomial projections of Veronese varieties $X_{n, d}$ have been the focus of many other works from various perspectives either directly related to Gröbner's problem (see, for instance, [70, 10, 54, 38, 37]) or indirectly (see, for instance, $[8,50,12,44,13]$ ). Nevertheless, the Gröbner problem of determining the aCM property of monomial projections of Veronese varieties $X_{n, d}$ in terms of the deleting monomials $\mathcal{M}_{n, d} \backslash \Omega_{n, d}$ remains open [72, 85, 84, 48]. In this thesis, we contribute to Problem 2.1.1 from this perspective with new families of aCM monomial projections of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d}$ and a new family of non monomial projection of the Veronese surface $X_{2, n}$, which blends invariant theory of finite groups, combinatorics and the weak Lefschetz property of artinian ideals.

### 2.2 Invariants of finite abelian groups

In this section, we study the algebra of invariants of finite abelian groups acting linearly on $R$. Precisely, let $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ be a finite abelian group of order $d$ and $\bar{G} \subset G \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ its cyclic extension (Definition 1.3.2). We consider the natural action of $G$ on $R=\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ which sends $(g, f) \in G \times R$ to $g(f)=f \circ g \in R$. Our main interest relies on the internal structure of the $d$ th Veronese subalgebra $R^{\bar{G}}$ of the ring of invariants $R^{G}=\{f \in R \mid g(f)=f, \forall g \in G\}$ of $G$. The goal is to determine a minimal set of generators of $R^{\bar{G}}$ and to introduce a new family of aCM monomial projections of Veronese varieties $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ naturally related with $R^{\bar{G}}$.

For this purpose, we first observe that there always exists a linear change
of variables

$$
(*)_{\mathcal{A}}:\left\{\begin{aligned}
y_{0}= & a_{0,0} x_{0}+\cdots+a_{0, n} x_{n} \\
\vdots & \\
y_{n}= & a_{n, 0} x_{0}+\cdots+a_{n, n} x_{n}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

with associated matrix $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i, j}\right)$, such that all matrices $\mathcal{A}^{-1} g \mathcal{A}, g \in G$, are simultaneously diagonal ( $[6$, Theorem 8 , Chapter IX]). Thus, the groups $G_{\mathcal{A}}:=\mathcal{A}^{-1} G \mathcal{A}=\left\{\mathcal{A}^{-1} g \mathcal{A} \mid g \in G\right\} \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ and $G$ are isomorphic by $\mathcal{A}$. Since the change of variables $(*)_{\mathcal{A}}$ induces a natural isomorphism of rings $R^{G} \cong \mathbb{K}\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right]^{G_{\mathcal{A}}}$, we may assume that $G$ is diagonal.

As a finite abelian group, $G$ is a direct sum of cyclic groups

$$
G=\Gamma_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Gamma_{s} \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})
$$

of order $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{s}$, respectively, such that $d=d_{1} \cdots d_{s}$. We write $\Gamma_{j}=$ $\left\langle g_{i_{j}}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K}), j=1, \ldots, s$. It follows that for any element $g \in G$, there are integers $0 \leq p_{j} \leq d_{j}, j=1, \ldots, s$, such that $g=g_{i_{1}}^{p_{1}} \cdots g_{i_{s}}^{p_{s}}$. In the diagonal setting, any matrix $g_{i_{j}}$ is a diagonal matrix $\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{0}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$, where all $\lambda_{k}$ are $d_{j}$ th root of $1 \in \mathbb{K}$. So, it is natural to consider the following notation.

Notation 2.2.1. Fix integers $1 \leq n<d, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n+1}$ and $e$ a $d$ th primitive root of $1 \in \mathbb{K}$. We denote by $M_{d ; \alpha_{\sigma(0)}, \ldots, \alpha_{\sigma(n)}}$ the diagonal matrix $\operatorname{diag}\left(e^{\alpha_{\sigma(0)}}, \ldots, e^{\alpha_{\sigma(n)}}\right)$ where $0 \leq \alpha_{0} \leq \cdots \leq \alpha_{n}<d$ are integers such that $\operatorname{GCD}\left(d, \alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)=1$. In particular, for $\sigma=$ Id we just write $M_{d ; \alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}$.

From now onwards, we fix integers $1 \leq n<d$ and a finite abelian group $G=\Gamma_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Gamma_{s} \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ of order $d=d_{1} \cdots d_{s}$, where each $\Gamma_{i} \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K}), i=1, \ldots, s$, is a cyclic subgroup of $G$ of order $1<d_{i}$ generated by a diagonal matrix

$$
M_{d_{i} ; \alpha_{\sigma_{i}(0)}^{i}, \ldots, \alpha_{\sigma_{i}(n)}^{i}} .
$$

We consider $\bar{G} \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ the cyclic extension of $G$, i.e. the diagonal abelian group generated by $M_{d_{1} ; \alpha_{\sigma_{1}(0)}^{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\sigma_{1}(n)}^{1}}, \ldots, M_{d_{s} ; \alpha_{\sigma_{s}(0)}^{s}, \ldots, \alpha_{\sigma_{s}(n)}^{s}}$ and the diagonal matrix $\operatorname{diag}(e, \ldots, e)$, where $e$ is a primitive $d$ th root of $1 \in \mathbb{K}$.

Remark 2.2.2. With the above notation, let $G_{1}=\left\langle M_{d ; \alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+$ $1, \mathbb{K})$ be a cyclic group of order $d$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n+1}$. The actions of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}:=\left\langle M_{d ; \alpha_{\sigma(0)}, \ldots, \alpha_{\sigma(n)}}\right\rangle$ on $R$ are isomorphic by the linear change of variables $y_{i}=x_{\sigma(i)}$. Therefore, we have an isomorphism $R^{G_{1}} \cong R^{G_{2}}$ of rings. The analogous assertion is not true in general for an arbitrary non cyclic abelian group (see, for instance, Example 2.2.5(iii)). Nevertheless, for simplicity we usually exemplify our results with finite abelian groups generated by matrices $M_{d ; \alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}$ with $\alpha_{0} \leq \cdots \leq \alpha_{n}$, i.e. we assume that $\sigma=\operatorname{Id} \in \mathcal{S}_{n+1}$.

The ring $R^{G}$ inherits the natural grading of $R$, that is $R^{G}$ is the positively graded $\mathbb{K}$-subalgebra

$$
R^{G}=\bigoplus_{t \geq 0} R_{t}^{G}, \quad R_{t}^{G}:=R_{t} \cap R^{G}
$$

We will focus on the subring $R^{\bar{G}} \subset R^{G}$, which is the positively graded $\mathbb{K}$-subalgebra

$$
R^{\bar{G}}=\bigoplus_{t \geq 0} R_{t}^{\bar{G}}, \quad R_{t}^{\bar{G}}:=R_{t d}^{G} .
$$

In other words, each component $R_{t}^{\bar{G}}$ is the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space of all homogeneous invariants of $G$ of degree $t d$.

One of the fundamental problems of invariant theory of finite groups is to determine a minimal set of generators of the ring of invariants, also called a minimal set of fundamental invariants (Section 1.3). Precisely, for a given finite group $\Lambda \subset \operatorname{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ of order $|\Lambda|$, one wants to find a minimal set of invariants $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}\right\}$ of $\Lambda$ such that $R^{\Lambda}=\mathbb{K}\left[f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}\right]$. We recall that one positive answer is Noether's degree bound (Theorem 1.3.4). In a non constructive way, it establishes that $R^{\Lambda}$ is generated as a $\mathbb{K}$-algebra by at most $N_{n+1,|\Lambda|}$ invariants of $\Lambda$, of total degree not exceeding $|\Lambda|$. See Example 1.3.5(i) for a simple but relevant example.

However, a precise description of a minimal set of fundamental invariants of any finite group $\Lambda \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ is, in general, unknown. Using combinatorial techniques, we provide a concrete answer for the ring $R^{\bar{G}}$ introduced above. In order to study the algebra $R^{\bar{G}}$, it is useful to determine first each $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $R_{t}^{\bar{G}}$. For seek of completeness we include a simple proof.

Remark 2.2.3. All the result we present in this section can be rewritten in a suitable way for any finite abelian group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ by undoing the change of variable $(*)_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Lemma 2.2.4. For all $t \geq 1$, the set of all monomial invariants of $G$ of degree $t$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-basis of $R_{t}^{\bar{G}}$.
Proof. We fix an integer $t \geq 1$ and a polynomial $p \in R_{t}^{G}$. We write $p=$ $\beta_{1} m_{1}+\cdots+\beta_{l} m_{l}$, where $\beta_{i} \in \mathbb{K}^{*}$ and $m_{i} \in R$ is a monomial of degree $t, i=$ $1, \ldots, l$. It suffices to prove that each monomial $m_{i} \in R^{G}, i=1, \ldots, l$. So we fix $g \in G$ and we check that $g\left(m_{i}\right)=m_{i}, i=1, \ldots, l$. Since $p$ is an invariant of $G$ and $g$ is a diagonal matrix, we have $g(p)=\beta_{1} g\left(m_{1}\right)+\cdots+\beta_{l} g\left(m_{l}\right)$, where each $g\left(m_{i}\right)$ is sent to a multiple of $m_{i}$, namely $\lambda_{i, g} m_{i}, i=1, \ldots, l$. Therefore $\beta_{1} m_{1}+\cdots+\beta_{l} m_{l}=\beta_{1} \lambda_{1, g} m_{1}+\cdots+\beta_{l} \lambda_{l, g} m_{l}$ or, equivalently,

$$
\left(\beta_{1}-\beta_{1} \lambda_{1, g}\right) m_{1}+\cdots+\left(\beta_{l}-\beta_{l} \lambda_{l, g}\right) m_{l}=0,
$$

which implies $\lambda_{i, g}=1, i=1, \ldots, l$. This proves that $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{l} \in R^{G}$ and the result follows.

As a corollary, for each $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ the set of all monomial invariants of $G$ of degree $t d$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-basis of $R_{t}^{\bar{G}}$, we denote it by $\mathcal{B}_{t}$. Even further, we obtain good information of how a minimal set of fundamental invariants of $\bar{G}$ looks like. Let $\left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right\}$ be a set of monomial invariants of $\bar{G}$ satisfying the following two conditions: any invariant monomial $m$ of $\bar{G}$ of degree $t d, t \geq 1$, can be factored as a product of $t$ monomials in $\left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right\}$, not necessarily different; and if $m_{i}=m_{1}^{p_{1}} \cdots m_{k}^{p_{k}}$, then all $p_{j}=0$ except for $p_{i}=1$. The set $\left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right\}$ is a minimal set of fundamental monomial invariants of $\bar{G}$.

On the other hand, the problem of determining the algebra $R^{\bar{G}}$ of invariants becomes equivalent to study linear systems of congruences (Section 1.2). Indeed, for each $t \geq 1$ the set $\mathcal{B}_{t}$ is uniquely determined by the $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$-solutions of the systems:

$$
(*)_{\mathcal{A} ; t, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}:\left\{\begin{array}{llll}
y_{0} & +y_{1} & +\cdots+y_{n} & =t d  \tag{2.2.1}\\
\alpha_{\sigma_{1}(0)}^{1} y_{0} & +\alpha_{\sigma_{1}(1)}^{1} y_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{\sigma_{1}(n)}^{1} y_{n} & =r_{1} d_{1} \\
& & \vdots \\
\alpha_{\sigma_{s}(0)}^{s} y_{0}+\alpha_{\sigma_{s}(1)}^{s} y_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{\sigma_{s}(n)}^{s} y_{n} & =r_{s} d_{s}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $0 \leq r_{i} \leq \frac{\alpha_{n}^{i} t d}{d_{i}}, i=1, \ldots, s$. This point of view is useful for computing invariants of $\bar{G}$. In some particular cases, it provides a complete description of any $\mathbb{K}$-basis $\mathcal{B}_{t}$.

Example 2.2.5. (i) Take $G=\left\langle M_{5 ; 0,1,4}\right\rangle \subset G L(3, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 5. We fix $t \geq 1$, a monomial $x_{0}^{a_{0}} x_{1}^{a_{1}} x_{2}^{a_{2}} \in R_{t}^{\bar{G}}$ if and only if there is an integer $0 \leq r \leq 4 t$ such that $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{3}$ is a solution of one of the systems:

$$
(*)_{\mathcal{A} ; t, r}=\left\{\begin{aligned}
y_{0}+y_{1}+y_{2} & =5 t \\
y_{1}+4 y_{2} & =r t .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Solving these systems, we obtain the $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{3}$-solutions: $\{(5 t, 0,0),(0,0,5 t)\} \cup$ $\left\{\left(5(t-r)+3 a_{2}, 5 r-4 a_{2}, a_{2}\right) \left\lvert\, \max \left\{0,\left\lceil\frac{5(r-t)}{3}\right\rceil\right\} \leq a_{2} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{5 r}{4}\right\rfloor\right., r=1,2,3\right\}$.
We list $\mathcal{B}_{t}$ for $t=1,2$ and 3 .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}_{1}=\left\{x_{0}^{5}, x_{1}^{5}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{0} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{2}^{5}\right\} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{2}=\left\{x_{0}^{10}, x_{0}^{5} x_{1}^{5}, x_{0}^{8} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{1}^{10}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{6} x_{2}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{0} x_{1}^{7} x_{2}^{2}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{4}, x_{0}^{5} x_{2}^{5}\right. \text {, } \\
& \left.x_{1}^{5} x_{2}^{5}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{7}, x_{2}^{10}\right\} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{3}=\left\{x_{0}^{15}, x_{0}^{10} x_{1}^{5}, x_{0}^{13} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{0}^{5} x_{1}^{10}, x_{0}^{8} x_{1}^{6} x_{2}, x_{0}^{11} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{1}^{15}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{11} x_{2}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{7} x_{2}^{2}\right. \text {, } \\
& x_{0}^{9} x_{1}^{3}, x_{2}^{3}, x_{0} x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{2}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0}^{7} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{4}, x_{0}^{10} x_{2}^{5}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{9} x_{2}^{4}, x_{0}^{5} x_{1}^{5} x_{2}^{5}, x_{0}^{8} x_{1} x_{2}^{6} \text {, } \\
& x_{1}^{10} x_{2}^{5}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{7}, x_{0} x_{1}^{7} x_{2}^{7}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{8}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{9}, x_{0}^{5} x_{2}^{10}, x_{1}^{5} x_{2}^{10}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1} x_{2}^{11}, \\
& \left.x_{0} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{12}, x_{2}^{15}\right\} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Take $G=\left\langle M_{3 ; 0,1,2}, M_{6 ; 0,2,3}\right\rangle \subset G L(3, \mathbb{K})$ an abelian group of order 18 . We fix $t \geq 1$, a monomial $x_{0}^{a_{0}} x_{1}^{a_{1}} x_{2}^{a_{2}} \in R_{t}^{\bar{G}}$ if and only if there are integers $0 \leq r_{1} \leq 2 t$ and $0 \leq r_{2} \leq 3 t$ such that $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{3}-$ solution of the system:

$$
(*)_{\mathcal{A}_{;}, t, r_{1}, r_{2}}=\left\{\begin{aligned}
y_{0}+y_{1}+y_{2} & =18 t \\
y_{1}+2 y_{2} & =3 r_{1} \\
2 y_{1}+3 y_{2} & =6 r_{2}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

We list $\mathcal{B}_{t}$ for $t=1$ and 2 .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}_{1}=\left\{x_{2}^{18}, x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{12}, x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{6}, x_{1}^{18}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{12}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{9} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{15}, x_{0}^{6} x_{2}^{12}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{12}\right. \text {, } \\
& \left.x_{0}^{9} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{9} x_{1}^{9}, x_{0}^{12} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{12} x_{1}^{6}, x_{0}^{15} x_{1}^{3}, x_{0}^{18}\right\} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{2}=\left\{x_{2}^{36}, x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{30}, x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{24}, x_{1}^{18} x_{2}^{18}, x_{1}^{24} x_{2}^{12}, x_{1}^{30} x_{2}^{6}, x_{1}^{36}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{30}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{9} x_{2}^{24}\right. \text {, } \\
& x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{15} x_{2}^{18}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{27} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{33}, x_{0}^{6} x_{2}^{30}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{24}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{18}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{18} x_{2}^{12}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{24} x_{2}^{6} \text {, } \\
& x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{30}, x_{0}^{9} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{24}, x_{0}^{9} x_{1}^{9} x_{2}^{18}, x_{0}^{9} x_{1}^{15} x_{2}^{12}, x_{0}^{9} x_{1}^{21} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{9} x_{1}^{27}, x_{0}^{12} x_{2}^{24}, x_{0}^{12} x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{18} \text {, } \\
& x_{0}^{12} x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{12}, x_{0}^{12} x_{1}^{18} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{12} x_{1}^{24}, x_{0}^{15} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{18}, x_{0}^{15} x_{1}^{9} x_{2}^{12}, x_{0}^{15} x_{1}^{15} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{15} x_{1}^{21} \text {, } \\
& x_{0}^{18} x_{2}^{18}, x_{0}^{18} x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{12}, x_{0}^{18} x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{18} x_{1}^{18}, x_{0}^{21} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{12}, x_{0}^{21} x_{1}^{9} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{21} x_{1}^{15}, x_{0}^{24} x_{2}^{12} \text {, } \\
& \left.x_{0}^{24} x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{24} x_{1}^{12}, x_{0}^{27} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{27} x_{1}^{9}, x_{0}^{30} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{30} x_{1}^{6}, x_{0}^{33} x_{1}^{3}, x_{0}^{36}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) Take $G_{1}=\left\langle M_{4 ; 0,1,2}, M_{4 ; 0,1,3}\right\rangle$ and $G_{2}=\left\langle M_{4 ; 0,1,2}, M_{4 ; 1,0,3}\right\rangle$ abelian subgroups of GL $(3, \mathbb{K})$ of order 16 . Notice that the generators of $G_{2}$ are obtained from the generators of $G_{1}$ with the following permutations: $\sigma_{1}=\mathrm{Id}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ is the transposition defined as $(0,1,2) \longrightarrow(1,0,2)$ (Notation 2.2.1 and Remark 2.2.2). We can check that $G_{2}=\left\langle M_{4 ; 0,1,2}, M_{4 ; 1,0,3}\right\rangle=\left\langle M_{4 ; 1,0,3}, M_{4 ; 1,1,1}\right\rangle$. The rings $R^{\bar{G}_{1}}$ and $R^{\bar{G}_{2}}$ are not isomorphic, as we have pointed out in Remark 2.2.2). Indeed, $R_{1}^{\bar{G}_{1}}$ and $R_{2}^{\bar{G}_{2}}$ have 15 and 41 monomials of degree 16, respectively:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{x_{2}^{16}, x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{12}, x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{8}, x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{4}, x_{1}^{16}, x_{0}^{4} x_{2}^{12}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{8}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{4}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{12}, x_{0}^{8} x_{2}^{8}, x_{0}^{8} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{4}, x_{0}^{8} x_{1}^{8},\right. \\
& \left.x_{0}^{12} x_{2}^{4}, x_{0}^{12} x_{1}^{4}, x_{0}^{16}\right\} \\
& \left\{x_{2}^{16}, x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{12}, x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{8}, x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{4}, x_{1}^{16}, x_{0} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{13}, x_{0} x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{9}, x_{0} x_{1}^{10} x_{2}^{5}, x_{0} x_{1}^{14} x_{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{14},\right. \\
& x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{10}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{2}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{1}, x_{1}^{3} x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{7}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{10} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0}^{4} x_{2}^{12}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{8}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{4}, \\
& x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{12}, x_{0}^{5} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{9}, x_{0}^{5} x_{1}^{6} x_{5}^{5}, x_{0}^{5} x_{1}^{1} x_{2}, x_{0}^{6} x_{2}^{10}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{x} x_{2}^{2}, x_{0}^{7} x_{1}^{2} x^{7}, x_{0}^{7} x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0}^{8} x_{2}^{8}, \\
& x_{0}^{8} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{4}, x_{1}^{8} x_{1}^{8}, x_{0}^{9} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{5}, x_{0}^{9} x_{1}^{6} x_{2}, x_{0}^{10} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{10} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{2}, x_{0}^{11} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0}^{12} x_{2}^{4}, x_{0}^{12} x_{1}^{4}, x_{0}^{13} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}, \\
& \left.x_{0}^{14} x_{2}^{2}, x_{0}^{16}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The rest of this section is devoted to prove our main result: $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ is a minimal set of fundamental invariants of $\bar{G}$ (Theorem 2.2.11). In next subsection, we will prove that $R^{\bar{G}}$ is the coordinate ring of an aCM monomial projection of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ (Theorems 2.2.14 and 2.2.18). To begin with, we introduce the combinatorial objects and techniques needed in the sequel.

Zero-sum sequences over abelian groups. Let $H$ be an additive finite abelian group of order $|H|$. A sequence over $H$ is a finite sequence $L=$
$\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{l}\right)$ of elements of $H$, where the repetition is allowed and the order is disregard. The length of any sequence $L$ over $H$ is defined to be the number of elements appearing in $L$ counted with multiplicity, we denote it by $l(L)$. We define the sum of the sequence $L$ as $\Sigma(L):=h_{1}+\cdots+h_{l} \in H$. Given a sequence $L$ over $H$, a subsequence $L^{\prime}$ of $L$ is a sequence over $H$ contained in $L$. In this case, we naturally define the residue subsequence $L \backslash L^{\prime}$ of $L$ by $L^{\prime}$. If $L=\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{l}\right)$ and $L^{\prime}=\left(h_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, h_{l^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ are two sequences over $H$, we define the union $L \cup L^{\prime}:=\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{l}, h_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, h_{l^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$, which is also a sequence over $H$.

Definition 2.2.6. A sequence $L$ over $H$ is said to be a zero-sum if $\Sigma(L)=0$. The Davenport constant $D(H)$ of $H$ is defined as the minimum positive integer $s$ such that any sequence $L$ over $H$ of length $l(L)=s$ has a zerosum.

Let us see an example.
Example 2.2.7. Set $H=\mathbb{Z} / 5 \mathbb{Z}$, a cyclic group of order 5. Explicitly, $H=\{\overline{0}, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{3}, \overline{4}\}$. $L_{1}=(\overline{1}, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{4}, \overline{4})$ and $L_{2}=(\overline{0}, \overline{2}, \overline{3})$ are sequences over $\mathbb{Z} / 5 \mathbb{Z}$ of length $l\left(L_{1}\right)=5$ and $l\left(L_{2}\right)=3$, respectively. We have $\Sigma\left(L_{1}\right)=\overline{2}$ and $\Sigma\left(L_{2}\right)=\overline{0}+\overline{2}+\overline{3}=\overline{0}$. In particular, $L_{2}$ is a zero-sum. $(\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{4})$ is subsequence of $L$ with residue subsequence $(\overline{1}, \overline{4})$. The Davenport constant of $H$ is $D(H)=9$.

By the fundamental theorem of finite abelian groups, we have that any additive finite abelian group $H$ is a direct sum of cyclic groups

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=C_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus C_{k}, \tag{2.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

of orders $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}$, respectively, where $n_{k}$ is the exponent $e(H)$ of the group $H,|H|=n_{1} \cdots n_{k}$ and $n_{1}\left|n_{2}\right| \cdots \mid n_{k}$. By [29, Theorem], if $H$ is cyclic, then $D(H) \leq 2|H|-1$ and every sequence $S$ over $H$ of length $l(S) \geq 2|H|-1$ has a zero-sum subsequence of length $|H|$. In general, we have:

Proposition 2.2.8. For any finite abelian group $H$ of order $|H|$ and exponent e( $H$ ),

$$
D(H) \leq|H|+e(H)-1,
$$

and any sequence $L$ over $H$ of length $l(L) \geq|H|+e(H)-1$ has a zero-sum subsequence over $H$ of length $e(H)$.

Proof. See [30, Proposition 4.5].
In particular, we have the following key lemma.
Lemma 2.2.9. Let $H$ be a finite abelian group of order $|H|$ and exponent $e(H)$. Then, any sequence $L$ over $H$ of length $l(L) \geq 2|H|-1$ has a zero-sum subsequence over $H$ of length $|H|$.

Proof. We consider $H$ with the decomposition (2.2.2). So, we have $|H|=$ $n_{1} \cdots n_{k}$ and $n_{k}=e(H)$. Let $L$ be a sequence over $H$ of length $l(L) \geq$ $2|H|-1=|H|+n_{1} \cdots n_{k}-1$. Applying Proposition 2.2.8, we obtain a zerosum subsequence $L_{1}$ of $L$ of length $l\left(L_{1}\right)=n_{k}$. Now we define $L^{1}=L \backslash L_{1}$, which is the residue subsequence of $L$ by $L_{1}$ and it has length $l\left(L^{1}\right) \geq$ $|H|+\left(n_{1} \cdots n_{k-1}-1\right) n_{k}-1$. If $l\left(L^{1}\right) \geq|H|+n_{k}-1$, we apply again Proposition 2.2.8 to $L^{1}$, as before we obtain a zero-sum subsequence $L_{2}$ of $L^{1}$ of length $l\left(L_{2}\right)=n_{k}$. We consider $L^{1} \backslash L_{2}$, we repeat the same argument; and so on. We stop the process at step $n_{1} \cdots n_{k-1}$ and we obtain $n_{1} \cdots n_{k-1}$ zero-sum subsequences of $L$ of length $n_{k}$. The union of all these zero-sum subsequences is, by construction, a zero-sum subsequence of $L$ of length $|H|$, as required.

Before resume our initial discussion, we give an example.
Example 2.2.10. Set $H=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$, a cyclic group of order 2 and we write $H=\{\overline{0}, \overline{1}\}$. We have a total of 4 ordered sequences over $H$ of length $3=2|H|-1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{1}=(\overline{0}, \overline{0}, \overline{0}), L_{2}=(\overline{0}, \overline{0}, \overline{1}) \\
& L_{3}=(\overline{0}, \overline{1}, \overline{1}), L_{4}=(\overline{1}, \overline{1}, \overline{1}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$(\overline{0}, \overline{0})$ is a zero-sum subsequence of $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$, while $(\overline{1}, \overline{1})$ is a zero-sum subsequence of $L_{3}$ and $L_{4}$. Furthermore, $(0, \overline{1})$ is a sequence over $H$ of length 2 which does not admit a zero-sum subsequence. So, the Davenport constant $D(H)$ of $H$ is 3 .

The set $\mathcal{B}_{t}$ of all monomial invariants of $G$ of degree $t d$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-basis of $R_{t}^{\bar{G}}$. Now we ask for a subset of these monomials that minimally generates $R^{\bar{G}}$ as a $\mathbb{K}$-algebra, or equivalently, we want to find a minimal set of fundamental monomial invariants of $\bar{G}$. We have regarded $G$ as a direct sum of diagonal cyclic groups $\Gamma_{i}$ of order $d_{i}, i=1, \ldots, s$. Precisely,
$\Gamma_{i}=\left\langle M_{\left(d_{i} ; \alpha_{\sigma_{i}(0)}^{i}, \ldots, \alpha_{\sigma_{i}(n)}^{i}\right)}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$, with $\sigma_{i} \in \mathcal{S}_{n+1}$ and integers $0 \leq \alpha_{0} \leq \cdots \leq \alpha_{n}<d_{i}$ such that $\operatorname{GCD}\left(\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}, d_{i}\right)=1$. In this setting, we define the finite abelian group $H:=\mathbb{Z} / d_{1} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} / d_{s} \mathbb{Z}$ of order $d$ and we denote $H=\left\{a_{0} \oplus \cdots \oplus a_{s} \mid a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z} / d_{i} \mathbb{Z}, i=1, \ldots, s\right\}$. For all $j=0, \ldots, n$, we have that

$$
\alpha_{\sigma_{1}(j)}^{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \alpha_{\sigma_{s}(j)}^{s} \in H
$$

With this notation, it follows that a monomial $m=x_{0}^{b_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{b_{n}}$ of degree $t d$ is an invariant of $\bar{G}$ if and only if

$$
b_{0}\left(\alpha_{\sigma_{1}(0)}^{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \alpha_{\sigma_{s}(0)}^{s}\right)+\cdots+b_{n}\left(\alpha_{\sigma_{1}(n)}^{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \alpha_{\sigma_{s}(n)}^{s}\right)=0 \in H .
$$

In [17, Theorem 3.1], the counterpart of the following theorem is proved for finite cyclic groups.

Theorem 2.2.11. $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ is a minimal set of fundamental invariants of $R^{\bar{G}}$.
Proof. It is enough to prove that any monomial of $R_{t}^{\bar{G}}, t \geq 1$, can be factored as a product of $t$ monomials of $\mathcal{B}_{1}$. We proceed by induction on $t$. For $t=1$ the result is true. So, we fix $t>1$ and let $m=x_{0}^{b_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{b_{n}} \in R_{t}^{\bar{G}}$ be a monomial. For simplicity, we set:

$$
\alpha_{0}:=\alpha_{\sigma_{1}(0)}^{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \alpha_{\sigma_{s}(0)}^{s}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}:=\alpha_{\sigma_{1}(n)}^{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \alpha_{\sigma_{s}(n)}^{s}
$$

which are elements of the abelian group $H=\mathbb{Z}_{d_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{d_{s}}$ of order $d$ associated to $G$. We define the sequence $L=\left(\alpha_{0},,^{b_{0}}, \alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}, .,{ }^{b_{n}}, \alpha_{n}\right)$ over $H$, where the notation means that each $\alpha_{i}$ is repeated $b_{i}$ times in $L$. Notice that $L$ is a zero-sum sequence over $H$. Indeed, $m \in R^{\bar{G}}$ is equivalent to $\Sigma(L)=b_{0} \alpha_{0}+\cdots+b_{n} \alpha_{n}=0$. By Lemma 2.2.9, there exists a zero-sum subsequence $L^{\prime}=\left(\alpha_{0}, c_{0} ., \alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}, c_{n}\right.$., $\left.\alpha_{n}\right)$ of $L$ of length $d$, i.e. $\Sigma\left(L^{\prime}\right)=$ $c_{0} \alpha_{0}+\cdots+c_{n} \alpha_{n}=0$ and $c_{0}+\cdots+c_{n}=d$. We denote by $L^{\prime \prime}=\left(\alpha_{0},{ }^{b_{0}-c_{0}}\right.$ , $\left.\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}, b_{n}-c_{n}, \alpha_{n}\right)$ the residual subsequence of $L$ by $L^{\prime}$, it has length $(t-1) d$ and it is a zero-sum subsequence of $L$, i.e. $\Sigma\left(L^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(c_{0}-b_{0}\right) \alpha_{0}+\cdots+$ $\left(c_{n}-b_{n}\right) \alpha_{n}=0$. By construction, we have inequalities $0 \leq c_{0} \leq a_{0}, \ldots, 0 \leq$ $c_{n} \leq a_{n}$. Therefore, the monomial $m^{\prime}=x_{0}^{c_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{c_{n}} \in \mathcal{B}_{1}$ divides $m$ and the monomial $m / m^{\prime}=x_{0}^{b_{0}-c_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{b_{n}-c_{n}} \in R_{t-1}^{\bar{G}}$. By induction, $m / m^{\prime}$ can be expressed a product of $t-1$ monomials of $\mathcal{B}_{1}$, and the result follows.

We illustrate Theorem 2.2.11 with an example.
Example 2.2.12. Take $G=\left\langle M_{(5 ; 0,1,4)}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 5 (Example 2.2.5(i)). We write $m_{1}=x_{0}^{5}, m_{2}=x_{1}^{5}, m_{3}=x_{0}^{3} x_{1} x_{2}, m_{4}=$ $x_{0} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, m_{5}=x_{2}^{5}$. By Theorem 2.2.11, $\mathcal{B}_{1}=\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}, m_{4}, m_{5}\right\}$ is a set of fundamental invariants of $\bar{G}$ or, equivalently, $R^{\bar{G}}=\mathbb{K}\left[m_{1}, \ldots, m_{5}\right]$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_{2}= & \left\{x_{0}^{10}, x_{0}^{5} x_{1}^{5}, x_{0}^{8} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{1}^{10}, x_{1}^{3} x_{1}^{6} x_{2}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{0} x_{1}^{7} x_{2}^{2}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{4}, x_{0}^{5} x_{2}^{5},\right. \\
& \left.x_{1}^{5} x_{2}^{5}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{7}, x_{2}^{10}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and we have factorizations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{llr}
x_{0}^{10} & = & m_{1}^{2} \\
x_{0}^{5} x_{1}^{5} & = & m_{1} m_{2}
\end{array} \\
& x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}=m_{3} m_{4} \\
& x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{4}=m_{4}^{2} \\
& x_{0}^{8} x_{1} x_{2}=m_{1} m_{3} \\
& x_{0}^{5} x_{2}^{5}=m_{1} m_{5} \\
& x_{1}^{10}=m_{1}^{2} \\
& x_{1}^{5} x_{2}^{5}=m_{2} m_{5} \\
& x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{6} x_{2}=m_{2} m_{3} \\
& x_{0}^{3} x_{1} x_{2}^{6}=m_{3} m_{5} \\
& x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}=m_{1} m_{4} \\
& x_{0} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{7}=m_{4} m_{4} \\
& x_{0} x_{1}^{7} x_{2}^{2}=m_{2} m_{4} \\
& x_{2}^{10}=m_{5}^{2} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

However, these factorizations are not unique. For instance, the monomial $x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}=m_{1} m_{4}=m_{3}^{2}$.

### 2.2.1 Varieties parametrized by invariants of finite abelian groups

In this subsection, we study the CM property of the ring $R^{\bar{G}}$. We introduce a new family of monomial projection of the Veronese varieties $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}}$, we call them $\bar{G}$-varieties. We relate their homogeneous coordinate ring with the ring $R^{\bar{G}}$ and we conclude that they are aCM varieties (Theorem 2.2.18).

For any finite group $\Lambda \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$, Noether's graded normalization theorem (Theorem 1.1.19) assures the existence of a h.s.o.p. $y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}$ of the ring $R^{\Lambda}$. We have that $R^{\Lambda}$ is a CM ring if and only if $R^{\Lambda}$ is a free $\mathbb{K}\left[y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}\right]$-module (Section 1.3). For sake of completeness, we particularize this discussion for the cyclic extension $\bar{G} \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ of an arbitrary finite abelian group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. We give a particular h.s.o.p. of $R^{\bar{G}}$ and we include a proof of the fact that $R^{\bar{G}}$ is a CM ring.
Proposition 2.2.13. $x_{0}^{d}, \ldots, x_{n}^{d}$ is a h.s.o.p. of $R^{\bar{G}}$.

Proof. $x_{0}^{d}, \ldots, x_{n}^{d}$ are invariants of $\bar{G}$ (see (2.2.1)). We consider the quotient algebra $A:=R^{\bar{G}} /\left\langle x_{0}^{d}, \ldots, x_{n}^{d}\right\rangle$. For $t \geq n+1$, we have that $A_{t}=\langle 0\rangle$ and for $1 \leq t \leq n$, a $\mathbb{K}$-basis of $A_{t}$ is formed by the set of all monomials $m=x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \in R^{\bar{G}}$ of degree $t d$ such that $a_{0}<d, \ldots, a_{n}<d$ (Lemma 2.2.4). We write $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{D}$ the set of all such monomials and $\theta_{0}=1$. Then, $R^{\bar{G}}=\left\langle\theta_{0}, \theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{D}\right\rangle$ as a $\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{d}, \ldots, x_{n}^{d}\right]$-module.

Theorem 2.2.14. $R^{\bar{G}}$ is a CM ring.
Proof. Let $\phi: R \longrightarrow R^{\bar{G}}$ be the so called Reynolds operator, which sends any $p \in R$ to $\phi(p)=\frac{1}{d} \sum_{g \in \bar{G}} g(p) \in R^{\bar{G}}$. We define $U=\{p-\phi(p) \mid p \in R\}$. Since the restriction of $\phi$ to $R^{\bar{G}}$ is the identity, it follows that $\phi^{2}=\phi$. So $U \subseteq\{p \in R \mid \phi(p)=0\}$. Conversely, if $\phi(p)=0$, then $p=p-\phi(p)$ is an element of $U$. Therefore $U=\{p \in R \mid \phi(p)=0\}$ is an $R^{\bar{G}}$-module and we have a direct sum decomposition $R=R^{\bar{G}} \oplus U$. Since $x_{0}^{d}, \ldots, x_{n}^{d}$ is also an h.s.o.p. for $R$ and $R$ is a CM ring, $R$ is a free $\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{d}, \ldots, x_{n}^{d}\right]$-module and we have $R /\left(x_{0}^{d}, \ldots, x_{n}^{d}\right)=R^{\bar{G}} /\left(x_{0}^{d}, \ldots, x_{n}^{d}\right) \oplus U /\left(x_{0}^{d}, \ldots, x_{n}^{d}\right) U$. We consider the monomials $\theta_{0}, \ldots, \theta_{D}$ described in the proof of Proposition 2.2.13 and we complete it to a basis of $R$, namely $\left\{\theta_{0}, \ldots, \theta_{D}, \overline{\theta_{D+1}}, \ldots, \overline{\theta_{E}}\right\}$. We lift $\overline{\theta_{D+1}}, \ldots, \overline{\theta_{E}}$ to a homogeneous elements $\theta_{D+1}, \ldots, \theta_{E}$ of $U$. Since $R$ is a CM ring, we have that $R=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{E} \theta_{i} \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{d}, \ldots, x_{n}^{d}\right]$ and from the decomposition $R=R^{G} \oplus U$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{G}=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{D} \theta_{i} \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{d}, \ldots, x_{n}^{d}\right] . \tag{2.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $R^{\bar{G}}$ is a free $\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{d}, \ldots, x_{n}^{d}\right]$-module which is equivalent to say that $R^{\bar{G}}$ is a CM ring.

Decomposition (2.2.3) is called a Hironaka decomposition of the CM ring $R^{\bar{G}}$. It will play an important role when we compute the Hilbert series of $R^{\bar{G}}$ (Section 3.1). So far, we have established that $R^{\bar{G}}$ is a CM ring and a free $\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{d}, \ldots, x_{n}^{d}\right]$-module of rank $D+1$, where $D$ is the number of monomial invariants $x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}}$ of $\bar{G}$ of degree at most $n d$ such that $a_{0}<d, \ldots, a_{n}<d$. Let us see a couple of examples.

Example 2.2.15. (i) Take $G=\left\langle M_{5 ; 0,1,4}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 5 (Example 2.2.5(i)). A minimal set of fundamental monomial invariants of $\bar{G}$ is $\mathcal{B}_{1}=\left\{x_{0}^{5}, x_{1}^{5}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{0} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{2}^{5}\right\} . \quad R^{\bar{G}}$ is a CM ring, it is a free $\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{5}, x_{1}^{5}, x_{2}^{5}\right]$-module of rank 4 with a Hironaka decomposition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{\bar{G}}= & \left(x_{0}^{3} x_{1} x_{2}\right) \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{5}, x_{1}^{5}, x_{2}^{5}\right] \oplus\left(x_{0} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}\right) \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{5}, x_{1}^{5}, x_{2}^{5}\right] \oplus \\
& \left(x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}\right) \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{5}, x_{1}^{5}, x_{2}^{5}\right] \oplus\left(x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{4}\right) \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{5}, x_{1}^{5}, x_{2}^{5}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Take $G=\left\langle M_{(3 ; 0,1,2)}, M_{(6 ; 0,2,3)}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ an abelian group of order 18 (Example 2.2.5(ii)). A minimal set of fundamental invariants of $\bar{G}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_{1}= & \left\{x_{2}^{18}, x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{12}, x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{6}, x_{1}^{18}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{12}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{9} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{15}, x_{0}^{6} x_{2}^{12}\right. \\
& \left.x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{12}, x_{0}^{9} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{9} x_{1}^{9}, x_{0}^{12} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{11} x_{1}^{6}, x_{0}^{15} x_{1}^{3}, x_{0}^{18}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$R^{\bar{G}}$ is a CM algebra and it is a free $\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{12}, x_{1}^{12}, x_{2}^{12}\right]$-module of rank 17 with a Hironaka decomposition:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
R^{\bar{G}}=\begin{aligned}
\left(x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{12}\right) \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{12}, x_{1}^{12}, x_{2}^{12}\right] & \oplus
\end{aligned}\left(x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{6}\right) \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{12}, x_{1}^{12}, x_{2}^{12}\right] & \oplus \\
\left(x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{12}\right) \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{12}, x_{1}^{12}, x_{2}^{12}\right] & \oplus & \left(x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{9} x_{2}^{6}\right) \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{12}, x_{1}^{12}, x_{2}^{12}\right] \oplus \\
\left(x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{15}\right) \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{12}, x_{1}^{12}, x_{2}^{12}\right] & \oplus & \left(x_{0}^{6} x_{2}^{12}\right) \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{12}, x_{1}^{12}, x_{2}^{12}\right] & \oplus \\
\left(x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{6}\right) \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{12}, x_{1}^{12}, x_{2}^{12}\right] & \oplus & \left(x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{12}\right) \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{12}, x_{1}^{12}, x_{2}^{12}\right] & \oplus \\
\left(x_{0}^{9} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{6}\right) \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{12}, x_{1}^{12}, x_{2}^{12}\right] & \oplus & \left(x_{0}^{9} x_{1}^{9}\right) \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{12}, x_{1}^{12}, x_{2}^{12}\right] \oplus \\
\left(x_{0}^{12} x_{2}^{6}\right) \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{12}, x_{1}^{12}, x_{2}^{12}\right] & \oplus & \left(x_{0}^{12} x_{1}^{6}\right) \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{12}, x_{1}^{12}, x_{2}^{12}\right] \oplus \\
\left(x_{0}^{15} x_{1}^{3}\right) \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{12}, x_{1}^{12}, x_{2}^{12}\right] & \oplus & \left(x_{0}^{9} x_{1}^{15} x_{2}^{12}\right) \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{12}, x_{1}^{12}, x_{2}^{12}\right] \oplus \\
\left(x_{0}^{12} x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{12}\right) \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{12}, x_{1}^{12}, x_{2}^{12}\right] & \oplus\left(x_{0}^{15} x_{1}^{9} x_{2}^{12}\right) \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{12}, x_{1}^{12}, x_{2}^{12}\right] \oplus \\
& \oplus\left(x_{0}^{15} x_{1}^{15} x_{2}^{6},\right) \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{12}, x_{1}^{12}, x_{2}^{12}\right] .
\end{array}
$$

We ask under what circumstances we simply has $R^{\bar{G}}=\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{d}, \ldots, x_{n}^{d}\right]$, that is when $R^{\bar{G}}$ is a polynomial ring.
Proposition 2.2.16. (i) If $n=1$, then $R^{\bar{G}}=\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{d}, x_{1}^{d}\right]$.
(ii) For $n \geq 2, R^{\bar{G}}$ is minimally generated by at least $n+2$ monomial invariants of $\bar{G}$.

Proof. (i) It follows directly from [77, Corollary 4.3].
(ii) It is enough to show that there exists at least one monomial $x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \in$ $R^{\bar{G}}$ of degree $d$ such that $a_{0}<d, \ldots, a_{n}<d$. We set $m:=x_{0}^{d} \cdots x_{n}^{d}$ and $m^{\prime}:=x_{0} \cdots x_{n}$ and we have that $m / m^{\prime}=x_{0}^{a_{0}-1} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}-1}$ is a monomial of degree $n d+d-n+1 \geq 2 d-1$. Lemma 2.2.9 assures the existence of an monomial invariant $x_{0}^{b_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{b_{n}}$ of $\bar{G}$ of degree $d$ dividing $m / m^{\prime}$, so $b_{0}<d, \ldots, b_{n}<d$.

In the sequel, we write $\mathcal{B}_{1}=\left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{\mu_{d}}\right\}$ the set of fundamental monomial invariants of $\bar{G}$ (Theorem 2.2.11).
Definition 2.2.17. A $\bar{G}$-variety with group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ is a monomial projection $X_{d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{\mu_{d}-1}$ of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ parameterized by $\mathcal{B}_{1}$.

We denote by $I_{d}:=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{\mu_{d}}\right) \subset R$ the monomial artinian ideal generated by $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ and $\varphi_{I_{d}}: \mathbb{P}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\mu_{d}-1}$ the morphism defined by $\mathcal{B}_{1}$. The $\bar{G}$-variety $X_{d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{\mu_{d}-1}$ with group $G \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ is the image $X_{d}=$ $\varphi_{I_{d}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right) \subset \mathbb{P}^{\mu_{d}-1}$. The $\bar{G}$-variety $X_{d}$ with group $G$ is also called the variety parameterized by $I_{d}$. The following particularizes for $\bar{G}$ the projective version of Theorem 1.3.11 and it generalizes [17, Corollary 3.8].

We take new variables $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{\mu_{d}}$ and $S=\mathbb{K}\left[w_{1}, \ldots, w_{\mu_{d}}\right]$. We denote by $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right) \subset S$ the homogeneous ideal of $X_{d}$.

Theorem 2.2.18. Let $X_{d}$ be a $\bar{G}$-variety with group $G \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. Then, $X_{d}$ is an aCM monomial projection of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset$ $\mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$.

Proof. We denote by $A\left(X_{d}\right)=S / \mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ the homogeneous coordinate ring of $X_{d}$. By Theorem 2.2.11, $R^{\bar{G}}=\mathbb{K}\left[m_{1}, \ldots, m_{\mu_{d}}\right]$. We will see that $A\left(X_{d}\right) \cong$ $\mathbb{K}\left[m_{1}, \ldots, m_{\mu_{d}}\right]$ and the result follows from Theorem 2.2.14. To this end, we consider the morphism $\rho: S \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}\left[m_{1}, \ldots, m_{\mu_{d}}\right]$ given by $\rho\left(w_{i}\right)=m_{i}$. We have that $\mathbb{K}\left[m_{1}, \ldots, m_{\mu_{d}}\right] \cong S / \operatorname{ker}(\rho)$ and that $\operatorname{ker}(\rho) \subset S$ is the homogeneous prime binomial ideal generated by the set of binomials:

$$
\left\{w_{i_{1}} \cdots w_{i_{k}}-w_{j_{1}} \cdots w_{j_{k}} \in S \mid m_{i_{1}} \cdots m_{i_{k}}=m_{j_{1}} \cdots m_{j_{k}}, k \geq 2\right\}
$$

(it follows from the projective version of Theorem 1.2.10). Hence $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)=$ $\operatorname{ker}(\rho)$.

Remark 2.2.19. (i) Theorem 2.2 .18 is a new contribution to the Gröbner's problem [39] (Problem 2.1.1).
(ii) In [57, Theorem 7.3], using another approach it is proved that any $\bar{G}$-surfaces with cyclic group $\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1,2}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ of order $3 \leq d$ is an aCM surface.

In view of Proposition 2.2.16, Theorem 2.2.14 provides an extensive new family of aCM monomial projections of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ in any dimension $n \geq 2$. The interest of $\bar{G}$-varieties $X_{d}$ with group $G \subset$ $\mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ relies on two facts. On one hand, the coordinate ring of $X_{d}$ is isomorphic to $R^{\bar{G}}$. Combinatorics and invariant theory of finite groups give enough techniques to tackle the geometry of $X_{d}$, as we will see in Chapter 3. On the other hand, we will show in next section that the associated ideal $I_{d}=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{\mu_{d}}\right)$ fails the weak Lefschetz property provided $\mu_{d} \leq$ $N_{n-1, d}$. In this case, $X_{d}$ is apolar to a Togliatti variety $Y$ parameterized by $\mathcal{M}_{n, d} \backslash\left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{\mu_{d}}\right\}$ which satisfies at least one Laplace equation of order $d-1$ (Theorem 1.4.6).

### 2.3 GT-systems and GT-varieties with a finite abelian group

In this section, we analyse whether the ideal generated by a minimal set of fundamental invariants of a finite abelian group $G \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ fails the WLP (Definition 1.4.1). We fix integers $2 \leq n<d$ and $G=\Gamma_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus$ $\Gamma_{s} \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ a finite abelian group of order $d$ (Notation 2.2.1) and we consider its cyclic extension $\bar{G} \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. In Theorem 2.2.11, we have proved that the set $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ of all monomial invariants of $G$ of degree $d$ is a set of fundamental invariants of $\bar{G}$. As usual, we write $\mathcal{B}_{1}=\left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{\mu_{d}}\right\}$ and we denote by $I_{d}=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{\mu_{d}}\right) \subset R$ the ideal generated by $\mathcal{B}_{1}$. Since $\left\{x_{0}^{d}, \ldots, x_{n}^{d}\right\} \subset I_{d}$ (Proposition 2.2.13), $I_{d}$ is a monomial artinian ideal. We ask whether $I_{d}$ is a $G T$-system with group $G$ (Definition 1.4.18). We obtain that it depends only on the cardinality $\left|\mathcal{B}_{1}\right|=\mu_{d}$.

Proposition 2.3.1. If $\mu_{d} \leq N_{n-1, d}$, then $I_{d}$ is a $G T$-system with group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$.

Proof. We want prove that $I_{d}$ is a Togliatti system whose associated morphism is a Galois covering with group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. The second condition follows from Proposition 1.4.17. To prove that $I_{d}$ is a Togliatti system it is enough to see that it fails the WLP in degree $d-1$ (Theorem 1.4.6). Let $L \in R_{1}$ be a linear form and we consider the homogenous polynomial $f=\prod_{\operatorname{Id}_{G} \neq g \in G} g(L)$ of degree $d-1$. We will see that the multiplication map $\times L:\left(R / I_{d}\right)_{d-1} \longrightarrow\left(R / I_{d}\right)_{d}$ is not injective. $\times L(f)=L \cdot f=\prod_{g \in G} g(f)$ is an invariant of $G$ of degree $d$. By Theorem 2.2.11, $L(f) \in I_{d}$ and $\times L$ is not injective. Since we are assuming that $\mu_{d} \leq N_{n-1, d}$, we can apply Theorem 1.4.6 and conclude that $R / I_{d}$ fails the WLP in degree $d-1$.

Let us see a few examples of $G T$-systems with a finite abelian group.
Example 2.3.2. (i) Take $G=\left\langle M_{3 ; 0,1,2}\right\rangle \subset G L(3, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 3. A minimal set of fundamental monomial invariants of $\bar{G}$ is $\mathcal{B}_{1}=$ $\left\{x_{0}^{3}, x_{1}^{3}, x_{2}^{3}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}\right\}$. The condition $\mu_{3} \leq 4$ is satisfies, so Proposition 2.3.1 implies that Togliatti's example $T=\left(x_{0}^{3}, x_{1}^{3}, x_{2}^{3}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}\right)$ (see (1.4.1)) is a $G T$-system with group $G$.
(ii) Take $G_{1}=\left\langle M_{5 ; 0,1,4}\right\rangle$ and $G_{2}=\left\langle M_{3 ; 0,1,2}, M_{6 ; 0,2,3}\right\rangle$ cyclic groups of orders 5 and 18, respectively (Example 2.2.15). $R^{\bar{G}_{1}}$ is generated by $5 \leq 6$ invariant monomials and $R^{\bar{G}_{2}}$ is generated by $16 \leq 19$ invariant monomials. The condition $\mu_{d} \leq N_{n-1, d}$ on the number of generators is satisfied, so by Proposition 2.3.1, both finite abelian groups give rise to $G T$-systems with groups $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, respectively.
(iii) Take $G=\left\langle M_{7 ; 0,1,1,2}, M_{7 ; 0,1,1,3}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{K})$ an abelian group of order 49 . The ideal $I_{d} \subset \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right]$ is generated by 624 monomials of degree 49 . The condition $624 \leq\binom{ 2+49}{2}=1275$ is satisfied, so by Proposition 2.3.1, $I_{d}$ is a $G T$-system with group $G$.

Remark 2.3.3. Let $2 \leq n<d$ be integers and take $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1, \ldots, 1}\right\rangle \subset$ $\operatorname{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order $d$. A minimal set of fundamental invariants of $\bar{G}$ is

$$
\mathcal{B}_{1}=\left\{x_{0}^{d}\right\} \cup\left\{x_{1}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \mid a_{1}+\cdots+a_{n}=d\right\}
$$

and set $I_{d}=\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}\right)$. The cardinality of $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ is $\mu_{d}=N_{n-1, d}+1$, so the bound in Theorem 1.4.6 is not satisfied. Hence, $I_{d}$ is not a Togliatti system. Even
though, the morphism $\varphi_{I_{d}}: \mathbb{P}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\mu_{d}-1}$ is a Galois covering with group $G$. In [2, Theorem 7.8] it is proved that $I_{d}$ has the WLP. For $L=x_{0}+\cdots+x_{n}$, the multiplication map

$$
\times(L):\left(R / I_{d}\right)_{i} \longrightarrow\left(R / I_{d}\right)_{i+1}
$$

is injective for any $0 \leq i \leq d-1$ and it is surjective for any $i \geq d$.
Proposition 2.3.4. Let $2 \leq n<d$ be integers and $G=\left\langle M_{d ; \alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}\right\rangle \subset$ $\mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order d. If $M_{d ; \alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}} \neq M_{d ; 0,1, \ldots, 1}, M_{d ; 0, \ldots, 0,1}$, then $I_{d}$ is $G T-$ system with group $G$.

Proof. We denote by $\Gamma$ the cyclic group of order $d$ generated by $M_{d ; 0,1, \ldots, 1}$. Let $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ (respectively $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ ) be the set of all $\mu_{d}$ (respectively $N_{n-1, d}+1$ ) monomial invariants of $G$ (respectively $\Gamma$ ) of degree $d$. By Proposition 2.3.1, it is enough to show that $\mu_{d} \leq N_{n-1, d}$. To see it, we define a monomorphism $f: R_{1}^{\bar{G}} \longrightarrow R_{1}^{\bar{\Gamma}}$ of $\mathbb{K}$-vector spaces such that $f\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}\right) \subsetneq \mathcal{A}_{1}$. This implies that $\mu_{d} \leq N_{n-1, d}$ and the result follows. We distinguish the following cases.
Case 1: there are $0<\alpha_{i}<\alpha_{j}$ with $\operatorname{GCD}\left(\alpha_{i}, d\right)=1$. For simplicity we assume $i=1$, the remaining cases follow analogously. Given $m=x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \in$ $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ we define:

$$
f(m):= \begin{cases}m & \text { if } a_{0}=d \\ x_{1}^{a_{0}+a_{1}} x_{2}^{a_{2}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Let $m_{1}=x_{0}^{b_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{b_{n}}, m_{2}=x_{0}^{c_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{c_{n}} \in \mathcal{B}_{1}$ be such that $f\left(m_{1}\right)=f\left(m_{2}\right)$. Since $\operatorname{GCD}\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)=1$, we have that $f(m) \in \mathcal{B}_{1}$ if and only if $a_{0} \neq 0, d$ and so we can assume that $f\left(m_{1}\right) \notin \mathcal{B}_{1}$ and $f\left(m_{2}\right) \notin \mathcal{B}_{2}$, or equivalently $0<b_{0}, c_{0}<d$. We have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{0}+b_{1}=c_{0}+c_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad b_{i}=c_{i}, i=2, \ldots, n \tag{2.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\alpha_{1} b_{1}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} \alpha_{i} b_{i}$ and $\alpha_{1} c_{1}+\sum_{i=2}^{n} \alpha_{i} c_{i}$ both multiples of $d$. Combining this with (2.3.1), we obtain that $\alpha_{1}\left(b_{0}-c_{0}\right)$ is a multiple of $d$ and hence $b_{0}-c_{0}=0$. It follows from (2.3.1) that $m_{1}=m_{2}$.

We set $m^{\prime}:=x_{1} x_{j}^{d-1}$ and we assume that $m^{\prime}=f(m)$ for some $m \in \mathcal{B}_{1}$. Since $\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{j} \neq 0$ is not a multiple of $d$, we have that $m^{\prime} \notin \mathcal{B}_{1}$. Thus $m=$ $x_{0} x_{j}^{d-1}$, but this is a contradiction since $m \notin \mathcal{B}_{1}$. Therefore, the $\mathbb{K}$-linear
extension of $f$ to $R_{1}^{\bar{G}}$ defines a monomorphism such that $f\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}\right) \subsetneq \mathcal{A}_{1}$ as required.
Case 2: for all $\alpha_{i}>0, \operatorname{GCD}\left(\alpha_{i}, d\right)>1$. For simplicity we assume $0<$ $\alpha_{1}$, the remaining cases follow analogously. Notice that there is $\alpha_{1}<\alpha_{i}$ such that $\operatorname{GCD}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{i}\right)=1$, otherwise $G$ would be a cyclic group of order strictly smaller than $d$. Let $p$ be the integer such that $\operatorname{GCD}\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)^{p} \mid d$ and $\operatorname{GCD}\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)^{p+1} \nmid d$ and we set $h=\frac{d}{\operatorname{GCD}\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)^{p}}$. In particular, $1<$ $\operatorname{GCD}\left(\alpha_{i}, d\right) \leq h$. Given $m \in \mathcal{B}_{1}$, we define:

$$
f(m):= \begin{cases}m & \text { if } a_{0}=d \\ x_{1}^{a_{0}+a_{1}} x_{2}^{a_{2}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} & \text { if } \quad k h \neq a_{0}<d \\ x_{1}^{a_{1}} x_{2}^{a_{2}} \cdots x_{i}^{a_{i}+a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} & \text { if } \quad a_{0}=k h<d\end{cases}
$$

The arguments are similar to those of Case 1. Let $m_{1}=x_{0}^{b_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{b_{n}}, m_{2}=$ $x_{0}^{c_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{c_{n}} \in \mathcal{B}_{1}$ be such that $f\left(m_{1}\right)=f\left(m_{2}\right)$. We have $f(m) \in \mathcal{B}_{1}$ if and only if $a_{0} \neq 0, d$. Indeed, if $0<a_{0}<d$ and $a_{0} \neq k h$, then $f(m) \in \mathcal{B}_{1}$ implies that $\alpha_{1} a_{0}$ is a multiple of $d$ and we obtain that $a_{0}$ is a multiple of $h$, which is a contradiction. If $0<a_{0}<d$ and $a_{0}=k h$, then $f(m) \in \mathcal{B}_{1}$ implies that $\alpha_{i} a_{0}=\alpha_{i} k h$ is a multiple of $d$ and we obtain that $k$ is a multiple of $\operatorname{GCD}\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)^{p}$ and so $k h \geq d$, which is a contradiction.

