
BIBLIOGRAFÍA

BIBLIOGRAFÍA

AARONSON, N.K.; ACQUADRO, C.; ALONSO, J. y col. (1992). International quality of life assessment (IQOLA) proyect. *Qual Life Res.* **1**. pp: 349-351.

ABIZANDA, M. (2005). *Recomendaciones generales sobre la osteoporosis. Manejo de la Osteoporosis en Atención Primaria*. Sociedad Española de Medicina Rural y Generalista y Scientific Communication Management, S.L.

ACKERMAN, T.A. (1992). An explanation of differential item functioning from a multidimensional perspective. *Journal of Educational Measurement.* **24**. pp: 67-91.

AGRESTI, A. (1984). *Analysis of ordinal categorical data*. Ed. Wiley. New York

AGRESTI, A. (1990). *Categorical Data Analysis*. Ed. Wiley. New York.

AGRESTI, A. (1996). *An Introduction to Categorical Data Analysis*. Ed. John Wiley & Sons. New York.

ALEGRE, L.; NUALART, J. (1995). *Influencia de las variables sociodemográficas y de la salud en la valoración de los estados de salud del EuroQol por pacientes crónicos*. Comunicación presentada al VI Congreso de la SESPAS. Gaceta Sanitaria.

ALLISON, P. J.; LOCKER, D.; FEINE, J.S. (1997). Quality of life: a dynamic construct. *Soc Sci Med.* **45**. pp: 221-230.

ALONSO, J.; ANTO, J. M.; MORENO, C. (1990). Spanish version of the Nottingham Health Profile: translation and preliminary validity. *Am J Public Health.* **80**. pp: 704-708.

ALONSO, J.; PRIETO, L.; ANTÓN, J.M. (1994). The Spanish version of the Nottingham Health profile: a review of adaptation and instrument characteristics. *Qual Life Res.* **3**. pp: 385-393.

ALONSO, J.; PRIETO, L.; ANTÓN, J.M. (1995). La versión española del SF-36 Health Survery (cuestionario de la salud): Un instrumento para la medida de los resultados clínicos. *Med Clin.* **104**. pp: 771-776.

ANDERSEN, E.B. (1973). A goodness of fit test for the Rasch model. *Psychometrika.* **38**. pp: 123-140.

ANDRIOLA, W.B. (2000b). Principales métodos para la determinación del funcionamiento diferencial de los ítems (DIF). *XII Congreso Nacional y I Iberoamericano de Pedagogía* (Tomo II: Resúmenes de Comunicaciones). pp: 49-50.

ANDRIOLA, W.B. (2001c). Descrição dos principais métodos para detectar o funcionamento diferencial dos itens (DIF). *Psicologia: Reflexão e Crítica.* **14**(3). pp: 643-652.

ANGOFF, W.H. (1972). *A technique for the investigation of cultural differences*. Paper presented at the annual meetings of the American Psychological Association, Honolulu.

ANGOFF, W.H. (1982). Use of difficulty and discrimination indices for detecting item bias, (In BERK, R.A. *Handbook of methods for detecting test bias*. Ed. The Johns Hopkins University Press. Baltimore).

ANGOFF, W.H. (1993). Perspectives on differential item functioning methodology. (In HOLLAND, P.W.; WAINER, H. *Differential Item Functioning*. Ed. Lawrence. Erlbaum).

ANGOFF, W.H.; FORD, S. F. (1973). Item-race interaction on a test of scholastic aptitude. *Journal of Educational Measurement.* **10**. pp: 95-106.

ANSLEY, T.N.; FORSYTH, R.A. (1985). An examination of the characteristics of unidimensional IRT parameter estimates derived from two-dimensional data. *Applied Psychological Measurement.* **9**(1). pp: 37-48.

BADÍA, X.; ALONSO, J. (1994). Adaptación de una medida de disfunción relacionada con la enfermedad: La versión española del Sickness Impact Profile. *Med Clin.* **102.** pp: 90-95.

BADÍA, X.; ALONSO, J. (1995). Re-scaling the Spanish version of the Sickness Impact Profile: An opportunity for the assessment of cross-cultural equivalent. *J. Clin Epidemiol.* **48.** pp: 949-957.

BADIA, X.; DÍEZ PÉREZ, A.; ÁLVAREZ-SANZ, C.; DÍAZ LÓPEZ, B.; DÍAZ-CURIEL, M.; GUILLÉN, F. y col. (2001). Measuring quality of life in women with vertebral fractures due to osteoporosis: a comparison of the OQLQ and QUALEFFO. *Qual Life Res.* **10.** pp: 307-17.

BADÍA, X.; HERDMAN, M. (1999). Adaptación transcultural al español de los cuestionarios OQLQ y QUALEFFO para la evaluación de la calidad de vida relacionada con la salud de mujeres con fractura vertebral osteoporótica. *Rev Esp Enf Met Oseas.* **8.** pp: 135-140.

BADÍA, X. y col. (1996). *La Medida de la Salud. Guía de escalas de medición en español.* Ed. PPU, S.A. Barcelona.

BADÍA, X. y col. (1999). La versión española del EuroQol: descripción y aplicaciones. *Med Clin.* **112** (Supl 1). pp: 79-86.

BAKER, F.B. (1993). EQUATE 2.0: A computer program for the test characteristic curve method of IRT equating. *Applied Psychological Measurement.* **17**(1). pp: 15.

BEJAR, I.; WINGERSKY, M.S. (1981). *An application of item response theory to equating the Test of Standard Written English.* (College Board Report No. 81-8). Princeton NJ: Educational Testing Service, (EST No. 81-35).

BERGNER, M; ROBBIT, R.A.; CARTER, W. B.; GILSON, B.S. (1981). The Sickness Impact Profile: development and final revision of the health status measure. *Medical Care.* **19.** pp: 787-805.

BERZON, R.A. (2000). Understanding and using health-related quality of life instruments within clinical research studies. pp: 3-15. (In STAQUET, M.J.; HAYS, R.D; FAYERS, P.M. Edts *Quality of life Assessment in Clinical Trials*. Ed. Oxford University Press).

BIRNBAUM, A. (1947). Efficient design and use of test of ability for various decision-making problems. *Series report n° 58-16. Project n° 7755-23, USAF School of Aviation Medicine, Randolph Air Force Base*. Texas.

BIRNBAUM, A. (1958a). On the estimation of mental ability. *Series report n° 15. Project n° 7755-23, USAF School of Aviation Medicine, Randolph Air Force Base*. Texas.

