

## *Analytic Functions on $c_0$*

RICHARD M. ARON and JOSIP GLOBEVNIK

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $F$  be a space of continuous complex valued functions on a subset of  $c_0$  which contains the standard unit vector basis  $\{e_n\}$ . Let  $R:F \rightarrow C^N$  be the restriction map, given by  $R(f) = (f(e_1), \dots, f(e_n), \dots)$ . We characterize the ranges  $R(F)$  for various "nice" spaces  $F$ . For example, if  $F = P(c_0)$ , then  $R(F) = l_1$ , and if  $F = A^\infty(B(c_0))$ , then  $R(F) = l_\infty$ .

Let  $c_0$  be the Banach space of complex null sequences  $\vec{x} = (x_n)$ , with the normal *sup*-norm and usual basis vectors  $\vec{e}_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$ , and let  $F$  be a space of continuous complex-valued functions on some subset of  $c_0$  which contains the standard basis of  $c_0$ . Let  $R:F \rightarrow C^N$  be the mapping which assigns to each function  $f \in F$  the sequence  $(f(e_1), \dots, f(e_n), \dots)$ . Our attention in this article will be focussed on characterizing the range of  $R$  for various spaces  $F$  of interest. For example, if  $F = C(c_0)$ , the space of all continuous complex valued functions on  $c_0$ , then a trivial application of the Tietze extension theorem shows that  $R(F) = C^N$ . On the other hand,  $c_0$  is weakly normal (Corson [6], see also Ferrera [9]). Since  $\{0\} \cup \{e_n; n \in N\}$  is weakly compact, we see that  $R(F) = c$ , the space of convergent sequences, if we take  $F$  to be the subspace of  $C(c_0)$  consisting of weakly continuous functions. Recently Jaramillo [11] has examined the relationship between reflexivity of the space  $F$  and the range of  $R$ , for certain spaces of *real* valued infinitely differentiable functions and polynomials on a Banach space  $E$  with unconditional basis  $\{e_n; n \in N\}$ .

We concentrate here on analogous spaces of *complex* valued functions on  $c_0$ . After a review of relevant notation and definitions, we show in Section 1 that  $R(F) = l_1$  when  $F = P^n(c_0)$ ,  $n \in N$ . As a consequence, we prove that if  $F = \{f \in H_b(B_R(c_0)); f(0) = 0\}$ , then  $R(F) = l_1$ . Taking  $n = 2$  in the above result, we see that every 2-homogeneous polynomial  $P$  on  $c_0$  satisfies  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |P(e_j)| < \infty$ . This result is reminiscent of classical work of Littlewood [13], who proved that every continuous bilinear form  $A$  on  $c_0 \times c_0$  satisfies  $(A(e_j, e_k))_{j,k=1}^{\infty} \in l_{4/3}$ . Littlewood's work was extended by Davie [7], who showed

that every continuous  $n$ -linear form  $A: c_0 \times \dots \times c_0 \rightarrow C$  satisfies  $(A(e_{\alpha_1}, \dots, e_{\alpha_n})) \in l_{2n/n+1}$ . In Section 2, we prove that  $R(A^\infty(B(c_0))) = l_\infty$ , and as a corollary of the proof of this result we show  $R(A_U(B(c_0))) = l_1$ .

Our notation for analytic functions is standard and follows, for example, Dineen [8] and Mujica [14]. For a Banach space  $E$ ,  $B_R(E)$  denotes the open  $R$ -ball centered at 0 in  $E$  with  $B_r(E)$  abbreviated to  $B(E)$ .  $L^n(E)$  denotes the Banach space of continuous  $n$ -linear forms  $A: E \times \dots \times E \rightarrow C$ , equipped with the norm  $\|A\| = \sup\{|A(x_1, \dots, x_n)| : x_j \in E, \|x_j\| \leq 1, j = 1, \dots, n\}$ .  $P^n(E)$  denotes the Banach space of continuous  $n$ -homogeneous polynomials on  $E$ . Each such polynomial  $P$  is associated with a unique symmetric continuous  $n$ -linear form  $A$ , by  $P(x) = A(x, \dots, x)$ , and  $\|P\|$  is defined to be  $\sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} |P(x)|$ . A function  $f$  from an open subset  $U$  of  $E$  to  $C$  is said to be *holomorphic* if  $f$  has a complex Fréchet derivative at each point of  $U$ . Equivalently,  $f$  is holomorphic if for all points  $a \in U$ , the Taylor series  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(x-a)$ , converges uniformly for all  $x$  in some neighborhood of  $a$ , where each  $P_n \in P^n(E)$ .