We assume that $k h \neq b_{0}<d$ and that $0<c_{0}=k h<d$, the remaining cases follow as in Case 1. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}+b_{0}=c_{1}, \quad b_{i}=c_{i}+k h \quad \text { and } \quad b_{j}=c_{j}, j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}-\{1, i\} . \tag{2.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $\alpha_{1}\left(b_{1}+b_{0}\right)+\sum_{j=2}^{n} \alpha_{j} b_{j}-\alpha_{i} k h$ is a multiple of $d$ and we obtain that $\alpha_{1} b_{0}$ is a multiple of $h$. Since $\operatorname{GCD}\left(\alpha_{1}, h\right)=1$, we have that $b_{0}$ is a multiple of $h$ and we arrive to a contradiction.

Finally, we consider $m^{\prime}=x_{1} x_{i}^{d-1} \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$. We have that $m^{\prime} \notin \mathcal{B}_{1}$, otherwise $\left|\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{1}\right|<d$ would be a multiple of $d$. If $m^{\prime}=f(m)$ for some $m \in \mathcal{B}_{1}$, then $m=x_{0} x_{i}^{d-1}$ or $m=x_{0}^{k h} x_{1} x_{i}^{d-1-k h}$. We have that $x_{0} x_{i}^{d-1} \notin R^{G}$, otherwise $\alpha_{i}$ would be a multiple of $d$. For $x_{0}^{k h} x_{1} x_{i}^{d-1-k h}$ we have that $\alpha_{1}+d \alpha_{i}-\alpha_{i}-$ $\alpha_{i} k h>0$ is multiple of $d$. We obtain that $\operatorname{GCD}\left(\alpha_{i}, d\right)$ divides $\alpha_{1}$, which is a contradiction.

The number of monomials for the remaining cases $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,{ }^{k, \ldots, 1,0,1, \ldots, 1}}\right\rangle \subset$ $\mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ with $2 \leq k \leq n-2$, can be bounded as follows. We may
assume that $k \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$. If $m \in \mathcal{B}_{1}$, then either $m$ is a monomial of degree $d$ in the variables $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k-1}$ or it is a monomial of degree $d$ in the variables $x_{k}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Thus $\mu_{d} \leq N_{k-1, d}+N_{n-k, d}$. Applying iteratively the identity $N_{n-l, d}=N_{n-l-1, d}+N_{n-l, d-1}, \quad n-l \geq 1$, we obtain that $N_{n-1, d}>N_{n-k, d}+$ $N_{k, d-1} \geq N_{n-k, d}+N_{k-1, d}$.

Remark 2.3.5. (i) For any integer $2<d$ and any cyclic group $G=$ $\left\langle M_{d ; 0, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ with $\alpha_{1}<\alpha_{2}<d$, in [57] the authors showed that the number $\mu_{d}$ of monomial invariants of $G$ of degree $d$ is bounded by $d+1$ and in [17] we computed a closed expression for $\mu_{d}$, which we will explain in Subsection 3.1.1.
(ii) Let $2 \leq n<d$ be integers and $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1,2, \ldots, n}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order $d$. Using different techniques, in [18, Theorem 4.8] we compute the number of monomial invariants of $G$ of degree $n+1$ and we prove that it does not exceed $N_{n-1, n+1}$.
(iii) Let $d \geq 4$ be an integer and $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1,2,3}\right\rangle \subset G L(4, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order $d$. In [20, Proposition 3.3], we counted the exact number $\mu_{d}$ of monomial invariants of $G$ of degree $d$ and we checked that $\mu_{d} \leq N_{2, d}$, which we will explain in Subsection 3.1.2.

In Chapter 3, we will study the Hilbert function and series of the rings $R^{\bar{G}}$. This will lead us to different techniques for counting the number of invariants of the finite abelian groups $\bar{G}$. It is worthwhile to point out that Proposition 1.4.18 provides examples of $G T$-systems with finite abelian groups $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ for any $n \geq 2$ and partially motivates the following definition.

Definition 2.3.6. If $I_{d}$ is a $G T$-system, we call a $G T$-variety with group $G$ to the $\bar{G}$-variety parameterized by $I_{d}$.

By Theorem 1.4.6, any $G T$-variety $X_{d}$ with finite abelian group $G \subset$ $\mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ is an aCM monomial projection of the Veronese varieties $X_{n, d} \subset$ $\mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$. They are apolar to rational varieties satisfying at least one Laplace equation. $G T$-varieties with group $G$ form a subfamily of $\bar{G}$-varieties which blends commutative algebra, combinatorics, invariant theory of finite groups, geometry and the WLP.

### 2.4 A new family of aCM surfaces parametrized by monomial Togliatti systems

In Section 2.2, we have proved that all $\bar{G}$-varieties with group $G \subset G L(n+$ $1, \mathbb{K}$ ) are aCM varieties (Theorem 2.2.18) and we have seen under which conditions the ideal generated by a minimal set $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ of fundamental invariants of $\bar{G}$ is a $G T$-system with group $G$ (Proposition 2.3.1). However, being an aCM variety could fail for varieties parameterized by an arbitrary monomial Togliatti system. For instance, the ideal

$$
I=\left\{x_{0}^{5}, x_{1}^{5}, x_{2}^{5}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}, x_{0} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}\right\} \subset \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right]
$$

is a monomial Togliatti system, since the multiplication map

$$
\times\left(x_{0}+x_{1}+x_{2}\right):\left(\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right] / I\right)_{4} \longrightarrow\left(\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right] / I\right)_{5}
$$

is not injective (Theorem 1.4.6), but the surface $X:=\varphi_{I}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right) \subset \mathbb{P}^{5}$ is not an aCM surface. Indeed, we have checked that $\operatorname{codim}(X)=3<$ $\operatorname{pdim}(S / \mathrm{I}(X))=4$. In this section, we prove the aCM property of a new family of surfaces parameterized by monomial Togliatti systems: their coordinate rings are neither the ring of invariants of a finite group nor the semigroup ring associated to a normal affine semigroup. Our result is based on the criterion Theorem 2.1.4.

Through this section $R$ denotes the polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$.
Definition 2.4.1. We define the affine semigroup

$$
H_{3}:=\langle(3,0,0),(0,3,0),(0,0,3),(1,1,1)\rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{3} .
$$

Set $m=(1,1,1)$. Inductively for $t \geq 2$, we define

$$
H_{3 t}:=\left\langle(3 t, 0,0),(0,3 t, 0),(0,0,3 t), m+H_{3(t-1)}\right\rangle,
$$

where $m+H_{3(t-1)}=\left\{m+h \mid h \in H_{3(t-1)}\right\}$.
Let us illustrate the above definition with the following examples.

## Example 2.4.2.

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{6}= & \langle(6,0,0),(0,6,0),(0,0,6),(4,1,1),(1,4,1),(1,1,4),(2,2,2)\rangle \\
H_{9}= & \langle(9,0,0),(0,9,0),(0,0,9),(7,1,1),(1,7,1),(1,1,7),(5,2,2), \\
& (2,5,2),(2,2,5),(3,3,3)\rangle \\
H_{12}= & \langle(12,0,0),(0,12,0),(0,0,12),(10,1,1),(1,10,1),(1,1,10), \\
& (8,2,2),(2,8,2),(2,2,8),(6,3,3),(3,6,3),(3,3,6),(4,4,4)\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

We denote by $J_{3 t} \subset R$ the monomial artinian ideal associated to $H_{3 t}$.
Proposition 2.4.3. For any $t \geq 1$, the ideal $J_{3 t}$ is a monomial Togliatti system.

Proof. For any $t \geq 1$, we have that

$$
J_{3 t}=\left(x_{0}^{3 t}, x_{1}^{3 t}, x_{2}^{3 t}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{2} J_{3(t-1)}\right)
$$

is an artinian ideal minimally generated by $\mu_{3 t}=3 t+1$ monomials of degree $3 t$ and $\mu_{3 t}$ verifies the bound in Theorem 1.4.6. We want to prove that $J_{3 t}$ fails the WLP in degree $3 t-1$, i.e. for any linear form $L \in\left(R / J_{3 t}\right)_{1}$, the multiplication map $\times L:\left(R / J_{3 t}\right)_{3 t-1} \longrightarrow\left(R / J_{3 t}\right)_{3 t}$ is not injective. We proceed by induction on $t$. The first ideal $J_{3}$ is Togliatti's example $T=$ $\left(x_{0}^{3}, x_{1}^{3}, x_{2}^{3}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}\right)$ (see (1.4.1)), which is the first $G T$-system with cyclic group known in the literature. Let $t>1$ and we assume that $J_{3(t-1)}$ fails the WLP in degree $3(t-1)-1$. Let $L$ be a homogenous linear form of $\left(R / J_{3 t}\right)_{1}=\left(R / J_{3(t-1)}\right)_{1}$. By induction, there is a homogenous form $f$ of degree $3(t-1)-1$ such that $f^{\prime}=L \cdot f \in J_{3(t-1)}$. We define $f^{\prime \prime}=x_{0} x_{1} x_{2} f$. The multiplication map $\times L:\left(R / J_{3 t}\right)_{3 t-1} \longrightarrow\left(R / J_{3 t}\right)_{3 t}$ sends $f^{\prime \prime}$ to $L\left(f^{\prime \prime}\right)=$ $x_{0} x_{1} x_{2} f^{\prime} \in x_{0} x_{1} x_{2} J_{3(t-1)} \subset J_{3 t}$, so $\times L$ is not injective.

By Theorems 2.2.11 and 2.2.14, we have that $\mathbb{K}\left[H_{3}\right]$ is a CM ring. Notwithstanding, for any $t>1$ the semigroup $H_{3 t}$ is not a normal semigroup and $\mathbb{K}\left[H_{3 t}\right]$ is not the ring of invariants of a finite group $\Lambda \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$. Indeed, $H_{3 t}$ is not normal since $m$ belongs to the normalization $\overline{H_{3 t}}$ of $H_{3 t}$ but $m \notin H_{3 t}$. To check the second assertion, assume by contradiction that $\mathbb{K}\left[H_{3 t}\right]$ is the ring of invariants of a finite group $\Lambda \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$, and let
$\phi: R \longrightarrow R^{\Lambda}$ be the Reynolds operator for the pair ( $R, R^{\Lambda}$ ) (Section 1.3). We have that for all $t>1,(3,3(t-1), 0) \notin H_{3 t}$ (Lemma 2.4.7), or equivalently $x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3(t-1)} \notin R^{\Lambda}$. We observe that $(3,3(t-1), 0)+t m$ can be written as $[(t-1) m+(3,0,0)]+[m+(0,3(t-1), 0)] \in H_{3 t}$. So, $x_{0}^{t} x_{1}^{t} x_{2}^{t} \cdot x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3(t-1)} \in R^{\Lambda}$ and we have $\phi\left(x_{0}^{t} x_{1}^{t} x_{2}^{t} \cdot x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3(t-1)}\right)=x_{0}^{t} x_{1}^{t} x_{2}^{t} \cdot \phi\left(x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3(t-1)}\right)=x_{0}^{t} x_{1}^{t} x_{2}^{t} \cdot x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3(t-1)}$. Therefore, $\phi\left(x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3(t-1)}\right)=x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3(t-1)}$ and we arrive to a contradiction.

Our goal is to prove that all rings $\mathbb{K}\left[H_{3 t}\right]$ are CM rings. To this end, we will apply Theorem 2.1.4. But first we need some technical lemmas. We fix $t>1$ and we set $f_{1}=(3 t, 0,0), f_{2}=(0,3 t, 0), f_{3}=(0,0,3 t)$.

Remark 2.4.4. (i) Notice that $f_{1}, f_{2}$ and $f_{3}$ are $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly independent and $(3 t) H_{3 t} \subset\left\langle f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}\right\rangle$.
(ii) By construction $H_{3 t} \subset H_{3}$, so $\overline{H_{3 t}} \subset H_{3}$. This means that for all $u=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right) \in H_{3 t}$ there exist $f \geq 1$ and $r \in\{0, \ldots, 2 t f\}$ such that $u$ is a solution of the system:

$$
(*)_{\mathcal{A} ; f t, r}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}=3 f t \\
a_{2}+2 a_{3}=3 r
\end{array}\right.
$$

The converse is not true: $(3,3(t-1), 0) \in H_{3} \backslash H_{3 t}$.
(iii) All generators of $H_{3 t}$ different from $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}$ have all three components different from 0 .

Remark 2.4.5. By construction, we can describe

$$
H_{3 t}=\left\{u=A_{1} f_{1}+A_{2} f_{2}+A_{3} f_{3}+\sum_{j=1}^{3(t-1)+1} A_{j+3}\left(m+h_{j}\right)\right\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{3}
$$

where $A_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for $i=1, \ldots, 3 t+1$ and $h_{j}$ is a generator of $H_{3(t-1)}$, for $j=1, \ldots, 3(t-1)+1$. Notice that a generator $h=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)$ of $H_{3 t}$ different from $f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}$ can be expressed as $s m+h^{\prime}$, where $0<s=\min \left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right\} \leq t$ and $h^{\prime} \in\{(3(t-s), 0,0),(0,3(t-s), 0),(0,0,3(t-s))\}$.

We give a couple of examples.

Example 2.4.6. (i) Take $H_{6}$. We have $(4,1,1)=m+(3,0,0),(1,4,1)=$ $m+(0,3,0),(1,1,4)=(1,1,1)+(0,0,3)$ and $(2,2,2)=2 m$.
(ii) Take $H_{9}$. We have: $(7,1,1)=m+(6,0,0),(1,7,1)=m+(0,6,0)$, $(1,1,7)=m+(0,0,6),(5,2,2)=2 m+(3,0,0),(2,5,2)=2 m+(0,3,0)$, $(2,2,5)=2 m+(0,0,3)$ and $(3,3,3)=3 m$.

Any $u \in H_{3 t}$ represents a monomial of degree a multiple of $3 t$, namely $(3 t) f$. For any representation

$$
u=A_{1} f_{1}+A_{2} f_{2}+A_{3} f_{3}+\sum_{j=1}^{3(t-1)+1} A_{j+3}\left(m+h_{j}\right)
$$

in $H_{3 t}$, it holds that $\sum_{i=1}^{3 t+1} A_{i}=f$.
Lemma 2.4.7. Let $w=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right) \in H_{3}$ be such that $a_{i}, a_{j} \neq 0$ and $a_{k}=0$, for $\{i, j, k\}=\{1,2,3\}$. Then $w \in H_{3 t}$ if and only if $a_{i}$ and $a_{j}$ are multiples of $3 t$.

Proof. We can assume $(i, j, k)=(1,2,3)$. If $w=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, 0\right) \in H_{3 t}$, then $w$ cannot be generated in $H_{3 t}$ by any element belonging to $m+H_{3(t-1)}$. So we obtain $w=A_{1} f_{1}+A_{2} f_{2}$ with $a_{1}=3 t A_{1}$ and $a_{2}=3 t A_{2}$. Conversely, $w=\left(3 t A_{1}, 3 t A_{2}, 0\right) \in H_{3 t}$ for all integers $A_{1}, A_{2} \geq 0$.

Corollary 2.4.8. If $w \in H_{3}$ is as in Lemma 2.4.7, then either $w \in H_{3 t}$ or $w+f_{i}, w+f_{j} \notin H_{3 t}$.

Remark 2.4.9. If $w=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right) \in H_{3 t}$ only has one nonzero component, namely $a_{i}$, then $w=A_{i} f_{i}$, where $a_{i}=3 t A_{i}$.

We are now ready to prove the main theorem of this section.
Theorem 2.4.10. For any $t \geq 1, \mathbb{K}\left[H_{3 t}\right]$ is a CM ring.
Proof. By Theorem 2.1.4, it is enough to prove that $H^{1}=\left\{w \in \overline{H_{3 t}} \mid\right.$ $w+f_{i}, w+f_{j} \in H_{3 t}$ for some $\left.i, j \in\{1,2,3\}, i \neq j\right\} \subseteq H_{3 t}$. We claim that this inclusion is a consequence of the following condition:

Condition (*): if $w=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right) \in H_{3}$ is such that $a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \neq 0$ and $w+f_{i} \in H_{3 t}$ for some $i \in\{1,2,3\}$, then either $w \in H_{3 t}$ or $w+f_{j}, w+f_{k} \notin H_{3 t}$ for $\{i, j, k\}=\{1,2,3\}$.

Proof of the claim. We have already shown the same statement for elements $w$ with $a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}=0$ in Corollary 2.4.8 and Remark 2.4.9. Since $H^{1} \subset \overline{H_{3 t}} \subset H_{3}$, an element $w \in H^{1}$ satisfying $w+f_{j}, w+f_{k} \in H_{3 t}$, for some $j, k \in\{1,2,3\}$ such that $j \neq k$, belongs to $H_{3 t}$. This proves the claim.

Proof of Condition (*). We can assume $(i, j, k)=(1,2,3)$. Set $w+$ $f_{1}=A_{1} f_{1}+A_{2} f_{2}+A_{3} f_{3}+\sum_{j} A_{j+3}\left(m+h_{j}\right) \in H_{3 t}$. We may suppose that $A_{1}=0$, otherwise the result is trivial. We observe the following. Let $u=m+h_{j}=s_{j} m+\left(3\left(t-s_{j}\right), 0,0\right)$ and $v=m+h_{i}=s_{i} m+\left(3\left(t-s_{i}\right), 0,0\right)$, with $s_{j}, s_{i}>0$, be two generators of $H_{3 t}$. Therefore, we can write $u+v=$ $\left[\left(s_{j}-1\right) m+\left(3\left(t-s_{j}+1\right), 0,0\right)\right]+\left[\left(s_{i}+1\right) m+\left[\left(3\left(t-s_{i}-1\right), 0,0\right)\right]\right.$. Similarly, if we replace $h_{j}, h_{i}$ by $\left(0,3\left(t-s_{j}\right), 0\right),\left(0,3\left(t-s_{i}\right), 0\right)$ or $\left(0,0,3\left(t-s_{j}\right)\right)$, $\left(0,0,3\left(t-s_{i}\right)\right)$, respectively. So, after doing suitable transformations on the summands of $w+f_{1}$, we reduce it to one of the following forms.
Case 1: $w+f_{1}=A_{2} f_{2}+A_{3} f_{3}+\left[s_{1} m+\left(3\left(t-s_{1}\right), 0,0\right)\right]+\left[s_{2} m+(0,3(t-\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.s_{2}\right), 0\right)\right]+\left[s_{3} m+\left(0,0,3\left(t-s_{3}\right)\right)\right]$ with $0<s_{1}<t$. Since $s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{3}+$ $3\left(t-s_{1}\right)=3 t+a_{1}$, we have $0 \leq s_{2}, s_{3}<t$, where $s_{2}>0$ or $s_{3}>0$. Let us assume that $s_{2}, s_{3}>0$, the other cases follow in the same way up to minor modifications. By hypothesis, $w+f_{1}$ can be written as a sum of $A_{2}+A_{3}+3$ generators of $H_{3 t}$. The first component of $w+f_{1}$ corresponds to $a_{1}+3 t=s_{1}+3\left(t-s_{1}\right)+s_{2}+s_{3}$, so $a_{1}=s_{2}+s_{3}-2 s_{1}$. Notice that $w=\left(s_{2}+s_{3}-2 s_{1}, s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{3}+A_{2} 3 t+3\left(t-s_{2}\right), s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{3}+A_{3} 3 t+3\left(t-s_{3}\right)\right)$. If $s_{2}, s_{3} \geq s_{1}$, we have $w=A_{2} f_{2}+A_{3} f_{3}+\left[\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right) m+\left(0,3\left(t-s_{2}+s_{1}\right), 0\right)\right]+$ $\left[\left(s_{3}-s_{1}\right) m+\left(0,0,3\left(t-s_{3}+s_{1}\right)\right)\right]$. Indeed, $s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{3}=s_{2}-s_{1}+s_{3}-s_{1}+3 s_{1}$, hence $w \in H_{3 t}$. Otherwise, suppose for instance that $s_{2}<s_{1}$ and write

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\left(s_{2}+s_{3}-2 s_{1}\right) m+\left(0, A_{2} 3 t+3 t-3 s_{2}+3 s_{1}, A_{3} 3 t+3 t-3 s_{3}+3 s_{1}\right) . \tag{2.4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $w \in H_{3 t}$, then $w$ is a sum of $A_{2}+A_{3}+2$ generators of $H_{3 t}$. We observe that $A_{2} 3 t+3 t-3 s_{2}+3 s_{1}>\left(A_{2}+1\right) 3 t, A_{3} 3 t+3 t-3 s_{3}+3 s_{1}>A_{3} 3 t$ and $s_{2}+s_{3}-2 s_{1}<s_{3}<t$. This means that we can write $w$ as a sum of at least $A_{2}+2$ generators of type $s m+(0,3(t-s), 0)$ plus at least $A_{3}+1$ generators of type $s m+(0,0,3(t-s))$, where all $s<t$. Indeed, since $a_{1}=s_{2}+s_{3}-2 s_{1}<t$, a generator in $w$ cannot be of the form $t m$, otherwise $w+f_{1}$ does. If this was the case, such generator would be either $f_{2}$, or $f_{3}$, or it would correspond to $s m+(0,3(t-s), 0)$ or $s m+(0,0,3(t-s))$ with $0<s<t$. But this is a contradiction, because that would give rise to an expression of $w$ with at least $A_{2}+A_{3}+3$ summands (Remark 2.4.4(iii)). Performing the same
kind of arguments, we see that $w+f_{2}, w+f_{3} \notin H_{3 t}$. The case $s_{3}<s_{1}$ is analogous.
Case 2: $w+f_{1}=A_{2} f_{2}+A_{3} f_{3}+t m+\left[s_{1} m+\left(3\left(t-s_{1}\right), 0,0\right)\right]+\left[s_{2} m+(0,3(t-\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.s_{2}\right), 0\right)\right]+\left[s_{3} m+\left(0,0,3\left(t-s_{3}\right)\right)\right]$, where $s_{1}>0$ and some $s_{i}>0, i=2,3$. We assume $s_{2}, s_{3}>0$ for simplicity. By hypothesis, $w+f_{2}$ is a sum of $A_{2}+A_{3}+4$ generators of $H_{3 t}$. If $s_{2}>s_{1}$ (respectively $s_{3}>s_{1}$ ),

$$
\begin{gathered}
w=A_{2} f_{2}+A_{3} f_{3}+\left(t-s_{1}\right) m+\left(0,3 s_{1}, 0\right)+s_{2} m+\left(0,3\left(t-s_{2}\right), 0\right)+ \\
\left(s_{3}-s_{1}\right) m+\left(0,0,3\left(t-s_{3}+s_{1}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

hence $w \in H_{3 t}$. We see that if $s_{2}, s_{3}<s_{1}$, then $w \notin H_{3 t}$. If not, $w$ can be written as a sum of $A_{2}+A_{3}+3$ generators and we have:
$w=m\left(t+s_{2}+s_{3}-2 s_{1}\right)+\left(0,3 t A_{2}+3 t-3 s_{2}+3 s_{1}, 3 t A_{3}+3 t-3 s_{3}+3 s_{1}\right)$.
Notice that $t+s_{2}+s_{3}-2 s_{1}<t, 3 t A_{2}+3 t-3 s_{2}+3 s_{1}>\left(A_{2}+1\right) 3 t$ and $3 t A_{3}+3 t-3 s_{3}+3 s_{1}>\left(A_{3}+1\right) 3 t$. So, $w$ is a sum of at least $A_{2}+A_{3}+4$ generators of $H_{3 t}$. Arguing in a similar way, we also obtain that $w+f_{2}, w+$ $f_{3} \notin H_{3 t}$.
Case 3: $w+f_{1}=A_{2} f_{2}+A_{3} f_{3}+2 t m+\left[s_{1} m+\left(3\left(t-s_{1}\right), 0,0\right)\right]+\left[s_{2} m+(0,3(t-\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.s_{2}\right), 0\right)+s_{3} m+\left(0,0,3\left(t-s_{3}\right)\right)\right]$. Here the situation is slightly different. If $s_{1}>0$, then $w \in H_{3 t}$. Indeed, $w=A_{2} f_{2}+A_{3} f_{3}+\left[\left(t-s_{1}\right) m+\left(0,3\left(t-s_{1}\right), 0\right)\right]+$ $\left[\left(t-s_{1}\right) m+\left(0,0,3\left(t-s_{1}\right)\right)\right]+\left[s_{2} m+\left(0,3\left(t-s_{2}\right), 0\right)\right]+\left[s_{3} m+\left(0,0,3\left(t-s_{3}\right)\right)\right]$.
So we suppose $s_{1}=0$, in which case $s_{2}, s_{3}>0$ and we have:

$$
w=\left(s_{2}+s_{3}-t\right) m+\left(0,3 t A_{2}+3 t+3 t-3 s_{2}, 3 t A_{3}+3 t+3 t-3 s_{3}\right),
$$

with $s_{2}+s_{3}-t<t, 3 t A_{2}+3 t+3 t-3 s_{2}>\left(A_{2}+1\right) 3 t$ and $3 t A_{3}+3 t+3 t-3 s_{3}>$ $\left(A_{3}+1\right) 3 t$. If $w \in H_{3 t}$, then it should be written as a sum of at least $A_{2}+A_{3}+4$ generators, which is a contradiction. Performing the same arguments we also obtain $w+f_{2}, w+f_{3} \notin H_{3 t}$.
Case 4: $w+f_{1}=A_{2} f_{2}+A_{3} f_{3}+K(t m)+\left[s_{1} m+\left(3\left(t-s_{1}\right), 0,0\right)\right]+\left[s_{2} m+\right.$ $\left.\left(0,3\left(t-s_{2}\right), 0\right)\right]+\left[s_{3} m+\left(0,0,3\left(t-s_{3}\right)\right)\right]$, with $K \geq 3$. We always have $w \in H_{3 t}$, indeed $t m+t m+t m=f_{1}+f_{2}+f_{3}$.

This proves Condition (*) and the theorem follows.
Let us see how Theorem 2.4.10 is applied to $\mathbb{K}\left[H_{6}\right]$.

Example 2.4.11. Case 1. The only possibility is $w+f_{1}=A_{2}(0,6,0)+$ $A_{3}(0,0,6)+[(1,1,1)+(3,0,0)]+[(1,1,1)+(0,3,0)]+[(1,1,1)+(0,0,3)]$, where necessarily $a_{1}=0$. For simplicity, we set $A_{2}=A_{3}=0$. If $s_{1}, s_{2}>0$, then $w=(0,1+4+1,1+1+4)=f_{2}+f_{3} \in H_{6}$.
Case 2. We consider $w+f_{1}=(2,2,2)+[(1,1,1)+(3,0,0)]+[(1,1,1)+$ $(0,3,0)]+[(1,1,1)+(0,0,3)]$, with $s_{1}=s_{2}=s_{3}=1$. Then, we have: $w=(2,2,2)+(0,2+4,2+4)=[m+(0,3,0)]+[m+(0,0,3)] \in H_{6}$.
Case 3. We consider $w+f_{1}=(2,2,2)+(2,2,2)+[(1,1,1)+(0,3,0)]+$ $[(1,1,1)+(0,0,3)]$, with $a_{1}=0$. Then, we have: $w=(0,9,9), w+(0,6,0)=$ $(0,15,9), w+(0,0,6)=(0,9,15) \notin H_{6}$.

Fix an integer $k \geq 1$. For each integer $t^{\prime} \geq 0$, we define $H_{3\left(1+t^{\prime} k\right)}^{k}:=$ $\left\langle\left(3\left(1+t^{\prime} k\right), 0,0\right),\left(0,3\left(1+t^{\prime} k\right), 0\right),\left(0,0,3\left(1+t^{\prime} k\right)\right), k m+H_{3\left(1+\left(t^{\prime}-1\right) k\right)}^{k}\right\rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{3}$. We have:

Corollary 2.4.12. $\mathbb{K}\left[H_{3\left(1+k t^{\prime}\right)}^{k}\right]$ is a $C M$ ring for all integers $k \geq 1$ and $t^{\prime} \geq 0$.

Proof. It follows from the same proof as Theorem 2.4.10 replacing $m$ by $k m$.

Remark 2.4.13. (i) $H_{3\left(1+t^{\prime} k\right)}^{k}$ is generated by $3\left(t^{\prime}+1\right)+1$ elements in $\mathbb{Z}^{3}$. (ii) Our initial family $H_{3 t}$ can be rewritten as $H_{3\left(1+t^{\prime}\right)}^{1}$ for $t^{\prime} \geq 0$.

To prove Theorem 2.4.10, we have strongly used the particular shape of the generators of $H_{3}$. The same arguments do not apply, in general, if we replace $H_{3 t}$ by an arbitrary $G T$-system. This evinces the complexity of checking the arithmetical condition $H_{1}=H_{3 t}$ of Theorem 2.1.4, as it is remarked in [49].

## Chapter 3

## The geometry of $\bar{G}$-varieties

As we have seen in Subsection 2.2.1, any $\bar{G}$-variety $X_{d}$ with a finite abelian group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ of order $d$ is an aCM monomial projection of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d^{-}}}$related to invariant theory of finite groups and to the theory of semigroup rings. The homogeneous coordinate ring $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ of $X_{d}$ is a graded CM ring isomorphic to the ring $R^{\bar{G}}$ (Theorem 2.2.18). Combinatorially, $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is isomorphic to the semigroup ring of the normal affine semigroup $H_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ associated to $R^{G}$. These features endow the homogeneous coordinate ring $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ with a rich structure. Along this chapter, we take advantage of these connections to study the geometry behind a $\bar{G}$-variety $X_{d}$ with group $G \subset G \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. We pursue to determine the Hilbert function and series of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ (Propositions 3.1.2 and 3.1.15), to understand the structure of a minimal set of binomial generators of the homogeneous ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ of $X_{d}$ (Theorem 2.4.10), to investigate the canonical module of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ (Theorem 3.3.3), to characterize the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ (Theorem 3.3.5) and to describe the Betti diagram (Definition 3.1.10) of a minimal graded free resolution of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ (Subsection 3.3.1).

This chapter is organized as follows. In Section 3.1, we deal with the Hilbert function and series of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$. We interpret both functions from invariant theory which allows us to describe them in terms of the Molien series of $\bar{G}$ and the monomial basis of $R^{\bar{G}}$ (Proposition 3.1.2). Moreover, this provides us with a range of strategies to compute the Hilbert function and series. In particular, we give an explicit combinatorial description of the Hilbert function and series of $G T$-varieties with a cyclic group of prime order (Proposition 3.1.4). In Subsections 3.1.1 and 3.1.2, we give a closed formula for the Hilbert function and series of any $G T$-surface with fi-
nite cyclic group (Theorem 3.1.21) and for $G T$-threefolds with cyclic group $\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1,2,3}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{K})$ of order $3<d$ (Theorem 3.1.26 and Corollary 3.1.27). The results of Subsection 3.1.1 has been published in [17].

Section 3.2 is devoted to study the homogeneous ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ of any $\bar{G}$-variety with group $G \subset G \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. Our main result proves that $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is generated by binomials of degree at most 3 (Theorem 2.4.10). Furthermore, we give examples of $\bar{G}$-varieties $X_{d}$ with group $G \subset \operatorname{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ whose ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is minimally generated by binomials of degree 2 and 3 . We characterize combinatorially when a binomial of $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ belongs to a minimal set of binomial generators of $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ (Proposition 3.2.4). In Subsection 3.2.1, we deal with a minimal set of binomial generators of any $G T$-threefold with cyclic group $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1,2,3}\right\rangle \subset G L(4, \mathbb{K})$ of order $3<d$. We prove that their homogeneous ideals are generated by binomials of degree 2 if $d$ is even and by binomials of degree 2 and 3 if $d$ is odd (Theorem 3.2.24 and Corollary 3.2.25). For $d$ odd, we provide a complete description of the binomials of degree 3 belonging to a minimal set of generators of $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$. The results of Section 3.2 for finite cyclic groups have been published in [21]. The results of Subsection 3.2.1 have been published in [20].

In Section 3.3, we investigate the canonical module $\omega_{X_{d}}$ of any $\bar{G}$-variety $X_{d}$ with group $G \subset \operatorname{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. We identify $\omega_{X_{d}}$ with $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)=$ $\left(x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \in R^{\bar{G}} \mid a_{0} \cdots a_{n} \neq 0\right) \subset R^{\bar{G}}$ (Theorem 3.3.1). Our main result shows that $\omega_{X_{d}}$ is generated by monomials of degree $d$ and $2 d$ (Theorem 3.3.3). This leads us to characterize the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)$ of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ in terms of the set of monomials $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}$ of I(relint $\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ ) of degree $d$ (Theorem 3.3.5). Finally in Subsection 3.3.1, we gather all the results obtained along this chapter to tackle the Betti diagram of $X_{d}$. We introduce families of $\bar{G}-$ varieties with group $G \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ whose homogeneous coordinate rings are level rings (Proposition 3.3.8). We focus on $\bar{G}$-surfaces with group $G \subset G L(3, \mathbb{K})$. Using the knowledge of the Hilbert series, Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity and the structure of their homogeneous ideal (Corollary 3.1.24 and Corollary 3.2.8), we describe the Betti diagram of their homogeneous coordinate ring and we explicitly compute it for $G T$-surfaces with cyclic group $\left\langle M_{d ; 0, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ of order $2<d$ with $0<\alpha_{1}<\alpha_{2}$ (Theorem 3.3.14). We end this chapter discussing the complexity of finding the Betti diagram of any $\bar{G}$-variety with group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. The results of Section 3.3 for finite cyclic
groups have been published in [21]. The results of Subsection 3.3.1 for $G T$-surfaces with a finite cyclic group have been published in [17].

### 3.1 Hilbert function and Hilbert series

We consider a finite abelian group

$$
G=\left\langle M_{\left(d_{1} ; \alpha_{\sigma_{1}(0)}^{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\sigma_{1}(n)}^{1}\right.}, \ldots, M_{\left(d_{s} ; \alpha_{\sigma_{s}(0)}^{s}, \ldots, \alpha_{\sigma_{s}(n)}^{s}\right.}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})
$$

of order $d=d_{1} \cdots d_{s}$ and its cyclic extension $\bar{G} \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ (see Notation 2.2.1). As usual, we denote by $I_{d}$ the ideal generated by the set $\mathcal{B}_{1}=$ $\left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{\mu_{d}}\right\}$ of all monomial invariants of $\bar{G}$ of degree $d$ and by $X_{d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{\mu_{d}-1}$ the associated $\bar{G}$-variety with group $G \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$.

The Hilbert function of $X_{d}$ is defined as the Hilbert function of its coordinate ring $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), \bullet\right): \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} & \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \\
t & \longrightarrow \operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right):=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{K}} A\left(X_{d}\right)_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

and, analogously, the Hilbert series of $X_{d}$ is the formal series:

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), z\right)=\sum_{t \geq 0} \operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right) z^{t}
$$

Both numerical invariants codify geometrical information of $X_{d}$. For instance, for $t$ large enough, the Hilbert function $\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}[t]$ of degree $\operatorname{dim}\left(X_{d}\right)=n$, called the Hilbert polynomial of $X_{d}$ and denoted $\operatorname{HP}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)$. The degree $\operatorname{deg}\left(X_{d}\right)$ of $X_{d}$ is defined algebraically as $n$ ! times the leading coefficient of $\operatorname{HP}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)$. It corresponds geometrically to the number of points of intersection of $X_{d}$ with a sufficiently general linear subspace of $\mathbb{P}^{\mu_{d}-1}$ of dimension $\mu_{d}-n-1$ (see, for instance, [43, Chapter I §7]). In general terms, we have:
Proposition 3.1.1. There exists a polynomial $Q_{A\left(X_{d}\right)}(z)=\sum_{i=0}^{s} h_{i} z^{i} \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$ of finite degree s satisfying the following conditions:
(i) $h_{0}=1, h_{j} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{j} h_{i} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{j} h_{s-i}$ for all $j=0, \ldots, s$.
(ii) $Q_{A\left(X_{d}\right)}(1)=\operatorname{deg}\left(X_{d}\right)$.
(iii) The Hilbert series of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), z\right)=\frac{Q_{A\left(X_{d}\right)}(z)}{(1-z)^{n+1}}
$$

The sequence $\left(h_{0}, \ldots, h_{s}\right)$ is called the $h$-vector of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$.
Proof. (i) Since $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is a CM domain (Theorem 2.2.18), we apply [9, Corollary 4.1.10 and Theorem 4.4.9] obtaining the first assertion.
(ii) and (iii) They follow from [9, Corollaries 4.1.8 and 4.1.9].

Since $A\left(X_{d}\right) \cong R^{\bar{G}}$ (Theorem 2.2.18), $\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)$ and $\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), z\right)$ can be interpreted from the invariant theory point of view. On one hand, $\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), z\right)$ can be described by means of the Molien series of $R^{\bar{G}}$, which is expressed only in terms of the finite abelian group $\bar{G} \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. On the other hand, in Proposition 2.2.13, we have seen that $x_{0}^{d}, \ldots, x_{n}^{d}$ is a h.s.o.p. for $R^{\bar{G}}$, also called a set of primary invariants of $\bar{G} \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+$ $1, \mathbb{K})$. By Theorem 2.2.14, $R^{\bar{G}}$ is a free $\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{d}, \ldots, x_{n}^{d}\right]$-module with Hironaka decomposition

$$
R^{\bar{G}}=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{D} \theta_{i} \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{d}, \ldots, x_{n}^{d}\right],
$$

where $\theta_{0}, \theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{D}$, called a set of secondary invariants of $\bar{G}$, are the monomial invariants of degree at most $n d$ representing the monomial $\mathbb{K}$-basis of the quotient algebra

$$
R^{\bar{G}} /\left(x_{0}^{d}, \ldots, x_{n}^{d}\right) R^{\bar{G}}
$$

Any set of primary and secondary invariants of $\bar{G}$ determines the Hilbert series of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$.
Proposition 3.1.2. (i) $\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{K}} R_{t}^{\bar{G}}=\left|\mathcal{B}_{t}\right|$.
(ii) $\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), z^{d}\right)=\operatorname{HS}\left(R^{\bar{G}}, z\right)$, where

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(R^{\bar{G}}, z\right)=\frac{1}{|\bar{G}|} \sum_{g \in \bar{G}} \frac{1}{\operatorname{det}(\operatorname{Id}-z g)}
$$

is the Molien series of $\bar{G}$.
(iii) Let $\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{n}$ be the multiplicities of the sequence $\left(\operatorname{deg}\left(\theta_{1}\right), \operatorname{deg}\left(\theta_{2}\right), \ldots\right.$, $\left.\operatorname{deg}\left(\theta_{D}\right)\right)$ of degrees of the secondary invariants $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{D}$ of $\bar{G}$. Then,

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), z\right)=\frac{\delta_{n} z^{n}+\delta_{n-1} z^{n-1}+\cdots+\delta_{1} z+1}{(1-z)^{n+1}}
$$

In particular, $\operatorname{deg}\left(Q_{A\left(X_{d}\right)}(z)\right) \leq n$.
(iv) $\operatorname{deg}\left(X_{d}\right)=D+1=\frac{d^{n+1}}{|\bar{G}|}$.

Proof. (i) and (ii) They follow from Lemma 2.2.4 and Theorem 1.3.6.
(iii) We denote $A:=\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{d}, \ldots, x_{n}^{d}\right]$. Then, $A$ is a polynomial ring and

$$
R^{\bar{G}}=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{D} \theta_{i} A
$$

The Hilbert series of $A$ and $\theta_{i} A$ equal to, respectively,

$$
\operatorname{HS}(A, z)=\frac{1}{\left(1-z^{d}\right)^{n+1}} \text { and } \operatorname{HS}\left(\theta_{i} A, z\right)=\frac{z^{\operatorname{deg}\left(\theta_{i}\right)}}{\left(1-z^{d}\right)^{n}}
$$

Since the Hilbert series is additive with respect to direct sums, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(R^{\bar{G}}, z\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{D} \frac{z^{\operatorname{deg}\left(\theta_{i}\right)}}{\left(1-z^{d}\right)}=\frac{\delta_{n} z^{n d}+\cdots+\delta_{1} z^{d}+1}{\left(1-z^{d}\right)^{n+1}}
$$

The statement now follows from the fact $\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), z^{d}\right)=\operatorname{HS}\left(R^{\bar{G}}, z\right)$.
(iv) With the notation of Proposition 3.1.1, by (iii) we have

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(X_{d}\right)=Q_{A\left(X_{d}\right)}(1)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} \delta_{i}
$$

From (ii) and (iii) we obtain the equality

$$
\frac{\delta_{n} z^{n d}+\cdots+\delta_{1} z^{d}+1}{\left(1-z^{d}\right)^{n+1}}=\frac{1}{|\bar{G}|} \sum_{g \in \bar{G}} \frac{1}{\operatorname{det}(\operatorname{Id}-z g)}
$$

and, hence,

$$
Q_{A\left(X_{d}\right)}\left(z^{d}\right)=\frac{1}{|\bar{G}|} \sum_{g \in \bar{G}} \frac{\left(1-z^{d}\right)^{n+1}}{\operatorname{det}(\operatorname{Id}-z g)}=\frac{1}{|\bar{G}|}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} z^{i}\right)^{n+1}+\frac{1}{d^{2}} \sum_{\operatorname{Id} \neq g \in \bar{G}} \frac{\left(1-z^{d}\right)^{n+1}}{\operatorname{det}(\operatorname{Id}-z g)} .
$$

Taking the limit $z \rightarrow 1$ in both sides, we get $Q_{A\left(X_{d}\right)}(1)=\frac{d^{n+1}}{|\bar{G}|}$, so $\operatorname{deg}\left(X_{d}\right)=$ $\frac{d^{n+1}}{|G|}$ as required.

The degree $\operatorname{deg}\left(X_{d}\right)$ of $X_{d}$ equals to the rank of $R^{\bar{G}}$ as a free $\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{d}, \ldots, x_{n}^{d}\right]-$ module. Proposition 3.1.2 provides us with new methods to determine $\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), z\right)$. For instance, it can be deduced from the set of secondary invariants $\left\{\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{D}\right\}$ of $\bar{G}$. The complexity of this strategy relies on the fact that one needs first to compute $\mathcal{B}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_{n}$. We illustrate it with a few examples.

Example 3.1.3. (i) Take $G=\left\langle M_{3 ; 0,1,2}\right\rangle \subset G L(3, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 3. The $\bar{G}$-variety $X_{3} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ is the cubic associated to the $G T$-system $I_{3}=\left(x_{0}^{3}, x_{1}^{3}, x_{2}^{3}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}\right)$ with group $G$, known as Togliatti's example (see (1.4.1)). We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}_{1}=\left\{x_{0}^{3}, x_{1}^{3}, x_{2}^{3}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}\right\} \\
& \mathcal{B}_{2}=\left\{x_{0}^{6}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{1}^{6}, x_{0} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{0}^{3} x_{2}^{3}, x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}^{4}, x_{2}^{6}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$x_{0}^{3}, x_{1}^{3}, x_{2}^{3}$ is a h.s.o.p of $R^{\bar{G}}$ and $\left\{x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}\right\}$ is a set of secondary invariants of $\bar{G}$. By Proposition 3.1.2(iii), the $h$-vector of $A\left(X_{3}\right)$ is $(1,1,1)$, as in Example 1.3.7(iii), we get:

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{3}\right), z\right)=\frac{z^{2}+z+1}{(1-z)^{3}} .
$$

(ii) Take $G=\left\langle M_{3 ; 0,1,1}, M_{3 ; 0,1,2}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ an abelian group of order 9. A minimal set of fundamental invariants of $\bar{G}$ is

$$
\mathcal{B}_{1}=\left\{x_{2}^{9}, x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{6}, x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{3}, x_{1}^{9}, x_{0}^{3} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{6}, x_{0}^{6} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{3}, x_{0}^{9}\right\} .
$$

The $\bar{G}$-variety $X_{9} \subset \mathbb{P}^{9}$ is a $G T$-surface with group $G$ (Proposition 2.3.1). We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_{2}= & x_{2}^{18}, x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{15}, x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{12}, x_{1}^{9} x_{2}^{9}, x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{6}, x_{1}^{15} x_{2}^{3}, x_{1}^{18}, x_{0}^{3} x_{2}^{15}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{12}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{9}, \\
& \left.x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{9} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{15}, x_{0}^{6} x_{2}^{12}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{9}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{6} x_{x^{6}}, x_{0}^{6} x_{x^{9}}^{3} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{12}, x_{0}^{9} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{9} x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0}^{9} x_{1}^{9}, x_{0}^{12} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{12} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0}^{12} x_{1}^{6}, x_{0}^{15} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0}^{15} x_{1}^{3}, x_{0}^{18}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$x_{0}^{9}, x_{1}^{9}, x_{2}^{9}$ is a h.s.o.p and $\left\{x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{6}, x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0}^{3} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{6}, x_{0}^{6} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{3}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{6}\right\}$ is a set of secondary invariants of $\bar{G}$. By Proposition 3.1.2(iii), the $h$-vector of $X_{9}$ is $(1,7,1)$ and

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{9}\right), z\right)=\frac{z^{2}+7 z+1}{(1-z)^{3}}
$$

(iii) Take $G=\left\langle M_{6 ; 0,1,2,3}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 6 . A minimal set of fundamental invariants of $\bar{G}$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_{1}= & \left\{x_{0}^{6}, x_{1}^{6}, x_{0} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{3} x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{3}, x_{0}^{3} x_{2}^{3}, x_{3} x_{0}^{3} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{3}^{2} x_{0}^{4}, x_{2}^{6}, x_{3} x_{1} x_{2}^{4}\right. \\
& \left.x_{3}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{3}^{3} x_{1}^{3}, x_{3}^{2} x_{0} x_{2}^{3}, x_{3}^{3} x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{3}^{4} x_{0}^{2}, x_{3}^{6}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The $\bar{G}$-threefold $X_{6} \subset \mathbb{P}^{15}$ is a $G T$-threefold with group $G$ (Proposition 2.3.1). We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}_{2}=\left\{x_{0}^{12}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{6}, x_{0}^{7} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}, x_{0}^{8} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{3} x_{0}^{8} x_{1}^{3}, x_{0}^{9} x_{2}^{3}, x_{3} x_{0}^{9} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{3}^{2} x_{0}^{10}, x_{1}^{12}, x_{0} x_{1}^{10} x_{2},\right. \\
& x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{2}, x_{3} x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{9}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{3}, x_{3} x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{7} x_{2}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{4}, x_{3} x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{5} x_{2}^{2}, x_{3}^{2} x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{6}, x_{0}^{5} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{5} \text {, } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\frac{x_{3} x_{0}^{5} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}}{x_{3}^{3} x_{0}^{7} x_{1} x_{2}}, \frac{x_{3}^{2} x_{0}^{5} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}, x_{0}^{6} x_{2}^{6}, x_{3} x_{0}^{6} x_{1}, x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{6}, x_{2}^{4}, x_{3}^{2} x_{1}^{6} x_{0}^{7} x_{2}^{4}, x_{3}^{2} x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{2}, x_{3}^{3} x_{3}^{3} x_{1}^{9}, x_{1}^{3}, x_{0} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{7}, x_{3}^{7} x_{0} x_{1}^{5} x_{2}^{5}, x_{3}^{5}}{}, ~
\end{array} \\
& x_{3}^{3} x_{0} x_{1}^{7} x_{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{8}, x_{3} x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{6}, x_{3}^{2} x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{4}, x_{3}^{3} x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{5} x_{2}^{2}, x_{3}^{4} x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{6}, x_{0}^{3} x_{2}^{9}, \\
& x_{3} x_{0}^{3} x_{1} x_{2}^{7}, x_{3}^{2} x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{5}, x_{3}^{3} x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}, \underline{x_{3}^{4} x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}, x_{3}^{2} x_{0}^{4} x_{2}^{6}, x_{3}^{3} x_{0}^{4} x_{1} x_{2}^{4}, x_{3}^{4} x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, ~} \\
& \frac{x_{3}^{5} x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{3}}{x_{3}^{5} x_{1}^{5} x_{2}^{2}}, \frac{x_{3}^{4}}{x_{3}^{6} x_{1}^{5}, x_{3}^{5} x_{2}^{3}, x_{3}^{5} x_{0}^{5} x_{2}^{9}, x_{3}^{3} x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}^{7}, x_{3}^{6} x_{0}^{6}}, x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{12} x_{1}^{2} x_{2} x_{1}^{5}, x_{3}^{10}, x_{3}^{2} x_{0} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{3} x_{2}^{3}, x_{3}^{3} x_{3}^{3} x_{0}^{3} x_{2}^{6}, x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{4} x_{1}^{4} x_{3}^{4} x_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{6}, ~, \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\overline{x_{3}^{5} x_{0}^{2} x_{1}} x_{2}^{4}, x_{3}^{6} x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{3}^{7} x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{3}, x_{3}^{6} x_{0}^{3} x_{2}^{3}, x_{3}^{7} x_{0}^{3} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{3}^{8} x_{0}^{4}, x_{3}^{6} x_{2}^{6}, x_{3}^{7} x_{1} x_{2}^{4}, \\
\left.x_{3}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{3}^{9} x_{1}^{3}, x_{3}^{8} x_{0} x_{2}^{3}, x_{3}^{9} x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{3}^{10} x_{0}^{2}, x_{3}^{12}\right\} .
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

There are $\delta_{1}=12$ secondary invariants of degree 6 and there are $\delta_{2}=$ 21 secondary invariants of degree 12 , which correspond to the underlined monomials in $\mathcal{B}_{2}$. By Proposition 3.1.2(iv), the sum of the sequence $h$-vector equals to $\operatorname{deg}\left(X_{6}\right)=36$. Since $1+\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}=34<36$, applying Proposition 3.1.2(iii) we have that the $h$-vector of $A\left(X_{6}\right)$ is $(1,12,21,2)$ and

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{6}\right), z\right)=\frac{2 z^{3}+21 z^{2}+12 z+1}{(1-z)^{4}}
$$

Alternatively, one can proceed as in (i) and (ii), and determine the set $\mathcal{B}_{3}$. But it contains 226 monomial of degree 18.

The Molien series of $\bar{G}$ gives an expression of the Hilbert series of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ in terms of the elements of the group $\bar{G}$. Precisely,

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), z^{d}\right)=\frac{1}{|\bar{G}|} \sum_{g \in \bar{G}} \frac{1}{\operatorname{det}(\operatorname{Id}-z g)}
$$

This formula is, however, far from the reduced form in Proposition 3.1.2:

$$
\frac{h_{n} z^{n}+\cdots+h_{1} z+1}{(1-z)^{n+1}}
$$

To transform the Molien series as above could not always be an easy task depending on the group $\bar{G}$. This strategy appears more tractable when we deal with cyclic groups of prime order.

Proposition 3.1.4. Let $2<n \leq d$ be integers with $d$ prime and $G=$ $\left\langle M_{d ; \alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order $d$ and $0 \leq \alpha_{0}<\cdots<$ $\alpha_{n}<d$. Then, for any $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$

$$
\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)=\frac{1}{d}\binom{t d+n}{n}+\frac{d-1}{d}
$$

Proof. Since $\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)=\operatorname{HF}\left(R^{G}, t d\right)$, we can determined $\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)$ from the expansion of the Molien series of $R^{G}$ :

$$
\frac{1}{d} \sum_{g \in G} \frac{1}{\operatorname{det}(I d-z g)}=\frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \frac{1}{\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{Id}-z M_{d ; k \alpha_{0}, \ldots, k \alpha_{n}}\right)}
$$

Fix $1 \leq k \leq d-1$, therefore

$$
\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}\left(\operatorname{Id}-z M_{d ; k \alpha_{0}, \ldots, k \alpha_{n}}\right)}=\frac{1}{(1-z)\left(1-e^{k \alpha_{0}} z\right) \cdots\left(1-e^{k \alpha_{n}} z\right)} .
$$

Since $d$ is prime and the exponents $0 \leq \alpha_{0}<\cdots<\alpha_{n}<d$, the classes of $k \alpha_{0}, \ldots, k \alpha_{n} \bmod d$ are represented by two by two distinct integers in the set $\{0, \ldots, d-1\}$. Using the factorization $\left(1-z^{d}\right)=\prod_{j=0}^{d-1}\left(1-e^{j} z\right)$, we can write it as:

$$
\frac{1}{\left(1-z^{d}\right)} \prod_{\substack{j \neq k \alpha_{i} \bmod d \\ i=0, \ldots, n}}\left(1-e^{j} z\right)
$$

which gives us the following expression:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{HS}\left(R^{G}, z\right) & =\frac{1}{d}\left(\frac{1}{(1-z)^{n+1}}+\frac{1}{1-z^{d}} \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \prod_{\substack{j \neq k \alpha_{i} \bmod d \\
i=0, \ldots, n}}\left(1-e^{j} z\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{d}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{i}\binom{-(n+1)}{i} z^{i}+\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{d-1} \prod_{\substack{j \neq k \alpha_{i} \bmod d \\
i=0, \ldots, n}}\left(1-e^{j} z\right)\right) z^{i d}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)$ is the coefficient of the $t d$ th term of the expansion of $\operatorname{HS}\left(R^{G}, z\right)$. The expansion of the first summand at $z^{t d}$ provides $\binom{t d+n}{n}$. For each $\left.1 \leq k \leq d-1, \prod_{\substack{j \neq k \alpha_{i} \bmod d \\ i=0, \ldots, n}}\left(1-e^{j} z\right)\right)$ is a polynomial in $z$ of degree strictly smaller than $d$, so the second provides $d-1$ at $z^{t d}$. Thus,

$$
\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)=\frac{1}{d}\binom{t d+n}{n}+\frac{d-1}{d}
$$

Remark 3.1.5. Notice that any $\bar{G}$-variety with group $G$ as in Proposition 3.1.4 is a $G T$-variety with group $G$ (Proposition 2.3.4).

As an examples, we analyse some particular cases of Proposition 3.1.4 for small values of $n$. For $n=2$, the Hilbert function of any $G T$-surface $X_{d}$ with group $G$ is

$$
\mathrm{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)=\frac{d t^{2}+3 t+2}{2}
$$

For $n=3$ and any $G T$-threefold $X_{d}$ with group $G$ we have:

$$
\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)=\frac{d^{2} t^{3}+6 d t^{2}+11 t+6}{3!}
$$

and, analogously, for $n=4$ and any $G T$-fourfold $X_{d}$ with group $G$ :

$$
\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)=\frac{d^{3} t^{4}+10 d^{2} t^{3}+35 d t^{2}+50 t+24}{4!}, \quad \forall t \geq 0
$$

Next, we continue with the general case. For $n, x \in \mathbb{Z}$, we write

$$
\binom{x}{n}=\frac{x(x-1) \cdots(x-n+1)}{n!}=\sum_{i=0}^{n} s_{n, i} x^{i} .
$$

The $s_{n, i}$ are called Stirling numbers of the first kind. In particular, $s_{n, 0}=0$ and $s_{n, n}=\frac{1}{n!}$. However, a closed formula for an arbitrary $s_{n, i}$ is not available. In terms of the Stirling numbers of the first kind, the Hilbert function of any $G T$-variety $X_{d}$ with cyclic group $G$ as in Proposition 3.1.4 is

$$
\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)=1+\sum_{m=1}^{n-1}\left(\sum_{k=m}^{n} s_{n, k}\binom{k}{m} n^{k-m} d^{m-1}\right) t^{m}+\frac{d^{n-1} t^{n}}{n!} .
$$

From this expression and by means of the so called Eulerian numbers, which we next introduce, we can give a similar expression for the Hilbert series of $X_{d}$. Let $0 \leq k \leq m$ be integers, the Eulerian number $A_{m, k}$ is defined as

$$
A_{m, k}=\sum_{j=0}^{m+1}(-1)^{j}\binom{m+1}{j}(k-j+1)^{m} .
$$

In particular, $A_{m, 0}=1, A_{m, m}=0$ and it holds that $\sum_{k=0}^{m} A_{m, k}=m!$. Moreover, we have

$$
\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} t^{m} z^{t}=\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{m} A_{m, k} z^{m-k}}{(1-z)^{m+1}}
$$

For simplicity, we denote $\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)=\sum_{m=0}^{n} C_{m} t^{m}$ where

$$
C_{0}=1, C_{n}=\frac{d^{n-1}}{n!} \text { and } C_{m}=\sum_{k=m}^{n} s_{n, k}\binom{k}{m} n^{k-m} d^{m-1}, m=1, \ldots, n-1 .
$$

With this notation,

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), z\right)=\frac{\sum_{m=0}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{m} C_{m} A_{m, k} \sum_{j=0}^{n-m}(-1)^{j}\binom{n-m}{j} z^{m-k+j}}{(1-z)^{n+1}} .
$$

We obtain the following formula for the number of secondary invariants of $\bar{G}$ of degree $n d$

$$
\delta_{n}=1+\sum_{m=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{n-m}\left(\sum_{k=m}^{n} s_{n, k}\binom{k}{m} n^{k-m} d^{m-1}\right)+\frac{d^{n-1}}{n!}
$$

For $G T$-surfaces $X_{d}$ with group $G$ :

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), z\right)=\frac{\frac{d-1}{2} z^{2}+\frac{d-1}{2} z+1}{(1-z)^{3}}
$$

for $G T$-threefolds $X_{d}$ :

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), z\right)=\frac{\frac{d^{2}-6 d+5}{6} z^{3}+\frac{2 d^{2}-2}{3} z^{2}+\frac{d^{2}+6 d-7}{6} z+1}{(1-z)^{4}}
$$

and for $G T$-fourfolds $X_{d}, \operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), z\right)$ equals to

$$
\frac{\frac{d^{3}-10 d^{2}+35 d-26}{24} z^{4}+\frac{11 d^{3}-30 d^{2}-35 d+54}{24} z^{3}+\frac{11 d^{3}+30 d^{2}-35 d-6}{24} z^{2}+\frac{d^{3}+10 d^{2}+35 d-46}{24} z+1}{(1-z)^{5}} .
$$

Let us see some particular examples, which show that Proposition 3.1.4 is not longer true for cyclic groups $G=\left\langle M_{d ; \alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ of prime order $d$ with $\alpha_{i}=\alpha_{j}$ for some $i, j \in\{0, \ldots, n\}$ with $i \neq j$.