BIRNBAUM, A. (1958b). Further considerations of efficiency in tests of a mental ability. *Series report n° 17. Project n° 7755-23, USAF School of Aviation Medicine, Randolph Air Force Base*. Texas.

BIRNBAUM, A. (1968), Some Latent Trait Models and Their Use in Inferring an Examinee's Ability. pp: 397-472. (In LORD, F.M., NOVICK, M.R. *Statistical Theories of Mental Test Scores*, Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley).

BISHOP, Y.M.M., FIENBERG, S.E. y HOLLAND, P.W. (1975). *Discrete multivariate analysis: theory and practice*. Ed. MIT Press. Cambridge.

BLAND, J.M. ALTMAN, D.G. (1996). Measurement error and correlation coefficients. *British Medical Journal*. **313**. pp: 41-42.

BOCK, R.D. (1972). Estimating item parameters and latent ability when the responses are scored in two or more nominal categories. *Psychometrika*. **37**. pp: 29-51.

BOCK, R.D. (1993). Different DIFs: Comment on the papers read by Neil Dorans and David Thissen. pp: 115-122. (In HOLLAND, P.W.; WAINER, H. *Differential itemfunctioning*. Hillsdale NJ: Erlbaum).

BOCK, R.D.; AITKIN, M. (1981). Marginal maximum likelihood estimation of item parameters: Application of an EM algorithm. *Psychometrika*. **46**. pp: 443–459.

BOMBARDIER, C.; TUGWELL, P. (1987). Methodologic considerations in functional assessment. *J. Rheumatol.* **14**(suppl 15). pp: 6-12.

BOWLING, A. (1994). *La medida de la salud. Revisión de las escalas de medida de la calidad de vida*. Ed. Masson, S.A. Barcelona.

CAMILLI, G. (1979). *A critique of the chi-square methods of assesing item bias*. Laboratory of educational research. University of Colorado, Boulder.

CAMILLI, G.; PENFIELD, D.A. (1997). Variance estimation for differential test functioning based on Mantel-Haenszel statistics. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, **34**. pp: 123–139.

CAMILLI, G.; SHEPARD, L.A. (1994). *Methods for Identifying Biased Test Items*.. Ed. Sage. Newbury Park, C.A.

CARDIEL, M.H. (1994). ¿Cómo se evalúa Calidad de Vida?. pp: 359-368, (En *Temas de Medicina Interna. Epidemiología clínica*. Asociación de Medicina Interna de Mexico, A.C. Mexico, D.F.: *Interamericana*. **2**).

CARMINES, E.G.; ZELLER, R.A. (1979). *Reability and validity assessment*. Ed. Sage. London.

CASAS ANGUITA, J. y col. (2001). Medidas de calidad de vida relacionada con la salud. Conceptos básicos, construcción y adaptación transcultural. *Med Clin*. **116**. pp: 789-796.

CATTEL, R.B.; JASPERS, J.A. (1967). A general Plasmode for a factor analytic exercises and research. *Multivariate Behavioral Research Monographs*. **67**(3). pp: 211.

CHRISTENSEN-SZALANSKI, J.J. (1984). Discount functions and the measurement of patients' values: women's decisions during childbirth. *Med Decis Making*. **4**. pp: 45-58.

CHURCHILL, D.N.; TORRANCE, G.W.; TAYLOR, D.W.; BARNE, C.C.; LUDWIN, D.; SHIMIZER, A.; SMITH, E.K.M. (1987). Measurement of quality of life in end-stage renal disease: the Time-Trade-Off approach. *Clin Invest Med*. **10**. pp: 14-20.

CLAUSER, B.E.; MAZOR, K.M. (1998). Using statistical procedures to identify differentially functioning test items. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*. **17**. pp: 31-44.

CLAUSER, B.E.; NUNGESTER, R.J.; MAZOR, K.; RIPKEY, D. (1996). A comparison of alternative matching strategies for DIF detection in tests that are multidimensional. *Journal of Educational Measurement*. **33**. pp: 202-214.

COHEN, J. (1977). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioural sciences*. Academy Press. New York.

COHEN, J. (1992). A power primer. *Psychological Bulletin*, **112**. pp: 155-159.

COHEN, A.S.; KIM, S.H.; BAKER, E. (1993). Detection of Differential Item Functioning in the Graded Response Model. *Applied Psychological Measurement*. **17**. pp: 335-350.

COLE, N. S. Y MOSS, P. A. (1989): Bias in Test Use. (In LINN, R.L. *Educational Measurement*. Ed. Macmillan. New Cork).

Consensus development conference: diagnosis, prophylaxis, and treatment of osteoporosis. *Am J Med*. **94**(6). pp: 646-650.

COOK, L.L.; EIGNOR, D.R.; HUTTON, L.R. (1979). *Considerations in the application of latent trait theory to objectives-based criterion- referenced tests*. Paper presented at the meeting of the American Educational Research Association. San Francisco.

CORNFIELD, J.; GORDON, T.; SMITH, W.N. (1961). Quantal response curves for experimentally uncontrolled variables. *Bull Int Statist Inst* . **38**. pp: 97-115.

COX, D.R.; FITZPATRICK, R.; FLETCHER, A.E.; GORE, S.M.; SPIEGELHALTER, D.L.; JONES, D.R. (1992). Quality of Life Assessment; Can we keep it simple?. *J.R. Statist. Soc. A*. **155**(Part 3). pp: 353-393.

COX, D.; SNELL, E. (1989). *The analysis of binary data* (2nd ed.). Ed. Chapman and Hall. New York.

CRONBACH, L.J. (1951). Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. *Psychometrika*. **16**. pp: 297-334.

CUESTA, M. (1996). Unidimensionalidad. pp: 239-292. (In MUÑIZ, J. (coord.). *Psicometría*. Ed. Universitas. Madrid).

DEMPSTER, A.P.; LAIRD, N.; RUBIN, D. (1977). Maximum likelihood from incomplete data via the EM algorithm. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*. **39**. pp: 1-38.

DEYO, R.A.; DIEHR, P.; PATRICK, D.L. (1991). Reproducibility and responsiveness of health status measures: statistics and strategies of evaluation. *Controlled Clin Trials*. **12**. pp: 142-158S.

DEYO, R.A.; PATRICK, D.L. (1989). Barriers to use of health status measures in clinical investigation, patient care, and policy research. *Medical Care*. **27** (suppl). pp: 254-268.

DIVIGI, D.R. (1980). *Evaluation of scales for multilevel test batteries*. Paper presented at the meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Boston.

DOLAN, P.; TORGERSON, D.; KAKARLAPUDI, T.K. (1999). Health related quality of life of Colles' fracture patients. *Osteoporos Int*. **9**. pp: 196-199.

DOODY-BOGAN, E.; YEN, W.M. (1983). *Detecting multidimensionality and examining its effects on vertical equating with the threeparameter logistic model*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Montreal.

DORANS, N.J.; KULICK, E. (1986). Demonstrating the utility of the standarization approach to assessing unexpected differential item performance on the scholastic aptitude test. *Journal of educational measurement*. **23**(4). pp: 355-368.

DOUGLAS, J.; ROUSSOS, L.; STOUT, W. (1996). Item-bundle DIF hypothesis testing: Identifying suspect bundles and assessing their differential functioning. *Journal of Educational Measurement*. **33**. pp: 465-484.

EELLS, K.; DAVIS, A.; HAVIGHURST, R.J.; HERRICK V.E.; TYLER, R.W. (1951). *Intelligence and cultural differences*. Ed. University of Chicago Press. Chicago.

FAYERS, P.; MACHIN, D. (2000a). *Quality of life: Assessment, Analysis, and interpretation* Ed. John Wiley and Sons. LTD.

FELCE, D.; PERRY, J. (1995). Quality of life: It's Definition and Measurement. *Research in developmental Disabilities*. **16**(1). pp: 51-74.

FERRANDO, P. (1996). *Relaciones entre el Análisis Factorial y la Teoría de Respuesta a los Ítems*. (En J. Muñoz (coor.) *Psicometría*. Universitas. Madrid).

FERRERES, D.; FIDALGO, A.; MUÑIZ, J. (2000). Detección del funcionamiento diferencial de los ítems no uniforme: comparación de los métodos Mantel-Haenzel y regresión logística. *Psicothema*. **12**. pp: 220-225.

FIDALGO, A.M. (1996). Funcionamiento diferencial de los ítems. pp: 371-455. (In MUÑIZ, J. *Psicometría*. Ed. Universitas. Madrid).

FIDALGO, A.M.; PAZ, M.D.(1995). Modelos lineales logarítmicos y funcionamiento diferencial de los ítems. *Anuario de Psicología*. **64**. pp: 57-66.

FLEER, P.F. (1993). A Monte Carlo assessment of a new measure of item and test bias. *Doctoral dissertation, Illinois Institute of Technology. Dissertation Abstracts International*. **2266B**. pp:54-04.

FLEISS, J.L. (1981). *Statistical Methods for rates and Proportions*. Ed. Wiley. New York.

FLOWERS, C.P.; OSHIMA, T.C.; RAJU, N.C. (1995). *A Monte Carlo assessment of DFIT with dichotomously-scored unidimensional tests*. Ed. Georgia State University. Atlanta, G.A.

FRENCH, A.W.; MILLER, T.R. (1996). Logistic Regression and its use in detecting differential item functioning in polytomous items. *Journal of Educational Measurement*. **33**. pp: 315-332.

FROBERG, D.G.; KANE, R.L. (1989). Methodology for measuring health-state preferences- II: scaling methods. *J Clin Epidemiol*. **42**. pp: 459-471.

FUENTES, J. (1998). *Valoración del Funcionamiento y la Aplicabilidad de la Teoría de Respuesta al Ítem en Escalas de Rendimiento Típico*. Tesis Doctoral, Salamanca: Universidad Pontificia de Salamanca.

GARCIA CASARES, E.; GARCIA MELCHOR, E.; MATEO SORIA, L.; HOLGADO PÉREZ, S. (2008). Osteoporosis del varón. *Reumatología*. **742**.

GANDEK, B.; WARE, J. (1998). Methods for validating and naming translations of health status questionnaires: The IQOLA project approach. *J Clin Epidemiol*. **5**. pp: 953-959.

GOLDSTEIN, H. (1980). Dimensionality, bias, independence and measurement scale problems in latent trait test score models. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*. **33**. pp: 234-246.

GÓMEZ, J.; HIDALGO, M.D. (1997a). Evaluación del funcionamiento diferencial en ítems dicotómicos: una revisión metodológica. *Anuario de Psicología*. **74**. pp: 3-32.

GÓMEZ, J.; NAVAS, M.J. (1996). Detección de sesgo mediante regresión logística: purificación paso a paso de la habilidad. *Psicológica*, **17**(2). pp: 397-411.

GUYATT, G.H.; TAYLOR, D.; JUNIPER, E.F.; HEYLAND, D.; JAESCHKE, R.; COOK, D. (1997). User's guides to the medical literature. XII. How to use articles about Health Related Quality of Life. *Jama*. **277**(5). pp: 1232-1237.

GUYATT, G.; WALTER, S.; NORMAN, G. (1987). Measuring change over time: assessing the usefulness of evaluative instruments. *Journal of Chronic Diseases*. **40**(2). pp: 171-178.

HAEBARA, T. (1980). Equating logistic ability scales by a weighted least squares methods. *Japanese Psychological Research*. **22**. pp: 144-149.

HAMBLETON, R. K.; ROVINELLI, R. J. (1986). Assessing the dimensionality of a set of test items. *Applied Psychological Measurement*. **10**. pp: 287-302.

HANSON, B. A. (1998). Uniform DIF and DIF defined by differences in item response functions. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*. **23**. pp: 244-253.

HARRISON, D.A. (1986). Robustness of IRT parameter estimation to violations of unidimensionality assumption. *Journal of Educational Statistics*, **11**(2). pp: 95-115.

HARTLEY, H. (1958). Maximum Likelihood Estimation from Incomplete Data Sets. *Biometrics*. **14**. pp: 174-194.

HARWELL, M.R.; BAKER, F.B.; ZWARTS, M. (1988). Item parameter estimation via marginal maximum likelihood and an EM algorithm: A didactic. *Journal of Educational Statistics*. **13**. pp: 243-271.

HATTIE, J.A. (1981). *Decision criteria for determining unidimensionality*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. University of Toronto.

HATTIE, J.A. (1985). Methodology review: assessing unidimensionality of tests and items. *Applied Psychological Measurement*. **9**. pp: 139–164.

HAYS, R.; LIU, H.; SPRITZER, K.; CELLA, D. (2007). Item Response Analyses of Physical Functioning Items in the Medical Outcomes Study. *Medical Care*. **45**(5). Suppl 1. pp: 32-38.

HERDMAN, M.; BARÓ, E. (2000). La medición de la calidad de vida: fundamentos teóricos. pp: 19-33. (In BADÍA X, PODZAMCZER D. *Calidad de vida asociada a la salud e infección por el VIH*. Ed. Jarpyo. Madrid).