Example 3.1.6. (i) Take $G=\left\langle M_{3 ; 0,1,2}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 3. The Hilbert series of the $G T$-surface $X_{3}$ with group $G$ is

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{3}\right), z\right)=\frac{z^{2}+z+1}{(1-z)^{3}}
$$

verifying as well Example 3.1.3(i).
(ii) Take $G=\left\langle M_{5 ; 0,1,2,3}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 5 . The Hilbert series of the $G T$-threefold $X_{5}$ with group $G$ is

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{5}\right), z\right)=\frac{16 z^{2}+8 z+1}{(1-z)^{4}}
$$

We have checked that $R^{\bar{G}}$ has 8 secondary invariants of degree 5,16 secondary invariants of degree 10 and none secondary invariants of degree 15 , verifying Proposition 3.1.2.
(iii) Take $G=\left\langle M_{11 ; 0,1,4,7,10}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(5, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 11 . We have:

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{11}\right), z\right)=\frac{20 z^{4}+445 z^{3}+745 z^{2}+120 z+1}{(1-z)^{5}} .
$$

We have checked that $R^{\bar{G}}$ has a total of 1331 secondary invariants: 120 of degree 11,745 of degree 22,445 of degree 33 and 20 of degree 44 , verifying Proposition 3.1.2.
(iv) Proposition 3.1.4 is not longer true if we drop the hypothesis on $\alpha_{i}$. For instance, let $G=\left\langle M_{5 ; 0,1,1,2}\right\rangle \subset G L(4, \mathbb{K})$ be a cyclic group of order 5 . We have checked that $R^{\bar{G}}$ has 10 secondary invariants of degree 5,12 of degree 10 and 2 of degree 15. By Proposition 3.1.2,

$$
\mathrm{HS}\left(R^{\bar{G}}, z\right)=\frac{2 z^{3}+12 z^{2}+10 z+1}{(1-z)^{4}}
$$

which does not agree with the formula obtained for a cyclic group $G \subset$ $\mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{K})$ of order 5 satisfying the hypothesis of Proposition 3.1.4:

$$
\frac{16 z^{2}+8 z+1}{(1-z)^{4}}
$$

Combinatorics is a third perspective from which the Hilbert function and series of $\bar{G}$-varieties $X_{d}$ with group $G$ can be examined. We take

$$
G=\left\langle M_{d_{1} ; \alpha_{\sigma_{1}(0)}^{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\sigma_{1}(n)}^{1}}, \ldots, M_{d_{s} ; \alpha_{\sigma_{s}(0)}^{s}, \ldots, \alpha_{\sigma_{s}(n)}^{s}}\right\rangle \subset \operatorname{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K}) .
$$

For any $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)$ equals to the number of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$-solutions of the associated linear systems of congruences

$$
(*)_{\mathcal{A} ; t, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}:\left\{\begin{array}{llll}
y_{0} & +y_{1} & +\cdots+y_{n} & =t d \\
\alpha_{\sigma_{1}(0)}^{1} y_{0} & +\alpha_{\sigma_{1}(1)}^{1} y_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{\sigma_{1}(n)}^{1} y_{n} & =r_{1} d_{1} \\
& & \vdots \\
\alpha_{\sigma_{s}(0)}^{s} y_{0}+\alpha_{\sigma_{s}(1)}^{s} y_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{\sigma_{s}(n)}^{s} y_{n} & =r_{s} d_{s}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
0 \leq r_{i} \leq \frac{\alpha_{n}^{i} t d}{d_{i}}, i=1, \ldots, s(\text { Section } 2.2)
$$

In Subsection 3.1.1, we will use this strategy to compute the Hilbert function and series of any $G T$-surface with finite cyclic group and, in Subsection 3.1.2, of any $G T$ - threefold with cyclic group $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1,2,3}\right\rangle \subset G L(4, \mathbb{K})$ of order $d \geq 4$. Now, we study this point of view and we present a result due to Elashvili and Jibladze [28]. We focus on the following family of linear systems of congruences. Let $2 \leq n<d$ be integers and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t \geq 0, r=0, \ldots, n t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 3.1.7. $(*)_{\mathcal{A} ; t, r}$ is the linear system of congruences associated to any $G T$-variety $X_{d}$ with cyclic group $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1,2, \ldots, n}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ of order $d>n$.

The systems $(*)_{\mathcal{A} ; t, r}$ are distinguished from the following perspective. Take $G_{1}=\left\langle M_{d ; \alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of the same order $d$ with $\alpha_{0}<\cdots<\alpha_{n}$. Set $N=\alpha_{n}$, take new variables $z_{0}, \ldots, z_{N}, R^{\prime}:=$ $\mathbb{K}\left[z_{0}, \ldots, z_{N}\right]$ and consider $G_{2}=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1,2, \ldots, N}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(N+1, \mathbb{K})$. Therefore, any monomial $x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \in R^{G_{1}}$ is identified with a monomial

$$
z_{\alpha_{0}}^{a_{0}} z_{\alpha_{1}}^{a_{1}} \cdots z_{\alpha_{n}}^{a_{n}} \in\left(R^{\prime}\right)^{G_{2}}
$$

In other words, monomial invariants of $G_{1}$ are monomial invariants of $G_{2}$ in the variables $z_{\alpha_{0}}, \ldots, z_{\alpha_{n}}$. Thus, if one is interested, for instance, in describing the monomial invariants of any cyclic group $G_{1}$, it suffices to focus on the family $\left\{\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1,2, \ldots, N}\right\rangle \mid 2 \leq N<d\right\}$ (see [42]). Moreover, equations in $(*)_{\mathcal{A} ; t, r}$ are more tractable than those associated to $G_{1}$.

Theorem 3.1.8. Let $n \geq 2$ and $t \geq 0$ be integers and $G=\left\langle M_{n+1 ; 0,1, \ldots, n}\right\rangle \subset$ $\mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order $n+1$. Then,

$$
\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{n+1}\right), t\right)=\frac{1}{(t+1)(n+1)} \sum_{k \mid n+1} \varphi(k)\binom{\frac{n+1}{k}(t+1)}{\frac{n+1}{k} t}
$$

where $\varphi(k)$ is the Euler function evaluated at $k$.

Proof. See [28, Theorem 1].
With Theorem 3.1.8, we recover Proposition 3.1.4 when $d=n+1$ is prime. Indeed, making use of the basic properties of binomial coefficients, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right) & =\frac{1}{(t+1)(n+1)}\binom{(t+1)(n+1)}{t(n+1)}+\frac{1}{(t+1)(n+1)} n(t+1) \\
& =\frac{1}{(t+1)(n+1)} \frac{(t+1)(n+1)}{n+1}\binom{(t+1)(n+1)-1}{n}+\frac{n}{n+1} \\
& =\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{t(n+1)+n}{n}+\frac{n}{n+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Nevertheless, Theorem 3.1.8 and the discussion accompany Proposition 3.1.4 expose the complexity of determining an explicit formula for the Hilbert function or series of a $\bar{G}$-variety $X_{d}$ with group $G \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ from which more information could be inferred. For instance, manipulating the systems $(*)_{\mathcal{A} ; t, r}$, we obtain the Hilbert function $\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)$ of a $\bar{G}$-variety $X_{d}$ with group $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1,2, \ldots, n}\right\rangle \subset \operatorname{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ as a result of summing the following series:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2+\sum_{r=1}^{n t-1}\left(\sum_{a_{n}=\max \{0,(r-(n-1) t) d\}}^{\left\lfloor\left\lfloor\frac{r d}{n}\right\rfloor\right.} \sum_{\substack{ \\
a_{n-1}=\max \left\{0,(r-(n-2) t) d \\
-2 a_{n}\right\}}}^{\left\lfloor\frac{r d-n a_{n}}{n-1}\right\rfloor} \cdots \sum_{\substack{ \\
a_{i}=\max \left\{0,(r-(i-1) t) d-\\
2 a_{i+1}-\cdots-(n-i+1) a_{n}\right\}}}^{\left\lfloor\frac{\left.r d-(i+1) a_{i+1-\cdots a_{n}-\cdots}^{i}\right\rfloor}{i}\right.}\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\left(\sum_{\substack{\left.\frac{r d-4 a_{4}-\cdots-n a_{n}}{3}\right\rfloor \\
a_{3}=\max \left\{0,(r-2 t) d \\
-2 a_{4}-\cdots-(n-2) a_{n}\right\}}}\left(1+\left\lfloor\frac{r d-3 a_{3}-\cdots-n a_{n}}{2}\right\rfloor-\max \left\{0,(r-t) d-2 a_{3}-\cdots-(n-1) a_{n}\right\}\right)\right)\right) \cdots\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

Dealing with the above expression in high dimensions is out of reach. We will resume this discussion in the following two subsections.

The Hilbert function and series of a $\bar{G}$-variety $X_{d}$ with group $G \subset$ $\mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ can be computed from the graded Betti numbers of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$. Even though the converse is not true, both numerical invariants play an important role in finding the Betti numbers or, even further, a minimal graded
free resolution of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ (Proposition 3.1.12). The graded Betti numbers contain significantly more information of $X_{d}$ than the Hilbert function and series (see, for instance, [26]). To determine the graded Betti numbers or a minimal graded free resolution of a variety $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^{r}$ is a classical and difficult problem. Next, we study how these notions are related and we introduce the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$.

In Theorem 2.2.11, we have proved that the set $\mathcal{B}_{1}=\left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{\mu_{d}}\right\}$ of all monomial invariants of $G$ of degree $d$ minimally generates $R^{\bar{G}}$, i.e. $R^{\bar{G}}=\mathbb{K}\left[\mathcal{B}_{1}\right]$. Take $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{\mu_{d}}$ new variables and $S=\mathbb{K}\left[w_{1}, \ldots, w_{\mu_{d}}\right]$. The homogeneous ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ of $X_{d}$ is the kernel of the morphism $\rho: S \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}\left[\mathcal{B}_{1}\right]$ defined by $\rho\left(w_{i}\right)=m_{i}, i=1, \ldots, \mu_{d}$. Concretely, $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is the homogeneous binomial prime ideal generated by the set of binomials:

$$
\left\{w_{i_{1}} \cdots w_{i_{k}}-w_{j_{1}} \cdots w_{j_{k}} \in S \mid m_{i_{1}} \cdots m_{i_{k}}=m_{j_{1}} \cdots m_{j_{k}}, k \geq 2\right\}
$$

(see the proof of Theorem 2.2.18).
Remark 3.1.9. $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ does not contain any linear form. Indeed, a linear form $l=\sum_{i=1}^{\mu_{d}} \alpha_{i} w_{i} \in \mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^{\mu_{d}} \alpha_{i} \rho\left(w_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{\mu_{d}} \alpha_{i} m_{i}=0$. Since it is a trivial combination of elements in the monomial $\mathbb{K}$-basis of $R_{d}$, it follows that $\alpha_{1}=\cdots=\alpha_{\mu_{d}}=0$.
$A\left(X_{d}\right) \cong S / \mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is a CM ring (Theorem 2.2.18) and, hence,

$$
\operatorname{pdim}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=\operatorname{codim}\left(X_{d}\right)=\mu_{d}-n-1 .
$$

To simplify the notation, from now on we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
c:=\operatorname{codim}\left(X_{d}\right)=\mu_{d}-n-1 . \tag{3.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider a minimal graded free $S$-resolution $F_{\bullet}$ of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ :

$$
F_{\bullet}: \quad 0 \longrightarrow F_{c} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_{2} \longrightarrow F_{1} \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow A\left(X_{d}\right) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where

$$
F_{i} \cong \bigoplus_{j \geq 1}^{f_{i}} S(-j-i)^{\beta_{i, j}}
$$

and $\beta_{i, f_{i}}>0,1 \leq i \leq c$. The $i$ th graded Betti number of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is $\beta_{i}=$ $\beta_{i, 1}, \ldots, \beta_{i, f_{i}}$ and it does not depend on the choice of $F_{\bullet} . A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is a level ring if

$$
F_{c} \cong S\left(-f_{c}-c\right)^{\beta_{c, f}} .
$$

If so, $\beta_{c}=0, \ldots, 0, \beta_{c, f_{c}}$ and $\beta_{c, f_{c}}$ is the CM-type of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$.
Definition 3.1.10. The Betti diagram or Betti table of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is a labelled table of $1+c$ columns and $r+1=1+\max _{1 \leq i \leq c}\left\{f_{i}\right\}$ rows whose entries are the graded Betti numbers of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ :

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | $\cdots$ | $c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | - | - | $\cdots$ | - |
| 1 | - | $\beta_{1,1}$ | $\beta_{2,1}$ | $\cdots$ | $\beta_{c, 1}$ |
| 2 | - | $\beta_{1,2}$ | $\beta_{2,2}$ | $\cdots$ | $\beta_{c, 2}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $r$ | - | $\beta_{1, r}$ | $\beta_{2, r}$ | $\cdots$ | $\beta_{c, r}$ |

where '-' symbolises 0 .
Remark 3.1.11. The $i$ th column of the Betti table of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ describes the free graded $S$-module $F_{i}$. The $j$ th row of the Betti table of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ gives partial information of the $S$-linear maps $\left(\delta_{l}\right)_{1 \leq l \leq c}$.
Proposition 3.1.12. Let $F_{\bullet}$ be a minimal graded free $S$-resolution of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$. For each $1 \leq k \leq u:=\max _{1 \leq i \leq c}\left\{f_{i}\right\}+c$, we set $B_{k}:=\sum_{i+j=k}(-1)^{i} \beta_{i, j}$. Then,
(i) for each $0 \leq t$,

$$
\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{u} B_{k}\binom{\mu_{d}-1+t-k}{\mu_{d}-1}
$$

where $\binom{\mu_{d}-1+t-k}{\mu_{d}-1}=0$ if $t<k$.
(ii) Conversely, the alternate sums $B_{k}$ can be deduced inductively from $\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)$ as

$$
B_{k}=\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), k\right)-\sum_{l<k} B_{k}\binom{\mu_{d}-1+k-l}{\mu_{d}-1} .
$$

Proof. See [26, Corollaries 1.2 and 1.10].
Definition 3.1.13. Let $F_{\bullet}$ be a minimal graded $S$-resolution of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$.
(i) $\beta_{1,1}+\cdots+\beta_{1, f_{1}}$ is the minimal number of generators of $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$.
(ii) $\min _{j \leq f_{1}}\left\{\beta_{1, j} \neq 0\right\}$ is the initial degree of $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$.
(iii) $f_{1}+1$ is the maximum of the degrees of elements in a minimal set of generators of $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$.
(iv) The Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is defined as

$$
\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right):=\max _{1 \leq i \leq c}\left\{f_{i}\right\}+1
$$

Graphically, $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)$ coincides with the total number of rows of the Betti diagram of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$. Since $A\left(X_{d}\right) \cong S / \mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is a CM ring, $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=$ $f_{c}+1$, i.e. it is measured at the end of the resolution [71, Theorem 3.11].

Example 3.1.14. (i) Take $G=\left\langle M_{3 ; 0,1,2}\right\rangle$ a cyclic group of order 3. $\mathcal{B}_{1}=$ $\left\{x_{0}^{3}, x_{1}^{3}, x_{2}^{3}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}\right\}$ is a minimal set of fundamental invariants of $\bar{G}$. The homogeneous ideal of the cubic surface $X_{3} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ is $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{3}\right)=\left(w_{4}^{3}-w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\right)$. $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{3}\right)\right)=3$ and the Betti diagram of $A\left(X_{3}\right)$ is

|  | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | - |
| 1 | - | - |
| 2 | - | 1 |

(ii) Take $G=\left\langle M_{4 ; 0,1,2,3}\right\rangle \subset G L(4, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 4. A minimal set of fundamental invariants of $\bar{G}$ is

$$
\mathcal{B}_{1}=\left\{x_{0}^{4}, x_{1}^{4}, x_{0} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{3} x_{0}^{2} x_{1}, x_{2}^{4}, x_{3} x_{1} x_{2}^{2}, x_{3}^{2} x_{1}^{2}, x_{3}^{2} x_{0} x_{2}, x_{3}^{4}\right\} .
$$

The homogeneous ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{4}\right)$ of the $G T$-threefold $X_{4} \subset \mathbb{P}^{9}$ with group $G$ is minimally generated by the following 12 quadrics:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rlr}
w_{9}^{2} & -w_{4} w_{10} & w_{5} w_{7}
\end{array} w_{3} w_{9}\right)
$$

$\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{4}\right)\right)=3$ and the Betti diagram of $A\left(X_{4}\right)$ is

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | - | 12 | 16 | 6 | - | - | - |
| 2 | - | - | 36 | 96 | 100 | 48 | 9 |

Proposition 3.1.15. Let $F_{\bullet}$ be a minimal graded $S$-resolution of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ with Hilbert series:

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(X\left(A_{d}\right), z\right)=\frac{Q_{A\left(X_{d}\right)}(z)}{(1-z)^{n+1}}
$$

(i) $f_{1}+1 \leq \operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)$.
(ii) $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(Q_{A\left(X_{d}\right)}\right)+$ 1. In particular, $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right) \leq n+1$ and the $h$-vector of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is of the form $\left(1, c, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{\mathrm{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)-1\right.}\right)$.
(iii) If $2 \leq h_{\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)-1}<h_{1}$, then $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is generated by binomials of degree at most $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)-1$.

Proof. (i) From the definition of the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, the inequality $f_{1}+1 \leq \operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)$ holds automatically.
(ii) For $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \operatorname{HS}(S(-j), z)=\frac{t^{j}}{(1-z)^{\mu_{d}}}$. Since the Hilbert series is additive on exact sequences, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), z\right)=\frac{P(z)}{(1-z)^{\mu_{d}}},
$$

where $P(z)=\sum_{i=0}^{c} \sum_{j=1}^{f_{i}} \beta_{i, j} t^{j}$ is a polynomial of degree $c+f_{c}$. Therefore,

$$
\frac{P(z)}{(1-z)^{\mu_{d}}}=\frac{Q_{A\left(X_{d}\right)}(z)}{(1-z)^{n+1}}
$$

Hence, $Q_{A\left(X_{d}\right)}(z)=\frac{P(z)}{(1-z)^{c}}$ is a polynomial of degree $f_{c}$ and we get

$$
\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(Q_{A\left(X_{d}\right)}(z)\right)+1
$$

The second part of the assertion is Proposition 3.1.2(iii).
(iii) It follows from [88, Proposition 3].

Example 3.1.16. (i) Take $G=\left\langle M_{3 ; 0,1,2}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 3 (Examples 3.1.3(i) and 3.1.14(i)). The Hilbert series of cubic surface $X_{3} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ is

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{3}\right), z\right)=\frac{z^{2}+z+1}{(1-z)^{3}},
$$

$\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{3}\right)\right)=3$ and $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{3}\right)$ is generated by one binomial of degree 3 .
(ii) Take $G=\left\langle M_{4 ; 0,1,2,3}\right\rangle \subset G L(4, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 4 (Example 3.1.14(ii)). The Hilbert series of the $G T$-threefold $X_{4} \subset \mathbb{P}^{9}$ with group $G$ is

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{4}\right), z\right)=\frac{9 z^{2}+6 z+1}{(1-z)^{4}}
$$

$\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{4}\right)\right)=3$ and $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{4}\right)$ is generated by 12 binomials of degree 2.
Later in Section 3.3, we will investigate the canonical module $\omega_{X_{d}}$ of the coordinate ring $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ of any $\bar{G}$-variety $X_{d}$ with group $G \subset G \mathrm{GL}(n+$ $1, \mathbb{K})$. We will see a combinatoric interpretation of the last component of the $h$-vector of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ and we will characterize its Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity. Both results will allow us to say more about the Hilbert series and the minimal graded free $S$-resolution of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$.

### 3.1.1 The Hilbert function of GT-surfaces

Through this subsection $R=\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$. We restrict our attention to $G T$-surfaces with cyclic group $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ of order $d \geq 2$ and $0<\alpha_{1}<\alpha_{2}<d$. The ideal $I_{d} \subset R$ generated by the minimal set $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ of fundamental monomial invariants of $\bar{G}$ is a $G T$-system with group $G$ (Theorem 2.2.11 and Proposition 2.3.4). Our main goal is to compute the Hilbert function and series of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ in terms of $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ and $d$ (Theorem 3.1.21). This leads us to prove that $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is a level ring and to determine the CM-type and the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$.

To begin with, we recall that $\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)$ coincides with the number of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{3}$-solutions of the systems of congruences:

$$
(*)_{\mathcal{A} ; t, r}=\left\{\begin{array}{rll}
y_{0}+y_{1}+y_{2} & =t d \\
\alpha_{1} y_{1}+\alpha_{2} y_{2} & =r d
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $r=0, \ldots, \alpha_{2} t$.

In Propositions 3.1.2 and 3.1.15, we have seen that the Hilbert series of $X_{d}$ is of the form:

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), z\right)=\frac{\delta_{2} z^{2}+\delta_{1} z+1}{(1-z)^{3}}
$$

where $\delta_{1}=\operatorname{codim}\left(X_{d}\right)=\mu_{d}-3$ and $\delta_{2}$ is the number of monomial invariants $x_{0}^{a_{0}} x_{1}^{a_{1}} x_{2}^{a_{2}}$ of $G$ of degree $2 d$ such that $a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}<d$.

Through this subsection, we will use the following notation.
Notation 3.1.17. If $z, z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}$, we write $\operatorname{GCD}\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$ simply by $\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$. We denote

$$
\alpha_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)}, \alpha_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{2}, d\right)}, d^{\prime}=\frac{d}{\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)}, d^{\prime \prime}=\frac{d}{\left(\alpha_{2}, d\right)} .
$$

From now onwards, $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are the uniquely determined integers such that $0<\lambda \leq d^{\prime}$ and $\alpha_{2}^{\prime}=\lambda \alpha_{1}^{\prime}+\mu d^{\prime}$.

We have the following.
Lemma 3.1.18. $\operatorname{HF}\left(X_{d}, t\right)$ equals the number of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{3}$-solutions $\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ of the systems:

$$
(* *)_{t, r}=\left\{\begin{array}{rl}
y_{0}+y_{1}+\frac{y_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)} & =t d \\
y_{1}+\lambda \frac{y_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)} & =r d^{\prime}
\end{array} \quad, r=0, \ldots, t \lambda .\right.
$$

satisfying $y_{1}+y_{2} \leq t d$.
Proof. Let $\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{3}$ be a solution of a system $(*)_{\mathcal{A} ; t, r}$ for some $r \in\left\{0, \ldots, \alpha_{2} t\right\}$. We observe that $\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)$ divides $y_{2}$. We have

$$
\alpha_{1} y_{1}+\alpha_{2} y_{2}=\alpha_{1} y_{1}+\alpha_{1}^{\prime} \lambda y_{2}+\mu d^{\prime} y_{2}=r d .
$$

We write $y_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{y_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)}$, hence,

$$
\alpha_{1}^{\prime} y_{1}+\alpha_{1}^{\prime} \lambda y_{2}^{\prime}=\left(r-\mu y_{2}^{\prime}\right) d^{\prime} .
$$

This implies that $\alpha_{1}^{\prime}$ divides $\left(r-\mu y_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. Then, we obtain $y_{1}+\lambda y_{2}^{\prime}=r^{\prime} d^{\prime}$, where necessarily $0 \leq r^{\prime} \leq \lambda t$. Thus, ( $y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}$ ) induces a unique solution of the systems $(* *)_{t, r}$ such that $y_{1}+y_{2} \leq t d$.

Conversely, let $\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{3}$ be a solution of $(* *)_{t, r}$ for some $r \in$ $\{0, \ldots, t \lambda\}$ such that $y_{1}+\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right) y_{2}^{\prime} \leq t d$. Since $y_{1}+\lambda y_{2}^{\prime}=r d^{\prime}, \alpha_{1} y_{1}+\alpha_{1} \lambda y_{2}^{\prime}=$ $r \alpha_{1}^{\prime} d$. Using that $\alpha_{1}^{\prime} \lambda=\alpha_{2}-\mu d^{\prime}$, we obtain

$$
\alpha_{1} y_{1}+\alpha_{1} \lambda y_{2}^{\prime}=\alpha_{1} y_{1}+\alpha_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right) y_{2}^{\prime}-\mu d^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right) y_{2}^{\prime}=r \alpha_{1}^{\prime} d,
$$

hence, $\alpha_{1} y_{1}+\alpha_{2}\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right) y_{2}^{\prime}=\left(r \alpha_{1}^{\prime}+\mu y_{2}^{\prime}\right) d$. We set $y_{2}:=\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right) y_{2}^{\prime}$. Then, $\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ verifies $\alpha_{1} y_{1}+\alpha_{2} y_{2}=r^{\prime} d$ for some $0 \leq r^{\prime} \leq t b$. So, $\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ induces a unique solution of some system $(*)_{\mathcal{A} ; t, r}$ if and only if $y_{1}+y_{2} \leq$ $t d$.

Example 3.1.19. (i) Take $G=\left\langle M_{8 ; 0,3,5}\right\rangle \subset G L(3, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 8. In this case, $\lambda=7$ and $\mu=-2$. We observe that $M_{8 ; 0,3,5}=M_{8 ; 0,1,7}^{3}$ and $M_{8 ; 0,1,7}=M_{8 ; 0,3,5}^{3}$, so $G=\left\langle M_{8 ; 0,1,7}\right\rangle$. The systems $(*)_{\mathcal{A} ; 1, r}$ and $(* *)_{1, r}$ give the same minimal set of fundamental invariants:

$$
\mathcal{B}_{1}=\left\{x_{0}^{8}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{1}^{8}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}, x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{4}, x_{2}^{8}\right\} .
$$

(ii) Take $G=\left\langle M_{6 ; 0,2,3}\right\rangle \subset G L(3, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 6 . A minimal set of fundamental invariants of $\bar{G}$ is:

$$
\mathcal{B}_{1}=\left\{x_{0}^{6}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3}, x_{0}^{4} x_{2}^{2}, x_{1}^{6}, x_{0} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{4}, x_{2}^{6}\right\} .
$$

In this case, $\lambda=2$ and $d^{\prime}=3$. The $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{3}$-solutions $\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ of the systems

$$
(* *)_{1, r}=\left\{\begin{array}{rl}
y_{0}+y_{1}+y_{2} & =6 \\
y_{1}+3 y_{2} & =3 r
\end{array} \quad, \quad r=0,1,2,3,\right.
$$

are: $(6,0,0),(3,3,0),(5,0,1),(0,6,0),(2,3,1),(4,0,2),(1,3,2),(3,0,3)$, $(0,3,3),(2,0,4),(1,0,5)$ and $(0,0,6)$. Among them, only the following seven vectors $(6,0,0),(3,3,0),(5,0,1),(0,6,0),(2,3,1),(4,0,2),(3,0,3)$ satisfy the condition $y_{1}+2 y_{2} \leq 6$.

Remark 3.1.20. (i) Assume $\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)=1$ and write $\xi=e^{\alpha_{1}}$. Therefore, Lemma 3.1.18 says that $\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)$ coincides with the Hilbert function of the $G T$-surface with group $\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1, \lambda}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$. From this, we can suppose either $\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)=1$ or $\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right),\left(\alpha_{2}, d\right)>1$. In both cases $1 \neq \lambda$.
(ii) If $\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right),\left(\alpha_{2}, d\right)>1$ with $\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)<\left(\alpha_{2}, d\right)$, then we can choose integers $\mu$ and $\lambda$ with $\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)<\lambda \leq d^{\prime}$ and such that $\alpha_{2}=\lambda \alpha_{1}^{\prime}+\mu d^{\prime}$.

Our main result is the following.
Theorem 3.1.21. $\operatorname{Set} \theta\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, d\right):=\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)+\left(\lambda, d^{\prime}\right)+\left(\lambda-\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right), d^{\prime}\right)$. Then,
(i) $\operatorname{HF}\left(X_{d}, t\right)=\frac{d}{2} t^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \theta\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, d\right) t+1$.
(ii) $\operatorname{HS}\left(S_{d}, z\right)=\frac{\frac{d-\theta\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, d\right)+2}{2} z^{2}+\frac{d+\theta\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, d\right)-4}{2} z+1}{(1-z)^{3}}$.

Proof. (i) By Lemma 3.1.18, it suffices to count the number of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{3}$-solutions $\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ of the systems

$$
(* *)_{t, r}:\left\{\begin{array}{rl}
y_{0}+y_{1}+\frac{y_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)} & =t d \\
y_{1}+\lambda \frac{y_{2}}{\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)} & =r d^{\prime}
\end{array} \quad r=0, \ldots, t \lambda\right.
$$

satisfying $y_{1}+y_{2} \leq t d$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)<\left(\alpha_{2}, d\right)$. Fix $r \in\{0, \ldots, t \lambda\}$. The $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{3}$-solutions of $(* *)_{t, r}$ are determined by $r$ and

$$
\max \left\{0,\left\lceil\frac{\left(r-t\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)\right) d^{\prime}}{\lambda-1}\right\rceil\right\} \leq y_{2} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{r d^{\prime}}{\lambda}\right\rfloor
$$

and they are of the form $\left(t d-r d^{\prime}+(\lambda-1) y_{2}, r d^{\prime}-\lambda y_{2}, y_{2}\right)$. Imposing $y_{1}+$ $\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right) y_{2} \leq t d$, we obtain that $r d^{\prime}-\lambda y_{2} \leq t d-\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right) y_{2}$ if and only if $\left(\lambda-\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)\right) y_{2} \geq r d^{\prime}-t d$. Fixed $0 \leq r \leq t \lambda$, counting the number of $y_{2}$ in the range $\max \left\{0,\left\lceil\frac{\left.\left(r-\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right) t\right) d^{\prime}\right)}{\lambda-\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)}\right\rceil\right\} \leq y_{2} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{r d^{\prime}}{\lambda}\right\rfloor$ we get:

$$
\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)=2+\sum_{r=1}^{t \lambda-1}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{r d^{\prime}}{\lambda}\right\rfloor+1\right)-\sum_{r=t\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)+1}^{t \lambda-1}\left(\left\lceil\frac{\left(r-\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right) t\right) d^{\prime}}{\lambda-\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)}\right\rceil+1\right) .
$$

Given two positive integers $m$ and $n$, it holds that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left\lfloor\frac{i m}{n}\right\rfloor=\frac{(m-1)(n-1)+(m, n)-1}{2}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)= & 2+t \lambda-1+\frac{\left(t d^{\prime}-1\right)(t \lambda-1)+t\left(d^{\prime}, \lambda\right)-1}{2} \\
& -\left(\sum_{r=1}^{t\left(\lambda-\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)\right)-1}\left\lceil\frac{r d^{\prime} t}{\left(\lambda-\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)\right) t}\right\rceil\right)-\left(t\left(\lambda-\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)\right)-1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We observe that $\left\lceil\frac{r d^{\prime} t}{\left(\lambda-\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)\right) t}\right\rceil=\left\lfloor\frac{r d^{\prime} t}{\left(\lambda-\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right) t\right.}\right\rfloor$ if $r d^{\prime}$ is a multiple of $\lambda-\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)$, otherwise $\left\lceil\frac{r d^{\prime} t}{\left(\lambda-\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)\right) t}\right\rceil=\left\lfloor\frac{r d^{\prime} t}{\left(\lambda-\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)\right) t}\right\rfloor+1$. We set

$$
\mathcal{S}=\left\{r \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 1 \leq r \leq t\left(\lambda-\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)-1\right) \text { and } t\left(\lambda-\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)\right) \text { divides } r d^{\prime} t\right\}
$$

An integer $r \in \mathcal{S}$ if and only if $r d^{\prime}$ is a multiple of $\operatorname{LCM}\left(d^{\prime}, \lambda-\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)\right)=$ $\frac{d^{\prime}\left(\lambda-\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)\right)}{\left(\lambda-\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right), d^{\prime}\right)}$. We determine the multiples of $\frac{\left(\lambda-\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)\right)}{\left(\lambda-\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right), d^{\prime}\right)}$ in the set $\{1, \ldots, t(\lambda-$ $\left.\left.\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)\right)-1\right\}$. Hence, $|\mathcal{S}|=t\left(\lambda-\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right), d^{\prime}\right)-1$ and we have:

$$
\left.\left.\sum_{r=1}^{t\left(\lambda-\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)\right)-1}\left\lceil\frac{r d^{\prime} t}{\left(\lambda-\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)\right) t}\right\rceil=\frac{\left(t d^{\prime}-1\right)\left(t \lambda-t\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)-1\right)}{2}+\quad \text { t(入-( } \alpha_{1}, d\right)\right)-1-t\left(d^{\prime}, \lambda-\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)\right) .
$$

We check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)=\frac{d}{2} t^{2}+\frac{\left(\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)+\left(d^{\prime}, \lambda\right)+\left(d^{\prime}, \lambda-\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)\right)\right)}{2} t+1 . \tag{3.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) By definition, $\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), z\right)=\sum_{t \geq 0} \operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right) z^{t}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), z\right) & =\sum_{t \geq 0} \frac{d}{2} t^{2} z^{t}+\sum_{t \geq 0} \frac{\theta\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, d\right)}{2} t z^{t}+\sum_{t \geq 0} z^{t} \\
& =\frac{\frac{d}{2} z(z+1)}{(1-z)^{3}}+\frac{\frac{\theta\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, d\right)}{2} z}{(1-z)^{2}}+\frac{1}{1-z} 2 \\
& =\frac{\frac{d-\theta\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, d\right)+2}{2} z^{2}+\frac{d+\theta\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, d\right)-4}{2} z+1}{(1-z)^{3}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 3.1.22. (i) The Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of $X_{d}$ is 3 . Hence, $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is minimally generated by binomials of degree at most 3 .
(ii) $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is a level ring of CM-type $\frac{d-\theta\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, d\right)+2}{2}$.

Proof. (i) Since $I_{d}$ is a $G T$-system with group $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}\right\rangle \subset G L(3, \mathbb{K})$, it holds

$$
\mu_{d}=\frac{d+\theta\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, d\right)+2}{2} \leq d+1
$$

which implies that $\delta_{1}=\mu_{d}-3 \leq d-2$. On the other hand, $\operatorname{deg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=$ $\delta_{2}+\delta_{1}+1=d$, so we have that $\delta_{2}=d-\delta_{1}-1 \geq d-1-d+2=1$.
(ii) Any CM homogeneous domain over $\mathbb{K}$ with Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity less or equal than 3 is a level ring [88, Corollary 3.11]. Then, the $c$ th graded Betti number of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is the CM-type of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$. Let $\left(1, c, h_{2}\right)$ be the $h$-vector of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$. Computing $\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), z\right)$ from a minimal graded free $S$-resolution of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ as in the proof of Proposition 3.1.15, we obtain that $h_{2}$ is the CM-type of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$.

We look at the function $\theta\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, d\right)=\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)+\left(\lambda, d^{\prime}\right)+\left(\lambda-\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right), d^{\prime}\right)$. It follows from the definition itself that $\theta\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, d\right)=3$ if and only if $1=$ $\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)=\left(\alpha_{2}, d\right)=\left(\alpha_{2}-1, d\right)$. If $\theta\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, d\right)=3$, then we have $c=h_{2}=\frac{d-1}{2}$. and the Hilbert function and series of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ appears plainly as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)=\frac{d t^{2}+3 t+2}{2} \\
& \operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), z\right)=\frac{\frac{d-1}{2} z^{2}+\frac{d-1}{2} z+1}{(1-z)^{3}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 3.1.23. Let $0<\alpha_{1}<\alpha_{2}<d$ be integers with $d$ prime and $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}\right\rangle \subset G L(3, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order $d$. Then $\theta\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, d\right)=3$ and we are in the hypothesis of Proposition 3.1.4. So we can check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right) & =\frac{1}{d}\binom{t d+n}{n}+\frac{d-1}{d}=\frac{d t^{2}+3 t+2}{2} \\
\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), z\right) & =\frac{\frac{d-1}{2} z^{2}+\frac{d-1}{2} z+1}{(1-z)^{3}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Otherwise $\theta\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, d\right)>3$, then $\delta_{2}<\delta_{1}$ and we obtain $2 \leq \delta_{2}$. Thus, we are in the hypothesis of Proposition 3.1.15(iii) and we obtain:

Corollary 3.1.24. If $\theta\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, d\right)>3$, then $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is minimally generated by homogeneous binomials of degree 2 .

Proof. Since $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=3$ and $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ does not contain any linear form, the results follows form Proposition 3.1.15(iii).

For instance, we always have $\theta\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, d\right)>3$ when $d$ is even. However, if $d$ is odd but not prime, the casuistry increases and the fact $\theta\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, d\right)>3$ depends further on the values of $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$.

Example 3.1.25. (i) Take $G=\left\langle M_{6 ; 0,2,3}\right\rangle \subset G L(3, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 6. We have that $\theta(2,3,6)=4>3$ and the Hilbert series of $A\left(X_{6}\right)$ is

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{6}\right), z\right)=\frac{z^{2}+4 z+1}{(1-z)^{3}} .
$$

We have checked that the ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{6}\right)$ is minimally generated by 9 binomials of degree 2 .
(ii) Take $G=\left\langle M_{9 ; 0,1,5}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 9. In this case, $\theta(1,5,9)=3$ and the Hilbert series of $A\left(X_{9}\right)$ is

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{9}\right), t\right)=\frac{4 z^{2}+4 z+1}{(1-z)^{3}} .
$$

We have checked that the ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{9}\right)$ is minimally generated by 6 binomials of degree 2 and 4 binomials of degree 3 .

### 3.1.2 Hilbert function of GT-threefolds

Here we extend the combinatoric approach applied in Subsection 3.1.1 to compute the Hilbert function and series of $G T$-threefolds $X_{d}$ with cyclic group $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1,2,3}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{K})$ of order $d \geq 4$.
$\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)$ is the number of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{4}$-solutions of the linear systems of congruences:

$$
(*)_{\mathcal{A} ; t, r}:\left\{\begin{array}{rl}
y_{0}+y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3} & =t d \\
y_{1}+2 y_{2}+3 y_{3} & =r d
\end{array} \quad r=0, \ldots, 3 t .\right.
$$

For $r=0$ (respectively $r=3 t$ ), there is only one solution $(t d, 0,0,0)$ (respectively $(0,0,0, t d)$ ). Fixed $0<r<3 t$, we choose $y_{3}$ and $y_{2}$ as independent variables of the system $(*)_{\mathcal{A} ; t, r}$. Then, any $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{4}-$ solution of $(*)_{\mathcal{A} ; t, r}$ can be expressed in terms of $y_{3}$ and $y_{2}$ as a vector of the form $\left(f_{0}\left(r, y_{3}, y_{2}\right), f_{1}\left(r, y_{3}, y_{2}\right), y_{2}, y_{3}\right)$ where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{3} & \in\left\{\max \{0, d(r-t)\}, \ldots,\left\lfloor\frac{r d}{3}\right\rfloor\right\}, \\
y_{2} & \in\left\{\max \left\{0, d(r-t)-2 y_{2}\right\}, \ldots,\left\lfloor\frac{r d-3 y_{2}}{2}\right\rfloor\right\}, \\
f_{1}\left(r, y_{3}, y_{2}\right) & =r d-3 y_{3}-2 y_{2}, \\
f_{0}\left(r, y_{3}, y_{2}\right) & =(t-r) d+y_{2}+2 y_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This produces the following expression for any $t \geq 0$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)= & 2+\sum_{r=1}^{3 t-1} \sum_{y_{3}=\max \{0, d(r-2 t)\}}^{\left\lfloor\frac{r d}{3}\right\rfloor}\left(1+\left\lfloor\frac{r d-3 y_{3}}{2}\right\rfloor\right.  \tag{3.1.3}\\
& \left.-\max \left\{0, d(r-t)-2 y_{3}\right\}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Our result is the following.
Theorem 3.1.26. Let $d \geq 4$ be an integer and set $\theta(1,2,3, d):=21+$ $12(2, d)+12(3, d)-(3, d)^{2}$. Then,

$$
\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)=\frac{d^{2}}{6} t^{3}+d t^{2}+\frac{\theta(1,2,3, d)}{24} t+1 .
$$

In particular,

$$
\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{d^{2}}{6} t^{3}+d t^{2}+\frac{11}{6} t+1 & \text { if }(2, d)=(3, d)=1, \\ \frac{d^{2}}{6} t^{3}+d t^{2}+\frac{5}{2} t+1 & \text { if }(2, d)=1 \text { and }(3, d)=3, \\ \frac{d^{2}}{6} t^{3}+d t^{2}+\frac{7}{3} t+1 & \text { if }(2, d)=2 \text { and }(3, d)=1, \\ \frac{d^{2}}{6} t^{3}+d t^{2}+3 t+1 & \text { if }(2, d)=2 \text { and }(3, d)=3 .\end{cases}
$$

The proof is based on summing the series (3.1.3) and it is developed in a purely combinatoric way. Let us first analyse which information regarding $X_{d}$ can be inferred from the above expressions. For instance, we have the following corollaries.

Corollary 3.1.27. The Hilbert series $\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), z\right)$ of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is

$$
\frac{\left(\frac{d^{2}}{6}-d+\frac{\theta(1,2,3, d)}{24}-1\right) z^{3}+\left(\frac{2 d^{2}}{3}-\frac{\theta(1,2,3, d)}{12}+3\right) z^{2}+\left(\frac{d^{2}}{6}+d+\frac{\theta(1,2,3, d)}{24}-3\right) z+1}{(1-z)^{4}}
$$

In particular,
$\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), z\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{\frac{d^{2}-6 d+5}{6} z^{3}+\frac{2 d^{2}-2}{3} z^{2}+\frac{d^{2}+6 d-7}{6} z+1}{(1-z)^{4}} & (2, d)=(3, d)=1, \\ \frac{\frac{d^{2}-6 d+9}{6} z^{3}+\frac{2 d^{2}-6}{3} z^{2}+\frac{d^{2}+6 d-3}{6} z+1}{(1-z)^{4}} & (2, d)=1,(3, d)=3, \\ \frac{\frac{d^{2}-6 d+8}{6} z^{3}+\frac{2 d^{2}-5}{3} z^{2}+\frac{d^{2}+6 d-4}{6} z+1}{(1-z)^{4}} & (2, d)=2,(3, d)=1, \\ \frac{\frac{d^{2}-6 d+12}{6} z^{3}+\frac{2 d^{2}-9}{3} z^{2}+\frac{d^{2}+6 d}{6} z+1}{(1-z)^{4}} & (2, d)=2(3, d)=3 .\end{cases}$
Proof. It follows directly from Theorem 3.1.26.

## Corollary 3.1.28.

$$
\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)= \begin{cases}3 & \text { if } d=4,5 \\ 4 & \text { if } 6 \leq d\end{cases}
$$

In particular, $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is a level ring for $d=4,5$.
Proof. We distinguish four cases depending on the values of $(2, d)$ and $(3, d)$. If $1=(2, d)=(3, d)$, then $\delta_{3}=\frac{d^{2}-6 d+5}{6} \geq 1$ if and only if $d \geq 7$. If $(2, d)=1$ and $(3, d)=3$, then $\delta_{3}=\frac{d^{2}-6 d+9}{6} \geq 1$ if and only if $d \geq 9$. If $(2, d)=2$ and $(3, d)=1$, then $\delta_{3}=\frac{d^{2}-6 d+8}{6} \geq 1$ if and only if $d \geq 8$. And finally, if $(2, d)=2$ and $(3, d)=3$, then $\delta_{3}=\frac{d^{2}-6 d+12}{6} \geq 1$ if and only if $d \geq 6$. This proves that $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=4$ for all integer $d \geq 6$.

For $d=4$, we have that $\delta_{2}=\frac{2 d^{2}-5}{3}=9$ and, for $d=5$, we have that $\delta_{3}=\frac{2 d^{2}-2}{3}=16$. This shows that $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=3$ for $d=4$ and 5 , now the result follows from [88, Corollary 3.11].

The Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)$ gives an upper bound for the degrees of a minimal set of binomial generators of $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$. Thus, we can assure that $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is generated by binomials of degree at most 4 for $d \geq 6$. However, this bound can be improved as follows. We denote by $G_{1}=$ $\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1,2}\right\rangle, G_{2}=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1,3}\right\rangle, G_{3}=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,2,3}\right\rangle$ and $G_{4}=\left\langle M_{d ; 1,2,3}\right\rangle$ cyclic subgroups of $\operatorname{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ of order $d$ acting on $\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right], \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{3}\right], \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right]$ and $\mathbb{K}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right]$, respectively. Hence, $R^{\bar{G}}$ contains all monomial invariants of $\bar{G}_{i}, i=1,2,3,4$. This implies that for any $G T$-threefold $X_{d}$ with group $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1,2,3}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{K})$ of order $d \geq 4$ and $h$-vector $h=\left(1, h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}\right)$, we have $h_{1}=\operatorname{codim}\left(X_{d}\right) \geq 2$. Moreover,
Corollary 3.1.29. Let $d \geq 4$ be an integer and $X_{d}$ a $G T$-threefold with cyclic group $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1,2,3}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{K})$ of order $d \geq 4$. Then, $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is minimally generated by binomials of degree at most 3 .
Proof. By Proposition 3.1.15(i), $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is generated by binomials of degree at $\operatorname{most} \operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)$. For $d=4,5$ we have that $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=3$.

Fix $d \geq 6$ and let $h=\left(1, h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}\right)$ be the $h$-vector of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$. By Corollary 3.1.27, $h_{3}=\frac{d^{2}}{6}-d+\frac{\theta(1,2,3, d)}{24}-1$. Since $d \geq 6$, we have $0<$ $h_{3}$. The inequality $h_{3}<h_{1}=\frac{d^{2}}{6}+d+\frac{\theta(1,2,3, d)}{24}-3$ holds for all $d \geq 2$. Therefore, we are in the hypothesis of [88, Proposition 3], we can conclude that $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is minimally generated by forms of degree smaller or equal than $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)-1=3$.
Example 3.1.30. (i) Take $G=\left\langle M_{4 ; 0,1,2,3}\right\rangle \subset G L(4, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 4. A minimal set of fundamental invariants of $\bar{G}$ is

$$
\mathcal{B}_{1}=\left\{x_{0}^{4}, x_{1}^{4}, x_{0} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{3} x_{0}^{2} x_{1}, x_{2}^{4}, x_{3} x_{1} x_{2}^{2}, x_{3}^{2} x_{1}^{2}, x_{3}^{2} x_{0} x_{2}, x_{3}^{4}\right\} .
$$

The Hilbert series of $A\left(X_{4}\right)$ is

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{4}\right), z\right)=\frac{9 z^{2}+6 z+1}{(1-z)^{4}}
$$

$\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{4}\right)\right)=3$ and $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{4}\right)$ is generated by 12 binomials of degree 2 .
(ii) Take $G=\left\langle M_{5 ; 0,1,2,3}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 5. A minimal set of fundamental invariants of $\bar{G}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_{1}= & \left\{x_{0}^{5}, x_{1}^{5}, x_{0} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1} x_{2}^{2}, x_{3} x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2}, x_{3} x_{0}^{3} x_{2}, x_{2}^{5}, x_{3} x_{1} x_{2}^{3}, x_{3}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}, x_{3}^{2} x_{0} x_{2}^{2},\right. \\
& \left.x_{3}^{3} x_{0} x_{1}, x_{3}^{5}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The Hilbert series of $A\left(X_{5}\right)$ is

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{5}\right), z\right)=\frac{16 z^{2}+8 z+1}{(1-z)^{4}}
$$

$\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{5}\right)\right)=3$ and $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{5}\right)$ is generated by 20 binomials of degree 2 and 8 binomials of degree 3 .
(iii) Take $G=\left\langle M_{6 ; 0,1,2,3}\right\rangle \subset G L(4, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 6. A minimal set of fundamental invariants of $\bar{G}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_{1}= & \left\{x_{0}^{6}, x_{1}^{6}, x_{0} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{3} x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{3}, x_{0}^{3} x_{2}^{3}, x_{3} x_{0}^{3} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{3}^{2} x_{0}^{4}, x_{2}^{6}, x_{3} x_{1} x_{2}^{4},\right. \\
& \left.x_{3}^{2} x_{1}^{x_{1}^{2}} x_{2}^{2}, x_{3}^{3} x_{1}^{3}, x_{3}^{2} x_{0} x_{2}^{3}, x_{3}^{3} x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{3}^{4} x_{0}^{2}, x_{3}^{6}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The Hilbert series of $A\left(X_{6}\right)$ is

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{6}\right), z\right)=\frac{2 z^{3}+21 z^{2}+12 z+1}{(1-z)^{4}}
$$

$\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{6}\right)\right)=4$ and $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{6}\right)$ is generated by 57 binomials of degree 2.
In Subsection 3.2.1, we will describe a minimal set of binomial generators of $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ for any $G T$-threefold $X_{d}$ with group $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1,2,3}\right\rangle$ of order $d \geq 4$. In particular, we will prove that $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is minimally generated by binomials of degree 2 if $d$ is even; and $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is minimally generated by binomials of degree 2 and 3 , if $d$ is odd. Furthermore, in Section 3.2, we will demonstrate that the homogeneous ideal of any $\bar{G}$-variety with finite abelian group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ is generated by binomials of degree at most 3. To achieve our goal, we will expound a combinatorial approach based on zero-sums over abelian groups, far from the strategies described in this section.

The rest of this subsection is devoted to prove Theorem 3.1.26. We fix an integer $d \geq 4$ and a $G T$-threefold $X_{d}$ with group $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1,2,3}\right\rangle \subset$ $\mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{K})$ of order $d$. As we have seen at the beginning of this subsection (see (3.1.3)):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)= & 2+\sum_{r=1}^{3 t-1} \sum_{y_{3}=\max \{0, d(r-2 t)\}}^{\left\lfloor\frac{r d}{3}\right\rfloor}\left(1+\left\lfloor\frac{r d-3 y_{3}}{2}\right\rfloor\right. \\
& \left.-\max \left\{0, d(r-t)-2 y_{3}\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So, it suffices to see that the sum of the above series coincides with

$$
\frac{d^{2}}{6} t^{3}+d t^{2}+\frac{\theta(1,2,3, d)}{24} t+1,
$$

where $\theta(1,2,3, d)=21+12(2, d)+12(3, d)-(3, d)^{2}$.
We first observe that the series (3.1.3) can be rewritten as $2+(A)-(B)-(C):=$
$2+\sum_{r=1}^{3 t-1} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{r d}{3}\right\rfloor}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{r d-3 \gamma}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)-\sum_{r=1}^{2 t-1} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{r d}{2}\right\rfloor}(d r-2 \gamma)-\sum_{r=1}^{t-1} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{d r-1}\left(\left\lfloor\frac{\gamma-r d}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)$.
We treat separately each series (A), (B) and (C). Let us start with some notation and a couple of technical lemmas needed in the sequel. For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $\bar{a}^{b}$ the unique integer in $\{0, \ldots, b-1\}$ such that $a \equiv \bar{a}^{b} \bmod b$. In particular, it holds $\left\lfloor\frac{a}{b}\right\rfloor=\frac{1}{b}\left(a-\bar{a}^{b}\right)$.

Lemma 3.1.31. Given $b, k, t, d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have:

$$
\sum_{r=1}^{b t-1}\left(\overline{r d}^{b}\right)^{k}=t(b, d)^{k+1} \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{b}{(b, d)}-1} i^{k} .
$$

For $k=1$, we have:

$$
\sum_{r=1}^{b t-1} \overline{r d}^{b}=\frac{t b(b-(b, d))}{2}, \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{r=1}^{b t-1}\left\lfloor\frac{r d}{b}\right\rfloor=\frac{(t b-1)(d t-1)+t(d, b)-1}{2} .
$$

Proof. The first equality follows from

$$
\sum_{r=1}^{b t}\left(\overline{r d}^{b}\right)^{k}=t \sum_{r=1}^{b}\left(\overline{r d}^{b}\right)^{k}=t(b, d) \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{b}{(b, d)}-1}((b, d) i)^{k} .
$$

We observe that $\sum_{r=1}^{b t-1} \frac{r d}{b}=\frac{1}{b} \sum_{r=1}^{b t-1}\left(r d-\overline{r d}^{b}\right)$ and we get the second identity.

Lemma 3.1.32. Given $d, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have:
(i) $\sum_{r=1}^{2 t-1}\left(\overline{r d}^{2}\right)^{2}=(2-(d, 2)) t \quad$ and $\quad \sum_{r=1}^{3 t-1}\left(\overline{r d}^{3}\right)^{2}=\frac{(3-(3, d))(6-(3, d)) t}{2}$.
(ii) $\sum_{r=1}^{3 t-1} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{r d}{3}\right\rfloor} \overline{\gamma-r d}^{2}=\frac{(3 t-1)(3 t d+1)}{12}+\frac{(3 t-1)}{3}-\frac{(d, 2)(3 t-1)}{4}$.

Proof. (i) It follows from Lemma 3.1.31 with $k=2$ and taking $b=2,3$, respectively.
(ii) We assume that $t$ is odd and so $3 t-1$ is even. The other case follows analogously. We rewrite the sum as

Hence, it is enough to study each summand:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{r=1}^{3 t-1} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{r d} \bar{\gamma}^{2} & =\sum_{r=1}^{3 t-1}\left\lfloor\frac{r d+1}{2}\right\rfloor \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{3 t-1}\left(r d+1-\overline{r d+1}{ }^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{(3 t-1)(3 t d+1)}{4}-\frac{(d, 2)(3 t-1)}{4} . \\
\sum_{r=1}^{3 t-1} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{r d-\left\lfloor\frac{r d}{3}\right\rfloor-1} \bar{\gamma}^{2} & =\sum_{r=1}^{3 t-1}\left\lfloor\frac{r d-\left\lfloor\frac{r d}{3}\right\rfloor}{2}\right\rfloor=\sum_{r=1}^{3 t-1}\left\lfloor\frac{r d+1}{3}\right\rfloor \\
& =\frac{1}{3} \sum_{r=1}^{3 t-1} r d+1-\overline{r d+1}^{3} \\
& =\frac{(3 t-1)(3 t d+1)}{6}-\frac{1}{3} \sum_{r=1}^{3 t-1} \overline{r d+1}^{3} \\
& =\frac{(3 t-1)(3 t d+1)}{6}-\frac{(3 t-1)}{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(A)= & \sum_{r=1}^{3 t-1} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{r d}{3}\right\rfloor} 1+\left\lfloor\frac{r d-3 \gamma}{2}\right\rfloor \\
= & (3 t-1)+\sum_{r=1}^{3 t-1}\left\lfloor\frac{r d}{3}\right\rfloor+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{3 t-1} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{r d}{3}\right\rfloor}\left(r d-3 \gamma-\overline{r d-\gamma}^{2}\right) \\
= & (3 t-1)+\frac{3 t(3 t-1) d}{2}+\frac{1}{4} \sum_{r=1}^{3 t-1}\left\lfloor\frac{r d}{3}\right\rfloor+\frac{3 t(3 t-1)(6 t-1) d^{2}}{72} \\
& -\frac{1}{12} \sum_{r=1}^{3 t-1}\left(\overline{r d}^{3}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{3 t-1} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{r d}{3}\right\rfloor} \overline{r d-\gamma}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Lemmas 3.1.31 and 3.1.32 to the last expression, it yields:

$$
(A)=\frac{3 d^{2}}{4} t^{3}-\frac{3 d(d-6)}{8} t^{2}+\frac{d(d-18)+9(2, d)-(3, d)((3, d)-12)+27}{24} t-\frac{(2, d)+6}{8} .
$$

Analogously, we expand the second summand (B) and we apply Lemmas 3.1.31 and 3.1.32 to obtain:

$$
(B)=\frac{2 d^{2}}{3} t^{3}-\frac{d(d-1)}{2} t^{2}+\frac{d(d-6)-3(2, d)+6}{12} t
$$

Finally, for the last summand we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(C)= & \sum_{r=1}^{t-1} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{r d-1}\left(1+\left\lfloor\frac{\gamma-r d}{2}\right\rfloor\right) \\
& \frac{3 d t(t-1)}{8}-\frac{1}{4} \sum_{r=1}^{t-1}(r d)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{t-1} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{r d-1} \overline{r d-\gamma}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Making use of the following fact:

$$
\sum_{r=1}^{t-1} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{r d-1} \overline{r d-\gamma}^{2}=\frac{t-1}{2}+\frac{d t(t-1)}{4}-\frac{(d, 2)(t-1)}{4}
$$

it follows that

$$
(C)=\frac{-d^{2}}{12} t^{3}+\frac{d(d+2)}{8} t^{2}-\frac{d(d+6)+3(2, d)-6}{24}+\frac{2-(2, d)}{8}
$$

Reconstructing the sum $2+(A)-(B)-(C)$ and setting $\theta(1,2,3, d):=$ $21+12(2, d)+12(3, d)-(3, d)^{2}$, we obtain the desired formula:

$$
\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)=\frac{d^{2}}{6} t^{3}+d t^{2}+\frac{\theta(1,2,3, d)}{24} t+1
$$

### 3.2 The homogeneous ideal of $\bar{G}$-varieties

In this section, we look at the homogeneous ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ of $\bar{G}$-varieties $X_{d}$ with finite abelian group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ of order $d$. From the invariant theory point of view, $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is the ideal of syzygies among the minimal set $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ of fundamental monomial invariants of $\bar{G}$. We have established that $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is
a binomial prime ideal and we have described a set of binomial generators of $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ in terms of $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ (see the proof of Theorem 2.2.18). Using the information of the Hilbert series and Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of $A\left(X_{d}\right), \mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ can be generated by binomials of degree at most $n+1$ (Proposition 3.1.15). We have improved this bound for the homogenous ideal of any $G T$-threefold with cyclic group $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1,2,3}\right\rangle \subset G L(4, \mathbb{K})$ of order $d \geq 4$; precisely it is minimally generated by binomials of degree at most 3 . In view of these facts, we ask for a sharp bound of the degrees of binomial generators of $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$.

Using zero-sum sequences over finite abelian groups and the structure of $R^{\bar{G}}$, we prove that $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is generated by binomials of degree at most 3 . We give examples of $\bar{G}$-varieties $X_{d}$ with group $G \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ in any dimension $n \geq 2$ reaching this bound. We characterize the binomials in a minimal set of binomial generators of $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$. This criterion is combinatoric and non constructive. We devote Subsection 3.2.1 to describe a minimal set of binomial generators of the homogeneous ideal of any $G T$-threefold with cyclic group $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1,2,3}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{K})$ of order $d \geq 4$.

From now onwards, we fix integers $2 \leq n<d$ and a finite abelian group

$$
G:=\left\langle M_{d_{1} ; \alpha_{\sigma_{1}(0)}^{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\sigma_{1}(n)}^{1}}, \ldots, M_{d_{s} ; \alpha_{\sigma_{s}(0)}^{s}, \ldots, \alpha_{\sigma_{s}(n)}^{s}}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})
$$

of order $d=d_{1} \cdots d_{s}$. We recall $R^{\bar{G}}=\mathbb{K}\left[\mathcal{B}_{1}\right]$ (Theorem 2.2.11), where $\mathcal{B}_{1}=\left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{\mu_{d}}\right\}$ is the set of monomial invariants of $G$ of degree $d$. We take new variables $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{\mu_{d}}$ and $S=\mathbb{K}\left[w_{1}, \ldots, w_{\mu_{d}}\right]$. We have that $A\left(X_{d}\right)=S / \mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right) \cong R^{\bar{G}}$ and $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is the kernel of the morphism

$$
\rho: S \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}\left[\mathcal{B}_{1}\right], \quad \rho\left(w_{i}\right)=m_{i}, i=1, \ldots, \mu_{d}
$$

It is the homogeneous binomial prime ideal generated by the set

$$
\left\{w_{i_{1}} \cdots w_{i_{k}}-w_{j_{1}} \cdots w_{j_{k}} \in S \mid m_{i_{1}} \cdots m_{i_{k}}=m_{j_{1}} \cdots m_{j_{k}}, k \geq 2\right\} .
$$

For each integer $k \geq 2$, we denote by $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}$ the set of all binomials of $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ of degree $k$. With this notation, we have

$$
\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)=\sum_{k \geq 2}\left(\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}\right) .
$$

Our main goal is to determine the integer $2 \leq K \leq n+1$ such that

$$
\sum_{k=2}^{K-1}\left(\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}\right) \subsetneq \mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right) \text { and } \sum_{k=2}^{K}\left(\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}\right)=\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right) .
$$

We begin introducing some definitions and notation needed in the sequel.
Definition 3.2.1. Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer.
(i) We call a $k$-binomial to any non zero binomial $w^{\alpha}=w^{\alpha+}-w^{\alpha_{-}}:=$ $\prod_{l=1}^{k} w_{i_{l}}-\prod_{l=1}^{k} w_{j_{l}} \in \mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ of degree $k$, i.e. $\prod_{l=1}^{k} m_{i_{l}}=\prod_{l=1}^{k} m_{j_{l}}$.
(ii) For any $k$-binomial $w^{\alpha}=w^{\alpha_{+}}-w^{\alpha_{-}} \in \mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}$, we denote $\operatorname{supp}_{+}\left(w^{\alpha}\right)$ (respectively supp_( $\left.w^{\alpha}\right)$ ) the support of the monomial $w^{\alpha_{+}}$(respectively support of $\left.w^{\alpha_{-}}\right)$. We say that $w^{\alpha}$ is a non trivial $k$-binomial if $\operatorname{supp}_{+}\left(w^{\alpha}\right) \cap$ $\operatorname{supp}_{-}\left(w^{\alpha}\right)=\emptyset$. Otherwise, we call $w^{\alpha}$ a trivial $k$-binomial.

Definition 3.2.2. Let $k \geq 3$ be an integer and $w^{\alpha}=w^{\alpha_{+}}-w^{\alpha_{-}} \in \mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}$ a non trivial $k$-binomial. An $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}$-sequence from $w^{\alpha_{+}}$to $w^{\alpha_{-}}$is a finite sequence $\left(w^{1}, \ldots, w^{t}\right)$ of monomials of $S$ of degree $k$ satisfying the following two conditions:
(i) $w^{1}=w^{\alpha_{+}}$and $w^{t}=w^{\alpha_{-}}$,
(ii) for all $1 \leq j<t$, $w^{j}-w^{j+1}$ is a trivial $k$-binomial.

Example 3.2.3. Take $G=\left\langle M_{6 ; 0,1,2,3}\right\rangle \subset G L(4, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 6. A minimal set of fundamental invariants of $\bar{G}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_{1}= & \left\{x_{0}^{6}, x_{0}^{4} x_{3}^{2}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}, x_{0}^{3} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{3} x_{3}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{3}^{4}, x_{0} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}^{3},\right. \\
& \left.x_{0} x_{2}^{3} x_{3}^{2}, x_{1}^{6}, x_{1}^{3} x_{3}^{3}, x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2} x_{3}^{2}, x_{1} x_{2}^{4} x_{3}, x_{2}^{6}, x_{3}^{6}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

(Example 3.1.30(iii)). We set $S:=\mathbb{K}\left[w_{1}, \ldots, w_{16}\right]$ and we consider the morphism $\rho: S \longrightarrow R$ given by $\rho\left(w_{1}\right)=x_{0}^{6}, \ldots$. The following homogeneous binomials $w_{1} w_{15}-w_{4}^{2}$ and $w_{3} w_{12} w_{15}-w_{6} w_{9} w_{14}$ are 2 and 3 -binomials, respectively. On the other hand, $\left\{w_{3} w_{12} w_{15}, w_{5} w_{9} w_{15}, w_{6} w_{9} w_{14}\right\}$ is an $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{6}\right)_{3^{-}}$ sequence from $w_{3} w_{12} w_{15}$ to $w_{6} w_{9} w_{14}$.

Proposition 3.2.4. Let $k \geq 3$ be an integer and $w^{\alpha}=w^{\alpha_{+}}-w^{\alpha_{-}} \in$ $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}$ a $k$-binomial. Then $w^{\alpha} \in\left(\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k-1}\right)$ if and only if there exists an $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}-$ sequence from $w^{\alpha+}$ to $w^{\alpha_{-}}$.

Proof. See [20, Proposition 5.4].
Remark 3.2.5. Let $k \geq 3$ be an integer. A trivial $k$-binomial of $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}$ belongs to the ideal $\left(\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k-1}\right)$.

The main result of this section is the following.
Theorem 3.2.6. $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)=\left(\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{3}\right)$.
Proof. First, we prove that for all $k \geq 4$, any non trivial $k$-binomial admits an $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}$-sequence. By Proposition 3.2.4, this implies $\left(\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}\right) \subset$ $\left(\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k-1}\right)$. Fix $k \geq 4$ and let $w^{\alpha}=w^{\alpha_{+}}-w^{\alpha_{-}}=w_{i_{1}} \cdots w_{i_{k}}-w_{j_{1}} \cdots w_{j_{k}}$ be a non trivial $k$-binomial. For each $w_{i_{l}}$ (respectively $w_{j_{l}}$ ), let $m_{i_{l}}=x_{0}^{a_{0}^{l}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}^{l}}$ be its associated monomial (respectively $m_{j_{l}}=x_{0}^{b_{0}^{l}} \cdots x_{n}^{b_{n}^{l}}$ ), l=1, ..,k. We have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{k} a_{s}^{l}=\sum_{l=1}^{k} b_{s}^{l}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq n . \tag{3.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the monomials $m_{i_{1}}$ and $m_{j_{1}}$ and for each $0 \leq s \leq n$ we define:

$$
c_{s}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } a_{s}^{1}>b_{s}^{1} \\ b_{s}^{1}-a_{s}^{1} & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

This gives rise a non zero monomial $m=x_{0}^{c_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{c_{n}} \in R$ of degree strictly smaller than $d$. Clearly, $m$ divides $m_{i_{2}} \cdots m_{i_{k}}$ (see (3.2.1)). Thus, we consider $m^{\prime}=\left(m_{i_{2}} \cdots m_{i_{k}}\right) / m$, which is a monomial of degree at least $(k-2) d \geq 2 d$. We write $m^{\prime}=x_{0}^{f_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{f_{n}}$ and we define the sequence of integers $L=\left(\alpha_{0}, ._{0}^{f_{0}}, \alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n},{ }^{f_{n}}, \alpha_{n}\right)$. Since $L$ has length at least ( $k-2) d \geq 2 d$, by Lemma 2.2 .9 , there is a zero-sum subsequence

$$
L^{\prime}=\left(\alpha_{0}, g_{0}^{g_{0}}, \alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}, g_{n} ., \alpha_{n}\right) \subset L .
$$

So, the monomial $x_{0}^{g_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{g_{n}} \in R^{\bar{G}}$. By Theorem 2.2.11, we can do a factorization:

$$
m_{i_{2}} \cdots m_{i_{k}}=m_{i_{2}}^{1} \cdots m_{i_{k}}^{1},
$$

where all $m_{i_{l}}^{1} \in R^{\bar{G}}, 2 \leq l \leq k$, are monomials of degree $d$ and, in particular:

$$
m_{i_{k}}^{1}=x_{0}^{g_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{g_{n}} .
$$

Notice that we have $m_{i_{1}} \cdots m_{i_{k}}=m_{i_{1}} m_{i_{2}}^{1} \cdots m_{i_{k}}^{1}$. We define $w^{2} \in S$ to be the monomial $\rho^{-1}\left(m_{i_{1}}\right) \rho^{-1}\left(m_{i_{2}}^{1}\right) \cdots \rho^{-1}\left(m_{i_{k}}^{1}\right)$. By construction, $w^{\alpha+}-w^{2}$ is a trivial $k$-binomial. Observe that $m=x_{0}^{c_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{c_{n}}$ divides $m_{i_{2}}^{1} \cdots m_{i_{k-1}}^{1}$, thus $m_{j_{1}}$ divides $m_{i_{1}} m_{i_{2}}^{1} \cdots m_{i_{k-1}}^{1}$. Applying the same argument as before, we do a factorization:

$$
m_{i_{1}} m_{i_{2}}^{1} \cdots m_{i_{k-1}}^{1}=m_{i_{1}}^{2} m_{i_{2}}^{2} \cdots m_{i_{k-1}}^{2}
$$

where $m_{i_{1}}^{2}=m_{j_{1}}$ and all $m_{i_{i_{l}}}^{2} \in R^{\bar{G}}, 2 \leq l \leq k-1$, are monomials of degree $d$. We set $w^{3}=\rho^{-1}\left(m_{i_{1}}^{2}\right) \cdots \rho^{-1}\left(m_{i_{k-1}}^{2}\right) \cdot \rho^{-1}\left(m_{i_{k}}^{1}\right)$. Since $m_{i_{1}} m_{i_{2}}^{1} \cdots m_{i_{k-1}}^{1} m_{i_{k}}^{1}=$ $m_{i_{1}}^{2} m_{i_{2}}^{2} \cdots m_{i_{k-1}}^{2} m_{i_{k}}^{1}, w^{2}-w^{3}$ is a trivial $k$-binomial. Furthermore, since $m_{i_{1}}^{2}=m_{j_{1}}$, also $w^{3}-w^{\alpha-}$ is a trivial $k$-binomial. Therefore,

$$
\left(w_{i_{1}} \cdots w_{i_{n}}, w^{2}, w^{3}, w_{j_{1}} \cdots w_{j_{n}}\right)
$$

is an $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}$-sequence, from which it follows that $\left(\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}\right) \subset\left(\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k-1}\right)$. The argument we have developed only requires that $(k-2) d \geq 2 d$, which it is satisfied for all $k \geq 4$. Thus, we obtain

$$
\cdots \subset\left(\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}\right) \subset\left(\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k-1}\right) \subset \cdots \subset\left(\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{3}\right) .
$$

Example 3.2.7. Take $G=\left\langle M_{5 ; 0,1,2,3,4}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(5, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 5. A minimal set of fundamental invariants of $\bar{G}$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_{1}= & \left\{x_{0}^{5}, x_{1}^{5}, x_{0} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1} x_{2}^{2}, x_{3} x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2}, x_{3} x_{0}^{3} x_{2}, x_{4} x_{0}^{3} x_{1}, x_{2}^{5}, x_{3} x_{1} x_{2}^{3}, x_{3}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{2},\right. \\
& x_{4} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{4} x_{3} x_{1}^{3}, x_{3}^{2} x_{0} x_{2}^{2}, x_{4} x_{0} x_{3}^{3}, x_{3}^{3} x_{0} x_{1}, x_{4} x_{3} x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{4}^{2} x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2}, x_{4} x_{3}^{2} x_{0}^{2}, \\
& \left.x_{4}^{2} x_{0}^{2} x_{2}, x_{3}^{5}, x_{4} x_{3}^{3} x_{2}, x_{4}^{2} x_{3} x_{2}^{2}, x_{4}^{2} x_{3}^{2} x_{1}, x_{4}^{3} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{4}^{3} x_{3} x_{0}, x_{4}^{5}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{I}\left(X_{5}\right)$ is minimally generated by 1502 -binomials and 203 -binomials.
The arguments in the proof of Theorem 3.2.6 are false, in general, for a binomial $w^{\alpha}=w^{\alpha_{+}}-w^{\alpha_{-}} \in \mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{3}$. For instance, the homogeneous ideal of the $G T$-fourfold with group $G=\left\langle M_{5 ; 0,1,2,3,4}\right\rangle \subset G L(5, \mathbb{K})$ in Example 3.2.7 is minimally generated by binomials of degree 2 and 3 . However, there are $\bar{G}$-varieties whose homogeneous ideal is generated only by binomials of degree 2 (Corollary 3.1.24).

Proposition 3.2.8. Let $2<d$ be an integer and $G=\left\langle M_{d: 0, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}\right\rangle \subset$ $\operatorname{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order $d$ with $0<\alpha_{1}<\alpha_{2}<d$. If $\operatorname{GCD}\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)=$ $\operatorname{GCD}\left(\alpha_{2}, d\right)=\operatorname{GCD}\left(\alpha_{2}-1, d\right)=1$, then the homogenous ideal of any $G T$-surface with group $G$ is generated by homogeneous binomials of degree 2 and 3.
Proof. The ring $R^{\bar{G}}$ has $\operatorname{codim}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=\mu_{d}-3 \geq 1$ secondary invariants of degree $2 d$. This means that there are $\mu_{d}-3$ different monomial invariants of $G$ of degree $2 d$ of the form $x_{0}^{b_{0}} x_{1}^{b_{1}} x_{2}^{b_{2}}$ such that $b_{0}, b_{1}, b_{2}<d$, namely $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{\mu_{d}-3}$. Set $m=x_{0}^{d} x_{1}^{d} x_{2}^{d}$ and $\bar{m}_{i}=m / f_{i}, i=1, \ldots, \mu_{d}-3$. This gives $\mu_{d}-3$ different monomial invariants of $G$ of degree $d$ satisfying $\operatorname{supp}\left(\bar{m}_{i}\right)=$ $\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$. Indeed, let $f_{i}=x_{0}^{b_{0}} x_{1}^{b_{1}} x_{2}^{b_{2}}$ be a secondary invariant of degree $2 d$. Then, $m / f_{i}=x_{0}^{d-b_{0}} x_{1}^{d-b_{1}} x_{2}^{d-b_{2}}$ has degree $d$ and its exponents $0<d-b_{i}<d$, $i=0,1,2$. So, we obtain

$$
\mathcal{B}_{1}=\left\{x_{0}^{d}, x_{1}^{d}, x_{2}^{d}\right\} \cup\left\{\bar{m}_{i}, i=1, \ldots, \mu_{d}-3\right\} .
$$

Let $w^{\alpha}=w^{\alpha_{+}}-w^{\alpha_{-}} \in \mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{2}$ be a $2-$ binomial. We write $\rho\left(w^{\alpha+}\right)=m_{1} m_{2}$ and $\rho\left(w^{\alpha_{-}}\right)=m_{3} m_{4}$, for $m_{i} \in \mathcal{B}_{1}$. The homogeneous ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ does not contain any linear form, so $\left\{m_{1}, m_{2}\right\} \cap\left\{m_{3}, m_{4}\right\}=\emptyset$. Since $w^{\alpha} \in \mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$, we have that $m_{1} m_{2}=m_{3} m_{4}$. Thus, from the above description of $\mathcal{B}_{1}$, it follows that $m_{1} m_{2}$ can not be of the form $x_{i}^{d} x_{j}^{d}$, for $i, j \in\{0,1,2\}$, otherwise $w^{\alpha}=0$. Reordering if necessary, we may assume that $\rho\left(w_{1}\right)=x_{0}^{d}, \rho\left(w_{2}\right)=x_{1}^{d}$ and $\rho\left(w_{3}\right)=x_{2}^{d}$. The last statement is equivalent to say that there is no a $2-$ binomial $w^{\alpha}=w^{\alpha_{+}}-w^{\alpha_{-}}$such that $w^{\alpha_{+}}=w_{i} w_{j}$ or $w^{\alpha_{-}}=w_{i} w_{j}$ for $i, j \in\{1,2,3\}$. We have that $\rho\left(w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\right)=m$. Moreover, $m$ is divisible by each monomial $\bar{m}_{i}, i=1, \ldots, \mu_{d}-3$. Fix $\bar{m}_{i}$, by Theorem 2.2.11, for each monomial $\bar{m}_{i}$ we have a factorization $m=\bar{m}_{i} g_{1}^{i} g_{2}^{i}$ where $g_{1}, g_{2} \in \mathcal{B}_{1} \backslash$ $\left\{x_{0}^{d}, x_{1}^{d}, x_{2}^{d}\right\}$. This factorization induces a non trivial 3-binomial

$$
w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}-\rho^{-1}\left(\bar{m}_{i}\right) \rho^{-1}\left(g_{1}\right) \rho^{-1}\left(g_{2}\right)
$$

which does not admit an $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{2}$-sequence. Indeed, if $\left(w^{1}, \ldots, w^{k}\right)$ is an $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{2}$-sequence from $w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}$ to $\rho^{-1}\left(\bar{m}_{i}\right) \rho^{-1}\left(g_{1}\right) \rho^{-1}\left(g_{2}\right)$, then by definition $w^{1}$ is of the form $w_{1} w_{j} w_{k}$ and $w_{2} w_{3}-w_{j} w_{k} \in \mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{2}$. As we have argued before, this implies that $w^{1}=w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}$. Continuing in this way, we obtain the same assertion for each monomial in the sequence $\left(w^{1}, \ldots, w^{k}\right)$. So $w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}-\rho^{-1}\left(\bar{m}_{i}\right) \rho^{-1}\left(g_{1}\right) \rho^{-1}\left(g_{2}\right)$ is necessarily trivial, which means that $g_{1}$ or $g_{2}$ belong to $\left\{x_{0}^{d}, x_{1}^{d}, x_{2}^{d}\right\}$, and we arrive to a contradiction.

As the following proposition shows, we can find $\bar{G}$-varieties in any dimension $n \geq 2$ whose homogeneous ideal is generated by binomials of degree 2 and 3. In this sense, the bound established in Theorem 3.2.6 is sharp.

Corollary 3.2.9. Let $3 \leq n<d$ be integers and $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}\right\rangle \subset$ $\mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order $d$. If $d$ is odd and there are $\alpha_{i}<\alpha_{j}$ such that $\operatorname{GCD}\left(\alpha_{i}, d\right)=\operatorname{GCD}\left(\alpha_{j}, d\right)=\operatorname{GCD}\left(\alpha_{j}-1, d\right)=1$, then the homogeneous ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ of a $\bar{G}$-variety $X_{d}$ with group $G$ is minimally generated by binomials of degree 2 and 3 .

Proof. We set $\Gamma=\left\langle M_{d ; 0, \alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order $d$ acting on $\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}, x_{i}, x_{j}\right]$. We denote by $V_{d}$ the $G T$-surface with group $\Gamma:=\left\langle M_{d ; 0, \alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}}\right\rangle \subset$ $\mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$. By Proposition 3.2.8, a minimal set of binomial generators of $\mathrm{I}\left(V_{d}\right)$ contains a binomial $w^{\alpha}=w^{\alpha_{+}}-w^{\alpha_{-}}$of degree 3. Under a suitable identification of variables, we have

$$
\mathrm{I}\left(V_{d}\right)=\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right) \cap \mathbb{K}\left[\rho^{-1}\left(x_{0}\right), \rho^{-1}\left(x_{i}\right), \rho^{-1}\left(x_{j}\right)\right] .
$$

So, we can see any element of $\mathrm{I}\left(V_{d}\right)$ as an element of $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$. In particular, $w^{\alpha} \in \mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$. Now if $\left(w^{1}, \ldots, w^{k}\right)$ is an $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{2}$-sequence from $w^{\alpha_{+}}$to $w^{\alpha_{-}}$, then

$$
\rho\left(w^{1}\right)=\rho\left(w^{2}\right)=\cdots=\rho\left(w^{k}\right) \in \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}, x_{i}, x_{j}\right] .
$$

Hence, we can regard $\left(w^{1}, \ldots, w^{k}\right)$ as an $\mathrm{I}\left(V_{d}\right)_{2}$-sequence from $w^{\alpha_{+}}$to $w^{\alpha_{-}}$, which is a contradiction.

Proposition 3.2.4 characterizes the 3 -binomials in a minimal set of binomial generators of $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ in terms of $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{3}$-sequences. It is natural to ask when such a $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{3}$-sequence exists, and in case to design a procedure to find them. These objectives require a precise description of the set of generators $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ of $R^{\bar{G}}$ and the binomial generators of $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$, which are out of reach for an arbitrary $\bar{G}$-variety $X_{d}$ with group $G \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. Notwithstanding, there are examples of rings $R^{\bar{G}}$ which are achievable for that matter. In the rest of this section, we deal with a family of $G T$-threefolds which provide a wealth field to investigate these questions.

### 3.2.1 A minimal set of binomial generators of GT-threefolds

In this subsection, we compute a minimal set of binomial generators of any $G T$-threefold $X_{d}$ with cyclic group $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1,2,3}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{K})$ of order
$d \geq 4$. We prove that $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is minimally generated by binomials of degree 2 if $d$ is even and it is minimally generated by binomials of degree 2 and 3 if $d$ is odd. To this end, we develop a procedure for constructing $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}$-sequences, which lead us to determine, for $d$ odd, the 3 -binomials in a minimal set of binomial generators of $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$. The key ingredient is a complete description of the set $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ of fundamental invariants of $\bar{G}$ (Theorem 2.2.11).

The content of this subsection has been published in [20]. In this article, we study $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ from a different perspective: lattice ideals and Markov basis, and it is inspired by $[14,15,16,24,27,45]$.

As we have seen in Subsection 3.1.2, a monomial $m=x_{0}^{\alpha} x_{1}^{\beta} x_{2}^{\delta} x_{3}^{\gamma} \in \mathcal{B}_{1}$ if and only if $(\alpha, \beta, \delta, \gamma)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{4}$-solution of one of the linear system of congruences:

$$
(*)_{\mathcal{A} ; 1, r}:\left\{\begin{array}{rl}
y_{0}+y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3} & =\quad d \\
y_{1}+2 y_{2}+3 y_{3} & =r d
\end{array} \quad r=0,1,2,3\right.
$$

For $r=0$ (respectively $r=3$ ), we obtain $(d, 0,0,0)$ (respectively $(0,0,0, d)$ ). For $r=1,2$, we obtain $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{4}$ - solutions $\left(f_{0}\left(r, y_{3}, y_{2}\right), f_{1}\left(r, y_{3}, y_{2}\right), y_{2}, y_{3}\right)$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{3} & \in\left\{\max \{0, d(r-t)\}, \ldots,\left\lfloor\frac{r d}{3}\right\rfloor\right\} \\
y_{2} & \in\left\{\max \left\{0, d(r-t)-2 y_{2}\right\}, \ldots,\left\lfloor\frac{r d-3 y_{2}}{2}\right\rfloor\right\} \\
f_{1}\left(r, y_{3}, y_{2}\right) & =r d-3 y_{3}-2 y_{2} \\
f_{0}\left(r, y_{3}, y_{2}\right) & =(t-r) d+y_{2}+2 y_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We write $d=2 k+\varepsilon=3 k^{\prime}+\rho$ where $\varepsilon \in\{0,1\}$ and $\rho \in\{0,1,2\}$. Therefore, any monomial of $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ is uniquely determined by the following set:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}_{d}:=\left\{(r, \gamma, \delta) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{3}\right. & \mid 0 \leq r \leq 3,0 \leq \gamma \leq r k^{\prime}+\left\lfloor\frac{r \rho}{3}\right\rfloor \\
& \left.\max \{0, d-2 \gamma\} \leq \delta \leq\left\lfloor\frac{r d-3 \gamma}{2}\right\rfloor\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us see the some examples that illustrate the set $\mathcal{W}_{d}$ and a couple of minimal set of fundamental invariants $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ of $\bar{G}$.

Example 3.2.10. (i) We take $d=4$. We compute the $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{4}$-solutions of the systems $(*)_{\mathcal{A} ; 1, r}$. For $r=0$ (respectively $r=3$ ) there is only one possible solution $(4,0,0,0)$ (respectively $(0,0,0,4))$. For $r=1$, the solutions are:

$$
\left\{(\delta+2 \gamma, 4-2 \delta-3 \gamma, \delta, \gamma) \mid \gamma \in\{0,1\}, \delta \in\left\{0, \ldots,\left\lfloor\frac{4-3 \gamma}{2}\right\rfloor\right\}\right\}
$$

For $r=2$, we have:

$$
\left\{(\gamma+2 \delta-4,8-2 \delta-3 \gamma, \delta, \gamma) \mid \gamma \in\{0,1,2\}, \delta \in\left\{\max \{0,8-2 \gamma\}, \ldots,\left\lfloor\frac{8-3 \gamma}{2}\right\rfloor\right\}\right\}
$$

We obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_{1}= & \left\{x_{0}^{4}, x_{1}^{4}, x_{0} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1} x_{3}, x_{2}^{4}, x_{1} x_{2}^{2} x_{3}, x_{1}^{2} x_{3}^{2}, x_{0} x_{2} x_{3}^{2}, x_{3}^{4}\right\} \\
\mathcal{W}_{4}= & \{(0,0,0),(1,0,0),(1,0,1),(1,0,2),(1,1,0),(2,0,4),(2,1,2) \\
& (2,2,0),(2,2,1),(3,4,0)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) We take $d=5$. Arguing as in (i) we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_{1}= & \left\{x_{0}^{5}, x_{1}^{5}, x_{0} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1} x_{2}^{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{3}, x_{0}^{3} x_{2} x_{3}, x_{2}^{5}, x_{1} x_{2}^{3} x_{3}, x_{1}^{2} x_{2} x_{3}^{2}, x_{0} x_{2}^{2} x_{3}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.x_{0} x_{1} x_{3}^{3}, x_{3}^{5}\right\} \\
\mathcal{W}_{5}= & \{(0,0,0),(1,0,0),(1,0,1),(1,0,2),(1,1,0),(1,1,1),(2,0,5),(2,1,3), \\
& (2,2,1),(2,2,2),(2,3,0),(3,5,0)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

This motivates the following notation.
Notation 3.2.11. For each $(r, \gamma, \delta) \in \mathcal{W}_{d}$ we set a variable $w_{(r, \gamma, \delta)}$ and $S:=\mathbb{K}\left[w_{(r, \gamma, \delta)}\right]_{(r, \gamma, \delta) \in \mathcal{W}_{d}}$. The ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is identified with the kernel of the morphism

$$
\phi: S \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}\left[\mathcal{B}_{1}\right], \quad \phi\left(w_{(r, \gamma, \delta)}\right)=x_{0}^{\delta+2 \gamma-r d} x_{1}^{r d-2 \delta-3 \gamma} x_{2}^{\delta} x_{3}^{\gamma}=: m_{(r, \gamma, \delta)}
$$

It is the binomial prime ideal generated by:

$$
\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{k} w_{\left(r_{j_{i}}, \gamma_{j_{i}}, \delta_{j_{i}}\right)}-\prod_{i=1}^{k} w_{\left(r_{h_{i}}, \gamma_{h_{i}}, \delta_{h_{i}}\right)} \mid \prod_{i=1}^{k} m_{\left(r_{j_{i}}, \gamma_{j_{i}}, \delta_{j_{i}}\right)}=\prod_{i=1}^{k} m_{\left(r_{h_{i}}, \gamma_{h_{i}}, \delta_{h_{i}}\right)}, k \geq 2\right\} .
$$

Definition 3.2.12. Let $w=\prod_{i=1}^{k} w_{\left(r_{i}, \gamma_{i}, \delta_{i}\right)} \in S$ be a monomial of degree $k \geq 2$.
(i) We say that $w$ admits a suitable $k$-binomial if there exists a monomial $w^{\prime}=\prod_{i=1}^{k} w_{\left(r_{i}^{\prime}, \gamma_{i}^{\prime}, \delta_{i}^{\prime}\right)} \in S$ of degree $k$ such that $w-w^{\prime}$ is a non trivial $k$-binomial.
(ii) We say that the variable $w_{(r, \gamma, \delta)} \in S$ admits a special $k$-binomial if there exists a suitable $k$-binomial $w-w^{\prime} \in \mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ such that $(r, \gamma, \delta)=$ $\min \left\{\operatorname{supp}\left(m-m^{\prime}\right)\right\}$.

Determining whether a monomial $w$ admits a suitable binomial or a variable $w_{(r, \gamma, \delta)}$ admits a special binomial gives us a method to construct $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}$-sequences. For instance, if $w=w_{\left(r_{1}, \gamma_{1}, \delta_{1}\right)} \cdots w_{\left(r_{k}, \gamma_{k}, \delta_{k}\right)}$ and $w_{\left(r_{1}, \gamma_{1}, \delta_{1}\right)}$ admits a special $k$-binomial $w-w^{1}$, then it is a trivial $k$-binomial. If $\operatorname{supp}\left(w^{1}\right) \backslash\left\{w_{\left(r_{1}, \gamma_{1}, \delta_{1}\right)}\right\}$ contains a variable admitting a special $k$-binomial $w^{2}$, then $w^{1}-w^{2}$ is trivial and $\left(w, w^{1}, w^{2}\right)$ is an $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}$-sequence from $w$ to $w^{2}$.

Example 3.2.13. (i) The variable $w_{(0,0,0)} \in S$ admits a special 2 -binomial. Indeed, $w_{(0,0,0)} w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}, 0\right)}-w_{\left(1, k^{\prime}, 0\right)} w_{\left(1, k^{\prime}, 0\right)}$ is a non trivial suitable 2 -binomial and $(0,0,0)=\min \left\{(0,0,0),\left(2,2 k^{\prime}, 0\right),\left(1, k^{\prime}, 0\right)\right\}$. Unlike, $w_{(3, d, 0)}$ does not admit a special $k$-binomial for any $k \geq 2$.
(ii) For $d=4$, the set of variables admitting a special 2 -binomial are indexed by $\mathcal{W}_{4} \backslash\{(1,1,0),(2,1,2),(2,2,0),(2,2,1),(3,4,0)\}$. And for example, $w_{(1,1,0)}$ admits a special 3 -binomial: $w_{(1,1,0)} w_{(2,1,2)} w_{(3,4,0)}-w_{(2,2,0)} w_{(2,2,1)}^{2}$.

Consider sets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{W}_{d} \backslash\left\{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}-1,0\right),\left(2,2 k^{\prime}-1,1\right),\left(2,2 k^{\prime}, 0\right),(3, d, 0)\right\} \text { if } \rho=0, \\
& \mathcal{W}_{d} \backslash\left\{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}-1,2\right),\left(2,2 k^{\prime}, 0\right),\left(2,2 k^{\prime}, 1\right),(3, d, 0)\right\} \text { if } \rho=1, \\
& \mathcal{W}_{d} \backslash\left\{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}, 0\right),\left(2,2 k^{\prime}, 1\right),\left(2,2 k^{\prime}, 2\right),(3, d, 0)\right\} \text { if } \rho=2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We will see through a series of lemmas that a variable $w_{(r, \gamma, \delta)}$ admits a special 2 or 3 -binomial if and only if $(r, \gamma, \delta)$ belongs to one of the above sets. Moreover, it allows us to establish which monomials of degree 2 admit a special 2-binomial.

Lemma 3.2.14. Each monomial $w=w_{(1, \gamma, \delta)} w_{(3, d, 0)} \in S$ admits a suitable 2 -binomial $w-w^{\prime}$ except: $(\gamma, \delta)=\left(k^{\prime},\left\lfloor\frac{\rho}{2}\right\rfloor\right)$ if $\rho \neq 0$, and $\gamma=\delta=0$ if $\varepsilon=1$.

Proof. Fix $(1, \gamma, \delta) \in \mathcal{W}_{d}$. If there exists such a suitable $2-$ binomial $w-w^{\prime}$, then

$$
w^{\prime}=w_{\left(2, \gamma_{1}, \delta_{1}\right)} w_{\left(2, \gamma_{2}, \delta_{2}\right)}
$$

for some $0 \leq \gamma_{i} \leq 2 k^{\prime}+\left\lfloor\frac{2 \rho}{3}\right\rfloor, \max \left\{0, d-2 \gamma_{i}\right\} \leq \delta_{i} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{2 d-3 \gamma_{i}}{2}\right\rfloor, i=1,2$, and the following equalities are satisfied:

$$
\gamma+d=\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2} \text { and } \delta=\delta_{1}+\delta_{2} .
$$

From this it follows that for $\rho=1$ and $\gamma=k^{\prime}\left(d=3 k^{\prime}+\rho\right)$, there are no $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ such that $\gamma+d=4 k^{\prime}+1$. Analogously, for $\rho=2$ we have $\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2}=$ $2 k^{\prime}+1$, which implies $\delta_{1}=\delta_{2}=0$. Hence, the equality $\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}=\delta=1$ is not satisfied.

For the rest of $\gamma$ 's, we set $\gamma_{1}:=\left\lfloor\frac{d+\gamma}{2}\right\rfloor$ and $\gamma_{2}:=\left\lceil\frac{d+\gamma}{2}\right\rceil$. Observe that we always have $k \leq \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \leq 2 k^{\prime}+\left\lfloor\frac{\rho}{2}\right\rfloor$. Using the basic properties of the floor and ceiling functions, we obtain

$$
\left\lfloor\frac{2 d-3 \gamma_{1}}{2}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{2 d-3 \gamma_{2}}{2}\right\rfloor \leq\left\lfloor\frac{4 d-3(d+\gamma)}{2}\right\rfloor=\left\lfloor\frac{d-3 \gamma}{2}\right\rfloor,
$$

where the equality holds if and only if $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ are not both odd. If so, we can find values $\delta_{1}$ and $\delta_{2}$ such that $\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}=\delta$, as long as $\delta \geq$ $\max \left\{0, d-2 \gamma_{1}\right\}+\max \left\{0, d-2 \gamma_{2}\right\}$. The last condition always happens except for $\gamma=\delta=0$ and $\varepsilon=1$.

Finally, if $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ are odd, hence $\gamma \geq 2$, the result follows taking $m^{\prime}=w_{\left(2, \gamma_{1}+1,\left\lfloor\frac{2 d-3\left(\gamma_{1}+1\right)}{2}\right\rfloor\right)} w_{\left(2, \gamma_{2}-1,\left\lfloor\frac{2 d-3\left(\gamma_{2}-1\right)}{2}\right\rfloor\right)}$.
Proposition 3.2.15. All $w_{(1, \gamma, \delta)} \in S$ admit a special 2 or 3 -binomial.
Proof. It is enough to treat the three exceptions of Lemma 3.2.14. For $\varepsilon=1$ and $(1, \gamma, \delta)=(1,0,0)$, we observe that $w_{(1,0,0)} w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}, 0\right)}-w_{(1,1,0)} w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}-1,0\right)}$ if $\rho=0, w_{(1,0,0)} w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}, 1\right)}-w_{(1,0,1)} w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}, 0\right)}$ if $\rho=1$, and $w_{(1,0,0)} w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}+1,0\right)}-$ $w_{(1,1,0)} w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}, 0\right)}$ if $\rho=2$, are all three special 2 -binomials.

For $(1, \gamma, \delta)=\left(1, k^{\prime},\left\lfloor\frac{\rho}{2}\right\rfloor\right)$ and $\rho \neq 0$, the monomial $w_{\left(1, k^{\prime},\left\lfloor\frac{\rho}{2}\right\rfloor\right)}$ does not admit a special $2-$ binomial. However, for $\rho=1$ and $\rho=2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{\left(1, k^{\prime}, 0\right)} w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}-1,2\right)} w_{(3, d, 0)}-w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}, 0\right)} w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}, 1\right)}^{2} \\
& w_{\left(1, k^{\prime}, 1\right)} w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}, 1\right)} w_{(3, d, 0)}-w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}, 2\right)} w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}+1,0\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

are special 3 -binomials, respectively.
Proposition 3.2.16. All $w_{(2, \gamma, \delta)} \in S$ admit a special 2 or 3-binomial except:
(i) $\left\{w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}-1,0\right)}, w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}-1,1\right),}, w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}, 0\right)}\right\}$ if $\rho=0$,
(ii) $\left\{w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}-1,2\right)}, w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}, 0\right)}, w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}, 1\right)}\right\}$ if $\rho=1$,
(iii) $\left\{w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}, 1\right)}, w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}, 2\right)}, w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}+1,0\right)}\right\}$ if $\rho=2$.

Proof. For any $(2, \gamma, \delta) \in \mathcal{W}_{d}$ different from the excluded cases, we consider the monomial

$$
w=w_{(2, \gamma, \delta)} w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}+\left\lfloor\frac{\rho}{2}\right\rfloor,\left\lceil\frac{\rho}{2}\right\rceil-\left\lfloor\frac{\rho}{2}\right\rfloor\right)}
$$

For convenience we denote:

$$
\gamma^{\prime}=2 k^{\prime}+\left\lfloor\frac{\rho}{2}\right\rfloor, \text { and } \delta^{\prime}=\left\lceil\frac{\rho}{2}\right\rceil-\left\lfloor\frac{\rho}{2}\right\rfloor,
$$

and we set $\gamma_{1}:=\gamma+1$ and $\gamma_{2}:=\gamma^{\prime}-1$. We distinguish the following cases: Case 1: If $\gamma$ or $\gamma^{\prime}$ are odd, and $\delta=(2 d-3 \gamma) / 2$ (hence $\rho \neq 2$ ), there exists $\delta_{i}$ with $\max \left\{0, d-2 \gamma_{i}\right\} \leq \delta_{i} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{2 d-3 \gamma_{i}}{2}\right\rfloor$ such that $\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}=\delta+\delta^{\prime}$.
Case 2: If $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ are even, $\delta=(2 d-3 \gamma) / 2$ and $\gamma<2 k^{\prime}-2$ we take $\gamma_{1}:=\gamma+2$ and $\gamma_{2}:=2 k^{\prime}-2$. Then, there exists $\delta_{i}$ with $\max \left\{0, d-2 \gamma_{i}\right\} \leq$ $\delta_{i} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{2 d-3 \gamma_{i}}{2}\right\rfloor$ such that $\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}=\delta+\delta^{\prime}$. If $\gamma=2 k^{\prime}-2$ and $\rho=1$,

$$
w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}-2,4\right)} w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}, 0\right)}-w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}-1,2\right)}^{2}
$$

is a special 2 -binomial. Finally, if $\rho=0, \gamma=2 k^{\prime}-2$ and $\delta=3$, the element $\left(2,2 k^{\prime}-2,3\right)$ does not admit a special 2 -binomial but it admits a special 3-binomial:

$$
w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}-2,3\right)} w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}-1,0\right)} w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}, 0\right)}-w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}-1,1\right)}^{3}
$$

Lemma 3.2.17. Any monomial $w=w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(2, \gamma, \delta)} \in S$ admits a suitable 2binomial $w-w^{\prime}$, with the following exceptions: $(\gamma, \delta)=\left(2 k^{\prime}+\left\lfloor\frac{\rho}{2}\right\rfloor,\left\lceil\frac{\rho}{2}\right\rceil-\left\lfloor\frac{\rho}{2}\right\rfloor\right)$ if $\rho \neq 0$, and $\gamma=0$ if $\varepsilon=1$.

Proof. If $w^{\prime}$ admits a suitable $2-\operatorname{binomial} w-w^{\prime}$, then

$$
w^{\prime}=w_{\left(1, \gamma_{1}, \delta_{1}\right)} w_{\left(1, \gamma_{2}, \delta_{2}\right)}
$$

such that $0 \leq \gamma_{i} \leq k^{\prime}, 0 \leq \delta_{i} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{d-3 \gamma_{i}}{2}\right\rfloor$ for $i=1,2$, and the following equalities are satisfied:

$$
\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}=\gamma \text { and } \delta_{1}+\delta_{2}=\delta
$$

From this it follows that $(2, \gamma, \delta) \neq\left(2,2 k^{\prime}+1,0\right)$ if $\rho=2$ and $(2, \gamma, \delta) \neq$ $\left(2,2 k^{\prime}, 1\right)$ if $\rho=1$ and $\gamma=0$ if $\varepsilon=1$.

Otherwise, we set $\gamma_{1}:=\left\lfloor\frac{\gamma}{2}\right\rfloor$ and $\gamma_{2}:=\left\lceil\frac{\gamma}{2}\right\rceil$. If $d$ is even and $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ are odd or $d$ is odd and $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ are even, we take

$$
w^{\prime}=w_{\left(1, \gamma_{1}+1,\left\lfloor\frac{d-3\left(\gamma_{1}+1\right)}{2}\right\rfloor\right)} w_{\left(1, \gamma_{2}-1,\left\lfloor\frac{d-3\left(\gamma_{2}-1\right)}{2}\right\rfloor\right)} .
$$

Or else, we take

$$
w^{\prime}=w_{\left(1, \gamma_{1},\left\lfloor\frac{d-3 \gamma_{1}}{2}\right\rfloor\right)} w_{\left(1, \gamma_{2},\left\lfloor\frac{d-3 \gamma_{2}}{2}\right\rfloor\right)} .
$$

Lemma 3.2.18. Assume that $d$ is odd. Then,
(i) any monomial $w=w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(2, \gamma, \delta)}$ admits a suitable $2-$ binomial $w-w^{\prime}$ except for $\gamma=0, \ldots, k+1$ and $\delta=\max \{0, d-2 \gamma\}$.
(ii) Any monomial $w=w_{(1, \gamma, \delta)} w_{(2,0, d)}$ admits a suitable $2-$ binomial $w-w^{\prime}$ except for $\gamma=0$ and $\delta=0, \ldots, k$ or $\gamma=1$ and $\delta=k-1$.

Proof. We write $w^{\prime}=w_{\left(1, \gamma_{1}, \delta_{1}\right)} w_{\left(2, \gamma_{2}, \delta_{2}\right)}$. (i) If $\delta>\max \{0, d-2 \gamma\}$, we take

$$
\left(1, \gamma_{1}, \delta_{1}\right)=(1,0,1) \text { and }\left(2, \gamma_{2}, \delta_{2}\right)=(2, \gamma, \delta-1),
$$

which satisfies that $w-w^{\prime} \in \mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$. The remainder cases are

$$
(2, \gamma, \max \{0, d-2 \gamma\}), \quad \gamma=0, \ldots, 2 k^{\prime}+\left\lfloor\frac{\rho}{2}\right\rfloor .
$$

If $\gamma>k+1$, we have $(2, \gamma, \max \{0, d-2 \gamma\})=(2, \gamma, 0)$ and we take

$$
\left(1, \gamma_{1}, \delta_{1}\right)=(1,1,0) \text { and }\left(2, \gamma_{2}, \delta_{2}\right)=(2, \gamma-1,0) .
$$

If $0 \leq \gamma \leq k+1$, then the equalities $\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}=\gamma$ and $\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}=\delta$ imply $\gamma_{1}=i$ and $\gamma_{2}=\gamma-i$ for some $0 \leq i \leq \gamma, 0 \leq \delta_{1} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{d-3 i}{2}\right\rfloor$ and $d-2(\gamma-i) \leq \delta_{2} \leq$ $\left\lfloor\frac{2 d-3 \gamma+3 i}{2}\right\rfloor$. Then, we obtain a contradiction $\delta<d-2(\gamma-i) \leq \delta_{1}+\delta_{2}$.
(ii) If $2 \leq \gamma$ is even or $\gamma=1$ and $\delta<k-1$, we take

$$
\left(1, \gamma_{1}, \delta_{1}\right)=(1, \gamma-1, \delta+2) \text { and }\left(2, \gamma_{2}, \delta_{2}\right)=(2,1, d-2) .
$$

If $2 \leq \gamma$ is odd, we take

$$
\left(1, \gamma_{1}, \delta_{1}\right)=(1, \gamma-2, \delta+4) \text { and }\left(2, \gamma_{2}, \delta_{2}\right)=(2,2, d-4) .
$$

In all these cases, $w-w^{\prime} \in \mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$. For $\gamma=0, \gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2}=0$ and, hence, $w^{\prime}=w$. For $\gamma=1$ and $\delta=k-1$, we have $\gamma_{1}=0$ and $\gamma_{2}=1$, so $\delta_{1} \leq k$ and $\delta_{2}=d-2$. We obtain $\delta_{1}+\delta_{2} \leq d-2+k<d+k-1$.

Remark 3.2.19. (i) The monomial $w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(3, d, 0)}$ admits a non trivial suitable 2 -binomial if and only if $\rho=0$. Indeed, assume that $w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(3, d, 0)}-$ $w_{\left(1, \gamma_{1}, \delta_{1}\right)} w_{\left(2, \gamma_{2}, \delta_{2}\right)}$ is a suitable 2 -binomial. Then we have $\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}=3 k^{\prime}+\rho=$ $k^{\prime}+2 k^{\prime}+\rho$. So $\gamma_{1}=k^{\prime}$ and $\gamma_{2}=2 k^{\prime}+\rho=2 k^{\prime}+\left\lfloor\frac{\rho}{2}\right\rfloor$ if and only if $\rho=0$.
(ii) If $\rho=1$, then any monomial $w_{\left(1, k^{\prime}, 0\right)} w_{(2, \gamma, \delta)}$ admits a suitable $2-$ binomial except when $\gamma=2 k^{\prime}$. Indeed, if $\gamma<2 k^{\prime}$ we take $\left(r_{1}, \gamma_{1}, \delta_{1}\right)=\left(1, k^{\prime}-1, \delta_{1}\right)$ and $\left(r_{2}, \gamma_{2}, \delta_{2}\right)=\left(2, \gamma+1, \delta_{2}\right)$ with $\delta=\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}, 0 \leq \delta_{1} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{d-3 k^{\prime}+3}{2}\right\rfloor$ and $\max \{0, d-2 \gamma-2\} \leq \delta_{2} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{2 d-3 \gamma-3}{2}\right\rfloor$. If $\gamma=2 k^{\prime}$, since $\gamma_{1}<k^{\prime}$ and $\gamma_{2} \leq 2 k^{\prime}$, $\gamma=\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}$ will never occur.
(iii) If $\rho=2$ and $\varepsilon=0$, the monomials $w_{\left(1, k^{\prime}, 1\right)} w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}+1,0\right)}$ and $w_{\left(1, k^{\prime}, 1\right)} w_{(2,2 k, 2)}$ do not admit a suitable $2-$ binomial. If $d-3 \gamma$ is even and $\delta=\frac{2 d-3 \gamma}{2}$, we take $w^{\prime}=w_{\left(1, k^{\prime}-2,\left\lfloor\frac{d-3\left(k^{\prime}-2\right)}{2}\right\rfloor\right)} w_{\left(2, \gamma+2,\left\lfloor\frac{2 d-3(\gamma+2)}{2}\right\rfloor\right)}$. In any other case we take $w^{\prime}=$ $w_{\left(1, k^{\prime}-1,\left\lfloor\frac{d-3\left(k^{\prime}-1\right)}{2}\right\rfloor\right)} w_{\left(2, \gamma+1,\left\lfloor\frac{2 d-3(\gamma+1)}{2}\right\rfloor\right)}$. Any monomial $w_{\left(1, k^{\prime}, 1\right)} w_{(2, \gamma, \delta)}$ admits a suitable 2-binomial except: $\gamma=2 k^{\prime}+1$ and $(\gamma, \delta)=\left(2 k^{\prime}, 2\right)$ when $\varepsilon=0$. In a similar way, we see that any monomial $w_{(1, \gamma, \delta)} w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}+1,0\right)}$ admits a suitable 2 -binomial except $\gamma=k^{\prime}$.

Proposition 3.2.20. Assume that $d$ is odd. The following monomials admit a suitable 3-binomial.
(i) $w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(2,0, d)} w_{(1,0, \delta)}, \quad \delta=0, \ldots, k-1$;
(ii) $w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(2,0, d)} w_{(3, d, 0)}$;
(iii) $w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(3, d, 0)}$;
(iv) $w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(2, \gamma, d-2 \gamma)} w_{(3, d, 0)}, \quad \gamma=0, \ldots, k-1$.

The following three monomials do not admit a suitable 3-binomial:

$$
w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(2,0, d)} w_{(1,0, k)}, w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(2, k, 1)} w_{(3, d, 0}, w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(2, k+1,0)} w_{(3, d, 0)} .
$$

Proof. For (i) to (iv), it suffices to exhibit explicitly a 3 -binomial in each case.
(i) For any $\delta \in\{0, \ldots, k-1\}$ we take

$$
w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(2,0, d)} w_{(1,0, \delta)}-w_{(1,0, k)} w_{(1,0, k)} w_{(1,0, \delta+1)} .
$$

(ii) We take $w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(2,0, d)} w_{(3, d, 0)}-w_{(1,0, k)} w_{\left(2, k,\left\lceil\frac{k+1}{2}\right\rceil\right)} w_{\left(2, k+1,\left\lfloor\frac{k+1}{2}\right\rfloor\right)}$.
(iii) We take $w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(3, d, 0)}-w_{\left(1,\left\lfloor\frac{k^{\prime}+\left\lceil\frac{\rho}{2}\right]}{2}\right], 0\right)} w_{\left(1,\left[\frac{k^{\prime}+\left\lceil\frac{\rho}{2}\right\rceil}{2}\right\rceil, 0\right)} w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}+\left\lfloor\frac{\rho}{2}\right\rfloor, 0\right)}$.
(iv) For any $0 \leq \gamma \leq k-1$, we take

$$
w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(2, \gamma, d-2 \gamma)} w_{(3, d, 0)}-w_{(2, \gamma+1, \max \{0, d-2 \gamma-2\})} w_{(2, k, 1)+(2, k, 1)} .
$$

Assume that $w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(2,0, d)} w_{(1,0, k)}-w_{\left(1, \gamma_{1}, \delta_{1}\right)} w_{\left(1, \gamma_{2}, \delta_{2}\right)} w_{\left(1, \gamma_{3}, \delta_{3}\right)}$ is a suitable 3binomial. Therefore, $\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2}=\gamma_{3}=0$. Hence, $\delta_{i} \leq k, i=1,2,3$ and the equality $\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}+\delta_{3}=3 k+1$ is not satisfied.

Now assume that $w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(2, k, 1)} w_{(3, d, 0)}-w_{\left(2, \gamma_{1}, \delta_{1}\right)} w_{\left(2, \gamma_{2}, \delta_{2}\right)} w_{\left(2, \gamma_{3}, \delta_{3}\right)}$ is a suitable 3 -binomial. Then, we have $\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}+\delta_{3} \in\{0,1\}$ and $\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{3}=$ $d+k=3 k+1$. The first condition implies $\gamma_{i} \geq k, i=1,2,3$. So, there is some $\gamma_{i}=k$ which forces $\left(2, \gamma_{i}, \delta_{i}\right)=(2, k, 1)$. The arguments for the last monomial are analogous using that there is some $\gamma_{j}=k+1$.

Proposition 3.2.21. Assume that $d$ is odd. Let $w^{\alpha}=w^{\alpha_{+}}-w^{\alpha_{-}}$be a non trivial 3 -binomial. If $w^{\alpha_{+}}$or $w^{\alpha_{-}}$is one of the following monomials:
(i) $w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(2,0, d)} w_{(1,0, \delta)}, \quad \delta=0, \ldots, k$;
(ii) $w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(2,0, d)} w_{(3, d, 0)}$ and $\rho \neq 0$;
(iii) $w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(3, d, 0)}$ and $\rho \neq 0$;
(iv) $w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(2, \gamma, d-2 \gamma)} w_{(3, d, 0)}, \quad \gamma=0, \ldots, k$ and $w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(2, k+1,0)} w_{(3, d, 0)}$;
then there is no an $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{3}$-sequence from $w^{\alpha_{+}}$to $w^{\alpha_{-}}$.
Proof. Let $\left\{w^{1}, \ldots, w^{t}\right\}$ be an $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{3}$-sequence from $w^{\alpha+}$ to $w^{\alpha-}$. By definition, it exists a variable $w_{(r, \gamma, \delta)} \in \operatorname{supp}\left(w^{\alpha_{+}}\right)$and a suitable $2-$ binomial $w^{\alpha^{\prime}}$ such that $w^{\alpha_{+}}-w^{2}=w_{(r, \gamma, \delta)} w^{\alpha^{\prime}}$. In particular, $\operatorname{supp}\left(w^{\alpha_{+}}\right)$and $\operatorname{supp}\left(w^{\alpha_{-}}\right)$ contain a variable admitting a special 3 -binomial.

If $w^{\alpha+}$ belongs to the above list, then by Lemmas 3.2.17, 3.2.14 and 3.2.18, any monomial of degree 2 that we can form from $\operatorname{supp}\left(w^{u_{+}}\right)$in (i),
(ii) and (iii) do not admit a non trivial suitable 2-binomial. Thus, for these cases $w^{\alpha}$ does not admit an $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{3}$-sequence from $w^{\alpha_{+}}$to $w^{\alpha_{-}}$. In case (iv), it suffices to see that the monomials $w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(2, \gamma, d-2 \gamma)}, \gamma=0, \ldots, k$, and $w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(2, k+1,0)}$ do not admit a suitable 2-binomial.

We fix $\gamma \in\{0, \ldots, k+1\}$ and we assume that there are $\left(1, \gamma_{1}, \delta_{1}\right) \neq$ $(1,0,0)$ and $\left(2, \gamma_{2}, \delta_{2}\right)$ in $\mathcal{W}_{d}$ such that

$$
\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}=\gamma \text { and } \delta_{1}+\delta_{2}=d-2 \gamma, \gamma=0, \ldots, k
$$

and such that $\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}=k+1$ and $\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}=0$. We write $\gamma_{2}=\gamma-\gamma_{1}$, so $\delta_{2} \geq \delta+2 \gamma_{1}$. From this we deduce that $\delta_{1}+\delta_{2} \geq \delta_{1}+\delta+2 \gamma_{1}$. Hence, $\delta_{1}+2 \gamma_{1}=0$ and we arrive to a contradiction.

We see a couple of examples, which shows that the last two propositions are false if $d$ is even.

Example 3.2.22. Take $d=4$. We only have to check that all monomials as in Proposition 3.2.20(ii) contain a submonomial of degree 2 admitting a non trivial suitable 2 -binomial. Indeed, $w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(2,0,4)}-w_{(1,0,2)}^{2}$ and $w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(3,4,0)}-w_{(2,2,0)}^{2}$ are suitable 2 -binomials of $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{4}\right)$, from which the result follows.

A consequence of Propositions 3.2.20 and 3.2.21 is that, if $d$ is odd, then a minimal set of binomial generators of $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ always contains 3 -binomials. Moreover, we will prove that Proposition 3.2.21 describes them. By Theorem 2.4.10, $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is minimally generated by binomials of degree at most 3 . Applied to $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$, we have that for any integer $k \geq 4$ and any $k$-binomial $w^{\alpha}=w^{\alpha_{+}}-w^{\alpha_{-}}$, there exists an $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}$-sequence from $w^{\alpha_{+}}$to $w^{\alpha_{-}}$. The proof of Theorem 2.4.10, however, does not show a systematic way to construct such $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}$-sequences.

Notation 3.2.23. Let $d \geq 5$ be an odd integer. We denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{B}_{3}^{0}:= & \left\{w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(2,0, d)} w_{(1,0, \delta)}\right\}_{\delta=0}^{k-1} \cup\left\{w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(2, \gamma, d-2 \gamma)} w_{(3, d, 0)}\right\}_{\gamma=0}^{k-1} \\
\mathfrak{B}_{3}^{1}=\mathfrak{B}_{3}^{2}:= & \left\{w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(2,0, d)} w_{(1,0, \delta)}\right\}_{\delta=0}^{k-1} \cup\left\{w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(2, \gamma, d-2 \gamma)} w_{(3, d, 0)}\right\}_{\gamma=0}^{k-1} \\
& \cup\left\{w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(2,0, d)} w_{(3, d, 0)}, w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(3, d, 0)}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Our main result is the following.
Theorem 3.2.24. Let $d \geq 4$ and $k \geq 3$ be integers and $w^{\alpha}=w^{\alpha+}-w^{\alpha_{-}} \in$ $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ a $k$-binomial. Then,
(i) if $d$ is even, there exists a $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}$-sequence from $w^{\alpha_{+}}$to $w^{\alpha_{-}}$.
(ii) If $d$ is odd and $k \geq 4$, there exists $a \mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}$-sequence from $w^{\alpha+}$ to $w^{\alpha_{-}}$.
(iii) If $d$ is odd and $k=3$, then there exists a $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{3}$-sequence from $w^{\alpha_{+}}$ to $w^{\alpha_{-}}$if and only if neither $w^{\alpha_{+}}$nor $w^{\alpha_{-}}$belong to $\mathfrak{B}_{3}^{\rho}$.