HIDALGO, M.D.; GÓMEZ, J. (2000). Comparación de la eficacia de la regresión logística politómica y análisis discriminante logístico en la detección del DIF no uniforme. *Psicothema*. **12**. pp: 298-300.

HIDALGO, M.D.; LÓPEZ-PINA, J.A. (2000). Funcionamiento diferencial de los ítems: presente y perspectivas de futuro. *Metodología de las Ciencias del Comportamiento*, **2**(2). pp: 167-182.

HIDALGO, M.D.; LÓPEZ-PINA, J.A. (2004). DIF detection and effect size: a comparison between logistic regression and Mantel-Haenszel variation. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*. **64**. pp: 903-915.

HIDALGO, M.D.; ; LÓPEZ-PINA, J.A.; SÁNCHEZ-MECA, J. (1997). Error tipo I y potencia de las pruebas chi-cuadrado en el estudio del funcionamiento diferencial de los ítems. *Revista de investigación educativa*. **15**(1). pp: 149-170.

HIGGINSON, I. (1994). Clinical teams, general practice, audit and outcomes. pp: 28-39. (In DELAMOTHE, T. *Outcomes into clinical practice*. Ed. BMJ Books. London).

HIGGINSON, I.J.; CARR, A.J. (2001). Measuring quality of life. Using quality of life measures in the clinical setting. *BMJ*. **322**. pp: 1297-1300.

HIGGINSON, I.; PRIEST, P.; MCCARTHY M. (1994). Are bereaved families a valid proxy of a patient's assessment of dying? *Soc Sci Med*. **38**. pp: 553-557.

HOBART, J.C.; LAMPING, D.L; THOMPSON, A.J. (1996). Evaluating neurological outcome measures: the bare essentials. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry*. **60**. pp: 127-130.

HOLLAND, P. W.; THAYER, D. T. (1986). *Differential Item Functioning and the Mantel-Haenszel Procedure*. Ed. Educational Testing Service. Princeton.

HOLLAND, P.W.; THAYER, D.T. (1988). Differential item performance and the Mantel- Haenszel procedure. pp: 129-145. (In WAINER, H.; BRAUN, H.I. *Test validity*. Ed. Hillsdale. Nueva Jersey).

HOSMER, D.W.; LEMESHOW, S. (1989). *Applied Logistic Regression*. Ed. Wiley. New York.

HUNT, S.M.; MCKENNA, S.P.; MCEWEN, J.; WILLIAMS, J.; PAPP, E. (1981). The Nottingham Health Profile: Subjective health Status and medical consultations. *Soc Sci Med*. **15**. pp: 221-229.

IRONSON, G.H. (1982). Use of Chi-Square and Latent-Trait Approaches for Detecting Item Bias. (In BERK, R.A. *Handbook of Methods for Detecting Item Bias*. ED. Johns Hopkins University Press. Baltimore).

JENSEN, A. R. (1980). *Bias in mercal testing*. Ed. Free Press. New york.

JIANG, H.; STOUT, W. (1998). Improved type I error control and reduced estimation bias for DIF detection using SIBTEST . *Journal of Educational and Behavioural Statistics*. **23**. pp: 291-322.

JODOIN, M.G.; GIERL, M.J.(1999). Evaluating Type I error and power using an effect size measure with the logistic regression procedure for DIF detection. *Applied Measurement in Education*, **14**. pp: 329-349.

JODOIN, M.G.; GIERL, M.J. (2001). Evaluating Type I error and power rates using an effect size measure with logistic regression procedure for DIF detection. *Applied Measurement in Education*. **14**, pp: 329-349.

JUNIPER, E.F. (1997). Measuring health-related quality of life in rhinitis. *J. Allergy Clin Immunol.* **99**. pp: 742-747.

KAPLAN, R.M. (1997). Profile versus utility based measures of outcome for clinical trials. (In STAQUET, M.J.; HAYS, R.D.; FAYERS, P.M. *Quality of Life Assessment in Clinical Trials*. Ed. Oxford University Press. Oxford.).

KAPLAN, R.M.; BUSH, J. (1982). Health-related quality of life measurement for evaluation research and policy analysis. *Health Psychology*. **1**. pp: 61-80.

KAPLAN, R.M.; SACCUZZO, D.P. (1993). *Psychological testing: principles, applications, and issues*. Ed. Pacific Grove. C.A.

KAZIS, L.E.; ANDERSON, J.J.; MEENAN, R.F. (1989). Effect sizes for interpreting changes in health status. *Medical Care*. **27**(Suppl 1). pp: 79-89.

KELDERMAN, H.; MACREADY, G.B. (1990). The use of loglinear models for assessing differential item functioning across manifest and latent examinee groups. *Journal of Educational Measurement*. **27**(4). pp: 307-327.

KIM, S.H.; COHEN, A.S. (1991). A comparisons of two area measures for detecting differential item functioning. *Applied Psychological Measurement*. **15**. pp: 269-278.

KIM, S.H.; COHEN, A. S. (1998). Detection of differential item functioning under the graded response model with the likelihood ratio test. *Applied Psychological Measurement*. **22**. pp: 345-356.

KIM, S.; KOLEN, M.J. (2003). *POLYST: A computer program for polytomous IRT scale transformation. Version 1.0*. The University of Iowa.

KIND, P.; ROSER, R.; WILLIAMS, A. (1982). Valuation of quality of life: some psychometric evidence. pp: 159-170. (In JONES-LEE M.W. *The Value of Life and Safety*. North-Holland).

KIRSHNER, B.; GUYATT, G. (1985). A methodological framework for assessing health indices. *J Chronic Dis.* **38**. pp: 27-36

KOCYIGIT H, GÜLSEREN S, EROL, A. y col. (2003). The reliability and validity of the Turkish version of Quality of Life Questionnaire of the European Foundation for Osteoporosis (QUALEFFO). *Clin Rheumatol.* **22**. pp: 18-23.

KOK, F.G.; MELLEBERGH, G.J.; van der FLIER, H. (1985). Detecting experimentally induced item bias using the iterative logia method. *Journal of Educational Measurement.* **22**. pp: 295-303.

KOLEN, M.J.; BRENNAN, R.L. (1995). *Test equating Methods and Practices*. Ed. Springer-Verlag. New York.

KRAMER, M.S.; FEINSTEIN, A.R. (1981). Clinical biostatistics LIV. The biostatistics of Concordance. *Clin. Pharmacol.* **29**. pp: 111-123.