As a direct corollary, we have:
Corollary 3.2.25. Let $d \geq 4$ be an integer.
(i) If $d$ is even, then $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)=\left(\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{2}\right)$.
(ii) If $d$ is odd,

$$
\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)=\left(\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{2}\right)+\left(w^{\alpha} \in \mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{3} \mid w^{\alpha_{+}} \in \mathfrak{B}_{3}^{\rho} \text { or } w^{\alpha_{-}} \in \mathfrak{B}_{3}^{\rho}\right) .
$$

The rest of this subsection is devoted to prove Theorem 3.2.24. In Proposition 3.2.21, we have shown the converse part of Theorem 3.2.24(iii), so it remains to see that for any $k \geq 3$ and a $k$-binomial $w^{\alpha}$ such that $w^{\alpha_{+}}, w^{\alpha_{-}} \notin \mathfrak{B}_{3}^{\rho}$, there exists an $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}-$ sequence from $w^{\alpha_{+}}$to $w^{\alpha_{-}}$. For simplicity, we often use the following notation for $\alpha_{+}$and $\alpha_{-}$, respectively:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a(0,0,0)+\sum_{i=1}^{b}\left(1, \gamma_{i}^{1}, \delta_{i}^{1}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{c}\left(2, \gamma_{j}^{2}, \delta_{j}^{2}\right)+e(3, d, 0) \\
& A(0,0,0)+\sum_{s=1}^{B}\left(1, \gamma_{s}^{1}, \delta_{s}^{1}\right)+\sum_{r=1}^{C}\left(2, \gamma_{r}^{2}, \delta_{r}^{2}\right)-E(3, d, 0)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $0 \leq a, b, c, e, A, B, C, E \leq n$ are integers and $a A=0=e E$. Since $w^{\alpha}$ is a suitable $k$-binomial, we have restrictions

$$
a+b+c+e=A+B+C+E \text { and } b+2 c+3 e=B+2 C+3 E .
$$

The following proposition allows us to focus on $k$-binomials of the form

$$
\prod_{j=1}^{k} w_{\left(r_{i_{j}}, \gamma_{i_{j}}, \delta_{i_{j}}\right)}-\prod_{j=1}^{k} w_{\left(r_{h_{j}}, \gamma_{h_{j}}, \delta_{h_{j}}\right)}
$$

with all $r_{i, j}, r_{h_{j}} \in\{1,2\}$. With the above notation, $a=e=A=E=0$.
Proposition 3.2.26. Let $w^{\alpha}=w^{\alpha_{+}}-w^{\alpha_{-}}$be a non trivial suitable $k_{-}$ binomial such that $w_{(0,0,0)} \in \operatorname{supp}\left(w^{\alpha}\right)$ or $w_{(3, d, 0)} \in \operatorname{supp}\left(w^{\alpha}\right)$. If $w^{\alpha_{+}}, w^{\alpha_{-}} \notin$ $\mathcal{M}_{3}^{\rho}$, then there exist $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}$-sequences

$$
\left\{w^{\alpha_{+}}, \ldots, w^{\alpha_{+}^{\prime}}\right\} \text { and }\left\{w^{\alpha_{-}^{\prime}}, \ldots, w^{\alpha_{-}}\right\}
$$

such that $w_{(0,0,0)}, w_{(3, d, 0)} \notin \operatorname{supp}\left(w^{\alpha_{+}^{\prime}}\right) \cup \operatorname{supp}\left(w^{\alpha_{-}^{\prime}}\right)$.
Proof. We write $\alpha^{+}=a(0,0,0)+\sum_{i=1}^{b}\left(1, \gamma_{i}^{1}, \delta_{i}^{1}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{c}\left(2, \gamma_{j}^{2}, \delta_{j}^{2}\right)+e(3, d, 0)$ and we assume that $a>0$ or $e>0$. Analogous we deal with $\alpha_{-}$. It is enough to see that we can always decrease the value of $a+e$ until we reach 0 . We analyse separately several cases according to the value of $d=2 k+\varepsilon=3 k^{\prime}+\rho$, $\varepsilon \in\{0,1\}$ and $\rho \in\{0,1,2\}$.
Case 1: Assume $\varepsilon=0$ and $\rho=0$. The hypothesis $w^{\alpha}$ non-trivial implies $(b, c) \neq(0,0)$ or $(b, c)=(0,0)$ and $a=e$. If $(b, c)=(0,0)$ and $a=e$ we have

$$
w_{(0,0,0)}^{a} w_{(3, d, 0)}^{a}-w_{\left(1, k^{\prime}, 0\right)}^{a} w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}, 0\right)}^{a}
$$

Otherwise, since $m=w_{(3, d, 0)} w_{\left(1, \gamma_{1}^{1}, \delta_{1}^{1}\right)}$ (respectively $\left.m=w_{(0,0,0)} w_{\left(2, \gamma_{1}^{2}, \delta_{1}^{2}\right)}\right)$ admits a special suitable 2 -binomial $m-m^{\prime}$ with $m^{\prime}=w_{\left(2, \gamma_{c+1}^{2}, \delta_{c+1}^{2}\right)} w_{\left(2, \gamma_{c+2}^{2}, \delta_{c+2}^{2}\right)}$ (respectively $\left.m^{\prime}=w_{\left(1, \gamma_{b+1}^{1}, \delta_{b+1}^{1}\right)} w_{\left(1, \gamma_{b+2}^{1}, \delta_{b+2}^{1}\right)}\right)$, we can write

$$
\begin{gathered}
w^{a_{1}}:=w_{(0,0,0)}^{a} \prod_{i=2}^{b} w_{\left(1, \gamma_{i}^{1}, \delta_{i}^{1}\right)} \prod_{j=1}^{c+2} w_{\left(2, \gamma_{j}^{2}, \delta_{j}^{2}\right.} w_{(3, d, 0)}^{e-1} \\
\left(\text { respectively } w^{a_{1}}:=w_{(0,0,0)}^{a-1} \prod_{i=1}^{b+2} w_{\left(1, \gamma_{i}^{1}, \delta_{i}^{1}\right)} \prod_{j=2}^{c} w_{\left(2, \gamma_{j}^{2}, \delta_{j}^{2}\right)} w_{(3, d, 0)}^{e}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and build an $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}$-sequence $\left(w^{\alpha_{+}}, w^{a_{1}}\right)$ such that

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{w(0,0,0)} w^{a_{1}}+d e g_{w(3, d, 0)} w^{a_{1}}<a+e=\operatorname{deg}_{w(0,0,0)} w^{\alpha_{+}}+d e g_{w(3, d, 0)} w^{\alpha_{+}}
$$

As a result, we have decreased by one the value of $a+e$.
Case 2: Assume $\varepsilon=0$ and $1 \leq \rho \leq 2$. The hypothesis $w^{\alpha}$ non-trivial implies $(b, c) \neq(0,0)$ and we can argue as in Case 1 unless

$$
\begin{gathered}
w^{\alpha_{+}}=w_{(0,0,0)}^{a} w_{\left(1, k^{\prime}, 0\right)}^{b} w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}, 1\right)}^{c} w_{(3, d, 0)}^{e} \\
\text { (respectively } \left.w^{\alpha_{+}}=w_{(0,0,0)}^{a} w_{\left(1, k^{\prime}, 1\right)}^{b} w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}+1,0\right)}^{c} w_{(3, d, 0)}^{e}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

which are monomials not admitting a suitable $k$-binomial $w^{\alpha_{+}}-w^{\alpha_{-}}$.
$\underline{\text { Case 3: Assume }} \varepsilon=1$ and $\rho=0$. Since $w_{(0,0,0)} w_{3, d, 0)}-w_{\left(1, k^{\prime}, 0\right)} w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}, 0\right)} \in$ $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$, we can argue as in Case 1 unless

$$
w^{\alpha_{+}}=w_{(0,0,0)}^{a} w_{(1,0,0)}^{b} w_{(2,0, d)}^{c} \text { or } w^{\alpha_{+}}=w_{(1,0,0)}^{b} w_{(2,0, d)}^{c} w_{(3, d, 0)}^{e} .
$$

The fact that $w^{\alpha_{+}}-w^{\alpha_{-}}$is non-trivial implies $b, c>0$ and the hypothesis $w^{\alpha_{+}} \notin \mathfrak{B}_{3}^{0}$ implies $a+b+c>3$ (respectively $b+c+e>3$ ). Set $m=$ $w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(2,0, d)}$ (respectively $\left.m=w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(2,0, d)} w_{(3, d, 0)}\right)$. By Proposition 3.2.20, we have

$$
w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(2,0, d)} w_{(1,0,0)}-w_{(1,0, k)} w_{(1,0, k)} w_{(1,0,1)} \in \mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)
$$

(respectively $\left.w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(2,0, d)} w_{(3, d, 0)}-w_{(2,1, d-2\})} w_{(2, k, 1)+(2, k, 1)}\right) \in \mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$
and we apply the same game decreasing $a$ (respectively $e$ ) by one.
Case 4: Assume $\varepsilon=1$ and $1 \leq \rho \leq 2$. From the hypothesis $w^{\alpha}$ non trivial, we have $(b, c) \neq 0$. So we proceed as in Case 1 unless

$$
w^{\alpha^{+}}=w_{(0,0,0)}^{a} w_{(1,0,0)}^{b} w_{\left(1, k^{\prime}, 0\right)}^{c} w_{(2,0, d)}^{f} w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}, 1\right)}^{g} w_{(3, d, 0)}^{e}
$$

$$
\left(\text { respectively } w^{\alpha_{+}}=w_{(0,0,0)}^{a} w_{(1,0,0)}^{b} w_{\left(1, k^{\prime}, 1\right)}^{c} w_{(2,0, d)}^{f} w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}+1,0\right)}^{g} w_{(3, d, 0)}^{e}\right)
$$

with $(b, c, f, g) \neq(0,0,0,0)$ (respectively $(b, c, f, g) \neq(0,0,0,0))$. Since $w^{\alpha+} \notin \mathfrak{B}_{3}^{1}$, it follows that $(c, g) \neq(0,0)$ or $a+b+f+g+e>3$. By

Proposition 3.2.20, we have non trivial 3 -binomials:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(1,0,0} w_{(2,0, d)}-w_{(1,0, k)} w_{(1,0, k)} w_{(1,0,1)} \\
& w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(2,0, d)} w_{(3, d, 0)}-w_{(1,0, k)} w_{\left(2, k, k \frac{k+1}{2}\right\rfloor} w_{\left(2, k+1,\left\lfloor\frac{k+1}{2}\right\rfloor\right)} \\
& w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(3, d, 0)}-w_{(1,1,0)} w_{\left(1, k^{\prime}, 0\right)} w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}, 0\right)} \\
& w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(2,0, d)} w_{(3, d, 0)}-w_{(2,1, d-2)} w_{(2, k, 1)} w_{(2, k, 1)} \\
& \text { (respectively } w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(2,0, d)}-w_{(1,0, k)} w_{(1,0, k)} w_{(1,0,2)} \\
& w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(2,0, d)} w_{(3, d, 0)}-w_{(1,0, k)} w_{\left(2, k, \frac{k+1}{}\right)} w_{\left.\left(2, k+1, \frac{k+1}{2}\right\rfloor\right)} \\
& w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(3, d, 0)}-w_{(1,1,0)} w_{\left(1, k^{\prime}, 0\right)} w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}+1,0\right)} \\
& w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(2,0, d)} w_{(3, d, 0)}-w_{(2,1, d-2)} w_{(2, k, 1)} w_{(2, k, 1))} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we argue as in Case 3 decreasing $a$ and $e$ by one unless

$$
\begin{gathered}
w^{\alpha+}=w_{(0,0,0)}^{a} w_{\left(1, k^{\prime}, 0\right)}^{c} w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}, 1\right)}^{g} w_{(3, d, 0)}^{e} \\
\text { (respectively } \left.w_{(0,0,0)}^{a} w_{\left(1, k^{\prime}, 1\right)}^{c} w_{\left(2,2 k^{\prime}+1,0\right)}^{g} w_{(3, d, 0)}^{e}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

but such monomials do not admit a non trivial suitable $k$-binomial.
Remark 3.2.27. Any suitable $k$-binomial of the form

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{b} w_{\left(1, \gamma_{i}^{1}, \delta_{i}^{1}\right)} \prod_{j=1}^{c} w_{\left(2, \gamma_{j}^{2}, \delta_{j}^{2}\right)}-\prod_{i=1}^{b^{\prime}} w_{\left(1, \gamma_{i}^{3}, \delta_{i}^{3}\right)} \prod_{j=1}^{c^{\prime}} w_{\left(2, \gamma_{j}^{4}, \delta_{j}^{4}\right)}
$$

satisfies $b=b^{\prime}$ and $c=c^{\prime}$.
Example 3.2.28. (i) Take $d=4$ and consider the non trivial 3-binomial

$$
w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(2,0,4)}-w_{(1,0,1)} w_{(1,0,1)} w_{(1,0,2)} .
$$

Since $w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(2,0,4)}-w_{(1,0,2)}^{2}$ is a non trivial 2-binomial, we define $w^{a_{1}}=$ $w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(1,0,2)}^{2}$ and we get an $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{4}\right)_{3}$-sequence

$$
\left(w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(2,0,4)}, w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(1,0,2)}^{2}, w_{(1,0,1)} w_{(1,0,1)} w_{(1,0,2)}\right)
$$

from $w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(2,0,4)}$ to $w_{(1,0,1)} w_{(1,0,1)} w_{(1,0,2)}$ where $w^{\alpha_{+}}=w^{a_{1}}$.
(ii) Take $d=5$ and consider the non trivial 4-binomial

$$
w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(2,0,5)} w_{(3,5,0)}-w_{(1,1,0)}^{2} w_{(2,1,3)} w_{(2,2,2)} .
$$

We take the 3 -binomial $w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(2,0,5)}-w_{(1,0,1)} w_{(1,0,2)}^{2}$ and we define $w^{a_{1}}:=w_{(1,0,1)} w_{(1,0,2)}^{2} w_{(3,5,0)}$. Observe that $w_{(0,0,0)} \notin \operatorname{supp}\left(w^{a_{1}}\right) . w_{(1,0,1)} w_{(3,5,0)}$ admits a suitable 2 -binomial $w_{(1,0,1)} w_{(3,5,0)}-w_{(2,2,1)} w_{(2,3,0)}$. We define $w^{a_{2}}:=$ $w_{(1,0,2)}^{2} w_{(2,2,1)} w_{(2,3,0)}$ and we get an $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{5}\right)_{4}$-sequence

$$
\left(w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(2,0,5)} w_{(3,5,0)}, w_{(1,0,1)} w_{(1,0,2)}^{2} w_{(3,5,0)}, w_{(1,0,2)}^{2} w_{(2,2,1)} w_{(2,3,0)}\right)
$$

with $w_{(0,0,0)}, w_{(3,5,0)} \notin \operatorname{supp}\left(w^{a_{2}}\right)$.
In view of Proposition 3.2.26, we analyze when a monomial $w$ of the form $w_{\left(r_{1}, \gamma_{1}, \delta_{1}\right)} w_{\left(r_{2}, \gamma_{2}, \delta_{2}\right)}$ with $r_{1}, r_{2} \in\{1,2\}$ admits a suitable 2 -binomial $w-w^{\prime}$ with $w^{\prime}=w_{\left(r_{3}, \gamma_{3}, \delta_{3}\right)} w_{\left(r_{4}, \gamma_{4}, \delta_{4}\right)}$ and $r_{3}, r_{4} \in\{1,2\}$. This problem can be reformulated as follows. For which integer $s \geq 0$, setting $\gamma_{3}:=\gamma_{1} \pm s$ and $\gamma_{4}:=\gamma_{2} \mp s$, there exist $\max \left\{0,\left(r_{i}-1\right) d-2 \gamma_{i}\right\} \leq \delta_{i} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{r_{i} d-3 \gamma_{i}}{2}\right\rfloor, i=3,4$, such that $\delta_{3}+\delta_{4}=\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}$.

Lemma 3.2.29. With the above notation, there are $\delta_{3}$ and $\delta_{4}$ with the following exceptions:
(i) for any $1 \leq r_{1}, r_{2} \leq 2$, if $\left(r_{1} d_{1}-3 \gamma_{1}\right)$ and $\left(r_{2} d_{2}-3 \gamma_{2}\right)$ are even, $s$ is odd, and $\delta_{1}$ and $\delta_{2}$ are the maximum ones. We call it the maximum bound problem (MBP).
(ii) Assume $r_{2}=2$.

1. If $r_{1}=1$, when doing $\gamma_{1}+s$ and $\gamma_{2}-s$ we have $\gamma_{2}-s<k+\varepsilon$ and $\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}<\max \left\{0, d-2 \gamma_{2}-2 s\right\}$.
2. If $r_{1}=2$, when doing $\gamma_{1}+s$ and $\gamma_{2}-s$ we have $\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}<\max \{0, d-$ $\left.2 \gamma_{1}-2 s\right\}+\max \left\{0, d-2 \gamma_{2}+2 s\right\}$ and we have one of the following cases:
(a) $\gamma_{1} \geq k+\varepsilon$ and $\gamma_{2}-s<k+\varepsilon$,
(b) $\gamma_{1}<k+\varepsilon, \gamma_{1}+s \geq k+\varepsilon, \gamma_{2} \geq k+\varepsilon$ and $\gamma_{1}>\gamma_{2}-s$,
(c) $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}<k+\varepsilon, \gamma_{1}+s>k+\varepsilon$.

We call it the minimum bound problem (mbp).

Proof. We have $\max \left\{0,\left(r_{1}-1\right) d-2 \gamma_{1}\right\}+\max \left\{0,\left(r_{2}-1\right) d-2 \gamma_{2}\right\} \leq \delta_{1}+\delta_{2} \leq$ $\left\lfloor\frac{r_{1} d-3 \gamma_{1}}{2}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{r_{2} d-3 \gamma_{2}}{2}\right\rfloor$ and $\max \left\{0,\left(r_{1}-1\right) d-2\left(\gamma_{1}+s\right)\right\}+\max \left\{0,\left(r_{2}-1\right) d-\right.$ $\left.2\left(\gamma_{2}-s\right)\right\} \leq \delta_{3}+\delta_{4} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{r_{1} d-3\left(\gamma_{1}+s\right)}{2}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{r_{2} d-3\left(\gamma_{2}-s\right)}{2}\right\rfloor$. Therefore, the result holds for values: $\max \left\{0,\left(r_{1}-1\right) d-2\left(\gamma_{1}+s\right)\right\}+\max \left\{0,\left(r_{2}-1\right) d-2\left(\gamma_{2}-s\right)\right\} \leq$ $\delta_{1}+\delta_{2} \leq\left\lfloor\frac{r_{1} d-3\left(\gamma_{1}+s\right)}{2}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{r_{2} d-3\left(\gamma_{2}-s\right)}{2}\right\rfloor$.
(i) Using the basic properties of the floor and ceiling functions, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\lfloor\frac{r_{1} d-3 \gamma_{1}}{2}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{r_{2} d-3 \gamma_{2}}{2}\right\rfloor & \leq\left\lfloor\frac{r_{1} d-3\left(\gamma_{1}+s\right)+r_{2} d-3\left(\gamma_{2}-s\right)}{2}\right\rfloor \\
& \leq\left\lfloor\frac{r_{1} d-3\left(\gamma_{1}+s\right)}{2}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{r_{2} d-3\left(\gamma_{2}-s\right)}{2}\right\rfloor+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, $\left\lfloor\frac{r_{1} d-3\left(\gamma_{1}+s\right)}{2}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{r_{2} d-3\left(\gamma_{2}-s\right)}{2}\right\rfloor<\left\lfloor\frac{r_{1} d-3 \gamma_{1}}{2}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{r_{2} d-3 \gamma_{2}}{2}\right\rfloor$ if and only if $\left(r_{1} d-3 \gamma_{1}\right)$ and $\left(r_{2} d-3 \gamma_{2}\right)$ are even and $s$ is odd.
(ii) It is obtained determining which values satisfy $\max \left\{0,\left(r_{1}-1\right) d-2 \gamma_{1}\right\}+$ $\max \left\{0,\left(r_{2}-1\right) d-2 \gamma_{2}\right\} \leq \delta_{1}+\delta_{2}<\max \left\{0,\left(r_{1}-1\right) d-2\left(\gamma_{1}+s\right)\right\}+\max \left\{0,\left(r_{2}-\right.\right.$ 1) $\left.d-2\left(\gamma_{2}-s\right)\right\}$.

Let $w^{\alpha}=w^{\alpha_{+}}-w^{\alpha_{-}}$be a non trivial $k$-binomial such that $w^{\alpha_{+}}, w^{\alpha_{-}} \notin$ $\mathfrak{B}_{3}^{\rho}$. By Proposition 3.2.26, if $w_{(0,0,0)} \in \operatorname{supp}\left(w^{\alpha}\right)$ or $w_{(3, d, 0)} \in \operatorname{supp}\left(w^{\alpha}\right)$, then there are $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}$-sequences $\left(w^{\alpha_{+}}, \ldots, w^{\alpha_{+}^{\prime}}\right)$ and $\left(w^{\alpha_{-}^{\prime}}, \ldots, w^{\alpha_{-}}\right)$such that $w_{(0,0,0)}, w_{(3, d, 0)} \notin \operatorname{supp}\left(w^{\alpha_{-}^{\prime}}\right) \cup \operatorname{supp}\left(w^{\alpha_{-}}\right)$and we have $w^{\alpha^{\prime}}:=w^{\alpha_{+}^{\prime}}-w^{\alpha_{-}^{\prime}-} \in$ $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$. Now, $w^{\alpha^{\prime}}$ could be trivial or zero. In the first case,

$$
\left(w^{\alpha_{+}}, \ldots, w^{\alpha_{+}^{\prime}}, w^{\alpha_{-}^{\prime}}, \ldots, w^{\alpha_{-}}\right)
$$

is an $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}$-sequence. In the second case, let $t_{+}, t_{-} \geq 0$ be the length of the respectively $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}$-sequences. Since $w^{\alpha}$ is non trivial, $t_{+}>0$ or $t_{-}>0$. Assume $t_{+}>0$ (analogously, for $t_{+}=0$ and $t_{-}>0$ ). Therefore, $\left(w^{\alpha_{+}}, \ldots, w^{a_{+}-1}, w^{\alpha_{-}^{\prime}}, \ldots, w^{\alpha_{-}}\right)$is an $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}-$ sequence. Next, we deal with $w^{\alpha_{+}^{\prime}}-w^{\alpha_{-}^{\prime}}$ being neither trivial nor zero.

Proposition 3.2.30. Let $w^{\alpha}$ be a non trivial suitable $k$-binomial of the form

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{t} w_{\left(1, \gamma_{i}, \delta_{i}\right)} \prod_{i=t+1}^{k} w_{\left(2, \gamma_{i}, \delta_{i}\right)}-\prod_{i=1}^{t} w_{\left(1, \gamma_{i}^{\prime}, \delta_{i}^{\prime}\right)} \prod_{i=t+1}^{k} w_{\left(2, \gamma_{i}^{\prime}, \delta_{i}^{\prime}\right)} .
$$

There are $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}-$ sequences $\left(w^{\alpha_{+}}, \ldots, w_{r}^{\alpha_{+}}\right)$and $\left(w^{\alpha_{-}}, \ldots, w_{u}^{\alpha_{-}}\right)$with

$$
w_{r}^{\alpha+}=\prod_{i=1}^{t} w_{\left(1, \gamma_{i}^{1}, \delta_{i}^{1}\right)} \prod_{i=t+1}^{k} w_{\left(2, \gamma_{i}^{1}, \delta_{i}^{1}\right)} \text { and } w_{u}^{\alpha-}=\prod_{i=1}^{t} w_{\left(1, \gamma_{i}^{2}, \delta_{i}^{2}\right)} \prod_{i=t+1}^{k} w_{\left(2, \gamma_{i}^{2}, \delta_{i}^{2}\right)}
$$

and such that $\gamma_{i}^{1}=\gamma_{i}^{2}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, k$.
Proof. We may suppose that $\gamma_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \gamma_{t}, \gamma_{t+1} \geq \cdots \geq \gamma_{n}$ (respectively $\gamma_{i}^{\prime}$ ). Let $\gamma_{\ell}$ be the first such that $\gamma_{j} \neq \gamma_{j}^{\prime}$. We may also suppose that $\gamma_{\ell}=\gamma_{\ell}^{\prime}+s$ with $s>0$. Hence $\sum_{j \neq \ell} \gamma_{j}+s=\sum_{j \neq \ell} \gamma_{j}^{\prime}$. Let $\gamma_{i}$ be the first such that $\gamma_{i}<\gamma_{i}^{\prime}$ with $i>\ell$ and let $s_{i}>0$ be such that $\gamma_{i}+s_{i}=\gamma_{i}^{\prime}$. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1: $s \leq s_{i}$. According to Lemma 3.2.29, when doing $\gamma_{\ell}-s$ and $\gamma_{i}+s$ the mbp does not occur and the MPB appears when $r_{\ell} d-3 \gamma_{\ell}, r_{i} d-3 \gamma_{i}$ are even, $s$ is odd, $\delta_{\ell}=\frac{r_{\ell} d-3 \gamma_{\ell}}{2}$ and $\delta_{i}=\frac{r_{i} d-3 \gamma_{i}}{2}$. If MBP does not occur, we define:

$$
w^{a_{2}}:=w_{\left(r_{1}, \gamma_{1}, \delta_{1}\right)} w_{\left(r_{2}, \gamma_{2}, \delta_{2}\right)} \cdots w_{\left(r_{\ell}, \gamma_{\ell}-s, \bar{\delta}_{\ell}\right)} \cdots w_{\left(r_{i}, \gamma_{i}+s, \bar{\delta}_{i}\right)} \cdots w_{\left(r_{n}, \gamma_{n}, \delta_{n}\right)} .
$$

Then $\left(w^{a_{+}}, w^{a_{2}}\right)$ is an $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)-k$ sequence and $w^{a_{2}}, w^{a_{-}}$share the same $\gamma$ in position $\ell$. Now we assume that the MBP occurs. We divide the discussion in several subcases based on the parity of $d$.
$1.1 \varepsilon=0, \gamma_{\ell}$ and $\gamma_{i}$ even and $s$ odd.
$1.1 \varepsilon=1, r_{l}=r_{i}=2, \gamma_{\ell}$ and $\gamma_{i}$ even and $s$ odd.
$1.3 \varepsilon=1, r_{l}=r_{i}=1, \gamma_{l}$ and $\gamma_{i}$ odd and $s$ odd.
$1.4 \varepsilon=1, r_{l}=1, r_{i}=2, \gamma_{\ell}$ odd, $\gamma_{i}$ even and $s$ odd.
We treat 1.1, the remaining cases follow analogously. We proceed by modifying both $w^{a_{+}}$and $w^{a_{-}}$. Doing $\gamma_{\ell}-(s+1)$ and $\gamma_{i}+(s+1)$, the MBP does not occur. Since $\gamma_{\ell}$ and $\gamma_{i}$ are even and $s$ is odd, we obtain that $\gamma_{\ell}^{\prime}$ is odd. If $\gamma_{i}^{\prime}<r_{i} k^{\prime}+\left\lfloor\frac{r_{i} \rho}{3}\right\rfloor$, then we do $\gamma_{\ell}^{\prime}-1$ and $\gamma_{i}^{\prime}+1$, since the mbp does not occur. We set

$$
w^{a_{2}}=w_{\left(r_{1}, \gamma_{1}, \delta_{1}\right)} \cdots w_{\left(r_{\ell}, \gamma_{\ell}-(s+1), \bar{\delta}_{\ell}\right)} \cdots w_{\left(r_{i}, \gamma_{i}+s+1, \bar{\delta}_{i}\right)} \cdots w_{\left(r_{n}, \gamma_{n}, \delta_{n}\right)}
$$

$$
w^{a_{2}^{\prime}}=w_{\left(r_{1}, \gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \delta_{1}^{\prime}\right)} \cdots w_{\left(r_{\ell}, \gamma_{\ell}^{\prime}-1, \bar{\delta}_{\ell}^{\prime}\right)} \cdots w_{\left(r_{i}, \gamma_{i}^{\prime}+1, \bar{\delta}_{i}^{\prime}\right)} \cdots w_{\left(r_{n}, \gamma_{n}^{\prime}, \delta_{n}^{\prime}\right)} .
$$

$\left(w^{\alpha_{+}}, w^{a_{2}}\right)$ and $\left(w^{a_{2}^{\prime}}, w^{\alpha_{-}^{\prime}}\right)$ are $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}-$ sequences and $w^{a_{2}}, w^{a_{2}^{\prime}}$ share the same $\gamma$ in position $\ell$.

If $\gamma_{i}^{\prime}=r_{i} k^{\prime}+\left\lfloor\frac{r_{i} \rho}{3}\right\rfloor$, we can consider $\gamma_{\ell}^{\prime}+1, \gamma_{i}^{\prime}-1$ and set

$$
\begin{gathered}
w^{a_{2}}=w_{\left(r_{1}, \gamma_{1}, \delta_{1}\right)} \cdots w_{\left(r_{\ell}, \gamma_{\ell \ell}(s-1), \bar{\delta} \ell\right)} \cdots w_{\left(r_{i}, \gamma_{i}+(s-1), \bar{\delta}_{i}\right)} \cdots w_{\left(r_{n}, \gamma_{n}, \delta_{n}\right)} \\
w^{a_{2}^{\prime}}=w_{\left(r_{1}, \gamma_{1}, \delta_{1}\right)} \cdots w_{\left(r_{\ell}, \gamma_{\ell}^{\prime}+1, \bar{\delta}_{\ell}^{\prime}\right)} \cdots w_{\left(r_{i}, \gamma_{i}^{\prime}-1, \bar{\delta}_{i}^{\prime}\right)} \cdots w_{\left(r_{n}, \gamma_{n}^{\prime}, \delta_{n}^{\prime}\right)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

In any case, $w^{a_{2}}$ and $w^{\alpha_{-}}$(respectively $w^{a_{2}^{\prime}}$ ) share the same $\gamma$ in position $\ell$. Case 2: $s>s_{i}$. Arguing as in Case 1, we distinguish cases 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4 and we treat the first one. Assume that $\gamma_{\ell}$ and $\gamma_{i}$ are even, $s$ is odd and

$$
\delta_{\ell}=\frac{r_{\ell} d-3 \gamma_{\ell}}{2}, \quad \delta_{i}=\frac{r_{i} d-3 \gamma_{i}}{2} .
$$

We have that $\gamma_{i}^{\prime}$ is odd and we can argue as in Case 1 if we do $\gamma_{\ell}^{\prime}+1$ and $\gamma_{i}^{\prime}-1$. Since $s>s_{i}, w^{a_{2}}$ and $w^{\alpha_{-}}$(respectively $w^{a_{2}^{\prime}}$ ) verifies the same hypothesis as $w^{\alpha_{+}}$and $w^{\alpha_{-}}$with $\gamma_{\ell}-s_{i}$ and $\gamma_{\ell}^{\prime}$ (respectively $\gamma_{\ell}-\left(s_{i}-1\right)$ and $\left.\gamma_{\ell}^{\prime}+1\right)$ in position $\ell$. We apply the same strategy to $w^{a_{2}}$ and we continue until, in step $t>1$, the resulting monomial $w^{a_{t}}$ verifies Case 1.

The result follows from iterating the above argument.
Remark 3.2.31. If $w_{r}^{\alpha_{+}-} w_{u}^{\alpha_{-}}$is trivial, we obtain an $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}$-sequence from $w^{\alpha+}$ to $w^{\alpha_{-}}$.

Example 3.2.32. In Example 3.2.28(ii), we had

$$
w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(2,0,5)} w_{(3,5,0)}-w_{(1,1,0)}^{2} w_{(2,1,3)} w_{(2,2,2)}
$$

and we have build the $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{5}\right)_{4}$-sequence

$$
\left(w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(2,0,5)} w_{(3,5,0)}, w_{(1,0,1)} w_{(1,0,2)}^{2} w_{(3,5,0)}, w_{(1,0,2)}^{2} w_{(2,2,1)} w_{(2,3,0)}\right) .
$$

Now we apply Proposition 3.2.30 to the non trivial 4-binomial

$$
w_{(1,1,0)}^{2} w_{(2,1,3)} w_{(2,2,2)}-w_{(1,0,2)}^{2} w_{(2,2,1)} w_{(2,3,0)} .
$$

We have $\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2}=0, \gamma_{3}=2, \gamma_{4}=3$ and $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}=\gamma_{2}^{\prime}=1, \gamma_{3}=1, \gamma_{4}=$ 2 , with $\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{1}^{\prime}+1$. The first $\gamma_{i}<\gamma_{i}^{\prime}$ corresponds to $\gamma_{3}$ with $s_{3}=1$.

Then we choose the suitable 2 -binomial $w_{(1,1,0)} w_{(2,1,3)}-w_{(1,0,1)} w_{(2,2,2)}$ and we define $w^{a_{2}}:=w_{(1,0,1)} w_{(1,1,0)} w_{(2,2,2)}^{2}$. Note the $\gamma^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ involved in $w^{a_{2}}$ by $\tilde{\gamma}_{i}$, $i=1,2,3,4$. Now $\tilde{\gamma}_{1}=\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \tilde{\gamma}_{2}=1, \tilde{\gamma}_{3}=\tilde{\gamma}_{4}=2$. The first $\tilde{\gamma}_{i}>\gamma_{i}^{\prime}$ is $\gamma_{2}=\gamma_{2}^{\prime}+1$ and the first $\gamma_{j}<\gamma_{j}^{\prime}$ with $j \geq 3$ is $\gamma_{4}=2$ with $s_{4}=1$. Then we choose the suitable 2 -binomial $w_{(1,1,0)} w_{(2,2,2)}-w_{(1,0,2)} w_{(2,3,0)}$ and we define $w^{a_{3}}:=w_{(1,0,1)} w_{(1,0,2)} w_{(2,2,2)} w_{(2,3,0)}$. We have obtained an $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{5}\right)_{4}$-sequence from $w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(2,0,5)} w_{(3,5,0)}$ to $w_{(1,1,0)}^{2} w_{(2,1,3)} w_{(2,2,2)}$. Precisely,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(w_{(0,0,0)} w_{(1,0,0)} w_{(2,0,5)} w_{(3,5,0)}, w_{(1,0,1)} w_{(1,0,2)}^{2} w_{(3,5,0)}, w_{(1,0,2)}^{2} w_{(2,2,1)} w_{(2,3,0)},\right. \\
& \left.w_{(1,0,1)} w_{(1,0,2)} w_{(2,2,2)} w_{(2,3,0)}, w_{(1,0,1)} w_{(1,1,0)} w_{(2,2,2)}^{2}, w_{(1,1,0)}^{2} w_{(2,1,3)} w_{(2,2,2)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, as a consequence of Proposition 3.2.30, to prove Theorem 3.2.24 if suffices to show that any non trivial non zero $k$-binomial:

$$
w_{r}^{\alpha_{+}}-w_{u}^{\alpha_{-}}=\prod_{i=1}^{t} w_{\left(1, \gamma_{i}^{1}, \delta_{i}^{1}\right)} \prod_{i=t+1}^{n} w_{\left(2, \gamma_{i}^{1}, \delta_{i}^{1}\right)}-\prod_{i=1}^{t} w_{\left(1, \gamma_{i}^{2}, \delta_{i}^{2}\right)} \prod_{i=t+1}^{n} w_{\left(2, \gamma_{i}^{2}, \delta_{i}^{2}\right)}
$$

with $\gamma_{i}^{1}=\gamma_{i}^{2}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ admits an $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}$-sequence. We proceed as follows.

Let $1 \leq i \leq k$ be an integer. If $\delta_{i}^{1}<\delta_{i}^{2}$, we set $a_{i}=\delta_{i}^{2}-\delta_{i}^{1}$ and $b_{i}=0$, otherwise we set $a_{i}=0$ and $b_{i}=\delta_{i}^{1}-\delta_{i}^{2}$. Therefore,

$$
\delta_{1}^{1}+a_{1}-b_{1}+\cdots+\delta_{n}^{1}+a_{n}-b_{n}=\delta_{1}^{2}+\cdots+\delta_{n}^{2}
$$

and we have the equality $a_{1}+\cdots+a_{n}=b_{1}+\cdots+b_{n}$. We may assume that $a_{1}>0$. Hence, $\delta_{2}^{1}+\cdots+\delta_{n}^{1}>\delta_{2}^{2}+\cdots+\delta_{n}^{2}$. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that for all $2 \leq i \leq n, \delta_{i}^{1}>\delta_{i}^{2}$. So, $b_{i}>0$ and $\delta_{i}^{1}+b_{i}=\delta_{i}^{2}$. Thus, $a_{1} \leq b_{2}+\cdots+b_{n}$ and we can consider $c_{i} \leq b_{i}$ such that $a_{1}=c_{2}+\cdots+c_{n}$. We set

$$
w^{a_{2}}=w_{\left(r_{1}, \gamma_{1}^{1}, \delta_{1}^{1}+c_{2}\right)} w_{\left(r_{2}, \gamma_{2}^{1}, \delta_{2}^{1}-c_{2}\right)} w_{\left(r_{3}, \gamma_{3}^{1}, \delta_{3}^{1}\right)} \cdots w_{\left(r_{n}, \gamma_{n}^{1}, \delta_{n}^{1}\right)} .
$$

$\left(w_{r}^{\alpha_{+}}, w^{a_{2}}\right)$ is an $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}$-sequence. If $\delta_{2}^{1}-c_{2}=\delta_{2}^{2}$, then $\left(w_{r}^{\alpha_{+}}, w^{a_{2}}, w_{u}^{\alpha_{-}}\right)$is an $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}$-sequence and we finish. Else, inductively for $2<i \leq k$, we define $w^{a_{i}}$ as:

$$
w_{\left(r_{1}, \gamma_{1}^{1}, \delta_{1}^{1}+c_{2}+\cdots+c_{i}\right)} w_{\left(r_{2}, \gamma_{2}^{1}, \delta_{2}^{1}-c_{2}\right)} \cdots w_{\left(r_{i}, \gamma_{i}^{1}, \delta_{i}^{1}-c_{i}\right)} w_{\left(r_{i+1}, \gamma_{i+1}^{1}, \delta_{i+1}^{1}\right)} \cdots w_{\left(r_{k}, \gamma_{k}^{1}, \delta_{k}^{1}\right)} .
$$

At some step $2 \leq i \leq k$, we achieve $w^{a_{i}}-w^{\alpha_{-}}$trivial. As a result, we construct an $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)_{k}$-sequence $\left(w^{\alpha_{+}}, w^{a_{2}}, \ldots, w^{a_{i}}, w^{\alpha_{-}}\right)$. The proof of Theorem 3.2.24 is now complete.

### 3.3 The canonical module of $\bar{G}$-varieties

In this section, we study the canonical module $\omega_{X_{d}}$ of any $\bar{G}$-variety $X_{d}$ with finite abelian group $G \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. In Theorem 2.2.18, we have seen that $A\left(X_{d}\right) \cong R^{\bar{G}}$. Moreover, $R^{\bar{G}}$ is the semigroup ring associated to the normal affine semigroup $H_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$, i.e. $R^{\bar{G}}=\mathbb{K}\left[H_{\mathcal{A}}\right]$. This connection allows us to identify $\omega_{X_{d}}$ with the ideal of $R^{\bar{G}}$ generated by all monomials $m=$ $x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \in R^{\bar{G}}$ of degree $d$ and $2 d$ satisfying $a_{0} \cdots a_{n} \neq 0$ (Theorem 3.3.3), to derive information of the Hilbert series of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$, and to characterize the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)$ of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ (Theorem 3.3.5). In Subsection 3.3.1, we focus the relation between $\omega_{X_{d}}$ and a minimal graded free $S$-resolution $F_{\bullet}$ of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$. We investigate the CM-type of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ and we give families of examples of $\bar{G}$-varieties whose homogeneous coordinate ring is a level ring and, in particular, a Gorenstein ring, i.e. of CM-type one. For sake of completeness, we gather all the results we have obtained so far for the Hilbert function and series, the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, the homogenous ideal and the canonical module in the interest of the Betti diagram of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$.

We fix integers $2 \leq n<d$ and we consider an abelian group

$$
G:=\left\langle M_{d_{1} ; \alpha_{\sigma_{1}(0)}^{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\sigma_{1}(n)}^{1}}, \ldots, M_{d_{s} ; \alpha_{\sigma_{s}(0)}^{s}, \ldots, \alpha_{\sigma_{s}(n)}^{s}}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})
$$

of order $d=d_{1} \cdots d_{s}$. As usual, we denote by $\mathcal{B}_{1}=\left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{\mu_{d}}\right\}$ the minimal set of fundamental monomial invariants of $\bar{G}$ (Theorem 2.2.11). $\mathbb{K}\left[H_{\mathcal{A}}\right]$ is the semigroup ring associated to the normal affine semigroup $H_{\mathcal{A}} \subset$ $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ of all $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$-solution of the linear system of congruences:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (*)_{\mathcal{A} ; t, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}:\left\{\begin{array}{llll}
y_{0} & +y_{1} & +\cdots & +y_{n} \\
\alpha_{\sigma_{1}(0)}^{1} y_{0} & +\alpha_{\sigma_{1}(1)}^{1} y_{1} & +\cdots+\alpha_{\sigma_{1}(n)}^{1} y_{n} & =r_{1} d_{1} \\
& & & \\
\alpha_{\sigma_{s}(0)}^{s} y_{0} & +\alpha_{\sigma_{s}(1)}^{s} y_{1} & +\cdots+\alpha_{\sigma_{s}(n)}^{s} y_{n}= & r_{s} d_{s} \\
t \geq 0,0 \leq r_{i} \leq \frac{\alpha_{n}^{i} t d}{d_{i}}, i=1, \ldots, s
\end{array}\right. \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

We recall that the relative interior of $H_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the set $\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$ of all points $\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in H_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $0 \neq a_{0} \cdots a_{n}$. Given a subset $H \subset H_{\mathcal{A}}$, we denote by $\mathrm{I}(H) \subset \mathbb{K}\left[H_{\mathcal{A}}\right]$ the ideal generated by all monomials $m_{l}$ with $l \in H$. With this notation, we have

$$
\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)=\left(m \in \mathcal{B}_{t} \mid l_{m} \in \operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right) \subset \mathbb{K}\left[H_{\mathcal{A}}\right],
$$

and by Proposition 1.2.8, $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$ is a radical ideal of $\mathbb{K}\left[H_{\mathcal{A}}\right]$.
Stanley [79] and Danilov [22] proved independently that $\mathrm{I}(\operatorname{relint}(H))$ is the canonical module of the semigroup $\mathbb{K}[H]$ of any normal affine semigroup $H \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$. Then, we have:

Theorem 3.3.1. $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$ is the canonical module of $R^{\bar{G}}$.
Proof. See [79, Theorem 6.7] or [9, Theorem 6.4.5].
As usual, we take variables $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{\mu_{d}}$ and $S=\mathbb{K}\left[w_{1}, \ldots, w_{\mu_{d}}\right]$. The canonical module $\omega_{X_{d}}$ of $A\left(X_{d}\right)=S / \mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is the ideal of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ generated by the classes $\bmod \mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ of the monomials $w \in S$ such that $\rho(w) \in$ $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$, where $\rho: S \rightarrow \mathbb{K}\left[\mathcal{B}_{1}\right]$ is the morphism defined by $\rho\left(w_{i}\right)=m_{i}$, $i=1, \ldots, m u_{d}$. Let us see an example.

Example 3.3.2. Take $G=\left\langle M_{3 ; 0,1,2}\right\rangle \subset G L(3, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 3. $\mathbb{K}\left[H_{\mathcal{A}}\right]$ is the semigroup ring associated to the normal affine semigroup $H_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{3}$ of the $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{3}$-solutions of the linear system of congruences:

$$
(*)_{\mathcal{A} ; t, r}:\left\{\begin{array}{rl}
y_{0}+y_{1}+y_{2} & =3 t \\
y_{1}+2 y_{2} & =3 r
\end{array} \quad t \geq 0, r=0, \ldots, 6 t .\right.
$$

By Theorem 2.2.11, $H_{\mathcal{A}}$ is minimally generated by

$$
\{(3,0,0),(0,3,0),(0,0,3),(1,1,1)\} .
$$

We have that $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$ is the ideal generated by all monomials $m=$ $x_{0}^{a_{0}} x_{1}^{a_{1}} x_{2}^{a_{2}}$ such that $1 \leq a_{i}, i=0,1,2$. Therefore, any monomial $m \in$ $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$ is divisible by $x_{0} x_{1} x_{2} \in \mathbb{K}\left[H_{\mathcal{A}}\right]$ and we obtain $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)=\right.$ $\left(x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}\right)$. By Theorem 3.3.1, the canonical module of $R^{\bar{G}}$ is the principal ideal $\left(x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}\right) \subset R^{\bar{G}}$. Indeed, $X_{3}$ is a cubic surface in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. The canonical module of any cubic surface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ is $\omega_{X} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X}(1)$.

Thus, Theorem 3.3.1 provides a combinatoric interpretation of the canonical module of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ in terms of the monomial invariants of $\bar{G}$. For each $1 \leq t$, we denote by $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{t} \subset \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$ the set of all monomials of degree $t d$. With this notation,

$$
\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)=\sum_{1 \leq t}\left(\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{t}\right)
$$

It is natural to ask what can be said about a minimal set of generators of $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$.

Theorem 3.3.3. $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)=\left(\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}, \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{2}\right)$.
Proof. We fix an integer $k \geq 3$, it is enough to show that any monomial $m \in$ $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{k}$ is divisible by a monomial $m^{\prime} \in \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{k-1}$. Let $m=$ $x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \in \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{k}$ and set $m_{1}=m /\left(x_{0} \cdots x_{n}\right)=x_{0}^{a_{0}-1} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}-1}$. Since $d \geq n+1$ and $k \geq 3, m^{\prime}$ is a monomial of degree $k d-(n+1) \geq 2 d$. We define the sequence of integers $L=\left(\alpha_{0},{ }^{a_{0}-1}, \alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n},{ }_{n}{ }_{n}-1, \alpha_{n}\right)$. By Lemma 2.2.9, there exists a zero-sum subsequence

$$
L^{\prime}=\left(\alpha_{0}, ._{0}^{b_{0}}, \alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}, . ._{n} ., \alpha_{n}\right) \subset L
$$

Therefore, $L^{\prime}$ gives rise a monomial $m_{2}:=x_{0}^{b_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{b_{n}} \in R^{\bar{G}}$ of degree $d$ which divides $m_{1}$. Hence, we can factorize $m=m_{2} m^{\prime}$ and, by construction, $m^{\prime} \in \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{k-1}$ is the required monomial.

We illustrate Theorem 3.3.3 with an example.
Example 3.3.4. Take $G=\left\langle M_{6 ; 0,1,2,3}\right\rangle \subset G L(4, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 6. We have that

$$
\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}=\left\{x_{0}^{3} x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}^{3}\right\}
$$

and $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{2}$ is the following set of monomials:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{x_{3} x_{0}^{9} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{3} x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{7} x_{2}, x_{3} x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{5} x_{2}^{2}, x_{3} x_{0}^{5} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}, x_{3}^{2} x_{0}^{5} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}, x_{3} x_{0}^{6} x_{1} x_{2}^{4}, x_{3}^{2} x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2},\right. \\
& x_{3}^{3} x_{0}^{7} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{3} x_{0} x_{1}^{5} x_{2}^{5}, x_{3}^{2} x_{0} x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{3}, x_{3}^{3} x_{0} x_{1}^{7} x_{2}, x_{3} x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{6}, x_{3}^{2} x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{4}, x_{3}^{3} x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{5} x_{2}^{2} \\
& x_{3} x_{0}^{3} x_{1} x_{2}^{7}, x_{3}^{2} x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{5}, x_{3}^{3} x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}, x_{3}^{4} x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}, x_{3}^{3} x_{0}^{4} x_{1} x_{2}^{4}, x_{3}^{4} x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{3}^{5} x_{0}^{5} x_{1} x_{2} \\
& x_{3}^{3} x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}^{7}, x_{3}^{4} x_{0} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{5}, x_{3}^{5} x_{0} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}, x_{3}^{6} x_{0} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}, x_{3}^{5} x_{0}^{2} x_{1} x_{2}^{4}, x_{3}^{6} x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{3}^{7} x_{0}^{3} x_{1} x_{2}, \\
& \left.x_{1}^{9} x_{1} x_{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Only the following four monomials $x_{0} x_{1}^{5} x_{2}^{5} x_{3}, x_{0} x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{3} x_{3}^{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{6} x_{3}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{4} x_{3}^{2} \in$ $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{2}$ do not belong to the ideal $\left(\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}\right)$. From this observation and Theorem 3.3.3, we obtain $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)=\left(x_{0}^{3} x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}^{3}\right.$, $\left.x_{0} x_{1}^{5} x_{2}^{5} x_{3}, x_{0} x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{3} x_{3}^{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{6} x_{3}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{4} x_{3}^{2}\right) \subset R^{\bar{G}}$.

We concern about the Hilbert series and the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$. By Theorem 2.2.14, $R^{\bar{G}}$ is a free $\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{d}, \ldots, x_{n}^{d}\right]$-module with a Hironaka decomposition

$$
R^{\bar{G}}=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{D} \theta_{i} \mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}^{d}, \ldots, x_{n}^{d}\right] .
$$

We called $\theta_{0}, \theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{D}$ a set of secondary invariants of $\bar{G}$. They are the monomial invariants of $\bar{G}$ of degree at most $n d$ representing the monomial $\mathbb{K}$-basis of the quotient algebra $R^{\bar{G}} /\left(x_{0}^{d}, \ldots, x_{n}^{d}\right) R^{\bar{G}}$. By Proposition 3.1.2, we have

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), z\right)=\frac{\delta_{n} z^{n}+\cdots+\delta_{1} z+1}{(1-z)^{n+1}},
$$

where $\left(1, \delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right)$ is the sequence of multiplicities of degrees of $\theta_{0}, \ldots, \theta_{D}$. Moreover, from Proposition 3.1.15

$$
\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=1+\operatorname{deg}\left(\delta_{n} z^{n}+\cdots+\delta_{1} z+1\right) .
$$

A consequence of Theorem 3.3.3 is the following.
Theorem 3.3.5. For the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ the following inequality yields

$$
n \leq \operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right) \leq n+1 .
$$

The equality $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=n+1$ holds if and only if $\emptyset \neq \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}$.
Proof. For each $1 \leq i \leq n, \delta_{i}$ is the number of monomials $m=x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \in$ $R^{\bar{G}}$ of degree $i d$ such that $a_{0}<d, \ldots, a_{n}<d$. We have that $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=$ $n+1$ if and only if $\delta_{n}>0$. By Theorem 3.3.3, $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$ is generated by monomials of degree $d$ and $2 d$. Assume that $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}$ is not empty and let $m=x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \in \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}$. Since $\operatorname{deg}(m)=d$, it follows that $0<a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}<d$. Therefore, the monomial $x_{0}^{b_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{b_{n}}=\left(x_{0}^{d} \cdots x_{n}^{d}\right) / m$ is a
secondary invariant of degree $n d$, so $0<\delta_{n}$ and hence $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=n+1$. Conversely, if $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=n+1$, then there exists a secondary invariant $x_{0}^{b_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{b_{n}}$ of degree $n d$. Since $b_{0}<d, \ldots, b_{n}<d$, we directly obtain that

$$
x_{0}^{d-b_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{d-b_{n}} \in \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1} .
$$

This proves that $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=n+1$ if and only if $\emptyset \neq \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}$. Suppose that $\emptyset=\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}$. By Theorem 3.3.3, $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{2}$ contains at least one monomial $m=x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}}$. We see that necessarily $a_{0}<$ $d, \ldots, a_{n}<d$. Notice that by hypothesis $0<a_{0}, \ldots, 0<a_{n}$. We distinguished the following two cases. If for some index $0 \leq i \leq n$, it holds $d<a_{i}$, then $m / x_{i}^{d} \in \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}$ and we arrive to a contradiction. Thus, $a_{i} \leq d$, $i=0, \ldots, n$. Since $\operatorname{deg}(m)=2 d$, if $a_{i}=d$, then for all $0 \leq i \neq j \leq n, a_{j}<d$. If $a_{i}=d$ occurs, then $m / x_{i}$ is a monomial of degree $2 d-1$. Hence, there exists $m^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}_{1}$ dividing $m / x_{i}$. By construction, the monomial $\left(x_{0}^{d} \cdots x_{n}^{d}\right) / m^{\prime}$ is a secondary invariant of degree $n d$ and so $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=n+1$, which contradicts our assumption $\emptyset=\mathrm{I}(\operatorname{relint}(H(\mathcal{A})))_{1}$.

### 3.3.1 On a minimal free resolution of $\bar{G}$-varieties

In this subsection, we focus our attention on a minimal graded free $S$ resolution of the coordinate ring of any $\bar{G}$-variety $X_{d}$ with group $G \subset$ $\mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. We gather the results obtained along this chapter to investigate the CM-type and the Betti diagram of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$. In particular, the results on the Hilbert series, the homogeneous ideal and the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity (Theorems 2.4.10 and 3.3.5) allows us to complete the picture in the case of $\bar{G}$-surfaces with group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$.

As usual, we denote $c:=\operatorname{codim}\left(X_{d}\right)=\mu_{d}-n-1$. We have the following.
Proposition 3.3.6. (i) $\beta_{1}=\beta_{1,1}, \beta_{1,2}, 0, \ldots, 0$.
(ii) If $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=n+1$, then the cth Betti number of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is of the form

$$
\beta_{c}=0, \ldots, 0, \beta_{c, n-1}, \delta_{n}
$$

Otherwise, $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is a level ring of CM-type $\delta_{n-1}$ and $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=n$.
Proof. (i) It follows directly from Theorem 2.4.10.
(ii) The $c$ th Betti number of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ describes the degrees of a set of minimal generators of the canonical module of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$. Hence, the result follows from Theorems 3.3.3 and 3.3.5.

Any $G T$-surface with cyclic group $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}\right\rangle \subset G L(3, \mathbb{K})$ of order $3 \leq d$ with $0<\alpha_{1}<\alpha_{2}<d$ is an example of a $\bar{G}$-variety with level homogeneous coordinate ring and Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity 3 (Corollary 3.1.22). Next, we introduce a family of arithmetically Gorenstein $G T$-varieties $X_{d}$ in dimensions $n>2$ with $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=n+1$. We use the structure of their homogeneous coordinate rings to construct from them families of $G T$-varieties $X_{d}$ with level coordinate rings $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ and $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=n+1$.

Proposition 3.3.7. Let $n \geq 2$ be an even integer and $G=\left\langle M_{n+1 ; 0,1,2, \ldots, n}\right\rangle \subset$ $\mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order $n+1$. Then $R^{\bar{G}}$ is a Gorenstein ring and $\operatorname{reg}\left(R^{\bar{G}}\right)=n+1$.

Proof. Notice that $m=x_{0} \cdots x_{n} \in R^{\bar{G}}$. Indeed, $m$ is of degree $n+1$ and its associated point $(1, \ldots, 1)$ verifies $1+2+\cdots+n=\frac{n}{2}(n+1)$. Since $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)=\left\{x_{0}^{a_{0}} \ldots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \in R^{\bar{G}} \mid 0 \neq a_{0} \cdots a_{n}\right\}$, then any monomial of $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$ is divisible by $m$ and, hence, $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)=(m)$ is a principal ideal. Thus, $R^{\bar{G}}$ is Gorenstein and by Theorem 3.3.3, $\operatorname{reg}\left(R^{\bar{G}}\right)=n+1$.

Proposition 3.3.8. Fix integers $k \geq 1$ and $2 \leq n<d$ with $n$ even and $G_{k}=\left\langle M_{k d ; \alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ be a finite cyclic group $k d$. If $R^{\bar{G}_{1}}$ is a Gorenstein ring, then $R^{\bar{G}_{k}}$ is a level ring.

Proof. We denote by $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}^{k}\right)\right)$ the canonical module of $R^{\bar{G}_{k}}$ (Theorem 3.3.1). The hypothesis $R^{\bar{G}_{1}}$ is a Gorenstein ring implies $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}^{1}\right)\right)_{1}=$ ( $m$ ), where $m \in R^{\bar{G}_{1}}$. By Theorem 3.3.3,

$$
\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}^{k}\right)\right)=\left(\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}^{k}\right)\right)_{1}, \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}^{k}\right)\right)_{2}\right) .
$$

Thus, the result follows from proving that any monomial $m^{\prime} \in \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}^{k}\right)\right)_{2}$ is divisible by a monomial $\bar{m} \in \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}^{k}\right)\right)_{1}$. We fix $m^{\prime}=x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \in$ $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}^{k}\right)\right)_{2}$. Notice that $m^{\prime}$ is an invariant of $G_{1}$, so $m^{\prime} \in \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}^{1}\right)\right)_{k}$. Then, $m$ divides $m^{\prime}$. We define $m_{1}=\frac{m^{\prime}}{m}$. Since $m_{1} \in R^{\bar{G}_{1}}$ is a monomial of
degree $(2 k-1) d$, by Theorem 2.2.11, we can factorize $m^{\prime}$ as a product of $2 k$ monomials of $R^{\bar{G}_{1}}$, namely

$$
m_{1}=m_{2} \cdots m_{2 k}
$$

Hence $m^{\prime}=m m_{2} \cdots m_{2 k}$. For each monomial $m_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq 2 k$, there is a unique integer $r_{i} \geq 0$ such that the lattice point $l_{m_{i}}$ is a solution of the linear system $(*)_{\mathcal{A} ; 1, r_{i}}$ of congruences associated to $\bar{G}_{1}$. By Lemma 2.2.9, there is a zero-sum subsequence $\left(r_{i_{1}}, \ldots, r_{i_{k}}\right) \subset\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{2 k}\right)$ over $\mathbb{Z} / k \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, we obtain that $m_{i_{1}} \cdots m_{i_{k}} \in R^{\bar{G}_{k}}$ and

$$
\bar{m}=m^{\prime} /\left(m_{i_{1}} \cdots m_{i_{k}}\right) \in \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}^{k}\right)\right)_{1}
$$

is the required monomial.
Corollary 3.3.9. Fix integers $1 \leq k$ and $2 \leq n$ with $n$ even. Let $G_{k}=$ $\left\langle M_{k(n+1) ; 0,1,2, \ldots, n}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ be a cyclic group of order $k(n+1)$. Then $R^{\bar{G}_{k}}$ is a level ring.

Proof. It follows directly from Propositions 3.3.7 and 3.3.8.
One of the parameters that measures the complexity of a minimal graded free resolution if the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity. In Theorem 3.3.3, we have proved that

$$
n \leq \operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right) \leq n+1
$$

On the other hand, $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)$ is the number of rows of the Betti diagram of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$. This relates $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)$ with the number of linear strands in a minimal graded free $S$ - resolution $F_{\bullet}$ of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$.

Definition 3.3.10. Let $N_{\bullet}$ be a complex of graded free $S$-modules:

with $N_{i}=\bigoplus_{l} S\left(-\alpha_{i, l}\right)^{\beta_{i, l}}$ generated in degrees $j \geq i$ and $d_{i} N_{i} \subset \mathfrak{m} N_{i-1}$. The linear strand of $N_{\bullet}$ is the free subcomplex $N_{\bullet}^{1} \subset N_{\bullet}$ of free $S$-modules $N_{i}^{1}=S(-i)^{\beta_{i, l}}$. The Betti diagram of $N_{\bullet}^{1}$ is the $i$ th row of the Betti table of $N_{\bullet}$. The second linear strand of $N_{\bullet}$ is the linear strand of the free complex $N_{\bullet} / N_{\bullet}^{1}(1)$, denoted $N_{\bullet}^{2}$. Continuing in this way, one can define the $r$ th linear strand of $N_{\bullet}$ whose Betti diagram is the $r$ th row of the Betti table of $N_{\bullet}$.

Graphically, the non trivial rows of the Betti diagram of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ shows us how many strands a minimal graded free $S$-resolution $F_{\bullet}$ of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is made of. One can consider these strands as the building blocks of the resolution (see, for instance, [26]). In Theorem 2.4.10, we have proved that the homogeneous ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ of $X_{d}$ is generated by binomials of degree at most 3. Moreover, $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ does not contain any homogeneous linear form, so for the the initial degree $j_{0}$ of $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ we have $2 \leq j_{0} \leq 3$. Hence, the number of non zero strands in a minimal graded free $S$-resolution $F_{\bullet}$ of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ increases linearly with the dimension of $X_{d}$. Moreover, the last Betti number $\beta_{c}$ shows that the lengths of these strands are, in general, strictly smaller that $c=\operatorname{codim}\left(X_{d}\right)=\operatorname{pdim}\left(X_{d}\right)$ (Proposition 3.3.6).

Let us focus now on $\bar{G}$-surfaces. We fix a finite abelian group $G \subset$ $\mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ of order $d=d_{1} \cdots d_{s} \geq 3$. We set $\bar{d}=d^{3} /|\bar{G}|$. By Theorem 3.3.5, a $G T$-surface $X_{d}$ with group $G$ has $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right) \leq 3$. Therefore, $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is a level ring (see [88]). There are three main types of $\bar{G}-$ surfaces $X_{d}$ with group $G$ depending on the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity and the CM-type of their homogeneous coordinate rings:
(A) $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=2$.
(B) $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=3$ and $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is a Gorenstein ring.
(C) $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=3$ and $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is level of CM-type strictly greater than 1 .
(A) By Theorem 3.3.3, $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=2$ if and only if the minimal set $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ of fundamental monomial invariants of $\bar{G}$ does not contain any monomial $m=x_{0}^{a_{0}} x_{1}^{a_{1}} x_{2}^{a_{2}}$ such that $0 \neq a_{0} a_{1} a_{2}$. By Proposition 3.1.15,

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), z\right)=\frac{c z+1}{(1-z)^{3}} .
$$

$\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is minimally generated by binomials of degree 2 . The CM-type of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is $|G|$ and the Betti diagram of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is of the form:

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | $\cdots$ | $c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | - | - | $\cdots$ | - |
| 1 | - | $\beta_{1,1}$ | $\beta_{2,1}$ | $\cdots$ | $c$ |

By Proposition 3.1.12, the graded Betti numbers of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ can be deduced inductively from the Hilbert function $\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)$ of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$.

Example 3.3.11. Take $G=\left\langle M_{4 ; 0,1,1}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 4. We have $\mathcal{B}_{1}=\left\{x_{0}^{4}, x_{1}^{4}, x_{1}^{3} x_{2}, x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{1} x_{2}^{3}, x_{2}^{4}\right\}$ and $c=\operatorname{codim}\left(X_{4}\right)=$ 3. The Hilbert series of $A\left(X_{4}\right)$ is $\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{4}\right), z\right)=\frac{3 z+1}{(1-z)^{3}}$. We set $S=$ $\mathbb{K}\left[w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4}, w_{5}, w_{6}\right]$. The ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{4}\right)$ is generated by the maximal minors of the 1 -generic matrix of linear forms:

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
w_{2} & w_{3} & w_{4} & w_{5} \\
w_{3} & w_{4} & w_{5} & w_{6}
\end{array}\right)
$$

([26, Chapter 6, $\S 6 \mathrm{~B}$, Theorem 6.4]). Therefore, $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{4}\right)$ is a standard determinantal ideal and a minimal graded free $S$-resolution of $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{4}\right)$ is the Eagon-Northcott complex. The Betti diagram of $A\left(X_{4}\right)$ is

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | - | - | - |
| 1 | - | 6 | 8 | 3 |

(B) By Theorem 3.3.3, $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=3$ and $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is a Gorenstein ring if and only if $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ contains only one monomials $m=x_{0}^{a_{0}} x_{1}^{a_{1}} x_{2}^{a_{2}}$ with $0 \neq a_{0} a_{1} a_{2}$. By Proposition 3.1.15,

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), z\right)=\frac{z^{2}+c z+1}{(1-z)^{3}} .
$$

If $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is minimally generated by 2 -binomials, the Betti diagram of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is of the form:

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $\cdots$ | $c-3$ | $c-2$ | $c-1$ | $c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | - | $\beta_{1,1}$ | $\beta_{2,1}$ | $\beta_{3,1}$ | $\cdots$ | $\beta_{3,1}$ | $\beta_{2,1}$ | $\beta_{1,1}$ | - |
| 2 | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | 1 |

Else, $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is a cubic surface of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ (Example 3.1.14).
Example 3.3.12. (i) For any cyclic group $G=\left\langle M_{4 ; 0, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}\right\rangle$ with $0<\alpha_{1}<$ $\alpha_{2}<4$ of order 4 , the associated $G T$-variety $X_{4}$ with group $G$ is a CI of
two quadrics. The Betti diagram of $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{4}\right)$ is

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | - | - |
| 1 | - | 2 | - |
| 2 | - | - | 1 |

(ii) Take $G=\left\langle M_{6 ; 0,2,3}\right\rangle \subset G L(3, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 6 . A minimal set of fundamental invariants of $\bar{G}$ is $\mathcal{B}_{1}=\left\{x_{0}^{6}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3}, x_{0}^{4} x_{2}^{2}, x_{1}^{6}, x_{0} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{4}, x_{2}^{6}\right\}$. We have $c=4$, the Hilbert series of $A\left(X_{6}\right)$ is

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{6}\right), z\right)=\frac{z^{3}+4 z+1}{(1-z)^{3}}
$$

and the Betti diagram of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | - | 9 | 16 | 9 | - |
| 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 |

For any cyclic group $G=\left\langle M_{6 ; 0, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ of order 6 with $0<\alpha_{1}<$ $\alpha_{2}<6$ and such that $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right) \in\{(1,3),(1,4),(2,3),(2,5),(3,4),(3,5)\}$, the associated $G T$-surface with group $G$ is arithmetically Gorenstein with the above Betti diagram.
(C) By Theorem 3.3.3, $\bar{G}$-surfaces of type (C) are characterized from the fact that $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ contains at least two monomials $m=x_{0}^{a_{0}} x_{1}^{a_{1}} x_{2}^{a_{2}}$ such that $0 \neq$ $a_{0} a_{1} a_{2}$. We set $\bar{d}:=d^{3} /|\bar{G}|$ and $\theta=2 \mu_{d}-\bar{d}-2$. From Proposition 3.1.15 we have that

$$
\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)=\frac{\bar{d} t^{2}+\theta t+2}{2} \text { and } \operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), z\right)=\frac{\frac{\bar{d}-\theta+2}{2} z^{2}+\frac{\bar{d}+\theta-4}{2} z+1}{(1-z)^{3}} .
$$

Thus, $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ has CM-type $\beta_{c, 2}=\delta_{2}=\frac{\bar{d}-\theta+2}{2}$. If $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is minimally generated by 2 -binomials, the Betti diagram of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ looks like

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $\cdots$ | $c-3$ | $c-2$ | $c-1$ | $c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | - | $\beta_{1,1}$ | $\beta_{2,1}$ | $\beta_{3,1}$ | $\cdots$ | $\beta_{c-3,1}$ | $\beta_{c-2,1}$ | $\beta_{c-1,1}$ | - |
| 2 | - | - | $\beta_{2,2}$ | $\beta_{3,2}$ | $\cdots$ | $\beta_{c-3,2}$ | $\beta_{c-2,2}$ | $\beta_{c-1,2}$ | $\delta_{2}$ |

Else, if $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is minimally generated by binomials of degree 2 and 3 , we have

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $\cdots$ | $c-3$ | $c-2$ | $c-1$ | $c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | - | $\beta_{1,1}$ | $\beta_{2,1}$ | $\beta_{3,1}$ | $\cdots$ | $\beta_{c-3,1}$ | $\beta_{c-2,1}$ | $\beta_{c-1,1}$ | - |
| 2 | - | $\beta_{1,2}$ | $\beta_{2,2}$ | $\beta_{3,2}$ | $\cdots$ | $\beta_{c-3,2}$ | $\beta_{c-2,2}$ | $\beta_{c-1,2}$ | $\delta_{2}$ |

The Betti numbers of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ can be deduce inductively from $\operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right), t\right)$ of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ (Proposition 3.1.12).

Example 3.3.13. (i) For any cyclic group $G=\left\langle M_{6: 0, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}\right\rangle \subset G L(3, \mathbb{K})$ or order 6 with $0<\alpha_{1}<\alpha \leq 6$ and such that $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right) \in\{(1,2),(1,5),(4,5)\}$, the associated $G T$-surface $X_{6}$ with group $G$ has Betti diagram:

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | - | - | - |
| 1 | - | 4 | 2 | - |
| 2 | - | - | 3 | 2 |

(ii) For any finite group $G=\left\langle M_{8 ; 0, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ or order 8 with $0<$ $\alpha_{1}<\alpha_{2}<8$ and such that $\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right) \in\{(1,4),(1,5),(3,4),(3,7),(4,5),(4,7)\}$, the associated $G T$-surface $X_{8}$ with group $G$ has Betti diagram

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | - | 13 | 30 | 25 | 4 | - |
| 2 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 2 |

Otherwise, $X_{8}$ has Betti diagram

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | - | 7 | 8 | 3 | - |
| 2 | - | - | 6 | 8 | 3 |

For any cyclic group $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1,2}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ of order $d \geq 3$, the minimal graded free resolution of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is determined in [57, Theorem 7.3]. In particular, for $d$ odd, the minimal graded free resolution is the EagonNorthcott complex (see [26]). For any cyclic group $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}\right\rangle \subset$
$\mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ of order $d \geq 3$ with $\alpha_{1}<\alpha_{2}$, we have the following result which appears in [17, Theorem 4.14].

Let $\theta\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, d\right)$ be as in Theorem 2.4.10. We set $h=d-c-2=$ $\frac{d-\theta(a, b, d)+2}{2}-1$.

Theorem 3.3.14. (i) If $\theta(a, b, d)=3$, then the Betti numbers of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ are

$$
\beta_{i, j}= \begin{cases}i\binom{c}{i+1} & \text { if } 1 \leq i \leq c-1, j=1 \\ i\binom{c}{i} & \text { if } 1 \leq i \leq c, j=2 .\end{cases}
$$

(ii) If $\theta(a, b, d) \geq 4$, then the Betti numbers of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ are

$$
b_{i, j}= \begin{cases}i\binom{c}{i+1}+(c-h-i)\binom{c}{i-1} & \text { if } 1 \leq i \leq c-h-1, j=1 \\ i\binom{c}{i+1} & \text { if } c-h \leq i \leq c-1, j=1 \\ (i-c+h+1)\binom{c}{i} & \text { if } c-h \leq i \leq c, j=2 .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. If $\theta\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, d\right)=3$, then $d=2 c+1$. Otherwise, $c+3 \leq \theta\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, d\right) \leq$ $2 c$ for all $9 \leq d$. Indeed, the inequality $d \geq c+3$ is equivalent to
$\theta\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, d\right)+2=\operatorname{GCD}\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)+\operatorname{GCD}\left(\lambda, d^{\prime}\right)+\operatorname{GCD}\left(\lambda-\operatorname{GCD}\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right), d^{\prime}\right)+2 \leq d$, where $d^{\prime}=\frac{d}{\operatorname{GCD}\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right)}$ and $0<\lambda$ and $\mu$ are the unique integers such that $\alpha_{2}=\alpha_{1} \lambda+\mu d^{\prime}$. We see that it holds for any integer $d \geq 9$. We have that

$$
d=\operatorname{GCD}\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right) \operatorname{GCD}\left(\lambda, d^{\prime}\right) \operatorname{GCD}\left(\lambda-\operatorname{GCD}\left(\alpha_{1}, d\right), d^{\prime}\right) \widetilde{d}
$$

with $\widetilde{d} \geq 1$. Now consider the system of inequalities $x y z \widetilde{d}-x-y-z-2<0$ with $x, y, z \geq 1$. There are no integer solutions for $\tilde{d} \geq 5$. For $1 \leq \widetilde{d} \leq 4$, it holds $d \leq 8$. The result follows from [89, Corollary 3.4(i)] and Examples 3.3.12 and 3.3.13.

We see a couple of more examples.
Example 3.3.15. (i) Take $G=\left\langle M_{11 ; 0,1,6}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 11. The Betti diagram of $A\left(X_{11}\right)$ is

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | - | 10 | 20 | 15 | 4 | - |
| 2 | - | 5 | 20 | 30 | 20 | 5 |

(ii) Take $G=\left\langle M_{3 ; 0,1,1}, M_{3 ; 0,1,2}\right\rangle$ a finite abelian group of order 9. The associated $G T$-surface $X_{9}$ with group $G$ is an arithmetically Gorenstein surface and $A\left(X_{9}\right)$ has Betti diagram

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | - | 27 | 105 | 189 | 189 | 105 | 27 | - |
| 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |

Notwithstanding, in higher dimensions the situation becomes less clear. Let $X_{d}$ be a $\bar{G}$-variety with finite abelian group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. The Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity $n \leq \operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right) \leq n+1$ and $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is minimally generated by binomials of degree at most 3 . Thus, the complexity of a minimal graded free $S$-resolution of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ increases linearly with the dimension $n$. Even if we have a complete description of the Hilbert function and series of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$, only partial information of the Betti diagram of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ can be inferred. The general picture of the Betti diagram of $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is the following. If $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=n+1$,

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $\cdots$ | $c-3$ | $c-2$ | $c-1$ | $c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | - | $\beta_{1,1}$ | $\beta_{2,1}$ | $\beta_{3,1}$ | $\cdots$ | $\beta_{c-3,1}$ | $\beta_{c-2,1}$ | $\beta_{c-1,1}$ | - |
| 2 | - | $\beta_{1,2}$ | $\beta_{2,2}$ | $\beta_{3,2}$ | $\cdots$ | $\beta_{c-3,2}$ | $\beta_{c-2,2}$ | $\beta_{c-1,2}$ | - |
| 3 | - | - | $\beta_{2,3}$ | $\beta_{3,3}$ | $\cdots$ | $\beta_{c-3,3}$ | $\beta_{c-2,3}$ | $\beta_{c-1,3}$ | - |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $n-1$ | - | - | $\beta_{2, n-1}$ | $\beta_{3, n-1}$ | $\cdots$ | $\beta_{c-3, n-1}$ | $\beta_{c-2, n-1}$ | $\beta_{c-1, n-1}$ | $\beta_{c, n-1}$ |
| $n$ | - | - | $\beta_{2, n}$ | $\beta_{3, n}$ | $\cdots$ | $\beta_{c-3, n}$ | $\beta_{c-2, n}$ | $\beta_{c-1, n}$ | $\beta_{c, n}$ |

Otherwise $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=n$ and $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is a level ring,

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | $\cdots$ | $c-3$ | $c-2$ | $c-1$ | $c$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | - | $\beta_{1,1}$ | $\beta_{2,1}$ | $\beta_{3,1}$ | $\cdots$ | $\beta_{c-3,1}$ | $\beta_{c-2,1}$ | $\beta_{c-1,1}$ | - |
| 2 | - | $\beta_{1,2}$ | $\beta_{2,2}$ | $\beta_{3,2}$ | $\cdots$ | $\beta_{c-3,2}$ | $\beta_{c-2,2}$ | $\beta_{c-1,2}$ | - |
| 3 | - | - | $\beta_{2,3}$ | $\beta_{3,3}$ | $\cdots$ | $\beta_{c-3,3}$ | $\beta_{c-2,3}$ | $\beta_{c-1,3}$ | - |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $n-1$ | - | - | $\beta_{2, n-1}$ | $\beta_{3, n-1}$ | $\cdots$ | $\beta_{c-3, n-1}$ | $\beta_{c-2, n-1}$ | $\beta_{c-1, n-1}$ | $\beta_{c, n-1}$ |

We end this chapter posing the following problem and we exhibit an example involving a $\bar{G}$-threefold.

Problem 3.3.16. To determine a minimal graded free $S-$ resolution of any $\bar{G}-$ variety $X_{d}$ with a finite abelian group $G \subset \operatorname{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$.

Example 3.3.17. Take $G=\left\langle M_{6 ; 0,1,2,3}\right\rangle \subset G L(4, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 6. $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ is minimally generated by binomials of degree $2 . A\left(X_{6}\right)$ is a non level ring and it has the following Betti diagram

|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | - | 57 | 322 | 796 | 844 | 258 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | - | - | 13 | 184 | 1638 | 5352 | 8811 | 9064 | 6237 | 2850 | 803 | 108 | 4 |
| 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | 2 |

As we have pointed out in Remark 1.1.24, if we dualize a minimal graded free $S$-resolution of $A\left(X_{6}\right)$, we get a minimal graded free $S$-resolution of $w_{X_{6}}(16)$. In this case, we obtain that $w_{X_{6}}$ is generated by two monomials of degree 1 and 4 monomials of degree 2, as we have computed in Example 3.3.4.

## Chapter 4

## GT-surfaces with a dihedral group

The aim of this chapter is to investigate and to provide examples of $G T$ systems and $G T$-surfaces with a non abelian finite group $\Lambda \subset \operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ of order $|\Lambda|$. In [6] and [90], a classification of finite subgroups of $\operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ is given and, following this classification, we determine which groups $\Lambda \subset$ $\operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ give rise to a Togliatti system, i.e. the ideal generated by the invariants of $\Lambda$ of degree $|\Lambda|$ is an artinian ideal an it fails the WLP in degree $|\Lambda|-1$. We gather new examples of non monomial Togliatti systems. To determine the algebra of invariants of the cyclic extension $\bar{\Lambda} \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ of these groups requires an individualized approach in each case. We focus on the dihedral group $D_{2 d} \subset \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ of order $2 d$ generated by a cyclic group $\Gamma=\left\langle M_{d ; 0, a, d-a}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ of order $d$ and the linear transformation $\sigma$ which fixes $x_{0}$ and permutes $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$. We take the cyclic extension $\overline{D_{2 d}} \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ and we prove that $R^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}$ is minimally generated by monomial and binomial invariants of $D_{2 d}$ of degree $2 d$ (Theorem 4.2.6). We establish that the ideal $I_{2 d}$ generated by a minimal set of fundamental invariants of $\overline{D_{2 d}}$ is a $G T$-system with group $D_{2 d}$ (Proposition 4.2.9). $G T$-systems $I_{2 d}$ with group $D_{2 d}$ are the first large family of non monomial $G T$-systems appearing in the literature [19]. The approach developed in Chapters 2 and 3 allows us to tackle the geometry of any $G T$-surface $S_{D_{2 d}}$ with group $D_{2 d}$. We prove that $S_{D_{2 d}}$ is an aCM surface and we compute its Hilbert function and series (Theorem 4.2.12). Furthermore, we compute a minimal graded free resolution of its homogeneous coordinate ring $A\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right)$ (Theorem 4.2.14). We show that the homogeneous ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right)$ of $S_{D_{2 d}}$ is minimally generated by quadrics, which we completely determine afterwards (Corollary 4.2.15 and Theorem 4.2.17).

This chapter is organized as follows. In Section 4.1, we recall the classification of finite subgroups $\Lambda$ of $\operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ given in [90]. There are twelve
types (A)-(L), with only (A) being abelian. We determine that a group $\Lambda$ of order $|\Lambda|$ of any type $(\mathrm{E}),(\mathrm{F}),(\mathrm{G}),(\mathrm{J}),(\mathrm{K})$ and $(\mathrm{L})$ does not induce a Togliatti system since the required bound $r \leq|\Lambda|+1$ on the number of generators $r$ of $R_{|\Lambda|}^{\Lambda}$ is not satisfied (see Proposition 4.1.1 and table (4.1.1)). We compute new examples of non monomial Togliatti systems induced by non abelian groups of types (B), (C) and (D) (Example 4.1.2). In Section 4.2, we focus on the dihedral group $D_{2 d} \subset \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ of order $2 d$ generated by $\Gamma=\left\langle M_{d ; 0, a, d-a}\right\rangle$ and $\sigma$. We determine the invariants of $\overline{D_{2 d}}$ and we compute the Hilbert function and series of $R^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}$ (Proposition 4.2 .2 and Corollary 4.2.3). We take advantage of the algebraic structure of $R^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}$ as a subring of $R^{D_{2 d}}$ to prove that it is minimally generated by invariants of degree $2 d$ (Theorem 4.2.6). This lead us to establish that the ideal generated by a minimal set of fundamental invariants of $\overline{D_{2 d}}$ is a $G T$-system with group $D_{2 d}$ (Proposition 4.2.9). Subsection 4.2 .1 deals with $G T$-surfaces $S_{D_{2 d}}$ with group $D_{2 d}$. After establishing that $S_{D_{2 d}}$ is an aCM surface and that $A\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right) \cong R^{\bar{D}_{2 d}}$ (Theorem 4.2.12), we compute a minimal graded free resolution of $A\left(S\left(D_{2 d}\right)\right.$ ) (Theorem 4.2.14) and a minimal set of generators of $\mathrm{I}\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right)$ (Theorem 4.2.17). All the results of Section 4.2 have been published in [19].

### 4.1 GT-systems with a finite group

In Chapter $1 \S 1.4$, we introduced $G T$-systems and $G T$-varieties with an arbitrary finite group $\Lambda \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. We recall that an artinian ideal $J \subset R$ generated by $r \leq N_{n-1, d}$ forms $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{r}$ of degree $d$ is a $G T$-system with group $\Lambda \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ if $J$ is a Togliatti system, i.e. it fails the WLP in degree $d-1$, and the morphism $\varphi_{J}: \mathbb{P}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ defined by $\left(F_{1}: \cdots: F_{r}\right)$ is a Galois covering with group $\Lambda$. If $J \subset R$ is a $G T$-system with group $\Lambda$, then the variety $X_{d}=\varphi_{J}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}\right)$ parameterized by $J$ is called a $G T$-variety with group $\Lambda$.

The strategy develop in Chapters 2 and 3 is suitable for any finite group $\Lambda \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. However, it relies on their representation in $\mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ and on finding a minimal set of fundamental invariants of the cyclic exten$\operatorname{sion} \bar{\Lambda}$ of $\Lambda$. As we have pointed out in Section 1.3, the last requisite is out of reach in most cases. When $\Lambda$ is not abelian, the invariants of $\bar{\Lambda}$ are not
all monomials ( $[6$, Theorem 8, Chapter IX $]$ ) and it could be cumbersome to describe or manipulate them (see, for instance, Example 4.1.2(i)). In addition, taking the cyclic extension $\bar{\Lambda}$ increases significantly the computational complexity making difficult to provide examples. Nevertheless, if $J$ is the ideal generated by a $\mathbb{K}$-basis of $R_{|\Lambda|}^{\Lambda}$, we have:
Proposition 4.1.1. If $J$ is artinian and $\operatorname{dim}\left(R_{|\Lambda|}^{\Lambda}\right) \leq N_{n-1,|\Lambda|}$, then $J$ is a Togliatti system.

Proof. We prove that $J$ fails the WLP in degree $|\Lambda|-1$. Let $L \in R_{1}$ and $F:=\prod_{I d \neq \lambda \in \Lambda} \lambda(L)$. Therefore

$$
L \cdot F=\prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \lambda(L) \in R_{|\Lambda|}^{\Lambda} .
$$

Since $J$ is generated by a $\mathbb{K}$-basis of $R_{|\Lambda|}^{\Lambda}$, we obtain that $L \cdot F \in J$. Thus, the multiplication map $\times L:(R / J)_{d-1} \longrightarrow(R / J)_{d}$ is not injective and the result follows (Theorem 1.4.6 and Definition 1.4.7).

So, let us fix $n=2$ and, as an starting point to investigate $G T$-systems and $G T$-surfaces with a non abelian finite group, we focus on the classification of finite subgroups $\Lambda$ of $\operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ as in [90]. Consider the matrices

$$
\begin{gathered}
S=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \psi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \psi^{2}
\end{array}\right), T=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), U=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\kappa & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \kappa & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \kappa \psi
\end{array}\right), \\
V=\frac{1}{\psi-\psi^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & \psi & \psi^{2} \\
1 & \psi^{2} & \psi
\end{array}\right), P=\frac{1}{\psi-\psi^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & \psi^{2} \\
1 & \psi & \psi \\
\psi & 1 & \psi
\end{array}\right), Q=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & b \\
0 & c & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\kappa$ and $\psi$ are a 6 th and a 3rd primitive roots of $1 \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $\kappa^{3}=\psi^{2}$ and $a b c=-1$. The list of finite subgroups of $\operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ is the following.
(A) Diagonal abelian groups.
(B) Groups isomorphic to transitive groups of $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{K})$, i.e. each element has the form of

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
f & 0 & 0 \\
0 & g & h \\
0 & j & k
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { with } \quad f(g k-h j)=1
$$

(C) Groups generated by (A) and $T$.
(D) Groups generated by (C) and $Q$.
(E) A group of order 108 generated by $S, T, V$.
(F) A group of order 216 generated by (E) and $P=U V U^{-1}$.
(G) A group of order 648 generated by (E) and $U$.
(H) A group of order 60 isomorphic to the alternating group $A_{5}$ generated by $Q$ with $a=b=c=-1$ and matrices

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \epsilon^{4} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \epsilon
\end{array}\right) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
2 & s & t \\
2 & t & s
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\epsilon$ is a 5 th primitive root of $1 \in \mathbb{K}, s=\epsilon^{2}+\epsilon^{3}$ and $t=\epsilon+\epsilon^{4}$.
(I) A simple group of order 168 isomorphic to a permutation group generated by $T$ and matrices

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\beta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \beta^{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \beta^{4}
\end{array}\right) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{-7}}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\beta^{4}-\beta^{3} & \beta^{2}-\beta^{5} & \beta-\beta^{6} \\
\beta^{2}-\beta^{5} & \beta-\beta^{6} & \beta^{4}-\beta^{3} \\
\beta-\beta^{6} & \beta^{4}-\beta^{3} & \beta^{2}-\beta^{5}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\beta$ is a 7 th primitive root of $1 \in \mathbb{K}$.
(J) A group of order 180 generated by (H) and $\operatorname{diag}(\psi, \psi, \psi)$.
(K) A group of order 504 generated by (I) and $\operatorname{diag}(\psi, \psi, \psi)$.
(L) A group of order 1080 generated by (H) and

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \lambda_{1} & \lambda_{1} \\
2 \lambda_{2} & s & t \\
2 \lambda_{2} & t & s
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\lambda_{1}=\frac{1}{4}(-1+\sqrt{15 i})$ and $\lambda_{2}$ is the conjugate of $\lambda_{1}$.
In [90], the authors computed a minimal set of fundamental invariants and the Molien series $\operatorname{HS}\left(R^{\Lambda}, z\right)$ of the finite groups $\Lambda \subset \operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ of any type from (E) to (L). However, a minimal set of fundamental invariants of their cyclic extension $\bar{\Lambda}$ is out of reach. Expanding $\operatorname{HS}\left(R^{\Lambda}, z\right)$, we obtain that the bound $\operatorname{dim}\left(R_{|\Lambda|}^{\Lambda}\right) \leq|\Lambda|+1$ is only satisfied for the groups (H) and
(I). They are artinian idelas and, By Proposition 4.1.1, they determine a Togliatti system.

| $(E)$ | $\|\Lambda\|=108$ | $\operatorname{HS}\left(R^{\Lambda}, z\right)=\frac{z^{21}+z^{12}+z^{9}+1}{\left(1-z^{6}\right)^{2}\left(1-z^{12}\right)}$ | $\operatorname{HF}\left(R^{\Lambda}, 108\right)=181$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(F)$ | $\|\Lambda\|=216$ | $\operatorname{HS}\left(R^{\Lambda}, z\right)=\frac{z^{24}+z^{12}+1}{\left(1-z^{6}\right)\left(1-z^{9}\right)\left(1-z^{12}\right)}$ | $\operatorname{HF}\left(R^{\Lambda}, 216\right)=343$ |
| $(G)$ | $\|\Lambda\|=648$ | $\operatorname{HS}\left(R^{\Lambda}, z\right)=\frac{z^{36}+z^{18}+1}{\left(1-z^{9}\right)\left(1-z^{12}\right)\left(1-z^{18}\right)}$ | $\operatorname{HF}\left(R^{\Lambda}, 648\right)=1027$ |
| $(H)$ | $\|\Lambda\|=60$ | $\operatorname{HS}\left(R^{\Lambda}, z\right)=\frac{z^{15}+1}{\left(1-z^{2}\right)\left(1-z^{6}\right)\left(1-z^{10}\right)}$ | $\operatorname{HF}\left(R^{\Lambda}, 60\right)=40$ |
| $(I)$ | $\|\Lambda\|=168$ | $\operatorname{HS}\left(R^{\Lambda}, z\right)=\frac{z^{21}+1}{\left(1-z^{4}\right)\left(1-z^{6}\right)\left(1-z^{14}\right)}$ | $\operatorname{HF}\left(R^{\Lambda}, 168\right)=97$ |
| $(J)$ | $\|\Lambda\|=180$ | $\operatorname{HS}\left(R^{\Lambda}, z\right)=\frac{z^{24}+z^{12}+1}{\left(1-z^{6}\right)^{2}\left(1-z^{15}\right)}$ | $\operatorname{HF}\left(R^{\Lambda}, 180\right)=298$ |
| $(K)$ | $\|\Lambda\|=504$ | $\operatorname{HS}\left(R^{\Lambda}, z\right)=\frac{z^{36}+z^{18}+1}{\left(1-z^{6}\right)\left(1-z^{12}\right)\left(1-z^{21}\right)}$ | $\operatorname{HF}\left(R^{\Lambda}, 504\right)=793$ |
| $(L)$ | $\|\Lambda\|=1080$ | $\operatorname{HS}\left(R^{\Lambda}, z\right)=\frac{z^{45}+1}{\left(1-z^{6}\right)\left(1-z^{12}\right)\left(1-z^{30}\right)}$ | $\operatorname{HF}\left(R^{\Lambda}, 1080\right)=1693$ |

(4.1.1)

Our next goal is to prove that the groups of type (B),(C) and (D) also give rise to examples of non monomial Togliatti systems and $G T$-systems. In next section, we will study the invariants of a representation in $\operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ of the dihedral group.

Example 4.1.2. (i) Type (B). We take $\Lambda \subset \operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ a tetrahedral group of order 24 generated by the three matrices:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & i & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -i
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & i \\
0 & i & 0
\end{array}\right) \text { and } \frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & i+1 & i-1 \\
0 & i+1 & 1-i
\end{array}\right)
$$

(see [90]). The cyclic extension $\bar{\Lambda} \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ is a group of order 576 . We have determined that the following 15 forms of degree 24 give a minimal set
of fundamental invariants of $\bar{\Lambda}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{0}^{24} \\
& x_{0}^{18} x_{1}^{5} x_{2}-x_{0}^{18} x_{1} x_{2}^{5} \\
& x_{0}^{16} x_{1}^{8}+x_{0}^{16} x_{2}^{8}+14 x_{0}^{16} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{4} \\
& x_{0}^{12} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{10}-2 x_{0}^{12} x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{6}+x_{0}^{12} x_{1}^{10} x_{2}^{2} \\
& x_{0}^{12} x_{1}^{12}+x_{0}^{12} x_{2}^{12}-33 x_{0}^{12} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{8}-33 x_{0}^{12} x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{4} \\
& x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{15} x_{2}^{3}-3 x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{1} 1 x_{2}^{7}+3 x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{7} x_{2}^{11}-x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{15} \\
& x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{17} x_{2}-34 x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{13} x_{2}^{5}+34 x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{5} x_{2}^{13}-x_{0}^{6} x_{1} x_{2}^{17} \\
& x_{0}^{10} x_{1}^{13} x_{2}+13 x_{0}^{10} x_{1}^{9} x_{2}^{5}-13 x_{0}^{10} x_{1}^{5} x_{2}^{9}-x_{0}^{10} x_{1} x_{2}^{13} \\
& x_{0}^{8} x_{1}^{16}+28 x_{0}^{8} x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{4}+198 x_{0}^{8} x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{8}+28 x_{0}^{8} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{12}+x_{0}^{8} x_{2}^{16} \\
& x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{18} x_{2}^{2}+12 x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{14} x_{2}^{6}-26 x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{10} x_{2}^{10}+12 x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{14}+x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{18} \\
& x_{1}^{22} x_{2}^{2}-35 x_{1}^{18} x_{2}^{6}+34 x_{1}^{14} x_{2}^{10}+34 x_{1}^{10} x_{2}^{14}-35 x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{18}+x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{22} \\
& x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{20}-19 x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{16} x_{2}^{4}-494 x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{8}-494 x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{2}-19 x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{16}+x_{0}^{4} x_{2}^{20} \\
& x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{21} x_{2}+27 x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{17} x_{2}^{5}+170 x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{13} x_{2}^{9}-170 x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{x_{1} x_{2}^{13}-27 x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{5} x_{2}^{17}-x_{0}^{2} x_{1} x_{2}^{21}} \\
& x_{1}^{24}+2370 x_{1}^{20} x_{2}^{4}-8721 x_{1}^{16} x_{2}^{8}+16796 x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{12}-8721 x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{16}+2370 x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{20}+x_{2}^{24} \\
& x_{1}^{24}+\frac{10626}{1025} x_{1}^{20} x_{2}^{4}+\frac{735471}{1025} x_{1}^{16} x_{2}^{8}+\frac{2704156}{1025} x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{12}+\frac{735471}{1025} x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{16}+\frac{10626}{1025} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{20}+x_{2}^{24} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The ideal $I_{24}$ generated by them is a non monomial $G T$-system with group $\Lambda$ and the variety $X_{24}$ parameterized by $I_{24}$ is a $G T-$ surface with group $\Lambda$. Furthermore, $X_{24}$ is an aCM projection of the Veronese surface $X_{2,24} \subset \mathbb{P}^{324}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{14}$ from the linear system $\left\langle I_{24}^{-1}\right\rangle_{24}$.
(ii) Type (C). Finite subgroups $\Lambda$ of $\operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ of type (C) generated by $T$ and a diagonal cyclic subgroup $\Gamma=\left\langle\operatorname{diag}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right)\right\rangle$ of $\operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ were first studied by Maschke in [56]. The author showed that $R_{t}^{\Lambda}$ is generated by trinomials of degree $t$ of the form:

$$
x_{0}^{a_{0}} x_{1}^{a_{1}} x_{2}^{a_{2}}+x_{0}^{a_{2}} x_{1}^{a_{0}} x_{2}^{a_{1}}+x_{0}^{a_{1}} x_{1}^{a_{2}} x_{2}^{a_{0}}
$$

where $x_{0}^{a_{0}} x_{1}^{a_{1}} x_{2}^{a_{2}}, x_{0}^{a_{2}} x_{1}^{a_{0}} x_{2}^{a_{1}}, x_{0}^{a_{1}} x_{1}^{a_{2}} x_{2}^{a_{0}}$ are monomial invariants of $\Gamma$ of degree $t$ which he described in terms of the $\gamma_{i}$. As an example, we take $\Lambda_{1} \subset \operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ a group of order 48 generated by the matrices $M_{4 ; 0,1,3}$ and $T$ (see [90]). $R_{48}^{\Lambda_{1}}$
is generated by $\mu_{48}=31$ forms of degree 48:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{0}^{16} x_{1}^{16} x_{2}^{16} \\
& x_{0}^{48}+x_{1}^{48}+x_{2}^{48} \\
& x_{1}^{4} x_{0}^{44}+x_{2}^{44} x_{0}^{4}+x_{1}^{44} x_{2}^{4} \\
& x_{1}^{8} x_{0}^{40}+x_{2}^{40} x_{0}^{8}+x_{1}^{40} x_{2}^{8} \\
& x_{2}^{8} x_{0}^{40}+x_{1}^{40} x_{0}^{8}+x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{40} \\
& x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{44}+x_{1}^{44} x_{0}^{4}+x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{44} \\
& x_{1}^{12} x_{0}^{36}+x_{2}^{36} x_{0}^{12}+x_{1}^{36} x_{2}^{12} \\
& x_{1}^{16} x_{0}^{32}+x_{2}^{32} x_{0}^{16}+x_{1}^{32} x_{2}^{16} \\
& x_{1}^{20} x_{0}^{28}+x_{2}^{28} x_{0}^{20}+x_{1}^{28} x_{2}^{20} \\
& x_{0}^{24} x_{1}^{24}+x_{2}^{24} x_{1}^{24}+x_{0}^{24} x_{2}^{24} \\
& x_{2}^{20} x_{0}^{28}+x_{1}^{28} x_{0}^{20}+x_{1}^{20} x_{2}^{28} \\
& x_{2}^{16} x_{0}^{32}+x_{1}^{32} x_{0}^{16}+x_{1}^{16} x_{2}^{32} \\
& x_{2}^{12} x_{0}^{36}+x_{1}^{36} x_{0}^{12}+x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{36} \\
& x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{8} x_{0}^{32}+x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{32} x_{0}^{8}+x_{1}^{32} x_{2}^{8} x_{0}^{8} \\
& x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{36}+x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{36} x_{0}^{8}+x_{1}^{36} x_{2}^{8} x_{0}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{8} x_{0}^{36}+x_{1}^{36} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{8}+x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{36} x_{0}^{4} \\
& x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{40}+x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{40} x_{0}^{4}+x_{1}^{40} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{4} \\
& x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{8} x_{0}^{28}+x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{28} x_{0}^{12}+x_{1}^{28} x_{2}^{12} x_{0}^{8} \\
& x_{1}^{16} x_{2}^{8} x_{0}^{24}+x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{24} x_{0}^{16}+x_{1}^{24} x_{2}^{16} x_{0}^{8} \\
& x_{0}^{8} x_{2}^{20} x_{1}^{20}+x_{0}^{20} x_{2}^{8} x_{1}^{20}+x_{0}^{20} x_{2}^{20} x_{1}^{8} \\
& x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{16} x_{0}^{24}+x_{1}^{24} x_{2}^{8} x_{0}^{16}+x_{1}^{16} x_{2}^{24} x_{0}^{8} \\
& x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{12} x_{0}^{28}+x_{1}^{28} x_{2}^{8} x_{0}^{12}+x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{28} x_{0}^{8} \\
& x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{32}+x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{32} x_{0}^{12}+x_{1}^{32} x_{2}^{12} x_{0}^{4} \\
& x_{1}^{16} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{28}+x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{28} x_{0}^{16}+x_{1}^{28} x_{2}^{16} x_{0}^{4} \\
& x_{1}^{20} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{24}+x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{24} x_{0}^{20}+x_{1}^{24} x_{2}^{20} x_{0}^{4} \\
& x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{20} x_{0}^{24}+x_{1}^{24} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{20}+x_{1}^{20} x_{2}^{24} x_{0}^{4} \\
& x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{16} x_{0}^{28}+x_{1}^{28} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{16}+x_{1}^{16} x_{2}^{28} x_{0}^{4} \\
& x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{12} x_{0}^{32}+x_{1}^{32} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{12}+x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{32} x_{0}^{4} \\
& x_{1}^{16} x_{2}^{12} x_{0}^{20}+x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{20} x_{0}^{16}+x_{1}^{20} x_{2}^{16} x_{0}^{12} \\
& x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{16} x_{0}^{20}+x_{1}^{20} x_{2}^{12} x_{0}^{16}+x_{1}^{16} x_{2}^{20} x_{0}^{12} \\
& x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{12} x_{0}^{24}+x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{24} x_{0}^{12}+x_{1}^{24} x_{2}^{12} x_{0}^{12} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The ideal $I_{48}$ generated by them is a non monomial Togliatti system. Indeed, $I_{48}$ is an artinian ideal since $V\left(x_{0}^{16} x_{1}^{16} x_{2}^{16}, x_{0}^{48}+x_{1}^{48}+x_{2}^{48}, x_{1}^{4} x_{0}^{44}+x_{2}^{44} x_{0}^{4}+\right.$ $\left.x_{1}^{44} x_{2}^{4}\right)=\emptyset$ and the condition $\mu_{d} \leq\left|\Lambda_{1}\right|+1$ is satisfied. By Proposition 4.1.1, $I_{48}$ is a Togliatti system.
(iii) Type (D). Take $\Lambda_{2} \subset \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ a group of order 96 generated by $\Lambda_{1}$ and $Q$ with $a=b=c=-1$ (see [90]). Notice that the invariants of $\Lambda_{2}$ are in particular invariants of $\Lambda_{1} . R_{96}^{\Lambda_{2}}$ is generated by 61 forms of degree 96 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{0}^{32} x_{1}^{32} x_{2}^{32} \\
& x_{0}^{96}+x_{1}^{96}+x_{2}^{96} \\
& x_{0}^{48} x_{1}^{48}+x_{2}^{48} x_{1}^{48}+x_{0}^{48} x_{2}^{48} \\
& x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{8} x_{0}^{80}+x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{80} x_{0}^{8}+x_{1}^{80} x_{2}^{8} x_{0}^{8} \\
& x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{88}+x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{88} x_{0}^{4}+x_{1}^{88} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{4} \\
& x_{0}^{8} x_{2}^{44} x_{1}^{44}+x_{0}^{44} x_{2}^{8} x_{1}^{44}+x_{0}^{44} x_{2}^{44} x_{1}^{8} \\
& x_{1}^{20} x_{2}^{20} x_{0}^{56}+x_{1}^{20} x_{2}^{56} x_{0}^{20}+x_{1}^{56} x_{2}^{20} x_{0}^{20} \\
& x_{0}^{16} x_{2}^{40} x_{1}^{40}+x_{0}^{40} x_{2}^{16} x_{1}^{40}+x_{0}^{40} x_{2}^{40} x_{1}^{16} \\
& x_{1}^{28} x_{2}^{28} x_{0}^{40}+x_{1}^{28} x_{2}^{40} x_{0}^{28}+x_{1}^{40} x_{2}^{28} x_{0}^{28} \\
& x_{0}^{24} x_{2}^{36} x_{1}^{36}+x_{0}^{36} x_{2}^{24} x_{1}^{36}+x_{0}^{36} x_{2}^{36} x_{1}^{24} \\
& x_{1}^{24} x_{2}^{24} x_{0}^{48}+x_{1}^{24} x_{2}^{48} x_{0}^{24}+x_{1}^{48} x_{2}^{24} x_{0}^{24}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}^{16} x_{2}^{16} x_{0}^{64}+x_{1}^{16} x_{2}^{64} x_{0}^{16}+x_{1}^{64} x_{2}^{16} x_{0}^{16} \\
& x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{12} x_{0}^{72}+x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{72} x_{0}^{12}+x_{1}^{72} x_{2}^{12} x_{0}^{12} \\
& x_{1}^{8} x_{0}^{88}+x_{2}^{8} x_{0}^{88}+x_{1}^{88} x_{0}^{8}+x_{2}^{88} x_{0}^{8}+x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{88}+x_{1}^{88} x_{2}^{8} \\
& x_{1}^{4} x_{0}^{92}+x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{92}+x_{1}^{92} x_{0}^{4}+x_{2}^{92} x_{0}^{4}+x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{92}+x_{1}^{92} x_{2}^{4} \\
& x_{1}^{44} x_{0}^{52}+x_{2}^{44} x_{0}^{52}+x_{1}^{52} x_{0}^{44}+x_{2}^{52} x_{0}^{44}+x_{1}^{44} x_{2}^{52}+x_{1}^{52} x_{2}^{44} \\
& x_{1}^{40} x_{0}^{56}+x_{2}^{40} x_{0}^{56}+x_{1}^{56} x_{0}^{40}+x_{2}^{56} x_{0}^{40}+x_{1}^{40} x_{2}^{56}+x_{1}^{56} x_{2}^{40} \\
& x_{1}^{36} x_{0}^{60}+x_{2}^{36} x_{0}^{60}+x_{1}^{60} x_{0}^{36}+x_{2}^{60} x_{0}^{36}+x_{1}^{36} x_{2}^{60}+x_{1}^{60} x_{2}^{36} \\
& x_{1}^{32} x_{0}^{64}+x_{2}^{32} x_{0}^{64}+x_{1}^{64} x_{0}^{32}+x_{2}^{64} x_{0}^{32}+x_{1}^{32} x_{2}^{64}+x_{1}^{64} x_{2}^{32} \\
& x_{1}^{28} x_{0}^{68}+x_{2}^{28} x_{0}^{68}+x_{1}^{68} x_{0}^{28}+x_{2}^{68} x_{0}^{28}+x_{1}^{28} x_{2}^{68}+x_{1}^{68} x_{2}^{28} \\
& x_{1}^{24} x_{0}^{72}+x_{2}^{24} x_{0}^{72}+x_{1}^{72} x_{0}^{24}+x_{2}^{72} x_{0}^{24}+x_{1}^{24} x_{2}^{72}+x_{1}^{72} x_{2}^{24} \\
& x_{1}^{20} x_{0}^{76}+x_{2}^{20} x_{0}^{76}+x_{1}^{76} x_{0}^{20}+x_{2}^{76} x_{0}^{20}+x_{1}^{20} x_{2}^{76}+x_{1}^{76} x_{2}^{20} \\
& x_{1}^{16} x_{0}^{80}+x_{2}^{16} x_{0}^{80}+x_{1}^{80} x_{0}^{16}+x_{2}^{80} x_{0}^{16}+x_{1}^{16} x_{2}^{80}+x_{1}^{80} x_{2}^{16} \\
& x_{1}^{12} x_{0}^{84}+x_{2}^{12} x_{0}^{84}+x_{1}^{84} x_{0}^{12}+x_{2}^{84} x_{0}^{12}+x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{84}+x_{1}^{84} x_{2}^{12} \\
& x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{8} x_{0}^{84}+x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{84}+x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{84} x_{0}^{8}+x_{1}^{84} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{8}+x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{84} x_{0}^{4}+x_{1}^{84} x_{2}^{8} x_{0}^{4} \\
& x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{40} x_{0}^{48}+x_{1}^{40} x_{2}^{8} x_{0}^{48}+x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{48} x_{0}^{40}+x_{1}^{48} x_{2}^{8} x_{0}^{40}+x_{1}^{40} x_{2}^{48} x_{0}^{8}+x_{1}^{48} x_{2}^{40} x_{0}^{8} \\
& x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{36} x_{0}^{52}+x_{1}^{36} x_{2}^{8} x_{0}^{52}+x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{52} x_{0}^{36}+x_{1}^{52} x_{2}^{8} x_{0}^{36}+x_{1}^{36} x_{2}^{52} x_{0}^{8}+x_{1}^{52} x_{2}^{36} x_{0}^{8} \\
& x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{32} x_{0}^{56}+x_{1}^{32} x_{2}^{8} x_{0}^{56}+x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{56} x_{0}^{32}+x_{1}^{56} x_{2}^{8} x_{0}^{32}+x_{1}^{32} x_{2}^{56} x_{0}^{8}+x_{1}^{56} x_{2}^{32} x_{0}^{8} \\
& x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{28} x_{0}^{60}+x_{1}^{28} x_{2}^{8} x_{0}^{60}+x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{60} x_{0}^{28}+x_{1}^{60} x_{2}^{8} x_{0}^{28}+x_{1}^{28} x_{2}^{60} x_{0}^{8}+x_{1}^{60} x_{2}^{28} x_{0}^{8} \\
& x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{24} x_{0}^{64}+x_{1}^{24} x_{2}^{8} x_{0}^{64}+x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{64} x_{0}^{24}+x_{1}^{64} x_{2}^{8} x_{0}^{24}+x_{1}^{24} x_{2}^{64} x_{0}^{8}+x_{1}^{64} x_{2}^{24} x_{0}^{8} \\
& x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{20} x_{0}^{68}+x_{1}^{20} x_{2}^{8} x_{0}^{68}+x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{68} x_{0}^{20}+x_{1}^{68} x_{2}^{8} x_{0}^{20}+x_{1}^{20} x_{2}^{68} x_{0}^{8}+x_{1}^{68} x_{2}^{20} x_{0}^{8} \\
& x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{16} x_{0}^{72}+x_{1}^{16} x_{2}^{8} x_{0}^{72}+x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{72} x_{0}^{16}+x_{1}^{72} x_{2}^{8} x_{0}^{16}+x_{1}^{16} x_{2}^{72} x_{0}^{8}+x_{1}^{72} x_{2}^{16} x_{0}^{8} \\
& x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{12} x_{0}^{76}+x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{8} x_{0}^{76}+x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{76} x_{0}^{12}+x_{1}^{76} x_{2}^{8} x_{0}^{12}+x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{76} x_{0}^{8}+x_{1}^{76} x_{2}^{12} x_{0}^{8} \\
& x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{44} x_{0}^{48}+x_{1}^{44} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{48}+x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{48} x_{0}^{44}+x_{1}^{48} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{44}+x_{1}^{44} x_{2}^{48} x_{0}^{4}+x_{1}^{48} x_{2}^{44} x_{0}^{4} \\
& x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{40} x_{0}^{52}+x_{1}^{40} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{52}+x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{52} x_{0}^{40}+x_{1}^{52} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{40}+x_{1}^{40} x_{2}^{52} x_{0}^{4}+x_{1}^{52} x_{2}^{40} x_{0}^{4} \\
& x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{36} x_{0}^{56}+x_{1}^{36} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{56}+x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{56} x_{0}^{36}+x_{1}^{56} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{36}+x_{1}^{36} x_{2}^{56} x_{0}^{4}+x_{1}^{56} x_{2}^{36} x_{0}^{4} \\
& x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{32} x_{0}^{60}+x_{1}^{32} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{60}+x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{60} x_{0}^{32}+x_{1}^{60} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{32}+x_{1}^{32} x_{2}^{60} x_{0}^{4}+x_{1}^{60} x_{2}^{32} x_{0}^{4} \\
& x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{28} x_{0}^{64}+x_{1}^{28} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{64}+x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{64} x_{0}^{28}+x_{1}^{64} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{28}+x_{1}^{28} x_{2}^{64} x_{0}^{4}+x_{1}^{64} x_{2}^{28} x_{0}^{4} \\
& x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{24} x_{0}^{68}+x_{1}^{24} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{68}+x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{68} x_{0}^{24}+x_{1}^{68} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{24}+x_{1}^{24} x_{2}^{68} x_{0}^{4}+x_{1}^{68} x_{2}^{24} x_{0}^{4} \\
& x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{20} x_{0}^{72}+x_{1}^{20} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{72}+x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{72} x_{0}^{20}+x_{1}^{72} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{20}+x_{1}^{20} x_{2}^{72} x_{0}^{4}+x_{1}^{72} x_{2}^{20} x_{0}^{4} \\
& x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{16} x_{0}^{76}+x_{1}^{16} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{76}+x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{76} x_{0}^{16}+x_{1}^{76} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{16}+x_{1}^{16} x_{2}^{76} x_{0}^{4}+x_{1}^{76} x_{2}^{16} x_{0}^{4} \\
& x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{12} x_{0}^{80}+x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{80}+x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{80} x_{0}^{12}+x_{1}^{80} x_{2}^{4} x_{0}^{12}+x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{80} x_{0}^{4}+x_{1}^{80} x_{2}^{12} x_{0}^{4} \\
& x_{1}^{28} x_{2}^{32} x_{0}^{36}+x_{1}^{32} x_{2}^{28} x_{0}^{36}+x_{1}^{28} x_{2}^{36} x_{0}^{32}+x_{1}^{36} x_{2}^{28} x_{0}^{32}+x_{1}^{32} x_{2}^{36} x_{0}^{28}+x_{1}^{36} x_{2}^{32} x_{0}^{28} \\
& x_{1}^{24} x_{2}^{32} x_{0}^{40}+x_{1}^{32} x_{2}^{24} x_{0}^{40}+x_{1}^{24} x_{2}^{40} x_{0}^{32}+x_{1}^{40} x_{2}^{24} x_{0}^{32}+x_{1}^{32} x_{2}^{40} x_{0}^{24}+x_{1}^{40} x_{2}^{32} x_{0}^{24} \\
& x_{1}^{24} x_{2}^{28} x_{0}^{44}+x_{1}^{28} x_{2}^{24} x_{0}^{44}+x_{1}^{24} x_{2}^{44} x_{0}^{28}+x_{1}^{44} x_{2}^{24} x_{0}^{28}+x_{1}^{28} x_{2}^{44} x_{0}^{24}+x_{1}^{44} x_{2}^{28} x_{0}^{24} \\
& x_{1}^{20} x_{2}^{36} x_{0}^{40}+x_{1}^{36} x_{2}^{20} x_{0}^{40}+x_{1}^{20} x_{2}^{40} x_{0}^{36}+x_{1}^{40} x_{2}^{20} x_{0}^{36}+x_{1}^{36} x_{2}^{40} x_{0}^{20}+x_{1}^{40} x_{2}^{36} x_{0}^{20} \\
& x_{1}^{20} x_{2}^{32} x_{0}^{44}+x_{1}^{32} x_{2}^{20} x_{0}^{44}+x_{1}^{20} x_{2}^{44} x_{0}^{32}+x_{1}^{44} x_{2}^{20} x_{0}^{32}+x_{1}^{32} x_{2}^{44} x_{0}^{20}+x_{1}^{44} x_{2}^{32} x_{0}^{20} \\
& x_{1}^{20} x_{2}^{28} x_{0}^{48}+x_{1}^{28} x_{2}^{20} x_{0}^{48}+x_{1}^{20} x_{2}^{48} x_{0}^{28}+x_{1}^{48} x_{2}^{20} x_{0}^{28}+x_{1}^{28} x_{2}^{48} x_{0}^{20}+x_{1}^{48} x_{2}^{28} x_{0}^{20}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}^{20} x_{2}^{24} x_{0}^{52}+x_{1}^{24} x_{2}^{20} x_{0}^{52}+x_{1}^{20} x_{2}^{52} x_{0}^{24}+x_{1}^{52} x_{2}^{20} x_{0}^{24}+x_{1}^{24} x_{2}^{52} x_{0}^{20}+x_{1}^{52} x_{2}^{24} x_{0}^{20} \\
& x_{1}^{16} x_{2}^{36} x_{0}^{44}+x_{1}^{36} x_{2}^{16} x_{0}^{44}+x_{1}^{16} x_{2}^{44} x_{0}^{36}+x_{1}^{44} x_{2}^{16} x_{0}^{36}+x_{1}^{36} x_{2}^{44} x_{0}^{16}+x_{1}^{44} x_{2}^{36} x_{0}^{16} \\
& x_{1}^{16} x_{2}^{32} x_{0}^{48}+x_{1}^{32} x_{2}^{16} x_{0}^{48}+x_{1}^{16} x_{2}^{48} x_{0}^{32}+x_{1}^{48} x_{2}^{16} x_{0}^{32}+x_{1}^{32} x_{2}^{48} x_{0}^{16}+x_{1}^{48} x_{2}^{32} x_{0}^{16} \\
& x_{1}^{16} x_{2}^{28} x_{0}^{52}+x_{1}^{28} x_{2}^{16} x_{0}^{52}+x_{1}^{16} x_{2}^{52} x_{0}^{28}+x_{1}^{52} x_{2}^{16} x_{0}^{28}+x_{1}^{28} x_{2}^{52} x_{0}^{16}+x_{1}^{52} x_{2}^{28} x_{0}^{16} \\
& x_{1}^{16} x_{2}^{24} x_{0}^{56}+x_{1}^{24} x_{2}^{16} x_{0}^{56}+x_{1}^{16} x_{2}^{56} x_{0}^{24}+x_{1}^{56} x_{2}^{16} x_{0}^{24}+x_{1}^{24} x_{2}^{56} x_{0}^{16}+x_{1}^{56} x_{2}^{24} x_{0}^{16} \\
& x_{1}^{16} x_{2}^{20} x_{0}^{60}+x_{1}^{20} x_{2}^{16} x_{0}^{60}+x_{1}^{16} x_{2}^{60} x_{0}^{20}+x_{1}^{60} x_{2}^{16} x_{0}^{20}+x_{1}^{20} x_{2}^{60} x_{0}^{16}+x_{1}^{60} x_{2}^{20} x_{0}^{16} \\
& x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{40} x_{0}^{44}+x_{1}^{40} x_{2}^{12} x_{0}^{44}+x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{44} x_{0}^{40}+x_{1}^{44} x_{2}^{12} x_{0}^{40}+x_{1}^{40} x_{2}^{44} x_{0}^{12}+x_{1}^{44} x_{2}^{40} x_{0}^{12} \\
& x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{36} x_{0}^{48}+x_{1}^{36} x_{2}^{12} x_{0}^{48}+x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{48} x_{0}^{36}+x_{1}^{48} x_{2}^{12} x_{0}^{36}+x_{1}^{36} x_{2}^{48} x_{0}^{12}+x_{1}^{48} x_{2}^{36} x_{0}^{12} \\
& x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{32} x_{0}^{52}+x_{1}^{32} x_{2}^{12} x_{0}^{52}+x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{52} x_{0}^{32}+x_{1}^{52} x_{2}^{12} x_{0}^{32}+x_{1}^{32} x_{2}^{52} x_{0}^{12}+x_{1}^{52} x_{2}^{32} x_{0}^{12} \\
& x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{28} x_{0}^{56}+x_{1}^{28} x_{2}^{12} x_{0}^{56}+x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{56} x_{0}^{28}+x_{1}^{56} x_{2}^{12} x_{0}^{28}+x_{1}^{28} x_{2}^{56} x_{0}^{12}+x_{1}^{56} x_{2}^{28} x_{0}^{12} \\
& x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{24} x_{0}^{60}+x_{1}^{24} x_{2}^{12} x_{0}^{60}+x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{60} x_{0}^{24}+x_{1}^{60} x_{2}^{12} x_{0}^{24}+x_{1}^{24} x_{2}^{60} x_{0}^{12}+x_{1}^{60} x_{2}^{24} x_{0}^{12} \\
& x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{20} x_{0}^{64}+x_{1}^{20} x_{2}^{12} x_{0}^{64}+x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{64} x_{0}^{20}+x_{1}^{64} x_{2}^{12} x_{0}^{20}+x_{1}^{20} x_{2}^{64} x_{0}^{12}+x_{1}^{64} x_{2}^{20} x_{0}^{12} \\
& x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{16} x_{0}^{68}+x_{1}^{16} x_{2}^{12} x_{0}^{68}+x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{68} x_{0}^{16}+x_{1}^{68} x_{2}^{12} x_{0}^{16}+x_{1}^{16} x_{2}^{68} x_{0}^{12}+x_{1}^{68} x_{2}^{16} x_{0}^{12} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Arguing as in (ii) we obtain that the ideal $I_{96}$ generated by them is a non monomial Togliatti system.

### 4.2 GT-systems and GT-surfaces with a dihedral group

In this section, we study the invariants of the dihedral group $D_{2 d}$ of order $2 d$ represented in $\operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ by a cyclic group $\Gamma=\left\langle M_{d ; 0, a, d-a}\right\rangle$ of order $d \geq 0$ with $0<a<\frac{d}{2}$ and the linear transformation

$$
\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

We consider the cyclic extension $\overline{D_{2 d}} \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ of $D_{2 d}$ and we describe the invariants of $\overline{D_{2 d}}$. Our main result proves that $R^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}$ is minimally generated by forms of degree $2 d$ (Theorem 4.2.6). As a consequence, we obtain that the ideal $I_{2 d} \subset R$ generated by them is a non monomial $G T$-system with group $D_{2 d}$ (Proposition 4.2.9). In Subsection 4.2.1, we study the geometry of the $G T$-surface with group $D_{2 d}$ as we did for $G T$-surfaces with a finite abelian group.