KUDER, G.F.; RICHARDSON, M. (1937). The theory of the estimation of test reliability. *Psychometrika.* **2**. pp: 151-160.

LANDIS, J.R.; KOCH, G.G. (1977b). The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. *Biometrics.* **33**. pp: 159-174.

LAWLEY, D. (1943). On problems conectes with item selection and test construction. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburg.* **61**. pp: 273-287.

LAWLEY, D. (1944). The factorial análisis of multiple item tests. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburg.* **62**. pp: 74-82.

LEVI, L.; ANDERSON, L. (1980). *La tension psico-social. Población, ambiente y calidad de vida*. Ed. El manual moderno. Mexico.

LI, H.; STOUT, W. (1996). A new procedure for detection of crossing DIF. *Psychometrika.* **61**. pp: 647-677.

LIANG, M.H.; FOSSEL, A.H.; LARSON, M.G. (1990). Comparisons of five health status instruments for orthopedic evaluation. *Medical Care.* **28**. pp: 632-642.

LINN, R.L. (1993). *Educational measurement*. (3rd. ed.). American Council on Education. Series on Higher Education. Ed. Oryx Press. New York.

LINN, R.L.; HARNISCH, D.L. (1981). Interactions between Item Content and Group Membership on Achievement Test Items. *Journal of Educational Measurement.* **18**. pp: 109-118.

LINN, R.L.; LEVINE, M.V.; HASTINGS, C.N.; WARDROP, J.L. (1981). Item bias in a test of reading comprehension. *Applied Psychological Measurement.* **5**. pp: 59-173.

LIPS, P.; COOPER, C.; AGNUS, D.; CAULIN, F.; JOHNELL, O.; KANIS, J. y col. (1999). Quality of life with vertebral fractures: validation of the Quality of Life Questionnaire of the European Foundation for Osteoporosis (QUALEFFO). *Osteoporosis Int.* **10**. pp: 150-60.

LIPS, P. y col. (1997). Quality of life as an outcome in the treatment of osteoporosis: The development of a questionnaire for quality of life by the European Foundation for Osteoporosis *Int.* **7**. pp: 36-38.

LLEWELLYN-THOMAS, H.A.; SUTHERLAND, H.J.; CIAMPI, A.; ETEZADI-AMOLI, J.; BOYD, N.F.; TILL, J.E. (1984). The assessment of values in laryngeal cancer: reliability of measurement methods. *J Chronic Dis.* **37**. pp: 283-291.

LORD, F.M. (1980). *Applications of item response theory to practical testing problems*. Ed. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. Hillsdale. N.J.

LORD, F.; NOVICK, M. (1968). *Statistical Theories of Mental Tests Scores*. Ed. Addison-wesley. Reading, Massachussets.

LOYD, B.H.; HOOVER, H.D. (1980). Vertical equating using the Rasch model. *Journal of Educational Measurement*. **17**. pp: 179-193.

LUMSDEN, J. (1961). The construction of unidimensional test. *Psychological Bulletin*. **58**(2). pp: 122-131.

MAGUIRE, P.; WALSH,S.; JEACOCK, J.; KINGSTON, R. (1999). Physical and psychological needs of patient dying from colo-rectal cancer. *Palliat Med*. **13**. pp: 45-50.

MARASCUILO, L.A. (1981). Statistical procedures for identifying possible sources of item bias based on chi-square statistics. *Journal of Educational Measurement*. **18**. pp: 229-248.

MARASCUILO, L.A.; SLAUGHTER, R.E. (1981). Statistical procedures for identifying sources of item bias based on chi-square statistics. *Journal of Educational Measurement*. **18**. pp: 229-248.

MARCO, G.L. (1977). Item characteristic curve solutions to three intractable testing problems. *Journal of Educational Measurement*. **14**. pp: 139-160.

MARTÍNEZ ARIAS, M.R. (1995). *Psicometría: teoría de los tests psicológicos y educativos*. Ed. Síntesis. Madrid.

MASTERS, G.N.; WRIGHT, B.D. (1984). The essential process in a family of measurement models. *Psychometrika*. **49**. pp: 529-544.

MAYDEU-OLIVARES, A. (1993). *Fitting unidimensional item response models to actual Likert-type inventories*. Unpublished manuscript, University of Illinois, Department of Psychology, Champaign.

MAZZUCHELLI, R.; OROZCA, P. (2006). ¿Conocer la Osteoporosis?. *100 preguntas más frecuentes*. Editores Médicos. Ed. S.A. Edimsa. Madrid.

McHORNEY, C.A., WARE, J.E.J.; RACZEK, A.E. (1993). The MOS 36-Item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36): II. Psychometric and clinical tests of validity in measuring physical and mental health constructs. *Medical Care*. **31**. pp. 247–263.

McHORNEY, C. y cols. (1994). The MOS 36-item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36): III Test of data quality, scaling assumptions, and reliability across diverse patients groups. *Med Care*. **32**. pp: 40-66.

McKINLEY, R.L.; RECKASE, M.D. (1983). MAXLOG: A computer program for the estimation of the parameters of a multidimensional logistic model. *Behavior Research Methods and Instrumentation*. **15**. pp: 389-390.

MELLENBERGH, G.J. (1982). Contingency table models for assessing item bias. *Journal of Educational Statistics*. **7**(2). pp: 105-118.

MELLENBERGH, G.J. (1989). Item bias and item response theory. *International Journal of Educational Research*. **13**. pp: 127-143.

MESSICK, S. (1980). Test validity and the ethics of assessment. *Am Psychol*. **35**. pp: 1012-1027.

MILLER, G.A. (1956). The magical number seven plus or minus two; some limits on our capacity to process information. *Psychol Rev*. **63**. pp: 81-85.

MILLER, T.R.; SPRAY, J.A. (1993). Logistic discriminant function analysis for DIF identification of polytomously scored items. *Journal of Educational Measurement*. **30**. pp: 107-122.

MILLSAP, R.E.; EVERSON, H.T. (1993). Methodology review: Statistical approaches for assessing measurement bias. *Applied Psychological Measurement*. **17**(4). pp: 297-334.

MISLEVY, R.J. (1986). Recent developments in the factor analysis of categorical variables. *Journal of Educational Statistics*. **11**. pp: 3-31.

MOLINERO, L.M. (1998). Cuestionarios de Salud. *Asociación de la Sociedad Española de Hipertensión*.

MORALES, L. (2004). Assessing Item and Scale Differential Functioning using the DFIT Methodology. DRAFT NCI Paper.

MORALES, L.; FLOWERS, C.; GUTIERREZ, P.; KLEINMAN, M.; TERESI, J. (2006). Item and Scale Differential Functioning of the Mini-Mental State Exam Assessed Using the Differential Item and Test Functioning (DFIT) Framework. *Medical care*. **44**(11). Suppl 3. pp: 143-151

MUÑIZ, J. (1997). *Teoría de la Respuesta al Ítems*. Ed. Piramides. Madrid.

MUÑIZ, J.; CUESTA, M. (1993). El problema de la unidimensionalidad en la medición psicológica. En Forns y Anguera (comps.). *Aportaciones recientes a la evaluación psicológica*. Barcelona. PPU.

MUÑIZ, J.; ROGERS, J.; SWARNINATHAN, H. (1989). Robustez de las estimaciones del modelo de Rasch en presencia de aciertos al azar y discriminación variable de los ítems. *Anuario de Psicología*. **4** (3). pp: 83-97.

MURAKI, E. (1992). A generalized partial credit model: Application of an EM algorithm. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, **16**. pp: 159-176.

MURAKI, E.; BOCK, R. D. (1993). *PARSCALE: IRT based test scoring and item analysis for graded open-ended exercises and performance tasks* [Computer program]. Mooresville, IN: Scientific Software.

NAGELKERKE, N. (1991). A note on a general definition of the coefficient of determination. *Biometrika*. **78**. pp: 691-692.

NARAYANAN, P.; SWAMINATHAN, H. (1996). Identification of items that show nonuniform DIF. *Applied Psychological Measurement*. **20**. pp: 257-274.

NEUMANN, J.; MORGENSTERN, O. (1953). *Theory of Games and Economic Behavior*. Ed. Princeton University Press. Princeton.

NUNNALLY, J.C. (1978). *Psychometric Theory*. 2nd ed. Ed. McGraw-Hill. New York.

NUNNALLY, J. C.; BERNSTEIN, I. H. (1994). *Psychometric Theory*. 3rd ed. Ed. McGraw-Hill. New York.

O' CONNOR, A.M.; BOYD, N.F.; WARDE, P.; STOLBACH, L.; TILL, T.E. (1987). Eliciting preferences for alternative drug therapies in oncology: influence of treatment outcome description, elicitation technique and treatment experience on preferences. *J Chron Dis*. **40**. pp : 811-818.

OLEKSIK, A.; LIPS, P.; DAWSON, A y col. (2000). Health related quality of life in postmenopausal women with low BMD with or without prevalent vertebral fractures. *J Bone Miner Res*. **15**. pp: 1384-1392.

OLSSON, U. (1979a). On the robustness of factor analysis against crude classification of the observations. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*. **14**. pp: 485-500.

OLSSON, U. (1979b). Maximum likelihood estimation of the polychoric correlation coefficient. *Psychometrika*. **44**. pp: 443-460.

OORT, F. J. (1992). Using restricted factor analysis to detect item bias. *Methodika*. **VI**. pp: 150-166.

OORT, F.J. (1993). Theory of violators: assessing unidimensionality of psychological measures. (In **STEYER, R.; WENDER, K.F.; WIDAMAN, K.F.** *Psychometric Methodology*. Ed. Gustav Fischer Verlag. Stuttgart).

ORLANDO, M.; REEVE, B. (2007). Applying item response theory (IRT) modeling questionnaire development, evaluation, and refinement. *Qual. Life. Res.* **16**. pp: 5-18.

OROZCO, P. (2001). Cribado en osteoporosis en atención primaria. Ultrasonidos. *Jano*. **61**(1404). pp: 61-67.

ORTEGA, A. (2005). *Manejo de la osteoporosis. Diagnóstico de la Osteoporosis en Atención Primaria*. Sociedad Española de Medicina Rural y Generalista y Scientific Communication Management, S.L. Madrid.

OSHIMA, T.C.; RAJU, N.S.; FLOWERS, C.P. (1997). Development and demonstration of multidimensional IRT-based internal measures of differential functioning of items and tests. *Journal of Educational Measurement*. **34**(3). pp: 253-272.

OSHIMA, T.C.; RAJU, N.S.; NANDA, A. (2006). A new for assessing the statistical significance in the differential functioning of items and test (DFIT) framework. *Journal of Educational Measurement*. **43**(1). pp: 1-17

OSTEOPOROSIS QUALITY OF LIFE STUDY GROUP. (1997). Measuring quality of life in women with osteoporosis. *Osteoporosis Int.* **12**. pp: 478-487.

PANE, S.; SOLANS, M.; GAIDE, L.; SUTOON, V.; RAJMIL, L. (2006). *Instrumentos de calidad de vida relacionada con la salud en la edad pediátrica*. Agència d'Avaluació de Tecnologia i Recerca Mèdiques. Barcelona.

PATRICK, D.L.; DEYO, R.A. (1989). Generic and disease specific measures in assessing health status and quality of life. *Medical Care*. **27**. pp: 217-232.

PATRICK, D.L.; ERICKSON, P. (1988). What constitutes quality of life?. Concepts and dimensions. *Clin Nutr.* **7**. pp: 53-63.

PENFIEL, R.; CAMILLI, G. (2007). Differential Item Functioning and Item Bias. pp: 125-163. (In RAO, C.; SINHARAY, S. Handbook of Statistics 26: Psychometric. Ed. Elsevier B.V. Pennsylvania States).

POTENZA, M.T.; DORANS, N.J. (1995). DIF assessment for polytomously scored items: A framework for classification and evaluation. *Applied Psychological Measurement.* **19**. pp: 23-37.

PRIETO, L. LAMARCA, R. CASADO. A. (1998). La evaluación de la fiabilidad en las observaciones clínicas: el coeficiente de correlación intraclase. *Med Clin.* **110**. pp: 142-145.

RAJU, N.S. (1988). The area between two item characteristics curves. *Psychometrika.* **53**. pp: 495-502.

RAJU, N.S. (1990). Determining the significance of estimated signed and unsigned areas between two item response functions. *Applied Psychological Measurement.* **14** (2). pp: 197-207.

RAJU, N.S. (1999). *DFIT5P: A Fortran program for calculating DIF/DTF* [Computer software]. Illinois Institute of Technology. Chicago.

RAJU, N.S.; VAN DER LINDEN, W.J.; FLEER, P.F. (1995). IRT-based internal measures of differential functioning of items and tests. *Applied Psychological Measurement.* **19**. pp: 353-368.