Since the action of $D_{2 d}=\left\langle M_{d ; 0, a, d-a}, \sigma\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ on $R$ fixes the variable $x_{0}$, we have that $R^{D_{2 d}}=\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}\right] \otimes \mathbb{K}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]^{D_{2 d}^{\prime}}$ where

$$
D_{2 d}^{\prime}=\left\langle M_{d ; a, d-a},\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right\rangle \subset \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{K})
$$

is a representation of the dihedral group of order $2 d$ in $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{K})$. Moreover, $\mathbb{K}\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]^{D_{2 d}^{\prime}}=\mathbb{K}\left[x_{1} x_{2}, x_{1}^{d}+x_{2}^{d}\right]$. Thus, the ring of invariants of $D_{2 d}$

$$
R^{D_{2 d}}=\mathbb{K}\left[x_{0}, x_{1} x_{2}, x_{1}^{d}+x_{2}^{d}\right]
$$

is a non standard graded polynomial ring (see, for instance, [76] and [77]). In this setting, we see the ring $R^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}$ as $\mathbb{K}$-graded subalgebra of $R$ as well as of $R^{D_{2 d}}$ :

$$
R^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}=\bigoplus_{t \geq 0} R_{t}^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}, \quad \text { where } \quad R_{t}^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}:=R_{2 d t}^{D_{2 d}}=R_{2 d t} \cap R^{D_{2 d}} .
$$

On the other hand, the cyclic group $\left\langle M_{d ; 0, a, d-a}\right\rangle=\left\langle M_{d ; 1, d-1}\right\rangle$. Hence, from now on, we fix an integer $d \geq 3$ and a dihedral group $D_{2 d}=\langle\Gamma, \sigma\rangle \subset \operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ of order $2 d$ with $\Gamma=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1, d-1}\right\rangle$. Our main objective is to describe the invariants of $R^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}$. To do it, we analyse first each graded component $R_{t}^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}$.

We start noticing that any invariant of $\overline{D_{2 d}}$ is an invariant of the cyclic group $\Gamma$. So, if $f \in R^{D_{2 d}}$, then any monomial which occurs in $f$ is a monomial invariant of $\Gamma$ of degree a multiple of $2 d$. We recall that a monomial $m=$ $x_{0}^{a_{0}} x_{1}^{a_{1}} x_{2}^{a_{2}} \in R_{2 t}^{\Gamma}$ if and only if $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{3}-$ solution of the system of linear congruences:

$$
(*)_{\mathcal{A} ; 2 t, r}\left\{\begin{array}{rl}
y_{0}+y_{1}+\begin{array}{l}
y_{2}
\end{array}=2 d t \\
y_{1}+(d-1) y_{2} & =r d .
\end{array}, \quad r=0, \ldots, 2(d-1) t .\right.
$$

The action of $\left\langle M_{d ; 1, d-1}^{l} \sigma\right\rangle$ on $R$ is the same as the action of $\left\langle\operatorname{diag}\left(1, e^{d-1}, e\right)^{l}\right\rangle$ for any $0 \leq l \leq d-1$. Moreover, we have:

Lemma 4.2.1. (i) A monomial $m \in R^{\bar{\Gamma}}$ if and only if $m$ is an invariant of $\left\langle M_{d ; 0, d-1,1}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$.
(ii) There are $t d+1$ monomial invariants of $R^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}$ of degree $2 t d$ :

$$
\left\{x_{0}^{2 d t-2 a_{1}} x_{1}^{a_{1}} x_{2}^{a_{1}} \mid a_{1}=0, \ldots, t d .\right\}
$$

Proof. (i) Let $m=x_{0}^{a_{0}} x_{1}^{a_{1}} x_{2}^{a_{2}} \in R^{\Gamma}$ be a monomial of degree $2 t d$. Then, $a_{1}+(d-1) a_{2}=r d$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Since $0<(d-1) a_{1}+a_{2}=d a_{1}-\left(a_{1}-\right.$ $\left.a_{2}\right)=d\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right)-r d$ is a multiple of $d$, it follows that $m$ is an invariant of $\left\langle M_{d ; 1, d-1,1}\right\rangle$.
(ii) The action of $\sigma$ on $m$ is $x_{0}^{a_{0}} x_{1}^{a_{2}} x_{2}^{a_{1}}$. So, $m \in R^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}$ if and only if $m \in R^{\bar{\Gamma}}$ and $a_{1}=a_{2}$. Solving the linear system of congruences $\left(*_{\mathcal{A} ; 2 t, r}\right.$ we obtain the listed monomials.

Using the above lemma and the fact that $R_{t}^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}=R_{2 d t}^{D_{2 d}}$, we compute the Hilbert function of $R^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}$.

Proposition 4.2.2. For any $t \geq 0$, we have:

$$
\operatorname{HF}\left(R^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}, t\right)=\frac{2 d t^{2}+(d+\operatorname{GCD}(d, 2)+2) t+2}{2}
$$

Proof. Since $\operatorname{HF}\left(R^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}, t\right)=\operatorname{HF}\left(R^{D_{2 d}}, 2 d t\right)$, we obtain that

$$
\operatorname{HF}\left(R^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}, t\right)=\frac{1}{2 d} \sum_{g \in D_{2 d}} \operatorname{trace}\left(g^{(2 d t)}\right)=\frac{1}{2 d} \operatorname{trace}\left(\sum_{g \in D_{2 d}} g^{(2 d t)}\right),
$$

where $g^{(2 d t)}$ is the restriction of $g$ to $R_{2 d t}$. We choose the set $\mathcal{M}_{2,2 t d}=$ $\left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{N_{2,2 t d}}\right\} \subset R$ of all $N_{2,2 t d}$ monomials of degree $2 d t$ as a basis of $R_{2 d t}$. We denote by $M$ the $N_{2,2 t d} \times N_{2,2 t d}$ matrix which represents the linear $\operatorname{map} \sum_{g \in \rho\left(D_{2 d}\right)} g^{(2 d t)}$ in the chosen basis and by $M_{(i, i)}$ its diagonal entries. Fixed $m_{i} \in \mathcal{M}_{2,2 d t}$, we distinguish two cases:
Case 1: if $m_{i} \in R^{\bar{\Gamma}}$, then by Lemma 4.2.1,

$$
M_{(i, i)}=\left\{\begin{array}{rll}
2 d & \text { if } & \sigma\left(m_{i}\right)=m_{i} \\
d & \text { if } & \sigma\left(m_{i}\right) \neq m_{i} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Case 2: if $m_{i} \notin R^{\Gamma}$, then by Lemma 4.2.1(ii), $m_{i}$ is not an invariant of $\sigma$ and we obtain $M_{i, i}=1+\xi+\cdots+\xi^{d-1}=0$, where $\xi$ a $d$ th root of $1 \in \mathbb{K}$.

Let $\mu_{2 d t}^{c}$ denote the number of monomials of degree $2 d t$ in $R^{\Gamma}$. Thus,

$$
(2 d) \operatorname{HF}\left(R^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}, t\right)=d\left(\mu_{2 d t}^{c}+t d+1\right)
$$

As we have shown in Theorem 3.1.21, $\mu_{2 d t}^{c}=d t^{2}+2 t+\operatorname{GCD}(2, d) t+1$. Altogether we obtain the desired expression.

As a direct corollary:
Corollary 4.2.3. The Hilbert series of $R^{\bar{D}_{2 d}}$ is

$$
\operatorname{HS}\left(R^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}, z\right)=\frac{\left(\frac{d-\operatorname{GCD}(d, 2)}{2} z^{4 d}+\frac{3 d+\operatorname{GCD}(d, 2)-2}{2} z^{2 d}+1\right)}{\left(1-z^{2 d}\right)^{3}}
$$

Thus far, we know that $R_{t}^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-vector space of dimension

$$
\frac{\mu_{2 d t}^{c}+t d+1}{2}
$$

To determine a $\mathbb{K}$-basis of $R_{t}^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}$, we complete the set $\left\{x_{0}^{2 t d-2 a_{1}} x_{1}^{a_{1}} x_{2}^{a_{2}} \mid a_{1}=\right.$ $0, \ldots, t d\}$ of $t d+1$ monomial invariants of $\bar{D}_{2 d}$ to a basis of $R_{t}^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}$ using its relation with $R_{2 t}^{\bar{\Gamma}}$.

Proposition 4.2.4. $R_{t}^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}$ is generated by
(i) $x_{0}^{2 d t}, x_{0}^{2 d t-2} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{0}^{2 d t-4} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, \ldots, x_{1}^{t d} x_{2}^{t d} ;$ and
(ii) all the binomials $x_{0}^{a_{0}} x_{1}^{a_{1}} x_{2}^{a_{2}}+x_{0}^{a_{0}} x_{1}^{a_{2}} x_{2}^{a_{1}}$ of degree $2 d t$ such that $a_{1} \neq a_{2}$ and $x_{0}^{a_{0}} x_{1}^{a_{1}} x_{2}^{a_{2}} \in R^{\Gamma}$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.2.1, there are $\frac{\mu_{2 d t}^{c}-t d-1}{2}$ binomials of degree $2 d t$ of the form $x_{0}^{a_{0}} x_{1}^{a_{1}} x_{2}^{a_{2}}+x_{0}^{a_{0}} x_{1}^{a_{2}} x_{2}^{a_{1}} \in R^{\Gamma}$ with $a_{1} \neq a_{2}$. Since the listed forms are $\mathbb{K}$-linearly independent, the result follows from Proposition 4.2.2.

From now on, we denote by $\mathcal{B}_{2 t d}$ the set of generators of $R_{t}^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}$ in Proposition 4.2.4. Let us see some illustrative examples.

Example 4.2.5. (i) Take $d=3$ and $D_{2 \cdot 3}=\left\langle M_{3 ; 0,1,2}, \sigma\right\rangle \subset \operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$. We have $\operatorname{HF}\left(R^{\overline{D_{2 \cdot 3}}}, 1\right)=7$ and $\operatorname{HF}\left(R^{\overline{D_{2 \cdot 3}}}, 2\right)=19$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_{2 \cdot 3}= & \left\{x_{0}^{6}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3}+x_{0}^{3} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{1}^{6}+x_{2}^{6}, x_{0} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}+x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}^{4}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}\right\} \\
\mathcal{B}_{4 \cdot 3}= & \left\{x_{0}^{12}, x_{0}^{9} x_{1}^{3}+x_{0}^{9} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0}^{10} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{6}+x_{0}^{6} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{7} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}+x_{0}^{7} x_{1} x_{2}^{4}, x_{0}^{8} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2},\right. \\
& x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{9}+x_{0}^{3} x_{2}^{9}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{7} x_{2}+x_{0}^{4} x_{1} x_{2}^{7}, x_{0}^{5} x_{1}^{5} x_{2}^{2}+x_{0}^{5} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{5}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}, x_{1}^{12}+x_{2}^{12}, \\
& x_{0} x_{1}^{10} x_{2}+x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}^{10}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{2}+x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{8}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{3}+x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{4}, \\
& \left.x_{1}^{9} x_{2}^{3}+x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{9}, x_{0} x_{1}^{7} x_{2}^{4}+x_{0} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{7}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{5} x_{2}^{5}, x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{6}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Take $d=4$ and $D_{2 \cdot 4}=\left\langle M_{4 ; 0,1,3}, \sigma\right\rangle \subset \operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$, we have $\operatorname{HF}\left(R^{\overline{D_{2 \cdot 4}}}, 1\right)=$ 9 and $\operatorname{HF}\left(R^{\overline{D_{2.4}}}, 2\right)=25$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_{2 \cdot 4}= & \left\{x_{0}^{8}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{4}+x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{4}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{1}^{8}+x_{2}^{8}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{5} x_{2}+x_{0}^{2} x_{1} x_{2}^{5}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2},\right. \\
& \left.x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{2}+x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}, x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{4}\right\} \\
\mathcal{B}_{4 \cdot 4}= & \left\{x_{0}^{16}, x_{0}^{12} x_{1}^{4}+x_{0}^{12} x_{2}^{4}, x_{0}^{14} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{0}^{8} x_{1}^{8}+x_{0}^{8} x_{2}^{8}, x_{0}^{10} x_{1}^{5} x_{2}+x_{0}^{10} x_{1} x_{2}^{5},\right. \\
& x_{0}^{12} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{12}+x_{0}^{4} x_{2}^{12}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{9} x_{2}+x_{0}^{6} x_{1} x_{2}^{9}, x_{0}^{8} x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{2}+x_{0}^{8} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{10} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}, \\
& x_{1}^{16}+x_{2}^{16}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{13} x_{2}+x_{0}^{2} x_{1} x_{2}^{13}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{10} x_{2}^{2}+x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{10}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{7} x_{2}^{3}+x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{7}, \\
& x_{0}^{8} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{4}, x_{1}^{14} x_{2}^{2}+x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{14}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{11} x_{2}^{3}+x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{11}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{4}+x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{8}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{5} x_{2}^{5}, \\
& \left.x_{1}^{12} x_{2}^{4}+x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{12}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{9} x_{2}^{5}+x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{5} x_{2}^{9}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{6}, x_{1}^{10} x_{2}^{6}+x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{0}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{7} x_{2}^{7}, x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{8}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Our main goal is to prove that $\mathcal{B}_{2 d}$ is a minimal set of fundamental invariants of $\overline{D_{2 d}}$. To achieve it, we use the natural structure of $R^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}$ as a subring of $R^{D_{2 d}}$. We set $y_{0}=x_{0}, y_{1}=x_{1} x_{2}$ and $y_{2}=x_{1}^{d}+x_{2}^{d}$. As we have pointed out at the beginning of this section, $R^{D_{2 d}}=\mathbb{K}\left[y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right]$ is a non standard graded polynomial ring with $\operatorname{deg}\left(y_{0}\right)=1, \operatorname{deg}\left(y_{1}\right)=2$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(y_{2}\right)=d$. From this standpoint, $R_{t}^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}=\mathbb{K}\left[y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right]_{2 t d}$ is the $\mathbb{K}$-vector subspace with monomial basis

$$
\mathcal{A}_{2 d t}=\left\{y_{0}^{b_{0}} y_{1}^{b_{1}} y_{2}^{b_{2}} \mid b_{0}+2 b_{1}+d b_{2}=2 t d\right\} .
$$

In particular, for $t=1$ we have a change of basis

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho: \mathbb{K}\left[y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right]_{2 d} \longrightarrow R_{1}^{\overline{D_{2 d}}} \quad \text { given by } \\
\begin{cases}y_{0}^{b_{0}} y_{1}^{b_{1}} y_{2}^{b_{2}} & \mapsto x_{0}^{b_{0}} x_{1}^{b_{1}} x_{2}^{b_{1}}\left(x_{1}^{d}+x_{2}^{d}\right)^{b_{2}}, \quad \text { if } 0 \leq b_{2} \leq 1 \\
y_{2}^{2} & \mapsto\left(x_{1}^{2 d}+x_{2}^{2 d}\right)+2 x_{1}^{d} x_{2}^{d} .\end{cases} \tag{4.2.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Theorem 4.2.6. $\mathcal{B}_{2 d}$ is a minimal set of fundamental invariants of $\overline{D_{2 d}}$.
Proof. We see that for any $t \geq 2$, any monomial $y_{0}^{b_{0}} y_{1}^{b_{1}} y_{2}^{b_{2}} \in \mathcal{A}_{2 d t}$ is divisible by a monomial of $\mathcal{A}_{2 d}$. Then by induction, it follows that $\mathcal{A}_{2 d}$ is a set of generators of $R^{\overline{D_{2 d}}} \subset R^{D_{2 d}}$. Using (4.2.1), we obtain that $\mathcal{B}_{2 d}$ is a minimal set of generators of $R^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}$. Let $m=y_{0}^{b_{0}} y_{1}^{b_{1}} y_{2}^{b_{2}} \in \mathcal{A}_{2 d t}$ be a monomial of degree $b_{0}+2 b_{1}+d b_{2}=2 d t, t \geq 2$. On one hand, we may suppose that $b_{0}<2 d$, $b_{1}<d$ and $b_{2}<2$. Otherwise, $y_{0}^{2 d}, y_{1}^{d}$ or $y_{2}^{2}$ divide $m$ and the result follows. On the other hand, if $b_{2}=0, b_{0}<2 d$ and $b_{1}<d$, then we have $\operatorname{deg}(m)=2 d$
and $t=1$. Therefore it only remains to prove the case $b_{0}<2 d, b_{1}<d$ and $b_{2}=1$ with $b_{0}+2 b_{1}+d=4 d$. Since $b_{0}+2 b_{1}=3 d$ and $b_{1}<d$, this implies that $b_{0} \geq d$ and then $y_{0}^{d} y_{2} \in \mathcal{A}_{2 d}$ divides $m$, as required.

Remark 4.2.7. The change of variables $\rho$ induces an isomorphism of graded $\mathbb{K}$-algebras $\rho: \mathbb{K}\left[\mathcal{A}_{2 d}\right] \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}\left[\mathcal{B}_{2 d}\right]$.

Example 4.2.8. We take $d=3$ and $D_{2 \cdot 3}=\left\langle M_{3 ; 0,1,2}, \sigma\right\rangle \subset \operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$. We express the invariants of $\mathcal{B}_{4.3}$ in terms of $\mathcal{B}_{2 d}$ (Example 4.2.5(i)) writing all monomials of $\mathcal{A}_{4 \cdot 3}$ as products of monomials of $\mathcal{A}_{2 \cdot 3}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{2 \cdot 3}= & \left\{y_{0}^{6}, y_{0}^{3} y_{2}, y_{0}^{4} y_{1}, y_{2}^{2}, y_{0} y_{1} y_{2}, y_{0}^{2} y_{1}^{2}, y_{1}^{3}\right\} \\
\mathcal{A}_{4 \cdot 3}= & \left\{y_{0}^{12}, y_{0}^{10} y_{1}, y_{0}^{8} y_{1}^{2}, y_{0}^{6} y_{1}^{3}, y_{0}^{4} y_{1}^{4}, y_{0}^{2} y_{1}^{5}, y_{1}^{6}, y_{0}^{9} y_{2}, y_{0}^{7} y_{1} y_{2}, y_{0}^{5} y_{1}^{2} y_{2}, y_{0}^{3} y_{1}^{3} y_{2},\right. \\
& \left.y_{0} y_{1}^{4} y_{2}, y_{0}^{6} y_{2}^{2}, y_{0}^{4} y_{1} y_{2}^{2}, y_{0}^{2} y_{1}^{2} y_{2}^{2}, y_{1}^{3} y_{2}^{2}, y_{0}^{3} y_{2}^{3}, y_{0} y_{1} y_{2}^{3}, y_{2}^{4}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by (4.2.1), we obtain the following factorizations of the monomials and binomials of $\mathcal{B}_{4 \cdot 3}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{0}^{12} & =\left(x_{0}^{6}\right)\left(x_{0}^{6}\right) \\
x_{0}^{10} x_{1} x_{2} & =\left(x_{0}^{6}\right)\left(x_{0}^{4} x_{1} x_{2}\right) \\
x_{0}^{8} x_{1}^{2} x_{1}^{2} & =\left(x_{0}^{6}\right)\left(x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}\right) \\
x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3} & =\left(x_{0}^{6}\right)\left(x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}\right) \\
x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{4} & =\left(x_{0}^{4} x_{1} x_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}\right) \\
x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{5} x_{2}^{5} & =\left(x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}\right)\left(x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}\right) \\
x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{6} & =\left(x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}\right)^{2} \\
x_{0}^{9} x_{1}^{3}+x_{0}^{9} x_{2}^{3} & \\
x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{6}+x_{0}^{6} x_{2}^{6}\left(x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3}+x_{0}^{3} x_{2}^{3}\right) \\
x_{0}^{7} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}+x_{0}^{7} x_{1} x_{2}^{4} & =x_{0}^{6}\left(x_{1}^{6}+x_{0}^{6}\right) \\
\left.x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{7} x_{2}+x_{0}^{4} x_{1} x_{0}^{3} x_{2}^{3}+x_{0}^{3} x_{2}^{3}\right) \\
x_{0}^{5} x_{1}^{5} x_{2}^{2}+x_{0}^{5} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{5} x_{1} x_{2}\left(x_{1}^{6}+x_{2}^{6}\right) \\
x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{8} x_{2}^{2}+x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}\left(x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3}+x_{0}^{3} x_{2}^{3}\right) \\
x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{3}+x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{2} x_{2}^{6}\left(x_{1}^{6}+x_{2}^{6}\right) \\
x_{1}^{9} x_{2}^{3}+x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{9} & =x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}\left(x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3}+x_{0}^{3} x_{2}^{3}\right) \\
\left.x_{0} x_{1}^{7} x_{2}^{4}+x_{0} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{6}\right) & =x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}\left(x_{0} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}+x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}^{4}\right) \\
x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{9}+x_{0}^{3} x_{2}^{9} & =\left(x_{1}^{6}+x_{2}^{6}\right)\left(x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3}+x_{0}^{3} x_{2}^{6}\right)-x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}\left(x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3}+x_{0}^{3} x_{2}^{3}\right) \\
x_{1}^{12}+x_{2}^{12} & \\
x_{0} x_{1}^{10} x_{2}+x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}^{10} & =\left(x_{1}^{6}+x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}+2\left(x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}\right)^{2} x_{1} x_{2}^{4}\right)\left(x_{1}^{6}+x_{2}^{6}\right)-x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}\left(x_{0} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}+x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}^{4}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Notice that these decompositions are not unique, for instance $x_{0}^{8} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}$ can also be factored as $\left(x_{0}^{4} x_{1} x_{2}\right)^{2}$.

Proposition 4.2.9. Let $d \geq 3$ be an integer and $D_{2 d}=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1, d-1}, \sigma\right\rangle \subset$ $\mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ a dihedral group of order $2 d$. Then, the ideal $I_{2 d} \subset R$ generated by a minimal set of fundamental invariants $\bar{D}_{2 d}$ is a $G T$-system with group $D_{2 d}$.

Proof. The condition $\left|\mathcal{B}_{2 d}\right| \leq 2 d+1$ on the number of generators of $I_{2 d}$ is satisfied (Theorem 1.4.6). Indeed, applying Proposition 4.2.2 we obtain:

$$
\left|\mathcal{B}_{2 d}\right|=\frac{3 d+4+\operatorname{GCD}(d, 2)}{2} .
$$

The right term of the equality is smaller or equal than $2 d+1$ for all $d \geq 3$. By Theorem 4.2.6 and Propositions 1.4.17 and 4.1.1, we can conclude that $I_{2 d}$ is a $G T$-system with group $D_{2 d}$.

### 4.2.1 GT-surfaces with a dihedral group

In this subsection, we consider the $G T$-surface $S_{D_{2 d}}$ parameterized by a $G T$-system $I_{2 d}$ with group $D_{2 d}=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1, d-1}, \sigma\right\rangle \subset \operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$. Namely, we take $I_{2 d} \subset R$ the ideal generated by the minimal set $\mathcal{B}_{2 d}$ of fundamental invariants of $\overline{D_{2 d}}$ determined in Theorem 4.2.6. We denote $\left|\mathcal{B}_{2 d}\right|=\mu_{2 d}$. Then, $S_{D_{2 d}}$ is the image of the morphism $\varphi_{I_{2 d}}: \mathbb{P}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\mu_{2 d}-1}$ defined by $\mathcal{B}_{2 d}$. We relate the homogeneous coordinate ring $A\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right)$ of $S_{D_{2 d}}$ to the ring $R^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}$, we establish that $S_{D_{2 d}}$ is an aCM surface in $\mathbb{P}^{\mu_{2 d}-1}$ and we determine a minimal graded free resolution of $A\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right)$ (Theorems 4.2 .12 and 4.2.14). Lastly, we look at a set of generators of the homogeneous ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right)$ of any $G T$-surface $S_{D_{2 d}}$ with group $D_{2 d}$ and we prove that $\mathrm{I}\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right)$ is minimally generated by quadrics (Theorem 4.2.17).

We begin with some notation needed in the sequel.
Notation 4.2.10. We introduce a new set of variables:

$$
\mathcal{W}_{d}:=\left\{w_{(r, \gamma)} \mid 0 \leq r \leq 2(d-1) \text { and } \max \left\{0,\left\lceil\frac{(r-2) d}{d-2}\right\rceil\right\} \leq \gamma \leq r\right\}
$$

ordered lexicographically and we set $S=\mathbb{K}\left[w_{(r, \gamma)}\right]_{w_{(r, \gamma)} \in \mathcal{W}_{d}}$.

Each pair $(r, \gamma)$ in $\mathcal{W}_{d}$ uniquely determines the exponents of an element in $\mathcal{B}_{2 d}$ (Lemma 4.2.1 and Proposition 4.2.4). Hence, the cardinality of $\mathcal{W}_{d}$ is $\mu_{2 d}=d+2+\frac{d+\operatorname{GCD}(2, d)}{2}$. We exhibit a few examples.

Example 4.2.11. (i) Take $d=3$ and $D_{2 \cdot 3}=\left\langle M_{3 ; 0,1,2}, \sigma\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}_{2 \cdot 3}=\left\{x_{0}^{6}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3}+x_{0}^{3} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{1}^{6}+x_{2}^{6}, x_{0} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}+x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}^{4}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}\right\} \\
& \mathcal{W}_{3}=\left\{w_{(0,0)}, w_{(1,0)}, w_{(1,1)}, w_{(2,0)}, w_{(2,1)}, w_{(2,2)}, w_{(3,3)}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Take $d=4$ and $D_{2 \cdot 4}=\left\langle M_{4 ; 0,1,3}, \sigma\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_{2 \cdot 4}= & \left\{x_{0}^{8}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{4}+x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{4}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{1}^{8}+x_{2}^{8}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{5} x_{2}+x_{0}^{2} x_{1} x_{2}^{5}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2},\right. \\
& \left.x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{2}+x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}, x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{4}\right\} \\
\mathcal{W}_{4}= & \left\{w_{(0,0)}, w_{(1,0)}, w_{(1,1)}, w_{(2,0)}, w_{(2,1)}, w_{(2,2)}, w_{(3,2)}, w_{(3,3)}, w_{(4,4)}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) Take $d=5$ and $D_{2 \cdot 5}=\left\langle M_{5 ; 0,1,4}, \sigma\right\rangle \subset \operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$. We have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_{2 \cdot 5}= & \left\{x_{0}^{10}, x_{0}^{5} x_{1}^{5}+x_{0}^{5} x_{2}^{5}, x_{0}^{8} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{1}^{10}+x_{2}^{10}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{6} x_{2}+x_{0}^{3} x_{1} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2},\right. \\
& \left.x_{0} x_{1}^{7} x_{2}^{2}+x_{0} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{7}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{4}, x_{1}^{5} x_{2}^{5}\right\} \\
\mathcal{W}_{5}= & \left\{w_{(0,0)}, w_{(1,0)}, w_{(1,1)}, w_{(2,0)}, w_{(2,1)}, w_{(2,2)}, w_{(3,2)}, w_{(3,3)}, w_{(4,4)}, w_{(5,5)}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In this setting, we have the following.
Theorem 4.2.12. Let $S_{D_{2 d}}$ be a $G T$-surface with group $D_{2 d}$.
(i) The homogeneous coordinate ring $A\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right)$ of $S_{D_{2 d}}$ is isomorphic to $R^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}$. Thus, $S_{D_{2 d}}$ is an aCM projection of the Veronese variety $X_{2,2 d} \subset$ $\mathbb{P}^{N_{2,2 d}-1}$ from the linear system $\left\langle I_{2 d}^{-1}\right\rangle_{2 d}$.
(ii) The Hilbert function and series of $A\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right)$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{HF}\left(A\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right), t\right)=\frac{2 d t^{2}+(d+\operatorname{GCD}(d, 2)+2) t+2}{2} \\
& \operatorname{HS}\left(A\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right), z\right)=\frac{\left(\frac{d-\operatorname{GCD}(d, 2)}{2} z^{2}+\frac{3 d+\operatorname{GCD}(d, 2)-2}{2} z^{+} 1\right)}{(1-z)^{3}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) $S_{D_{2 d}}$ is an aCM surface of degree $2 d$ with Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right)\right)=3$ and CM-type $\frac{d-\mathrm{GCD}(d, 2)}{2}$.

Proof. (i) The homogeneous ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right) \subset S$ of $S_{D_{2 d}}$ is the prime ideal kernel of the ring homomorphism $\varphi_{d}: S \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}\left[\mathcal{B}_{2 d}\right]$ sending $w_{(r, \gamma)}$ to

$$
\begin{cases}x_{0}^{2 d-2 \gamma} x_{1}^{\gamma} x_{2}^{\gamma}=: m_{(r, \gamma)} & \text { if } r=\gamma \\ x_{0}^{(2-r) d+(d-2) \gamma}\left(x_{1}^{r d-(d-1) \gamma} x_{2}^{\gamma}+x_{1}^{\gamma} x_{2}^{r d-(d-1) \gamma}\right)=: m_{(r, \gamma)}+\overline{m_{(r, \gamma)}} & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

By Theorem 4.2.6, $A\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right)=S / \mathrm{I}\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right) \cong R^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}$ and by Theorem 1.3.10, $A\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right)$ is a CM ring.
(ii) It is a direct consequences of (i), Proposition 4.2.2 and Corollary 4.2.3.
(iii) The information of the Hilbert series of $S_{D_{2 d}}$ and (i) give (iii).

Remark 4.2.13. As a consequence of Theorem 4.2.12, a $G T$-surface $S_{D_{2 d}}$ with group $D_{2 d}$ is an arithmetically Gorenstein surface if and only if $d=3$ or 4 .

We denote the codimension of $S_{D_{2 d}}$ by

$$
C:=\operatorname{codim}\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right)=\frac{3 d+\operatorname{GCD}(d, 2)-2}{2} .
$$

Set $h:=\operatorname{deg}\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right)-C-2=\frac{d-\operatorname{GCD}(d, 2)-2}{2}$. We have:
Theorem 4.2.14. A minimal graded free $S-$ resolution of $A\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right)$ looks like

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \longrightarrow S^{b_{C, 2}}(-C-2) \longrightarrow \oplus_{l=1,2} S^{b_{C-1, l}}(-C+1-l) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \\
\longrightarrow \oplus_{l=1,2} S^{b_{C-h}, l}(-C+h-l) \longrightarrow S^{b_{C-h-1,1}}(-C+h) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \\
\longrightarrow S^{b_{1,1}}(-2) \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow S / \mathrm{I}\left(S_{2 d}\right) \longrightarrow 0
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
b_{i, l}:= \begin{cases}i\binom{C}{i+1}+(C-i-h)\binom{C}{i-1} & \text { if } 1 \leq i \leq C-h-1, l=1 \\ i\binom{r}{i+1} & \text { if } C-h \leq i \leq C, l=1 \\ (i-C+h+1)\binom{C}{i} & \text { if } C-h \leq i \leq C, l=2 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. For $d=3,4$ we explicitly compute the resolutions of $S_{D_{2 \cdot 3}}$ and $S_{D_{2.4}}$ in Example 4.2.16(i),(ii). For all $d \geq 5$ we check that $C+3 \leq 2 d \leq 2 C$ and then we apply [88, Corollary 3.4(ii)]. It holds $2 d \leq 3 d+\operatorname{GCD}(d, 2)-2$ for all $d \geq 3$. On the other hand,

$$
C+3=\frac{3 d+\mathrm{GCD}(d, 2)+4}{2} \leq 2 d
$$

if and only if $3 d+\operatorname{GCD}(d, 2)+4 \leq 4 d$ if and only if $\operatorname{GCD}(d, 2)+4 \leq d$. The last inequality holds for all $d \geq 5$.

As a direct corollary, we obtain:
Corollary 4.2.15. $\mathrm{I}\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right)$ is minimally generated by $\frac{9 d^{2}+2 d+8}{8}$ quadrics if d is even and by $\frac{9 d^{2}-4 d+3}{8}$ quadrics if $d$ is odd.

Let us illustrate Theorem 4.2.14 with some examples.
Example 4.2.16. (i) For $d=3, \quad S_{D_{2 d}}$ has codimension $C=4$ and degree $\operatorname{deg}\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right)=6$, so $h=0$. A minimal free resolution of $A\left(S_{D_{2 \cdot 3}}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \longrightarrow S(-6) \longrightarrow S^{9}(-4) \longrightarrow S^{16}(-3) \longrightarrow \\
\longrightarrow S(-2)^{9} \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow S / \mathrm{I}\left(S_{D_{2 \cdot 3}}\right) \longrightarrow 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

As we remarked before, $S_{D_{2.3}}$ is an arithmetically Gorenstein surface.
(ii) For $d=4, \quad S_{D_{2 d}}$ has codimension $C=6$ and degree $\operatorname{deg}\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right)=8$, so $h=0$. A minimal free resolution of $A\left(S_{D_{2 \cdot 4}}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \longrightarrow S(-8) \longrightarrow S^{20}(-6) \longrightarrow S^{64}(-5) \longrightarrow S^{90}(-4) \longrightarrow \\
S^{64}(-3) \longrightarrow S^{20}(-2) \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow S / \mathrm{I}\left(S_{D_{2 \cdot 4}}\right) \longrightarrow 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

As we remarked before, $S_{D_{2 \cdot 4}}$ is an arithmetically Gorenstein surface.
(iii) For $d=5, \quad S_{D_{2 d}}$ has codimension $C=7$ and degree $\operatorname{deg}\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right)=10$, so we have $h=1$ and a minimal free resolution of $A\left(S_{D_{2.5}}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \longrightarrow S^{2}(-9) \longrightarrow S^{7}(-8) \oplus S^{6}(-7) \longrightarrow S^{70}(-6) \longrightarrow S^{154}(-5) \longrightarrow \\
\left.\longrightarrow S^{168}(-4) \longrightarrow S^{98}(-3) \longrightarrow S^{26}(-2) \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow S / \mathrm{I}\left(S_{D_{2 \cdot 5}}\right)\right) \longrightarrow 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Our next goal is to describe a minimal set of generators of $\mathrm{I}\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right)$. To achieve this goal, we take advantage of the natural structure of $R^{\frac{D_{2 d}}{D_{2 d}}}$ as a subring of $R^{D_{2 d}}$. We define new variables $z_{(r, \gamma)}$ and we set $S^{\prime}=\mathbb{K}\left[z_{(r, \gamma)}\right]$. We consider the linear change of variables induced by $\rho$ (see (4.2.1)):

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{(r, \gamma)}=w_{(r, \gamma)}, \quad \text { if } w_{(r, \gamma)} \neq w_{(2,0)}  \tag{4.2.2}\\
z_{(2,0)}=w_{(2,0)}+2 w_{(d, d)},
\end{array}\right.
$$

It gives an isomorphism $\tilde{\rho}: \mathbb{K}\left[z_{(r, \gamma)}\right] \longrightarrow S$ of polynomial rings. We have the following commutative diagram

where

$$
\psi_{d}\left(z_{(r, \gamma)}\right)= \begin{cases}\rho^{-1}\left(\varphi_{d}\left(w_{(r, \gamma)}\right)\right) & \text { if } z_{(r, \gamma)} \neq z_{(2,0)} \\ y_{2}^{2} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

(see (4.2.1)). In particular, $\psi_{d}$ sends bijectively the variables $z_{(r, \gamma)}$ to the monomials of $\mathcal{A}_{2 d}=\left\{y_{0}^{b_{0}} y_{1}^{b_{1}} y_{2}^{b_{2}} \mid b_{0}+2 b_{1}+d b_{2}=2 d\right\}$ by the formula $\psi_{d}\left(z_{(r, \gamma)}\right)=y_{0}^{d(2-r)+(d-2) \gamma} y_{1}^{\gamma} y_{2}^{r-\gamma}$.

Theorem 4.2.17. (i) $\operatorname{ker}\left(\psi_{d}\right)$ is a binomial ideal of $S^{\prime}$ minimally generated by quadrics.
(ii) $\mathrm{I}\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right)=\tilde{\rho}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(\psi_{d}\right)\right)$ and a minimal set of generators of $\mathrm{I}\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right)$ are the following binomials and trinomials:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{w_{\left(r_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)} w_{\left(r_{2}, \gamma_{2}\right)}-w_{\left(r_{3}, \gamma_{3}\right)} w_{\left(r_{4}, \gamma_{4}\right)} \mid\left(r_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right) \neq(2,0), r_{1}+r_{2}=r_{3}+r_{4},\right. \\
& \left.\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}=\gamma_{3}+\gamma_{4}\right\} \\
& \left\{\left(w_{(2,0)}+2 w_{(d, d)} w_{\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)}-w_{\left(r_{2}, \gamma_{2}\right)} w_{\left(r_{3}, \gamma_{3}\right)} \mid\left(r_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right) \neq(2,0),\right.\right. \\
& \left.\gamma_{1}+2=r_{2}+r_{3}, \gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{3}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. (i) $\operatorname{ker}\left(\psi_{d}\right)$ is generated by the set of binomials:

$$
\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{l} z_{\left(r_{j_{i}}, \gamma_{j_{i}}\right)}-\prod_{i=1}^{l} z_{\left(r_{m_{i}}, \gamma_{m_{i}}\right)} \mid \prod_{i=1}^{l} \psi_{d}\left(z_{\left(r_{j_{i}}, \gamma_{j_{i}}\right)}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{l} \psi_{d}\left(z_{\left(r_{m_{i}}, \gamma_{m_{i}}\right)}\right), l \geq 2\right\}
$$

From this and Corollary 4.2.15, it follows that $\operatorname{ker}\left(\psi_{d}\right)$ is minimally generated by binomials of degree 2 . Using the formula $\psi_{d}\left(z_{(r, \gamma)}\right)=y_{0}^{d(2-r)+(d-2) \gamma} y_{1}^{\gamma} y_{2}^{r-\gamma}$, we obtain that these binomials are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{z_{\left(r_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)} z_{\left(r_{2}, \gamma_{2}\right)}-z_{\left(r_{3}, \gamma_{3}\right)} z_{\left(r_{4}, \gamma_{4}\right)} \mid r_{1}+r_{2}=r_{3}+r_{4}, \gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}=\gamma_{3}+\gamma_{4}\right\} \tag{4.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Since $\tilde{\rho}$ and $\rho$ are isomorphisms of $\mathbb{K}$-algebras, the commutative diagram (4.2.1) gives $\mathrm{I}\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right)=\tilde{\rho}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(\psi_{d}\right)\right)$. Applying $\tilde{\rho}$ to (4.2.3), we obtain the description of the minimal set of generators in (ii).

We end this subsection showing a couple of examples.
Example 4.2.18. (i) Take $d=3$ and $D_{2 \cdot 3}=\left\langle M_{3 ; 0,1,2}, \sigma\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$. The ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(S_{D_{2.3}}\right)$ of the $G T$-surface $S_{2.3}$ with group $D_{2.3}$ is minimally generated by the following 6 binomials and 3 trinomials of degree 2 :

$$
\begin{array}{clllll}
w_{(0,0)} w_{(2,1)} & -w_{(1,0)} w_{(1,1)} & w_{(1,0)} w_{(3,3)} & -w_{(2,1)} w_{(2,2)} \\
w_{(0,0)} w_{(2,2)} & -w_{(1,1)}^{2} & w_{(1,0)} w_{(2,2)} & -w_{(1,1)} w_{(2,1)} \\
w_{(0,0)} w_{(3,3)} & - & w_{(1,1)} w_{(2,2)} & w_{(1,1)} w_{(3,3)} & -w_{(2,2)}^{2}
\end{array}
$$

(ii) Take $d=4$ and $D_{2 \cdot 4}=\left\langle M_{4 ; 0,1,3}, \sigma\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$. The ideal I $\left(S_{D_{2 \cdot 4}}\right)$ of the $G T$-surface $S_{2 \cdot 4}$ with group $D_{2 \cdot 4}$ is minimally generated by the following 15 binomials and 5 trinomials of degree 2 :

$$
\begin{array}{clll}
w_{(0,0)} w_{(2,2)} & -w_{(1,1)}^{2} & w_{(1,0)} w_{(3,3)} & -w_{(1,1)} w_{(3,2)} \\
w_{(0,0)} w_{(3,3)} & -w_{(1,1)} w_{(2,2)} & w_{(1,0)} w_{(3,3)} & -w_{(2,1)} w_{(2,2)} \\
w_{(0,0)} w_{(3,2)} & -w_{(1,0)} w_{(2,2)} & w_{(1,0)} w_{(4,4)} & -w_{(2,1)} w_{(3,3)} \\
w_{(0,0)} w_{(2,1)} & -w_{(1,0)} w_{(1,1)} & w_{(1,0)} w_{(4,4)} & -w_{(2,2)} w_{(3,2)} \\
w_{(0,0)} w_{(4,4)} & -w_{(1,1)} w_{(3,3)} & w_{(1,1)} w_{(4,4)} & -w_{(2,2)} w_{(3,3)} \\
w_{(0,0)} w_{(4,4)} & -w_{(2,2)}^{2} & w_{(2,1)} w_{(4,4)}-w_{(3,2)} w_{(3,3)} \\
w_{(1,0)} w_{(2,2)}-w_{(1,1)} w_{(2,1)} & w_{(2,2)} w_{(4,4)}- & w_{(3,3)}^{2} \\
w_{(1,0)} w_{(3,2)} & -w_{(2,1)}^{2} & &
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{(1,0)}^{2} \\
& w_{(1,0)} w_{(2,1)}-w_{(0,0)} w_{(2,0)}-2 w_{(0,0)} w_{(4,4)} \\
& w_{(1,0)} w_{(3,2)}-w_{(2,0)} w_{(2,0)}-2 w_{(1,1)} w_{(4,4)}-2 w_{(2,2)} w_{(4,4)} \\
& w_{(2,1)} w_{(3,2)}-w_{(2,0)} w_{(3,3)}-2 w_{(3,3)} w_{(4,4)} \\
& w_{(3,2)}^{2}
\end{aligned}-w_{(2,0)} w_{(4,4)}-2 w_{(4,4)}^{2} .
$$

## Chapter 5

## Normal bundle of RL-varieties

Our purpose in this chapter is to study the normal bundle of a family of smooth rational monomial projections $\mathcal{X}_{d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}$ of Veronese varieties $X_{n, d} \subset$ $\mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ naturally related to $\bar{G}$-varieties $X_{d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{\mu_{d}-1}$ with a finite abelian group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ and whose coordinate rings $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ are a level rings with $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=n+1$. We take the embedding $f_{d}: \mathbb{P}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}$ defined by $\mathcal{M}_{n, d} \backslash \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}$ where $H_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$ is the affine semigroup associated $X_{d}$ and $N_{d}=N_{n, d}-\left|\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}\right|-1$. We define the smooth rational variety $\mathcal{X}_{d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}$ as to the image of $f_{d}$ and we call it the $R L$-variety associated to $X_{d}$. The name $R L$-variety is conceived to stress the link with the notions of the relative interior and levelness. We take advantage of the action of the group $\bar{G} \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ to compute the cohomology of the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}$ of any $R L$-variety $\mathcal{X}_{d}$ (Theorem 5.2.6).

This chapter is structured as follows. In Section 5.1, we define level $\bar{G}$-varieties $X_{d}$ with an enough general group $G \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ and the $R L$-variety $\mathcal{X}_{d}$ associated to them (Definition 5.1.7). The $R L$-variety $\mathcal{X}_{d}$ is the image of the morphism $f_{d}: \mathbb{P}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}$ defined by $\mathcal{M}_{n, d} \backslash \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}$. We show that the ideal $J_{d}$ generated by $\mathcal{M}_{n, d} \backslash \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}$ is a monomial artinian ideal having the WLP (Proposition 5.1.10) and that $\mathcal{X}_{d}$ is a non aCM monomial projection of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$. We give examples of $R L$-varieties $\mathcal{X}_{d}$ in any dimension $n \geq 2$. We prove that $\mathcal{X}_{d}$ is a smooth rational variety and that the morphism $f_{d}: \mathbb{P}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}$ is an embedding (Proposition 5.1.11). Section 5.2 contains the main result of this chapter. We introduce the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}$ of an $R L$-variety $\mathcal{X}_{d}$ and we present it as the cokernel of the differential map $d f_{d}$ of the embedding $f_{d}$ (Proposition 5.2.1). The rest of the section is devoted to compute the cohomology of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}$.

### 5.1 RL-varieties: a new family of smooth rational monomial projections of Veronese varieties

In Chapter 3, we have proved that the canonical module $\omega_{X_{d}}$ of a $\bar{G}$-variety $X_{d}$ with an abelian group

$$
G:=\left\langle M_{d_{1} ; \alpha_{\sigma_{1}(0)}^{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\sigma_{1}(n)}^{1}}, \ldots, M_{d_{s} ; \alpha_{\sigma_{s}(0)}^{s}, \ldots, \alpha_{\sigma_{s}(n)}^{s}}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})
$$

of order $d=d_{1} \cdots d_{s}$ is identified with the ideal

$$
\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)=\left(x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \in R^{\bar{G}} \mid 0 \neq a_{0} \cdots a_{n}\right) \subset R^{\bar{G}}
$$

(Theorem 3.3.1) and $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$ is generated by monomials of degree $d$ and $2 d$ (Theorem 3.3.3). We have characterized the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)$ in terms of the generators of $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$ :

$$
n \leq \operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right) \leq n+1
$$

and $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=n+1$ if and only $\emptyset \neq \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}$ (Theorem 3.3.5). We have constructed families of examples of $G T$-varieties $X_{d}$ with a finite cyclic group such that $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is a level ring and $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=n+1$ (Proposition 3.3.7 and Corollary 3.3.9) This motivates the following definition.

Definition 5.1.1. Let $X_{d}$ be a $\bar{G}$-variety with group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. We say that $X_{d}$ is a level $\bar{G}$-variety if $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is a level ring and, in addition, $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=n+1$.

Equivalently, a $\bar{G}$-variety $X_{d}$ with group $G \subset G \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ is a level $\bar{G}$-variety if and only if $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$ is minimally generated by monomials of degree $d$.

Proposition 5.1.2. Any arithmetically Gorenstein $\bar{G}$-variety with group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ is a level $\bar{G}$-variety.

Proof. Let $X_{d}$ be a $\bar{G}$-variety with group $G$. We prove that if $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=$ $n$, then $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{2}$ contains at least two different monomials. Therefore, if $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is a Gorenstein ring, $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=n+1$ and the result follows. Let $m=x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \in \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{2}$. We construct a monomial
$m^{\prime} \in \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{2}$ from $m$ such that $m \neq m^{\prime}$. To do it, we distinguish the following cases.
Case 1: $a_{0} \leq d-a_{0}$ and $a_{0}-1-n \geq-1$. We define

$$
\bar{m}=x_{0}^{a_{0}-1} x_{1}^{d-1} \cdots x_{n}^{d-1} \text { and } m_{1}=\frac{x_{0}^{d} \cdots x_{n}^{d}}{\bar{m}}=x_{0}^{d-a_{0}+1} x_{1} \cdots x_{n} .
$$

We have $\operatorname{deg}(\bar{m})=n d+a_{0}-1-n$. Since $a_{0}-1-n \geq-1$, there are integers $0 \leq c_{0} \leq a_{0}-1,0 \leq c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n} \leq d-1$ such that $m_{2}=x_{0}^{c_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{c_{n}}$ is a monomial of degree $d+a_{0}-1-n$ and $\frac{\bar{m}}{m_{2}} \in R^{\bar{G}}$. Moreover, set $\left(f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n}\right):=$ $\left(c_{0}+d-a_{0}+1, c_{1}+1, \ldots, c_{n}+1\right)$. Therefore

$$
m^{\prime}=x_{0}^{f_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{f_{n}}=m_{1} m_{2} \in \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{2}
$$

and it verifies $f_{0}>d-a_{0}+1>a_{0}$.
Case 2: $a_{0} \leq d-a_{0}$ and $a_{0}-n-1<-1$. Let $k$ be the minimum of the indexes in $\{0, \ldots, n\}$ such that $a_{0}+\cdots+a_{k}-n-1 \geq-1$. Since $1 \leq a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}$, we have $n-1 \leq a_{0}+\cdots+a_{n-2}$, hence $k \leq n-2$. We define monomials:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{m} & =x_{0}^{a_{0}-1} x_{1}^{a_{1}-1} \cdots x_{k}^{a_{k}-1} x_{k+1}^{d-1} \cdots x_{n}^{d-1} \\
m_{1} & =\frac{x_{0}^{d} \cdots x_{n}^{d}}{\bar{m}}=x_{0}^{d-a_{0}+1} \cdots x_{k}^{d-a_{k}+1} x_{k+1} \cdots x_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that $\operatorname{deg}(\bar{m})=(n-k) d+a_{0}+\cdots+a_{k}-n-1$. Since $n-k$ is at least 2, there are integers $0 \leq c_{0} \leq a_{0}-1, \ldots, 0 \leq c_{k} \leq a_{k}-1$ and $0 \leq c_{k+1}, \ldots, c_{n} \leq d-1$ such that $m_{2}=x_{0}^{c_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{c_{n}}$ is a monomial of degree $d+a_{0}+\cdots+a_{k}-1-n$. As in Case 1 we set
$\left(f_{0}, \ldots, f_{n}\right):=\left(c_{0}+d-a_{0}+1, c_{1}+d-a_{1}, \ldots, c_{k}+d-a_{k}, c_{k+1}+1 \ldots, c_{n}+1\right)$.
Therefore, $m^{\prime}=x_{0}^{f_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{f_{n}}=m_{1} m_{2} \in \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$ is a monomial of $2 d$ and it verifies $f_{0}>d-a_{0}+1>a_{0}$.
Case 3 and 4. The case $a_{0} \geq d-a_{0}$ and $d-a_{0}-n-1 \geq-1$ follows as in Case 1 changing the roles of $a_{0}$ and $d-a_{0}$. Analogously, the remaining case $a_{0} \geq d-a_{0}$ and $d-a_{0}-n-1<-1$ follows as Case 2 .

Let $2 \leq n<d$ be integers and $\Gamma=\left\langle M_{d ; \alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order $d$. If $1 \leq k$ is an integer such that $\operatorname{GCD}\left(\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}, k d\right)=$ 1 , we denote $\Gamma_{k}=\left\langle M_{k d ; \alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}\right\rangle \subset \operatorname{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. More general, let $1 \leq$
$k_{1}, \ldots, k_{s}$ be integers and $G=\Gamma_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Gamma_{s} \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ a finite abelian group of order $d=d_{1} \cdots d_{s}$. If each $\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)_{k_{i}}$ is a cyclic group of order $k_{i} d_{i}$, we denote by $G_{k}=\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)_{k_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus\left(\Gamma_{s}\right)_{k_{s}} \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ the abelian group of order $k d$ where $k=k_{1} \cdots k_{s}$. With this notation, Proposition 5.1.2 provides a direct generalization of Proposition 3.3.8:

Corollary 5.1.3. If $X_{d}$ is an arithmetically Gorenstein $\bar{G}$-variety with group $G \subset G \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$, then $X_{k d}$ is a level $\bar{G}$-variety with group $G_{k} \subset$ $\mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$.

Let us see examples of level and non level $\bar{G}$-varieties.
Example 5.1.4. (i) All $\bar{G}$-surfaces with group $G$ of type (B) or (C) are level $\bar{G}$-varieties (Subsection 3.3.1).
(ii) Let $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$ be integers with $n$ even. For cyclic group $G=\left\langle M_{k(n+1) ; 0,1,2, \ldots, n}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ of order $k(n+1)$, the associated $G T$-variety $X_{k(n+1)}$ with group $G$ is a level $G T$-variety (Corollary 3.3.9).
(iii) Let $n \geq 2, k \geq 1$ and $0 \leq i, j \leq n$ be integers such that $i+j=n$ and $G_{k}=\left\langle M_{k(n+1) ; 0, i, \ldots, 0,1, j, \ldots, n+1-j}\right\rangle \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order $k(n+1)$. Then, $X_{n+1}$ is a Gorenstein $\bar{G}$-variety with group $G_{1}$ and for any $k>1, X_{k(n+1)}$ is a level $\bar{G}$-variety with group $G_{k}$. Using that the monomial $m=x_{0} \cdots x_{n} \in R^{\bar{G}_{1}}$, we obtain that $R^{\bar{G}_{1}}$ is a Gorenstein ring. The assertion now follows from Corollary 5.1.3.
(iv) Take $G_{1}=\left\langle M_{4 ; 0,1,1,2}\right\rangle$ and $G_{2}=\left\langle M_{4 ; 0,0,1,3}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{K})$ cyclic groups of order 4. Any $\bar{G}$-threefold $X_{4}$ with group $G_{i}, i=1,2$, is an arithmetically Gorenstein $\bar{G}$-threefold. For any integer $k \geq 1, X_{4 k}$ is a level $G T$-variety with group $\left(G_{i}\right)_{k}$.
(v) Take $G_{1}=\left\langle M_{4 ; 0,1,2,3}\right\rangle$ and $G_{2}=\left\langle M_{5 ; 0,1,2,3}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{K})$ cyclic groups of order 4 and 5 , respectively. The associated $G T$-threefolds $X_{4}$ and $X_{5}$ with group $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, respectively, are examples of non level $\bar{G}$-threefolds. Indeed, we have $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{4}\right)\right)=\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{5}\right)\right)=3$.

Proposition 5.1.5. Let $2 \leq n<d$ be integers and $G=\left\langle M_{d ; \alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}\right\rangle \subset$ $\mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order $d$ and $\alpha_{i} \neq \alpha_{j}$ for some $i, j \in\{0, \ldots, n\}$. If $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=n+1$, then there are at least three indexes two by two distinct.

Proof. By contradiction, we assume $\left(\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)=(0,!+!+, 0, a, \cdot, \cdot!, a)$ with $0<a<d$ such that $\operatorname{GCD}(a, d)=1$. Therefore, for any monomial $m \in R^{G}$ of degree $d$ it holds that $\operatorname{supp}(m) \in\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{l}\right\}$ or $\operatorname{supp}(m) \in\left\{x_{l+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$. Hence $\emptyset \neq \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}$. By Theorem 3.3.5 we obtain $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=n$ and we arrive to a contradiction.

In particular, for any integers $2 \leq n<d$, the cyclic groups

$$
\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1, \ldots, 1}\right\rangle,\left\langle M_{d ; 0,0,1, \ldots, 1}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle M_{0,0, \ldots, 0,1}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})
$$

give rise to non level $\bar{G}$-varieties.
Any element of a diagonal abelian group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ of order $d$ is of the form $\operatorname{diag}\left(e^{\lambda_{0}}, \ldots, e^{\lambda_{n}}\right)$, where $e$ is a $d^{\prime}$ th primitive root of $1 \in \mathbb{K}$ for some integer $d^{\prime}$ dividing $d$. Keeping this notation, we introduce the following definition and, after, we present $R L$-varieties.

Definition 5.1.6. Let $2 \leq n<d$ be integers and $G \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ an abelian group of order $d$. We say that $G$ is enough general if it contains at least one diagonal matrix $\operatorname{diag}\left(e^{\lambda_{0}}, \ldots, e^{\lambda_{n}}\right)$ such that there are at least three exponents $\lambda_{i}, \lambda_{j}, \lambda_{k}$ two by two distinct.
Definition 5.1.7. Let $X_{d}$ be a level $\bar{G}$-variety with an enough general group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. We call an $R L$-variety associated to $X_{d}$ to any monomial projection of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ parameterized by $\mathcal{M}_{n, d} \backslash \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}$.

Let us see some examples.
Example 5.1.8. (i) Take $G=\left\langle M_{5 ; 0,1,2}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 5. A minimal set of fundamental invariants of $\bar{G}$ is

$$
\mathcal{B}_{1}=\left\{x_{0}^{5}, x_{1}^{5}, x_{0} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1} x_{2}^{2}, x_{2}^{5}\right\}
$$

The ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)=\left(x_{0} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1} x_{2}^{2}\right)$. The $G T-$ surface $X_{5}$ with group $G$ has $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{5}\right)\right)=3$. So, $X_{5}$ is a level $G T$-surface with an enough general group $G$. The $R L$-surface $\mathcal{X}_{5} \subset \mathbb{P}^{18}$ associated to $X_{5}$ is the double monomial projection of the Veronese surface $X_{2,5} \subset \mathbb{P}^{20}$ parameterized by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{2,5} \backslash \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{A}\right)\right)_{1}= & \left\{x_{2}^{5}, x_{1} x_{2}^{4}, x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{3}, x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{2}, x_{1}^{4} x_{2}, x_{1}^{5}, x_{0} x_{2}^{4}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2},\right. \\
& x_{0} x_{1}^{4}, x_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{3}, x_{0}^{3} x_{2}^{2}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{2}, x_{0}^{4} x_{2}, \\
& \left.x_{0}^{4} x_{1}, x_{0}^{5}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Take $G=\left\langle M_{3: 0,1,1}, M_{3 ; 0,1,2}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 9 . A minimal set of fundamental invariants of $\bar{G}$ is

$$
\mathcal{B}_{1}=\left\{x_{2}^{9}, x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{6}, x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{3}, x_{1}^{9}, x_{0}^{3} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{6}, x_{0}^{6} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1}^{3}, x_{0}^{9}\right\} .
$$

The ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)=\left(x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{3}\right)$ and the $G T$-surface $X_{9}$ with group $G$ is an arithmetically Gorenstein surface with $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{9}\right)\right)=3$. So $X_{9}$ is a level $G T$-surface with an enough general group $G$. The $R L$-surface $\mathcal{X}_{9} \subset \mathbb{P}^{53}$ associated to $X_{9}$ is the simple monomial projection of the Veronese surface $X_{2,9} \subset \mathbb{P}^{54}$ parameterized by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{2,9} \backslash \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{A}\right)\right)_{1}= & \left\{x_{2}^{9}, x_{1} x_{2}^{8}, x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{7}, x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{6}, x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{5}, x_{1}^{5} x_{2}^{4}, x_{1}^{6} x_{2}^{3}, x_{1}^{7} x_{2}^{2}, x_{1}^{8} x_{2},\right. \\
& x_{1}^{9}, x_{0} x_{2}^{8}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}^{7}, x_{0} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{5}, x_{0} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{4}, x_{0} x_{1}^{5} x_{2}^{3}, \\
& x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}^{2}, x_{0} x_{1}^{7} x_{2}, x_{0} x_{1}^{8}, x_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{7}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{5}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{4}, \\
& x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{5} x_{2}^{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{6} x_{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{7}, x_{0}^{3} x_{2}^{6}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1} x_{2}^{5}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{4}, \\
& x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}^{2},,_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{5} x_{2}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{6}, x_{0}^{4} x_{2}^{5}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1} x_{2}^{4}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{2}, \\
& x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{4} x_{2}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1}^{5},,_{0}^{5} x_{2}^{4}, x_{0}^{5} x_{1} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0}^{5} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{0}^{5} x_{1}^{3} x_{2}, x_{0}^{5} x_{1}^{4}, \\
& \left.x_{0}^{6} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0}^{6} x_{1} x_{2}^{2}, x_{0}^{9}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) Take $G=\left\langle M_{4 ; 0,1,1,2}\right\rangle \subset G L(4, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 4. A minimal set of fundamental invariants of $\bar{G}$ is

$$
\mathcal{B}_{1}=\left\{x_{0}^{4}, x_{2}^{4}, x_{1} x_{2}^{3}, x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{1}^{3} x_{2}, x_{1}^{4}, x_{3} x_{0} x_{2}^{2}, x_{3} x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{3} x_{0} x_{1}^{2}, x_{3}^{2} x_{0}^{2}, x_{3}^{4}\right\} .
$$

The ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)=\left(x_{0} x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}\right)$ and the $G T$-threefold $X_{4}$ with group $G$ is an arithmetically Gorenstein threefold with $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{4}\right)\right)=4$. So $X_{4}$ is a level $G T$-threefold with an enough general group $G$. The $R L$-threefold $\mathcal{X}_{4} \subset \mathbb{P}^{33}$ associated to $X_{4}$ is the simple monomial projection of the Veronese threefold $X_{3,4} \subset \mathbb{P}^{34}$ parameterized by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{3,4} \backslash \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}= & \left\{x_{3}^{4}, x_{3}^{3} x_{2}, x_{3}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{3} x_{2}^{3}, x_{2}^{4}, x_{3}^{3} x_{1}, x_{3}^{2} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{3} x_{1} x_{2}^{2}, x_{1} x_{2}^{3},\right. \\
& x_{3}^{2} x_{1}^{2}, x_{3} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}, x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{3} x_{1}^{3}, x_{1}^{3} x_{2}, x_{1}^{4}, x_{3}^{3} x_{0}, x_{3}^{2} x_{0} x_{2}, \\
& x_{3} x_{0} x_{2}^{2}, x_{0} x_{2}^{3}, x_{3}^{2} x_{0} x_{1}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}^{2}, x_{3} x_{0} x_{1}^{2}, x_{0} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}, x_{0} x_{1}^{3} \\
& x_{3}^{2} x_{0}^{2}, x_{3} x_{0}^{2} x_{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{3} x_{0}^{2} x_{1}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2}, x_{3} x_{0}^{3}, x_{0}^{3} x_{2}, \\
& \left.x_{0}^{3} x_{1}, x_{0}^{4}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From now onwards, we fix a level $\bar{G}$-variety $X_{d}$ with an enough general abelian group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ of order $d$. We set

$$
\eta_{d}=\left|\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}\right| \text { and } N_{d}:=N_{n, d}-\eta_{d}-1 .
$$

The associated $R L$-variety $\mathcal{X}_{d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}$ of dimension $n \geq 2$ is the image of the morphism

$$
f_{d}: \mathbb{P}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}
$$

defined by $J_{d}:=\left\langle\mathcal{M}_{n, d} \backslash \mathrm{I}(\operatorname{relint}(H(\mathcal{A})))_{1}\right\rangle$.
Remark 5.1.9. $J_{d}$ contains all monomials $x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \in R$ of degree $d$ such that $a_{0} \cdots a_{n}=0$ and all monomials of $R$ which are not invariants of $\bar{G}$, i.e. $\mathcal{M}_{n, d} \backslash \mathcal{B}_{1} \subset J_{d}$. In particular, $J_{d}$ is a monomial artinian ideal.

We ask how does the ideal $J_{d}$ behave with respect to the WLP (Definition 1.4.1). For instance, $J_{d} \subset R$ is not a Togliatti system (Definition 1.4.7), since it is generated by $N_{d} \geq N_{n-1, d}+1$ forms of degree $d$ :

$$
\left\{x_{i}^{d-1} x_{j} \mid 0 \leq i, j \leq n\right\} \cup\left\{x_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \mid a_{1}+\cdots+a_{n}=d\right\} \subset J_{d} .
$$

Actually, we have:
Proposition 5.1.10. $J_{d}$ has the WLP.
Proof. We first prove that $\left(R / J_{d}\right)_{d+1}=0$. It suffices to see that if $x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \in$ $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}$, then $x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{j}^{a_{j}+1} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \in J_{d}$ for any $0 \leq j \leq n$. Since $G$ is enough general, it contains a diagonal matrix $M=\operatorname{diag}\left(e^{\lambda_{0}}, \ldots, e^{\lambda_{n}}\right)$ with at least three $\lambda_{a}, \lambda_{b}, \lambda_{c}$ different pairwise. We may assume that $M$ generates a cyclic group of order $d^{\prime} \mid d$. For any $0 \leq j \leq n, \alpha_{j} \neq \alpha_{k}$ for some $k \in\{a, b, c\}$. Without loss of generality, we set $(a, b, c)=(0,1,2)$ and $j=1$, the remaining cases follow analogously. Let $m=x_{0}^{a_{0}} x_{1}^{a_{1}+1} x_{2}^{a_{2}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \in R_{d+1}$ such that $m / x_{1} \in \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}$. In particular, $0<a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}, m / x_{1} \in R_{1}^{\bar{G}}$ and $\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ verifies the linear congruence $\lambda_{0} a_{0}+\cdots+\lambda_{n} a_{n} \equiv 0 \bmod d^{\prime}$. Consider $m^{\prime}=m / x_{0}=x_{0}^{a_{0}-1} x_{1}^{a_{1}+1} x_{2}^{a_{2}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}}$. We have $\operatorname{deg}\left(m^{\prime}\right)=d$ and
$\lambda_{0}\left(a_{0}-1\right)+\lambda_{1}\left(a_{1}+1\right)+\lambda_{2} a_{2}+\cdots+\lambda_{n} a_{n}=\lambda_{0} a_{0}+\cdots+\lambda_{n} a_{n}+\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}$.
Since $\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}<d^{\prime}, 0 \neq \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}$ is not a multiple of $d^{\prime}$. Therefore, $m^{\prime} \notin R^{\bar{G}}$. Moreover, $m$ is divisible by $m^{\prime}$ and then by Remark 5.1.9(ii) we obtain that $m \in J_{d}$. Since all generators of $J_{d}$ has degree $d$ and $\left(R / J_{d}\right)_{d+1}=0$, to prove that $J_{d}$ has the WLP it is enough to show that the multiplication map

$$
\times\left(x_{0}\right): R_{d-1} \longrightarrow\left(R / J_{d}\right)_{d}=\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}
$$

is surjective. Let $x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \in \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}$. Hence $x_{0}^{a_{0}-1} x_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \in R_{d-1}$ and $\times\left(x_{0}\right)\left(x_{0}^{a_{0}-1} x_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}}\right)=x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}}$.

The $R L$-variety $\mathcal{X}_{d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}$ of dimension $n \geq 2$ is a non aCM monomial projection of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ (Proposition 2.1.7). The coordinate ring of $\mathcal{X}_{d}$ is isomorphic to the non CM semigroup ring $\mathbb{K}\left[\mathcal{M}_{n, d} \backslash\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}\right] . \mathcal{M}_{n, d} \backslash \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}$ is a non normal semigroup (Theorem 1.2.14) and $\mathbb{K}\left[\mathcal{M}_{n, d} \backslash \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}\right]$ is not the ring of invariants of any finite group acting on $R$ (Theorem 1.3.10). Geometrically, we have the following.

Proposition 5.1.11. $\mathcal{X}_{d}$ is a smooth rational variety and $f_{d}$ is an embedding.

Proof. $\mathcal{X}_{d}$ is a toric variety parametrized by all monomials of degree $d$ in $\mathcal{M}_{n, d} \backslash \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}$. Since

$$
\left\{x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \in R_{d} \mid 0=a_{0} \cdots a_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{M}_{n, d} \backslash \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1},
$$

$\mathcal{X}_{d}$ satisfies the smoothness criterion for toric varieties [34, Chapter 5 - Corollary 3.2]. In particular, $\mathcal{M}_{n, d} \backslash \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}$ contains all monomials $x_{i}^{d-1} x_{j}$ for all $i, j \in\{0, \ldots, n\}$, which is a sufficient condition to $f_{d}$ be an embedding.

Example 5.1.12. Take $G=\left\langle M_{3 ; 0,1,2}\right\rangle \subset G L(3, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 3. A minimal set of fundamental invariants of $\bar{G}$ is $\mathcal{B}_{1}=\left\{x_{0}^{3}, x_{1}^{3}, x_{2}^{3}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}\right\}$. $X_{3} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ is a cubic surface and the associated $R L$-surface $\mathcal{X}_{3} \subset \mathbb{P}^{8}$ is the smooth rational simple monomial projection of the Veronese surface $X_{2,3} \subset$ $\mathbb{P}^{9}$ parameterized by $\mathcal{M}_{2,3} \backslash \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}=\left\{x_{0}^{3}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}, x_{0}^{2} x_{2}, x_{0} x_{1}^{2}, x_{0} x_{2}^{2}, x_{1}^{3}\right.$, $\left.x_{1}^{2} x_{2}, x_{1} x_{2}^{2}, x_{2}^{3}\right\}$. The multiplication map $\times\left(x_{0}\right):\left(R / J_{3}\right)_{i} \longrightarrow\left(R / J_{3}\right)_{i+1}$ is injective for $i=0,1$ and it is surjective for $i \geq 2$, i.e. $J_{3}$ has the WLP. The morphism $f_{3}: \mathbb{P}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{8}$ defined by $\mathcal{M}_{2,3} \backslash \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}$ is an embedding of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. We set $S=\mathbb{K}\left[w_{1}, \ldots, w_{9}\right]$. The ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(\mathcal{X}_{3}\right) \subset S$ has $\operatorname{codim}\left(\mathrm{I}\left(\mathcal{X}_{3}\right)\right)=6<$ $8=\operatorname{pdim}\left(\mathrm{I}\left(\mathcal{X}_{3}\right)\right)$, i.e. $\mathcal{X}_{3}$ is a non aCM surface. Indeed, a minimal graded free $S$-resolution of $S / \mathrm{I}\left(\mathcal{X}_{3}\right)$ looks like:

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \longrightarrow S(-10) \longrightarrow S(-9)^{9} \longrightarrow S(-8)^{37} \longrightarrow S(-7)^{83} \longrightarrow \\
\longrightarrow S(-6)^{100} \oplus S(-5)^{8} \longrightarrow S(-5)^{55} \oplus S(-4)^{36} \longrightarrow S(-4)^{10} \oplus S(-3)^{43} \longrightarrow \\
\longrightarrow S(-2)^{17} \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow S / \mathrm{I}\left(\mathcal{X}_{3}\right) \longrightarrow 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

### 5.2 Normal bundle of RL-varieties

Keeping the notation of Section 5.1, we consider an $R L$-variety $\mathcal{X}_{d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}$ of dimension $n \geq 2$ associated to a $\bar{G}$-variety $X_{d}$ with an enough general group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. Denote by $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}}$ the ideal sheaf of $\mathcal{X}_{d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}$. Since any $R L$-variety is smooth (Proposition 5.1.11), $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}} / \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}^{2}$ is a locally free sheaf of rank $\operatorname{codim}\left(\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}\right)=N_{d}-n$ [43, Theorem 8.17] and the normal bundle of $\mathcal{X}_{d}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}$ is defined as the locally free sheaf on $\mathcal{X}_{d}$ of rank $N_{d}-n$ :

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}:=\mathcal{H o m}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}}\left(\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}} / \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}^{2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}\right) .
$$

We have the following exact sequence of locally free sheaves on $\mathcal{X}_{d}$ :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}$ is the tangent bundle of $\mathcal{X}_{d}$ (see [43, iI §8]). Since $f_{d}$ is an embedding, taking the inverse image $f_{d}^{*}$ we obtain the exact sequence of locally free sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}} \longrightarrow f_{d}^{*}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}}\right) \longrightarrow f_{d}^{*}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}\right) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where the first map is given by the differential map $d f_{d}$ of $f_{d}$. The embedding $f_{d}$ identifies the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}$ of $\mathcal{X}_{d}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}$ with the inverse image $f_{d}^{*}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}\right)$ of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}$ by $f_{d}$ (see, for instance, [4] and [73]).
Proposition 5.2.1. Let $\mathcal{X}_{d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}$ be an $R L$-variety of dimension $n \geq 2$. There is an exact sequence of locally free sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}^{n+1}(1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}^{N_{d}+1}(d) \longrightarrow f_{d}^{*}\left(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{5.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Consider the Euler sequence for $\mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}$ :

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}}^{n+1}(1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}} \longrightarrow 0 .
$$

Taking the inverse image $f_{d}^{*}$, we obtain an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}} \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{array}{c}
m_{1} \\
\vdots \\
m_{N_{d}+1}
\end{array}\right)} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}^{N_{d}+1}(d) \longrightarrow f_{d}^{*}\left(\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

Therefore, we have the following commutative diagram of exact rows and columns:

where the first column is the Euler sequence of $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ and $\delta$ is given by the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\partial_{x_{0}} m_{1} & \cdots & \partial_{x_{n}} m_{1} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\partial_{x_{0}} m_{N_{d}+1} & \cdots & \partial_{x_{n}} m_{N_{d}+1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Example 5.2.2. Take $G=\left\langle M_{3 ; 0,1,2}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 3. As we have seen in Example 5.1.12, the $R L$-surface $\mathcal{X}_{3} \subset \mathbb{P}^{8}$ associated to the $G T$-surface $X_{3}$ with group $G$ is the smooth rational simple monomial projection of the Veronese surface $X_{2,3} \subset \mathbb{P}^{9}$ parameterized by $\mathcal{M}_{2,3} \backslash \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}=\left\{x_{0}^{3}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}, x_{0}^{2} x_{2}, x_{0} x_{1}^{2}, x_{0} x_{2}^{2}, x_{1}^{3}, x_{1}^{2} x_{2}, x_{1} x_{2}^{2}, x_{2}^{3}\right\} . f_{3}^{*} \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{3}}$
is the locally free sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ of rank 6 presented as the cokernel of the differential map $d f_{3}: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}^{3}(1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}^{9}(3)$ given by the matrix:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
3 x_{0}^{2} & 0 & 0 \\
2 x_{0} x_{1} & x_{0}^{2} & 0 \\
2 x_{0} x_{2} & 0 & x_{0}^{2} \\
x_{1}^{2} & 2 x_{0} x_{1} & 0 \\
x_{2}^{2} & 0 & 2 x_{0} x_{2} \\
0 & 3 x_{1}^{2} & 0 \\
0 & 2 x_{1} x_{2} & x_{1}^{2} \\
0 & x_{2}^{2} & 2 x_{1} x_{2} \\
0 & 0 & 3 x_{2}^{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The rest of this chapter is devoted to compute the cohomology of the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}$ of any $R L$-variety $\mathcal{X}_{d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}$. After twisting (5.2.1) by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(-k)$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the long exact sequence of cohomology for (5.2.1) appears as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{i}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}^{N_{d}+1}(d-k)\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{i}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{i+1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}^{n+1}(1-k)\right) \longrightarrow \tag{5.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we establish next, the $\mathbb{K}$-vector spaces $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right)$ can be determined directly in mostly cases from $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}^{N_{d}+1}(d-k)\right), \mathrm{H}^{i+1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}^{n+1}(1-\right.$ $k)$ ) and the Bott formulas (see [66]):

$$
\mathrm{h}^{i}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(k)\right)= \begin{cases}\binom{n+k}{n} & i=0 \text { and } k \geq 0  \tag{5.2.3}\\ \binom{-k-1}{n} & i=n \text { and } k \leq-n-1 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Proposition 5.2.3. Let $\mathcal{X}_{d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}$ be an $R L$-variety of dimension $n \geq 2$. We have:
(i) for all $0<i<n-1$ and for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}, \mathrm{H}^{i}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right)=0$.
(ii)

$$
\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right)= \begin{cases}\left(N_{d}+1\right)\binom{n+d-k}{n}-(n+1)\binom{n+1-k}{n} & k \leq 1 \\ \left(N_{d}+1\right)\binom{n+d-k}{n} & 1<k \leq d \\ 0 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

(iii)

$$
\mathrm{h}^{n-1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right)= \begin{cases}(n+1)\binom{k-2}{n} & n+2 \leq k<d+n+1 \\ 0 & k \leq n+1\end{cases}
$$

(iv) For all $k<d+n+1, \mathrm{H}^{n}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right)=0$.

Proof. (i) From (5.2.3) and the additivity of the cohomology, it follows that $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right)=0$ for all $0<i<n-1$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(ii) From (i) we obtain for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ :
$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} n}^{n+1}(1-k)\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}^{N_{d}+1}(d-k)\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d} \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right) \longrightarrow 0$.
Using (5.2.3) and the above sequence, we get the second assertion.
(iii) and (iv) From (i) and (ii) we have for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{n-1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}^{n+1}(1-k)\right) \longrightarrow \\
& \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}^{N_{d}+1}(d-k)\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{n}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right) \longrightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying (5.2.3), we conclude that for any $k<d+n+1, \mathrm{H}^{n}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right)=$ 0 and $\mathrm{H}^{n-1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right) \cong \mathrm{H}^{n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P} n}^{n+1}(1-k)\right)$.

Thus far, we have computed the cohomology of the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}$ of an $R L$-variety $\mathcal{X}_{d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}$ with the exception of $\mathrm{H}^{n-1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}^{n}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right)$ with $k \geq d+n+1$. Since for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have the exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{n-1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}^{n+1}(1-k)\right) \longrightarrow \\
& \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}^{N_{d}+1}(d-k)\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{n}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right) \longrightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

(see (5.2.2)), to obtain $\mathrm{H}^{n}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right), k \geq n+d+1$, it suffices to determine $\mathrm{H}^{n-1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right)$. In order to do it, we need to introduce some notation and a technical lemma. Let $0 \leq i \neq j \leq n, l \geq 1$ and $t \geq 1$ be integers. Given a monomial $m=x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \in R_{l}$, we denote by $\partial_{m}$ the composition of the linear operators $\partial_{x_{0}} \stackrel{a_{0}}{\circ} \partial_{x_{0}} \cdots \partial_{x_{n}} \stackrel{a_{n}}{ } \partial_{x_{n}}$.

Lemma 5.2.4. Let $0 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ and $k \geq d+n+1$ be integers and let $m \in R_{k-d-n-1}$ and $q, q^{\prime} \in R_{k-n-1}$ be monomials such that $m$ divides both $q$ and $q^{\prime}$. Then $x_{i} \partial_{m} q$ and $x_{j} \partial_{m} q^{\prime}$ are linearly independent if and only if $x_{i} \partial_{m^{\prime}} q$ and $x_{j} \partial_{m^{\prime}} q^{\prime}$ are linearly independent for any monomial $m^{\prime} \in R_{k-d-n-1} \backslash\{m\}$ dividing $q$ and $q^{\prime}$.

Proof. We write $m^{\prime}=x_{0}^{b_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{b_{n}}, m=x_{0}^{c_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{c_{n}}, q=x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}}, q^{\prime}=$ $x_{0}^{a_{0}^{\prime}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}^{\prime}}$. Assume that $x_{i} \partial_{m} q$ and $x_{j} \partial_{m} q^{\prime}$ are linearly independent and there is a monomial $m^{\prime} \in R_{k-d-n-1} \backslash\{m\}$ dividing $q$ and $q^{\prime}$ and such that $x_{i} \partial_{m^{\prime}} q$ and $x_{j} \partial_{m^{\prime}} q^{\prime}$ are linearly dependent. Therefore, we have the equality $x_{0}^{a_{0}-b_{0}} \cdots x_{i}^{a_{i}-b_{i}+1} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}-b_{n}}=x_{0}^{a_{0}^{\prime}-b_{0}} \cdots x_{j}^{a_{j}^{\prime}-b_{j}+1} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}^{\prime}-b_{n}}$. So $a_{l}=a_{l}^{\prime}, 0 \leq$ $l \neq i, j \leq n, a_{i}=a_{i}^{\prime}-1$ and $a_{j}=a_{j}^{\prime}+1$. We obtain a contradiction:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{i} \partial_{m} q & =A x_{0}^{a_{0}-c_{0}} \cdots x_{j}^{a_{j}-c_{j}} \cdots x_{i}^{a_{i}-c_{i}+1} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}-c_{n}} \\
x_{j} \partial_{m} q^{\prime} & =B x_{0}^{a_{0}-c_{0}} \cdots x_{j}^{a_{j}-1-c_{j}+1} \cdots x_{i}^{a_{i}+1-c_{i}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}-c_{n}}, A, B \in \mathbb{K}^{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

An $R L$-variety $\mathcal{X}_{d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}$ is a smooth rational variety embedded in $\mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}$. In [4], the authors introduced a new method to compute the cohomology of the normal bundle of varieties of this kind. With the notation of [4], the embedding $f_{d}: \mathbb{P}(U) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}$ with $U=R_{1}^{\vee}$. The $R L$-variety $\mathcal{X}_{d}=$ $f_{d}(\mathbb{P}(U))$ is the projection in $\mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}$ of the Veronese variety $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ from the projective space $\mathbb{P}(T)$ of dimension $N_{n, d}-N_{d}$, where $T^{\vee}$ is identified with $\left\langle\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)_{1}\right\rangle\right.$. Let $0 \leq i \neq j \leq n, l \geq 1$ and $t \geq 1$ be integers. We denote $D_{i, j}: S^{l} U \otimes S^{t} U \longrightarrow S^{l-1} U \otimes S^{t-1} U$ the linear map $\partial_{x_{i}} \otimes \partial_{x_{j}}-\partial_{x_{j}} \otimes \partial_{x_{i}}$.

Proposition 5.2.5. Let $\mathcal{X}_{d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}$ be an $R L$-variety of dimension $n \geq 2$ associated to a level $G T$-variety $X_{d}$ with an enough general group $G \subset$ $\mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. Then,

$$
\mathrm{h}^{n-1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right)= \begin{cases}\eta_{d}+\frac{n(d-1)}{d}\left({ }_{n}^{n+d-1}\right) & k=d+n+1 \\ (n+1) \eta_{d} & k=d+n+2 \\ 0 & k \geq d+n+3\end{cases}
$$

where $\eta_{d}=\left|\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}\right|$.

Proof. By [4, Theorem 2], we have that $\mathrm{h}^{n-1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-d-n-1)\right)=$ $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mu^{-1}(T)\right)$, where $\mu: U \otimes S^{d-1} U \longrightarrow S^{d} U$ is the multiplication map, and for all $k \geq d+n+2$ :

$$
\mathrm{H}^{n-1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right)=\left(S^{k-d-n-1} U \otimes T\right) \bigcap\left(\bigcap_{0 \leq i, j, r, s \leq n}\left(k \operatorname{er}\left(D_{i, j} \circ D_{r, s}\right)\right) .\right.
$$

In particular, for $k=d+n+1, d+n+2$ we can conclude that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{h}^{n-1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-d-n-1)\right)=\eta_{d}+\frac{n(d-1)}{d}\binom{n+d-1}{n} \\
\mathrm{H}^{n-1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-d-n-2)\right)=U \otimes T .
\end{gathered}
$$

Moreover, for $k \geq d+n+3$ we have the following description:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}^{n-1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right) \cong\left\{x_{0} \otimes q_{0}+\cdots+x_{n} \otimes q_{n} \in R_{1} \otimes R_{k-n-2} \mid\right. \\
& \left.x_{0} \partial_{m}\left(q_{0}\right)+\cdots+x_{n} \partial_{m}\left(q_{n}\right) \in \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right) \text { for all monomial } m \in R_{k-d-n-1}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We want to prove that $\mathrm{H}^{n-1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right)=0$ for all $k \geq d+n+3$. Assume that there exist $q_{0}, \ldots, q_{n} \in R_{k-n-2}$ and a monomial $m \in R_{k-d-n-1}$ such that $0 \neq u_{m}:=x_{0} \partial_{m}\left(q_{0}\right)+\cdots+x_{n} \partial_{m}\left(q_{n}\right) \in \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$. Therefore, any monomial appearing in $u_{m}$ belongs to $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$ and, hence, it is an invariant of $\bar{G}$. Let $q \in R_{k-n-2}$ be a monomial such that $0 \neq x_{i} \partial_{m} q$ is a monomial which occurs in $u_{m}$. Given that $G$ is enough general (Definition 5.1.6), it contains a diagonal matrix of the form $M=\operatorname{diag}\left(e^{\lambda_{0}}, \ldots, e^{\lambda_{n}}\right)$ with at least three $\lambda_{a}, \lambda_{b}, \lambda_{c}$ different pairwise. Assuming that $\langle M\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+$ $1, \mathbb{K})$ is a cyclic group of order $0<d^{\prime} \mid d$, the associated point of $x_{0}^{f_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{f_{n}} \in$ $R^{\bar{G}}$ satisfies the linear congruence equation $\lambda_{0} y_{0}+\cdots+\lambda_{n} y_{n} \equiv 0 \bmod d^{\prime}$. Now, from the description of $\mathrm{H}^{n-1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right)$ and Lemma 5.2.4, we have that if $x_{0} \otimes q_{0}+\cdots+x_{n} \otimes q_{n} \in \mathrm{H}^{n-1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right)$, then for any monomial $m^{\prime} \in R_{k-d-n-1}, \quad x_{i} \partial_{m^{\prime}} q \in \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right) \subset R_{1}^{\bar{G}}$. We will show that there always exists a monomial $m^{\prime} \in R_{k-d-n-1}$ dividing $q$ such that $x_{i} \partial_{m^{\prime}} q$ is not an invariant of $\langle M\rangle$. Thus, it concludes $\mathrm{H}^{n-1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right)=0$ for all $k \geq d+n+3$. Furthermore, for the arguments we develop we can assume, without loss of generality, that $G=\left\langle M_{d ; \alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ is an enough general cyclic group of order $d$ with $\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}, \alpha_{l}$ different pair-wise.

Consider monomials $q=x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}}$ and $m=x_{0}^{b_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{b_{n}}$ such that $m$ divides $q$ and $x_{i} \partial_{m} q \in \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$. In particular, we have that $b_{j}<a_{j}$ for all $0 \leq j \neq i \leq n$ and $b_{i} \leq a_{i}-1$. By assumption,

$$
x_{i} \partial_{m} q:=x_{0}^{c_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{c_{n}}=x_{0}^{a_{0}-b_{0}} \cdots x_{i}^{a_{i}-b_{i}+1} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}-b_{n}} \in R_{1}^{\bar{G}} .
$$

We distinguish two cases.
Case 1: $0<b_{i}$. If $\alpha_{i}=0$ or $\alpha_{i}>0$ and $2 \alpha_{i}-\alpha_{j} \not \equiv 0 \bmod d$, we define $m^{\prime}=x_{0}^{b_{0}} \cdots x_{l}^{b_{j}+1} \cdots x_{i}^{b_{i}-1} \cdots x_{n}^{b_{n}}$. Then $x_{i} \partial_{m^{\prime}} q=x_{0}^{c_{0}} \cdots x_{j}^{c_{j}-1} \cdots x_{i}^{c_{i}+1} \cdots x_{n}^{c_{n}}$. Otherwise $2 \alpha_{i}-\alpha_{l} \not \equiv 0 \bmod d$ and we define $m^{\prime}=x_{0}^{b_{0}} \cdots x_{l}^{b_{l}+1} \cdots x_{i}^{b_{i}-1} \cdots x_{n}^{b_{n}}$. Then $x_{i} \partial_{m^{\prime}} q=x_{0}^{c_{0}} \cdots x_{l}^{c_{l}-1} \cdots x_{i}^{c_{i}+1} \cdots x_{n}^{c_{n}}$. The point associated to $x_{i} \partial m^{\prime} q$ does not verify the equation $\alpha_{0} y_{0}+\cdots+\alpha_{n} y_{n} \equiv 0 \bmod d$.
Case 2: $b_{i}=0$. We take $0<b_{h}$, and we can assume that $\alpha_{h}, \alpha_{j}$ are different pair-wise. We define $m^{\prime}=x_{0}^{b_{0}} \cdots x_{j}^{b_{j}+1} \cdots x_{h}^{b_{h}-1} \cdots x_{n}^{b_{n}}$. Then $x_{i} \partial_{m^{\prime}} q=$ $x_{0}^{c_{0}} \cdots x_{j}^{c_{j}-1} \cdots x_{i}^{c_{i}} \cdots x_{h}^{c_{h}+1} \cdots x_{n}^{c_{n}}$. The point associated $x_{i} \partial m^{\prime} q$ does not verify the linear congruence equation $\alpha_{0} y_{0}+\cdots+\alpha_{n} y_{n} \equiv 0 \bmod d$.

The main result of this section is the following.
Theorem 5.2.6. Let $X_{d}$ be a level $\bar{G}$-variety with an enough general group $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ of order $d$. Set $\eta_{d}:=\left|\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}\right|$ and $N_{d}:=N_{n, d}-\eta_{d}-$ 1. The cohomology of the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}$ of the $R L$-variety $\mathcal{X}_{d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}$ of dimension $n \geq 2$ associated to $X_{d}$ is given by
(i) for $0<i<n-1$ and for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \mathrm{~h}^{i}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right)=0$. (ii)

$$
\mathrm{h}^{0}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right)= \begin{cases}\left(N_{d}+1\right)\binom{n+d-k}{n}-(n+1)\binom{n+1-k}{n} & k \leq 1 \\ \left(N_{d}+1\right)\binom{n+d-k}{n} & 1<k \leq d \\ 0 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

(iii)
$\mathrm{h}^{n-1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right)= \begin{cases}(n+1)\binom{k-2}{n} & n+2 \leq k<d+n+1 \\ \eta_{d}+\frac{n(d-1)}{d}\binom{n+d-1}{n} & k=d+n+1 \\ (n+1) \eta_{d} & k=d+n+2 \\ 0 & k \leq n+1 \text { or } k \geq d+n+3 .\end{cases}$
(iv)

$$
\mathrm{h}^{n}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right)= \begin{cases}\left(N_{d}+1\right)\binom{k-d-1}{n}-(n+1)\binom{k-2}{n} & k \geq d+n+3 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. (i), (ii) and (iii) are Propositions 5.2.3 and 5.2.5.
(v) For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have the exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{n-1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}^{n+1}(1-k)\right) \longrightarrow \\
& \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{n}\left(\mathbb{P}^{n}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}+1}^{N_{d}+1}(d-k)\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{n}\left(\mathcal{X}_{d}, \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}(-k)\right) \longrightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Form this and the formulas (5.2.3) the result follows.
We end this chapter with a couple of examples illustrating Theorem 5.2.6.
Example 5.2.7. (i) Take $G=\left\langle M_{5 ; 0,1,2}\right\rangle \subset G L(3, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 5 .
$\mathcal{M}_{2,5} \backslash \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{A}\right)\right)_{1}=\left\{x_{2}^{5}, x_{1} x_{2}^{4}, x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{3}, x_{1}^{3} x_{2}^{2}, x_{1}^{4} x_{2}, x_{1}^{5}, x_{0} x_{2}^{4}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}\right.$, $\left.x_{0} x_{1}^{4}, x_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{3}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{3}, x_{0}^{3} x_{2}^{2}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{0}^{3} x_{1}^{2}, x_{0}^{4} x_{2}, x_{0}^{4} x_{1}, x_{0}^{5}\right\} . \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{5}}$ is the cokernel of the differential map $d f_{5}: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}^{3}(1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(5)^{19}$ given by the matrix:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 5 x_{2}^{4} \\
0 & x_{2}^{4} & 4 x_{1} x_{2}^{3} \\
0 & 2 x_{1} x_{2}^{3} & 3 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2} \\
0 & 3 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2} & 2 x_{1}^{3} x_{2} \\
0 & 4 x_{1}^{3} x_{2} & x_{1}^{4} \\
0 & 5 x_{1}^{4} & 0 \\
x_{2}^{4} & 0 & 4 x_{0} x_{2}^{3} \\
x_{1} x_{2}^{3} & x_{0} x_{2}^{3} & 3 x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}^{2} \\
x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2} & 2 x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}^{2} & 2 x_{0} x_{1}^{2} x_{2} \\
x_{1}^{4} & 4 x_{0} x_{1}^{3} & 0 \\
2 x_{0} x_{2}^{3} & 0 & 3 x_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{2} \\
2 x_{0} x_{1}^{2} x_{2} & 2 x_{0}^{2} x_{1} x_{2} & x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2} \\
2 x_{0} x_{1}^{3} & 3 x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2} & 0 \\
3 x_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{2} & 0 & 2 x_{0}^{3} x_{2} \\
3 x_{0}^{2} x_{2} x_{2} & x_{0}^{3} x_{2} & x_{0}^{3} x_{1} \\
3 x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2} & 2 x_{0}^{3} x_{1} & 0 \\
4 x_{0}^{3} x_{2} & 0 & x_{0}^{4} \\
4 x_{0}^{3} x_{1} & x_{0}^{4} & 0 \\
5 x_{0}^{4} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

(Proposition 5.2.1). The cohomology table from degree -10 to 0 of $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{5}}$ is

|  | -10 | -9 | -8 | -7 | -6 | -5 | -4 | -3 | -2 | -1 | 0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $2:$ | 150 | 82 | 30 | . | . | . | . | . | . | . | . |
| $1:$ | . | . | 6 | 26 | 30 | 18 | 9 | 3 | . | . | . |
| $0:$ | . | . | . | . | . | 19 | 57 | 114 | 190 | 282 | 390 |

(ii) Take $G=\left\langle M_{4 ; 0,1,1,2}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{K})$ a cyclic group of order 4 . We have $\mathcal{M}_{3,4} \backslash \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}=\left\{x_{3}^{4}, x_{3}^{3} x_{2}, x_{3}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{3} x_{2}^{3}, x_{2}^{4}, x_{3}^{3} x_{1}, x_{3}^{2} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{3} x_{1} x_{2}^{2}, x_{1} x_{2}^{3}\right.$, $x_{3}^{2} x_{1}^{2}, x_{3} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}, x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{3} x_{1}^{3}, x_{1}^{3} x_{2}, x_{1}^{4}, x_{3}^{3} x_{0}, x_{3}^{2} x_{0} x_{2}, x_{3} x_{0} x_{2}^{2}, x_{0} x_{2}^{3}, x_{3}^{2} x_{0} x_{1}, x_{0} x_{1} x_{2}^{2}$, $x_{3} x_{0} x_{1}^{2}, x_{0} x_{1}^{2} x_{2}, x_{0} x_{1}^{3}, x_{3}^{2} x_{0}^{2}, x_{3} x_{0}^{2} x_{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{2}^{2}, x_{3} x_{0}^{2} x_{1}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1} x_{2}, x_{0}^{2} x_{1}^{2}, x_{3} x_{0}^{3}, x_{0}^{3} x_{2}$, $\left.x_{0}^{3} x_{1}, x_{0}^{4}\right\} . \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{4}}$ is the cokernel of the differential map $d f_{4}: \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}^{4}(1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}^{34}(4)$ given by the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 4 x_{3}^{3} \\
0 & 0 & x_{3}^{3} & 3 x_{2} x_{3}^{2} \\
0 & 0 & 2 x_{2} x_{3}^{2} & 2 x_{2}^{2} x_{3} \\
0 & 0 & 3 x_{2}^{2} x_{3} & x_{2}^{3} \\
0 & 0 & 4 x_{2}^{3} & 0 \\
0 & x_{3}^{3} & 0 & 3 x_{1} x_{3}^{2} \\
0 & x_{2} x_{3}^{2} & x_{1} x_{3}^{2} & 2 x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} \\
0 & x_{2}^{2} x_{3} & 2 x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} & x_{1} x_{2}^{2} \\
0 & x_{2}^{3} & 3 x_{1} x_{2}^{2} & 0 \\
0 & 2 x_{1} x_{3}^{2} & 0 & 2 x_{1}^{2} x_{3} \\
0 & 2 x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} & x_{1}^{2} x_{3} & x_{1}^{2} x_{2} \\
0 & 2 x_{1} x_{2}^{2} & 2 x_{1}^{2} x_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 3 x_{1}^{2} x_{3} & 0 & x_{1}^{3} \\
0 & 3 x_{1}^{2} x_{2} & x_{1}^{3} & 0 \\
0 & 4 x_{1}^{3} & 0 & 0 \\
x_{3}^{3} & 0 & 0 & 3 x_{0} x_{3}^{2} \\
x_{2} x_{3}^{2} & 0 & x_{0} x_{3}^{2} & 2 x_{0} x_{2} x_{3} \\
x_{2}^{2} x_{3} & 0 & 2 x_{0} x_{2} x_{3} & x_{0} x_{2}^{2} \\
x_{2}^{3} & 0 & 3 x_{0} x_{2}^{2} & 0 \\
x_{1} x_{3}^{2} & x_{0} x_{3}^{2} & 0 & 2 x_{0} x_{1} x_{3} \\
x_{1} x_{2}^{2} & x_{0} x_{2}^{2} & 2 x_{0} x_{1} x_{2} & 0 \\
x_{1}^{2} x_{3} & 2 x_{0} x_{1} x_{3} & 0 & x_{0} x_{1}^{2} \\
x_{1}^{2} x_{2} & 2 x_{0} x_{1} x_{2} & x_{0} x_{1}^{2} & 0 \\
x_{1}^{3} & 3 x_{0} x_{1}^{2} & 0 & 0 \\
2 x_{0} x_{3}^{2} & 0 & 0 & 2 x_{0}^{2} x_{3} \\
2 x_{0} x_{2} x_{3} & 0 & x_{0}^{2} x_{3} & x_{0}^{2} x_{2} \\
2 x_{0} x_{2}^{2} & 0 & 2 x_{0}^{2} x_{2} & 0 \\
2 x_{0} x_{1} x_{3} & x_{0}^{2} x_{3} & 0 & x_{0}^{2} x_{1} \\
2 x_{0} x_{1} x_{2} & x_{0}^{2} x_{2} & x_{0}^{2} x_{1} & 0 \\
2 x_{0} x_{1}^{2} & 2 x_{0}^{2} x_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
3 x_{0}^{2} x_{3} & 0 & 0 & x_{0}^{3} \\
3 x_{0}^{2} x_{2} & 0 & x_{0}^{3} & 0 \\
3 x_{0}^{2} x_{1} & x_{0}^{3} & 0 & 0 \\
4 x_{0}^{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
0
\end{array}\right)
$$

The cohomology table from degree -9 to 0 of the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{4}}$ is


## Appendix

## Routines in Wolfram Mathematica

This appendix contains two algorithms which compute a minimal set of monomial generators of a finite diagonal abelian group $G \subset G \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ and a minimal set of monomial generators of the canonical module of a $\bar{G}$-variety $X_{d}$ with group $G$, respectively. These routines are illustrated with functions written in Wolfram Mathematica's language in addition to particular examples in each case.

Let us fix the notation along this appendix. Let $2 \leq n<d$ be integers, $e$ a $d$ th primitive root of $1 \in \mathbb{K}$ and $G=\Gamma_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Gamma_{s} \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ an abelian group of order $d=d_{1} \cdots d_{s}$ where each $\Gamma_{i}$ is a cyclic group of order $d_{i}$ generated by a diagonal matrix

$$
M_{d_{i} ; \alpha_{\sigma_{i}(0)}^{i}, \ldots, \alpha_{\sigma_{i}(n)}^{i}}=\operatorname{diag}\left(e_{i}^{\alpha_{\sigma_{i}(0)}^{i}}, \ldots, e_{i}^{\alpha_{\sigma_{i}(n)}^{i}}\right), e_{i}=e^{d / d_{i}}, \sigma_{i} \in \mathcal{S}_{n+1}
$$

(Notation 2.2.1). The cyclic extension of $G$ is the abelian group $\bar{G} \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+$ $1, \mathbb{K}$ ) generated by $G$ and $M_{d ; 1, \ldots, 1}=\operatorname{diag}(e, \ldots, e)$ (Definition 1.3.2). We prove in Theorem 2.2.11 that a minimal set of fundamental invariants of $\bar{G}$ is the set $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ of monomial invariants of $G$ of degree $d$, i.e. $R^{\bar{G}}=\mathbb{K}\left[\mathcal{B}_{1}\right]$.

On the other hand, a monomial $x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \in R^{\bar{G}}$ if and only if $\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1}$-solution of one of the linear systems of congruences

$$
(*)_{\mathcal{A} ; t, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}}:\left\{\begin{array}{lllll}
y_{0} & +y_{1} & +\cdots+y_{n} & =t d \\
\alpha_{\sigma_{1}(0)}^{1} y_{0} & +\alpha_{\sigma_{1}(1)}^{1} y_{1} & +\cdots+\alpha_{\sigma_{1}(n)}^{1} y_{n} & = & r_{1} d_{1} \\
& & & \\
\alpha_{\sigma_{s}(0)}^{s} y_{0} & +\alpha_{\sigma_{s}(1)}^{s} y_{1}+\cdots & +\alpha_{\sigma_{s}(n)}^{s} y_{n} & =r_{s} d_{s}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for some integers $t>0$ and $0 \leq r_{i} \leq \frac{\alpha_{n}^{i} t d}{d_{i}}, i=1, \ldots, s$.

In view of these facts, the following algorithm computes the set $\mathcal{B}_{t}$ of monomial invariants of $G$ of degree $t d$.

```
Algorithm 1
    Input : integers \(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{s} \geq 1, t>0\) and
                        \(\alpha_{\sigma_{1}(0)}^{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\sigma_{1}(n)}^{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\sigma_{s}(0)}^{s}, \ldots, \alpha_{\sigma_{s}(n)}^{s}\)
    Output : the list \(\mathcal{B}_{t}\) of monomial invariants of \(G\) of degree \(t d\)
    Initialization: \(d:=d_{1} \cdots d_{s} ; M_{i}:=\max \left\{\alpha_{\sigma_{i}(0)}^{j}, j=0, \ldots, n\right\} \cdot \frac{t d}{d_{i}}\),
                    \(i=1, \ldots, s ; L=\{ \} ; \mathcal{B}_{t}=\{ \} ;\)
                        \(E q_{0}=\left\{\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1} \mid a_{0}+\cdots+a_{n}=t d\right\} ;\)
    for \(i=1, \ldots, s\) do
        for \(k=0, \ldots, M_{i}\) do
        \(E q_{i}=E q_{i} \cup\left\{\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in E q_{0} \mid a_{0} \alpha_{\sigma_{i}(0)}^{i}+\cdots+a_{n} \alpha_{\sigma_{i}(n)}^{i}=\right.\)
        \(\left.k d_{i}\right\} ;\)
        end
    end
    \(L=E q_{1} \cap \cdots \cap E q_{s} ;\)
    return \(\mathcal{B}_{t}=\left\{x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \mid\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in L\right\}\);
To exemplify Algorithm 1 in Wolfram Mathematica's language, we provide a function which computes \(\mathcal{B}_{t}\) for any cyclic group \(G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}\right\rangle \subset\) \(\mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})\) of order \(d \geq 3\) with \(\alpha_{1}<\alpha_{2}\). For convenience, we express the monomials of \(\mathcal{B}_{t}\) in the variables \(x, y, z\) and we write \(a=\alpha_{1}, b=\alpha_{2}\).
```

```
InvPoly[d_, t_, a_, b_] := Module[{k, j, M, S, Eq1, Eq2, Saux},
```

InvPoly[d_, t_, a_, b_] := Module[{k, j, M, S, Eq1, Eq2, Saux},
S = {}; Eq0 = {al + be + ga == t*d}; M = b*t;
S = {}; Eq0 = {al + be + ga == t*d}; M = b*t;
For[k = 0, k <= M, k++,
For[k = 0, k <= M, k++,
Eq1 = {a*be + b*ga == k*d};
Eq1 = {a*be + b*ga == k*d};
Saux = Solve[Eq0[[1]] \&\& Eq1[[1]] \&\& al >= 0 \&\& be >= 0
Saux = Solve[Eq0[[1]] \&\& Eq1[[1]] \&\& al >= 0 \&\& be >= 0
\&\& ga >= 0, {al, be, ga}, Integers];
\&\& ga >= 0, {al, be, ga}, Integers];
For[j = 1, j <= Length[Saux], j++,
For[j = 1, j <= Length[Saux], j++,
S = Append[S, Saux[[j]]];
S = Append[S, Saux[[j]]];
];
];
];
];
x^al*y^be*z^ga /. S
x^al*y^be*z^ga /. S
]
]
In[1]:= InvPoly[3, 1, 1, 2]

```
In[1]:= InvPoly[3, 1, 1, 2]
```

InvPoly[6, 1, 2, 3]
InvPoly[11, 1, 1, 6]
$\operatorname{Out}[1]=\left\{x^{\wedge} 3, y^{\wedge} 3, x y z, z^{\wedge} 3\right\}$
Out [2] $=\left\{x^{\wedge} 6, x^{\wedge} 3 y^{\wedge} 3, x^{\wedge} 4 z^{\wedge} 2, y^{\wedge} 6, x y^{\wedge} 3 z^{\wedge} 2, x^{\wedge} 2 z^{\wedge} 4, z^{\wedge} 6\right\}$ Out [3] $=\left\{x^{\wedge} 11, y^{\wedge} 11, x^{\wedge} 5 y^{\wedge} 5 z, x^{\wedge} 4 y^{\wedge} 4 z^{\wedge} 3, x^{\wedge} 3 y^{\wedge} 3 z^{\wedge} 5\right.$, $\left.x^{\wedge} 2 y^{\wedge} 2 z^{\wedge} 7, x y z^{\wedge} 9, z^{\wedge} 11\right\}$.

On the other hand, given a $\bar{G}$-variety $X_{d}$ with group $G \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$, the canonical module $\omega_{X_{d}}$ of its homogeneous coordinate ring $A\left(X_{d}\right) \cong R^{\bar{G}}$ is identified with the ideal

$$
\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)=\left(x_{0}^{a_{0}} \cdots x_{n}^{a_{n}} \in R^{\bar{G}} \mid a_{0} \cdots a_{n} \neq 0\right) \subset R^{\bar{G}}
$$

(Theorem 3.3.1). In Theorem 3.3.3, we have proved that $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$ is generated by the subsets $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}$ and $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{2}$ of monomials of $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$ of degree $d$ and $2 d$, respectively. Thus, a simple modification of Algorithm 1 provides a routine to compute such a set of generators. However, it could be non minimal as we have seen in Section 3.3. The following algorithm determines a minimal set of generators of the ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$.

```
Algorithm 2
    Input : integers \(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{s} \geq 1\) and
                        \(\alpha_{\sigma_{1}(0)}^{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\sigma_{1}(n)}^{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\sigma_{s}(0)}^{s}, \ldots, \alpha_{\sigma_{s}(n)}^{s}\)
    Output : a minimal set \(L\) of generators of \(\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)\)
    \(L_{1}=\{\) Call Algorithm 1 with \(t=1\} \cap \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)\);
    \(L_{2}=\{\) Call Algorithm 1 with \(t=2\} \cap \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right) ;\)
    \(L=L_{1}\);
    for \(i=1, \ldots\), length \(\left(L_{2}\right)\) do
        for \(k=0, \ldots\), length \(\left(L_{1}\right)\) do
        if \(L_{1}[k] \mid L_{2}[i]\) then
                        \(k=\operatorname{length}\left(L_{1}\right)+1 ;\)
                end
        end
        if \(k=\operatorname{length}\left(L_{1}\right)\) then
        \(L=L \cup\left\{L_{2}[i]\right\} ;\)
        end
    end
    return \(L\);
```

To illustrate Algorithm 2 in Wolfram Mathematica's language, we provide the following implementation. It is based on two functions. The first one IsDivisible takes arguments the coefficients of two monomials $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ and it determines whether $m_{2}$ is divisible by $m_{1}$. The second one SocleDegree takes arguments two lists $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ containing, respectively, the coefficients of the monomials of $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{1}$ and $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)_{2}$. Using IsDivisible, it returns the list $L$ of the coefficients of a minimal set of monomial generators of $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$.

```
IsDivisible[m1_, m2_] := Module[{n, ban, i},
    n = Length[m1];
    ban = 1;
    For[i = 1, i <= n && ban == 1, i++,
            If[m1[[i]] > m2[[i]], ban = 0];
    ];
    Return[ban];
]
```

SocleDegree[L1_, L2_] := Module[\{n1, n2, i, j, ban, L\},
n1 = Length[L1];
n2 $=$ Length[L2];
L = L1;
For $\mathrm{i}=1$, i <= n2 , i++,
ban = 0;
For $[j=1, j<=n 1$ \&\& ban == 0, j++,
ban = IsDivisible[L1[[j]], L2[[i]]];
];
If [ban == 0, L = Append[L, L2[[i]]]];
];
Return [L];
]

Let us see how it works for $G T$-surfaces $X_{d}$ with cyclic group $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}\right\rangle$ $\subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ of order $d \geq 3$. We end this appendix with the concrete examples of Algorithm 1, they verify that $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ is a level ring with CastelnuovoMumford regularity 3 (Corollary 3.1.22).

Remark A.0.1. The function InvPolySurfCanMod is a minor modification of the function InvPoly. It computes the monomials of $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$ of degree $t d$.

```
InvPolySurfCanMod[d_, t_, a_, b_] := Module[{k, i, M, S, Eq1,
    Eq2, Saux},
    S = {}; M = t*b; Eq1 = {al + be + ga == t*d};
    For[k = 0, k <= M, k++,
        Eq2 = {a*be + b*ga == k*d};
        Saux = Solve[Eq1[[1]] && Eq2[[1]] && al > 0 &&
            be > 0 && ga > 0, {al, be, ga}, Integers];
        For[i = 1, i <= Length[Saux], i++,
                    S = Append[S, Saux[[i]]];
        ];
    ];
        Return[S];
]
SurfCanMod[d_, a_, b_] := Module[{L},
        L = SocleDegree[InvPolySurfCanMod1[d, 1, a, b],
        InvPolySurfCanMod1[d, 2, a, b]];
    x^al*y^be*z^ga /. L
]
In[1]:= InvPolySurfCanMod[3, 1, 1, 2]
    InvPolySurfCanMod[3, 2, 1, 2]
    SurfCanMod[3, 1, 2]
Out[1]= {{1, 1, 1}}
Out[2]= {{4,1,1},{1,4,1},{2,2,2},{1,1,4}}
Out[3]= {x y z}
In[1]:= InvPolySurfCanMod[6, 1, 2, 3]
    InvPolySurfCanMod[6, 2, 2, 3]
    SurfCanMod[6, 2, 3]
Out[1]= {{1,3,2}}
Out[2]={{7,3,2},{4,6,2},{5,3,4},{1,9,2},{2,6,4},{3,3,6},
{1,3,8}}
Out[3]= {x y^3 z^2}
```

```
In[1]:= InvPolySurfCanMod1[11, 1, 1, 6]
    InvPolySurfCanMod1[11, 2, 1, 6]
    SurfCanMod[11, 1, 6]
Out[1]= {{5,5,1},{4,4,3},{3,3,5},{2,2,7},{1,1,9}}
Out[2]= {{16,5,1},{5,16,1},{10,10,2},{15,4,3},{4,15,3},{9,9,4},
{14,3,5},{3,14,5},{8,8,6},{13,2,7},{2,13,7},{7,7,8},{12,1,9},
{1,12,9},{6,6,10},{5,5,12},{4,4,14},{3,3,16},{2,2,18},{1,1,20}}
Out[3]= {x^5 y^5 z, x^4 y^4 z^3, x^3 y^3 z^5, x^2 y^2 z^7,
x y z^9}.
```


## Resum en llengua catalana

La present tesi contribueix a dos remarcables problemes oberts que s'emmarquen tant en l'àlgebra commutativa com en la geometria algebraica. El primer fa referència al problema, plantejat per Gröbner el 1967, de determinar quan una projecció monomial de la varietat de Veronese és una varietat aCM. El segon apunta al problema clàssic i fonamental de determinar un sistema minimal de generadors de l'anell d'invariants d'un group finit. El nostre enfoc fa un ús extensiu de la combinatòria, relacionant d'aquesta manera ambdues qüestions entre si. Així mateix, estableix una connexió entre elles i les propietats de Lefschetz dels ideals artinians.

El contingut d'aquesta dissertació s'ha organitzat en cinc capítols i un apèndix. El Capítol 1 és introductori i recopila els conceptes i resultats bàsics utilitzats en el cor d'aquest text: Capítols 2, 3, 4 i 5 . L'Apèndix A conté dos algoritmes i implementacions en el programari Wolfram Mathematica [91]; amb els quals hem computat i verificat la major part dels exemples que il-lustren els resultats obtinguts. A continuació expliquem els principals avenços i contribucions que es troben a la tesi. Al Capítol 2, adrecem el problema de Gröbner i estudiem els invariants d'un grup $G \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ abelià i finit actuant sobre $R$ diagonalment. Després de presentar l'evolució i principals avenços del problema de Gröbner, provem que el conjunt $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ d'invariants monomials de $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ de grau $d$ generen de forma minimal l'anell d'invariants $R^{\bar{G}}$ de l'extensió cíclica $\bar{G} \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ de $G$. Anomenen $\bar{G}$-varietat amb grup $G$ a la projecció monomial $X_{d}$ de la varietat de Veronese $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ parametritzada per $\mathcal{B}_{1}$. Aquest resultat ens permet establir una nova família de projeccions monomials aCM de $X_{n, d}$ : les $\bar{G}$-varietats $X_{d}$ amb group $G$. Demostrem que l'anell $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ de coordenades homogènies de $X_{d}$ és isomorf a l'anell $R^{\bar{G}}$ d'invariants de $\bar{G}$, que és un anell CM. L'ideal $I_{d} \subset R$ generat per $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ és un ideal artinià i monomial.

Demostrem que $I_{d}$ falla la WLP en grau $d-1$ si el cardinal $\mu_{d}$ de $\mathcal{B}_{1}$ verifica la condició $\mu_{d} \leq N_{n-1, d}$ i que, en aquest cas, $I_{d}$ és un $G T$-sistema amb grup $G$ i $X_{d}$ una $G T$-varietat amb grup $G$. Per últim, estudiem el problema de Gröbner sobre projeccions monomials de la superfície de Veronese $X_{2, d} \subset$ $\mathbb{P}^{N_{2, d}-1}$ parametritzades pels generadors d'un sistema monomial de Togliatti.

Al Capítol 3, considerem la geometria de les $\bar{G}$-varietats $X_{d}$ amb grup $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. Donat que són varietats aCM, perseguim l'objectiu de determinar explícitament la resolució lliure i minimal de qualsevol $\bar{G}$-varietat $X_{d}$ amb grup $G$. Per aquest motiu, ens centrem en descriure la funció i la sèrie de Hilbert de $X_{d}$; en estudiar un sistema de generadors de l'ideal homogeni $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ de $X_{d}$; en investigar el mòdul canònic $\omega_{X_{d}}$ de $A\left(X_{d}\right)$ i en determinar la regularitat de Castelnuovo i Mumford de $A\left(X_{d}\right)$. En finalitzar, recopilem tots els resultats per tal d'estudiar el diagrama de Betti de $A\left(X_{d}\right)$. En primer lloc, interpretem la funció i la sèrie de Hilbert de $X_{d}$ des de la teoria d'invariants i la combinatòria; i les calculem explícitament per diverses famílies d'exemples. En particular, trobem explícitament ambdues funcions numèriques per qualsevol $G T$-superfície amb group cíclic $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ i per $G T$-sòlids amb group $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1,2,3}\right\rangle \subset \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{K})$ i $d \geq 4$. A continuació, tractem l'ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ i demostrem que $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ és un ideal binomial i primer que es pot generar per binomis de grau com a màxim 3. Determinem explícitament un sistema minimal de generadors binomials de $\mathrm{I}\left(X_{d}\right)$ per qualsevol $G T$-sòlid amb grup $G=\left\langle M_{d ; 0,1,2,3}\right\rangle \subset G L(4, \mathbb{K})$ i $d \geq 4$. Respecte el mòdul canònic $\omega_{X_{d}}$ de $A\left(X_{d}\right)$, l'identifiquem amb l'ideal $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right) \subset R^{\bar{G}}$ i provem que es pot generar per invariants monomials de $G$ de grau $d$ i $2 d$. Aquest resultat ens permet caracteritzar la regularitat de Castelnuovo i Mumford $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)$ : establim que $n \leq \operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right) \leq n+1$ i $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=n+1$ si i només si $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$ conté almenys un monomi de grau $d$.

Al Capítol 4, investiguem els invariants d'un grup finit $\Lambda \subset \operatorname{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ no abelià i la seva relació amb la WLP. Això ens permet proporcionar nous exemples de sistemes de Togliatti no monomials, fins ara poc estudiats. Centrem la nostra atenció en l'anell d'invariants del grup diedral $D_{2 d} \subset \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{K})$ d'ordre $2 d$. Demostrem que $R^{\overline{D_{2 d}}}$ és mínimament generat per invariants monomials i binomials de grau $2 d$, fet que ens permet establir que parametritzen una projecció $\mathrm{aCM} S_{D_{2 d}}$ de la surperfície de Veronese
$X_{2, d}$. A més a més, l'ideal $I_{2 d}$ que generen és un $G T$-sistema amb grup $D_{2 d}$. L'última part d'aquest capítol es dedica a l'estudi geomètric de les $G T$-superfícies $S_{D_{2 d}}$ amb grup $D_{2 d}$. Determinem explícitament una resolució lliure i minimal de $A\left(S_{D_{2 d}}\right)$ i un sistema minimal de generadors de grau 2 de l'ideal I( $\left.S_{D_{2 d}}\right)$.

Al Capítol 5, introduïm una nova família de varietats racionals illises $\mathcal{X}_{d}$ associades de forma natural a $\bar{G}$-varietats level amb grup $G \subset G L(n+1, \mathbb{K})$, és a dir, $\operatorname{reg}\left(A\left(X_{d}\right)\right)=n+1 \mathrm{i} \mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right)$ és generat per monomis de grau $d$. Les anonenem $R L$-varietats per emfatitzar el paper de l'interior relatiu relint i la propietat de ser level. Són projeccions monomials no aCM de la varietat de Veronese $X_{n, d} \subset \mathbb{P}^{N_{n, d}-1}$ parametritzades pels $\eta_{d}$ monomis de grau $d$ de $\mathrm{I}\left(\operatorname{relint}\left(H_{\mathcal{A}}\right)\right) \subset R^{\bar{G}}$ i submergides en $\mathbb{P}^{N_{d}}, N_{d}=N_{n, d}-\eta_{d}-1$. Aquestes propietats ens permeten descriure el fibrat vectorial normal $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}$ de $\mathcal{X}_{d}$. Determinar la cohomologia del feix normal d'una varietat $X \subset$ $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ arbitrària és un problema obert de gran complexitat. En aquesta tesi, contribuïm a aquest tòpic calculant la dimensió de la cohomologia del fibrat normal $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{X}_{d}}$ de qualsevol $R L$-varietat $\mathcal{X}_{d}$.
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