RAMIREZ, E.; CLARK, P.; WACHER, N.; CRADIEL, M.; DIEZ, P. (2007). Cultural adaptation and validation of the Quality of Life Questionnaire of the European Foundation of Osteoporosis (QUALEFFO) in a Mexican population. *Clinical Rheumatology.* **27**(2). pp: 151-161.

RAMSAY, J.O. (1975). Solving implicit equations in psychometric data análisis. *Psychometrika*. **40**. pp: 337-360.

RANDELL, A.G. y col. (2000). Deterioration in quality of life following hip fracture A prospective study. *Osteoporos Int*. **11**. pp: 460-466.

RAPADO, A. (1996). *Osteoporosis en el varón. Manual Práctico de Osteoporosis en Atención Primaria*. Ed. Jarpyo Ediciones. Madrid.

RASCH, G. (1960). *Probabilistic models for some intelligence and attainment test*. The Danish Institute for Educational Research. Copenhagen.

RASCH, G. (1966). An item análisis which takes individual differences into account. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*. **19**. pp: 49-57.

READ, J.; QUINN, R.J.; BERWICK, D. y col. (1984). Preferences for health outcomes: comparisons of assessment methods. *Med Decis Making*. **4**. pp: 315.

RICHARDSON, M. (1936). The relation between the difficulty and the differential validity of a test. *Psychometrika*. **1**. pp: 33-49.

ROGERS, H.J.; SWAMINATHAN, H. (1993). A comparison of Logistic Regresión and Mantel-Haenszel procedures for detecting differential item functioning. *Applied Psychological Measurement*. **17**. pp: 105-116.

ROUSSOS, L.A.; SCHNIPKE, D.L.; PASHLEY, P.J. (1999). A generalized formula for the Mantel-Haenszel differential item functioning parameter. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*. **24**. pp: 293-322.

ROUSSOS, L.A.; STOUT, W.F. (1996). Simulation studies of the effects of small sample size and studied item parameters on SIBTEST and Mantel-Haenszel Type I error performance. *Journal of Educational Measurement*. **33**. pp: 215-230.

RUDNER, L.M.; GETSON, P.R.; KNIGHT, D.L. (1980). Biased item detection techniques. *Journal of Educational Statistics*. **5**. pp: 213–233.

RUÉ, M.; BADIA, X. (1996). The Spanish EuroQol Tariff: Results from the Catalan Health Survey based on self-rated health. pp: 77-98. (In BADIA, X.; HERDMAN, M.; SEGURA, A. *EuroQol Plenary Meeting 1995 Discussion Papers*. Ed. Institut Universitari de Salut Publica de Catalunya. Barcelona).

SAMEJIMA, F. (1969). Calibration of latent ability using a response pattern of graded scores. *Psychometrika Monograph Supplement*. **17**.

SAMEJIMA, F. (1972). A general model for free response data. *Psychometrika monograph supplement*. **37**(1).

SAMEJIMA, F. (1997). Graded response model. pp: 85-100. (In van der LINDEN, W.; HAMBLETON, R. *Handbook of Modern Item Response Theory*. Ed. Springer-Verlag. New York).

SÁNCHEZ RIVERO, M. (2004). *Introducción a la Teoría de Respuesta al Ítem, una herramienta para el análisis de variables latentes: Aplicación a la medición de la Calidad de Vida de la Infancia*. Ponencia presentada en el XVII Reunión Anual. León.

SCHEUNEMAN, J. (1979). A method of assessing bias in test items. *Journal of Educational Measurement*. **16**(3). pp: 143–152.

SCHEUNEMAN, J. D. (1982). A posteriori analyses of biased items. pp: 64-96. (In BERK, R.A. *Handbook of methods for detecting test bias*. Ed. The Johns Hopkins University Press. Baltimore).

SCHEUNEMAN, J. D. (1987). An experimental, exploratory study of causes of bias in test items. *Journal of Educational Measurement*. **24**. pp: 97-118.

SCHEUNEMAN, J. D.; GRIMA, A. (1997). Characteristics of quantitative word items associated with differential performance for female and black examinees. *Applied Measurement in Education*. **10**. pp: 299-319.

SCHMITT, A. P., HOLLAND, P. W.; DORANS, N. J. (1993). Evaluating hypotheses about differential item functioning. pp: 281-313. (In HOLLAND, P.W. WAINER, H.W. *Differential Item Functioning*. Ed. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Publishers. Hillsdale, New Jersey).

SHEALY, R.; STOUT, W.F. (1993a). A model-based standardization approach that separates true bias/DIF from group differences and detects test bias/DIF as well as item bias/DIF. *Psychometrika*. **58**. pp: 159-194.

SHEALY, R.; STOUT, W.F. (1993b). An item response theory model for test bias and differential test functioning. pp: 197-239. (In HOLLAND, P.W.; WAINER, H. *Differential item functioning*. Ed. Lawrence Erlbaum. Hillsdale).

SHEPARD, L.; CAMILLI, G.; AVERILL, M. (1981). Comparison of procedures for detecting test items bias with both internal and external ability criteria. *Journal of Educational Statistics*. **6**. pp: 317-375.

SHIN, D.; JOHNSON, D. (1978). Avowed happiness as an overall assessment of the quality of life. *Social Indicators research*. **5**. pp: 475-492.

SHOUKRI, M.M. (2004). *Measures of Interobserver Agreement*. Ed. Chapman & hall/CRC. Boca Raton, FL. USA.

SKAGG, G.; LISSITZ, R.W. (1992). The consistency of detecting item bias across different test administrations: Implications of another failure. *Journal of Educational Measurement*. **29**. pp: 227-242.

SKEVINGTON, S. (1999). Measuring quality of life in Britain. Introducing the WHOQOL-100. *J Psychosom Res*. **47**. pp: 449-459.

SPRANGERS, M.A.; SCHWARTZ, C.E. (1999). Integrating response shift into health-related quality of life research: a theoretical model. *Soc Sci Med.* **48**. pp: 1507-1515.

SPRAY, J.; CARISON, J. (1986). *Comparison of loglinear and logistic regression models for detecting changes in proportions*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco.

STEVENS, S. (1971). Issues in psychophysical measurement. *Psychol rev.* **78**. pp: 426-450.

STOCKING, M.L.; LORD, F.M. (1983). Developing a common metric in item response theory. *Applied Psychological Measurement.* **7**. pp: 201-21.

STOWERS, K.; HUGHES, R.A.; CARR, A.J. (1999). Information exchange between patients and health professionals: consultation styles of rheumatologists and nurse practioners. *Arthritis Rheum.* **42**(suppl). pp: 388S.

STREINER, D.L.; NORMAN, G.R. (1995). *Health measurement scales: a practical guide to their development and use*. 2ed. Ed. Oxford university Press. Oxford.

STROUD, A.H.; SECHREST,D. (1966). *Gaussian quadrature formulas*. Ed. Prentice-Hall. Englewood Cliffs (NJ).

SYMPSON, J.B. (1978). A model for testing with multidimensional items. pp: 82-98. (In WEISS, D.J. *Proceedings of the 1977 Computerized Adaptive Testing Conference*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, Department of Psychology, Computerized Adaptive Testing Laboratory).

SWAMINATHAN, H. Y ROGERS, H.J. (1990). Detecting differential item functioning using logistic regression procedures. *Journal of Educational Measurement.* **27**. pp: 361-370.

TESTA, M.A.; SIMONSON, D.C. (1996). Assessment of quality of life outcomes. *The New England Journal of Medicine.* **13**. pp: 835-840.

THISSEN, D. (1991). *MULTILOG: Multiple category item análisis and test scoring using item response theory*. Ed. Scientific Software Internacional, Inc. Chicago.

THISSEN, D.; STEINBERG, L.; GERRAD, M. (1986). Beyond group-mean differences: The concept of item bias. *Psychological Bulletin*. **99**. pp: 118-128.

THISSEN, D.; STEINBERG, L. WAINER, H. (1988). Use of item response theory in the study of group differences in trace lines. (In WAINER, H.; BRAUN, H.I. *Test Validity*. Ed. LEA.Hillsdale).

THISSEN, D.; STEINBERG, L.; WAINER, H. (1993) Detection of differential item functioning using the parameters of item response models. pp: 67-113. (In HOLLAND, P.W.; WAINER, H. *Differential item functioning*. Ed. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. Hillsdale).

THOMPSON, M.S. (1986). Willingness to pay and accept risks to cure chronic disease. *Am J Public Health*. **76**. pp: 392-396.

THOMPSON, M.S.; READ, J.L.; LIANG, M. (1984). Feasibility of Willingness-to-Pay measurement in chronic arthritis. *Med Decis Making*. **4**. pp: 195-215.

TORRANCE, G.W. (1976). Social preferences for health states: and empirical evaluation of three measurement techniques. *Socioecon Plannig Sci*. **10**. pp: 129-136.

TORRANCE, G.W. THOMAS, W.H.; SACKETT, D.L. (1972). A Utility Maximization Model for evaluation health care programs. *Health Service Res*. **7**. pp: 118-133.

TUCKER, L. (1946). Maximum validity of a test with equivalent ítems. *Psychometrika*. **11**. pp: 1-13.

TUDELA, L.; BADÍA, X. (2003). Cuestionarios en Atención Primaria. La evaluación de la Calidad de Vida en la Osteoporosis. *Atención Primaria*. **31**(2). pp: 126-131.

- UTTARO, T.; LEHMAN, A. (1999). Graded response modeling of the Quality of Life Interview. *Evaluation and Program Planning*. **22**. pp: 41-52.
- Van der FLIER, H.; MELLENBERGH, G. J.; ADER, H.J.; WIJN, M. (1984). An iterative item bias detection method. *Journal of Educational Measurement*. **21**. pp: 131–145.
- Van SHOOR, N.; KNOL, D.; GLAS, C.; OSTELO, R.; LEPLÈGE, A.; COOPER, C.; JOHNELL, O.; LIPS, P. (2006). Development of the Qualeffo-31, an osteoporosis-specific quality-of-life questionnaire. *Osteoporos Int*. **17**. pp: 543-551.
- VACHON, M.L.; KRISTJANSON, L.; HIGGINSON, I. (1995). Psychosocial issues in palliative care: the patient, the family, and the process and outcome of care. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. **10**. pp: 142-150.
- VÁZQUEZ-BARQUERO, J.L.; ARIAS-BAL, M.A.; PEÑA, C.; DÍEZ-MANRIQUE, J.F.; AYESTERÁN-RUIZ, A.; MIRÓ, J. (1991). El cuestionario perfil de impacto de la enfermedad (SIP): Versión española de una medida del estado de salud. *Actas Luso-Esp Neurol Psiquiatr*. **19**. pp: 127-134.
- VELARDE-JURADO, E.; AVILA-FIGUEROA, M.C. (2002). Consideraciones metodológicas para evaluar Calidad de Vida. *Salud Pública de Mexico*. **44**(5). pp: 448-463.
- VICENTE GALINDO, P. (2003). *Contribuciones al análisis de datos de Calidad de Vida Relacionada con la Salud*. Tesis Doctoral. Universidad de Salamanca.
- Von NEUMANN, J., MORGENSTERN, O. (1953). *Theory of games and economic behaviour*. Third edition. Ed. John Wiley and Sons. New York.
- WAINER, H. (1993). Model-based standardized measurement of an item's differential impact. pp: 123-135. (In HOLLAND, P.W.; WAINER, H. *Differential Item Functioning*. Ed. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. New Jersey).

WAINER, H.; THISSEN, D. (1976). Three steps towards robust regression. *Psychometrika*. **41**. pp: 9-34.

WALTER S, DUNCAN D. (1967). Estimation of the probability of an event as a function of several variables. *Biométrica*. **54**. pp: 167-179.

WARE, J.E. y col. (1992). The MOS 36-item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36): I. Conceptual framework and item selection. *Medical Care*. **30**. pp : 473-478.

WARE, J.E.; KOSINSKI, M.; GANDEK, B. y col. (1998). The factor structure of the SF-36 Health survey in 10 countries: results from the IQOLA Project. *J. Clin Epidemiol*. **51**. pp: 1159-1165.

WILLIAMS, V. S. L. (1997). The unbiased anchor: Bridging the gap between DIF and item bias. *Applied Measurement in Education*. **10**. pp: 253-267.

ZASLAVSKY, A. (2005). Quality of Life. pp: 4729-4841. (In ARMITAGE, P.; COLTON, T. *Encyclopedia of Biostatistics*. Ed. Wiley. Second-Edition).

ZUMBO, B. D. (1999). *A handbook on the theory and methods of differential item functioning (DIF): Logistic regression modeling as a unitary framework for binary and Likert-type (ordinal) item scores*. Directorate of Human Resources Research and Evaluation, Department of National Defense. Ottawa, Ontario, Canada

ZUMBO, B. D.; THOMAS, D. R. (1996). *A measure of DIF effect size using logistic regression procedures*. Paper presented at the National Board of Medical Examiners. Philadelphia, PA.