$H_b(B_R(E))$  is the space of all holomorphic functions on  $B_R(E)$  which are bounded on  $B_r(E)$  for every  $r < R$ . A useful characterization of  $H_b(B_R(E))$  is that it consists of all holomorphic functions  $f$  on  $B_R(E)$  such that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_n\|^{1/n} \leq 1/R$ , where  $\{P_n: n \in N\}$  represents the Taylor polynomials of  $f$  at the origin. The spaces  $A^\infty(B(E))$  and  $A_U(B(E))$  have been studied by Cole and Gamelin [4,5], Globevnik [10] and others [1].  $A^\infty(B(E)) = \{f: B(E) \rightarrow C: f \text{ is holomorphic on } B(E) \text{ and continuous and bounded on } \overline{B(E)}\}$ . Unless  $E$  is finite dimensional, this space is always strictly larger than  $A_U(B(E)) = \{f: B(E) \rightarrow C: f \text{ is holomorphic and uniformly continuous on } B(E)\}$ . Both of these spaces are natural infinite dimensional analogues of the disc algebra.

## SECTION 1

We show here that for all  $P \in P^n(c_0)$  and all  $n \in N$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^n |P(e_j)| \leq \|P\|$ . This has already been done by K. John [12], in the case  $n=2$ . In [13], Littlewood showed that for every  $A \in L^n(c_0)$ ,  $(A(e_p, e_k))_{k=1}^n \in l_{4/3}$ , and that  $4/3$  is best possible; thus, Littlewood's  $4/3$  result notwithstanding, John's result is that every  $A \in L^n(c_0)$  has a trace. Our proof will make use of a generalization of the classical Rademacher functions, which seems to be well-known to probabilists (see, for example, Chatterji [3]).

**Definition 1.1.** Fix  $n \in N$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and let  $\alpha_1 = 1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  denote the  $n^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity. Let  $s_j: [0,1] \rightarrow C$  be the step function taking the value  $\alpha_j$  on  $(j-1/n, j/n)$ , for  $j=1, \dots, n$ . Assuming that  $s_{k-1}$  has been defined, define  $s_k$  in the following natural way. Fix any of the  $n^{k-1}$  sub-intervals  $I$  of  $[0,1]$  used in the definition of  $s_{k-1}$ . Divide  $I$  into  $n$  equal intervals  $I_1, \dots, I_n$  and set  $s_k(t) = \alpha_j$  if  $t \in I_j$ ,

(The endpoints of the intervals are irrelevant for this construction and we may, for example, define  $s_k$  to be 1 on each endpoint.)

Of course, when  $n=2$ , Definition 1.1 gives us the classical Rademacher functions. The following lemma lists the basic properties of the functions  $s_k$ . Its proof is similar to the usual, induction proof for the Rademacher functions, and is omitted.

**Lemma 1.2.** For each  $n=2,3,\dots$ , the associated functions  $s_k$  satisfy the following properties:

- (a).  $|s_k(t)| = 1$ , for all  $k \in N$  and all  $t \in [0,1]$ .  
 (b). For any choice of  $k_1, \dots, k_n$

$$\int_0^1 s_{k_1}(t) \dots s_{k_n}(t) dt = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k_1 = \dots = k_n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We are grateful to Andrew Tonge for suggesting an improvement in the proof of the following result.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $P \in P({}^n c_0)$ . Then  $\|(P(e_i))\|_{l_1} \leq \|P\|$ .

**Proof.** Let  $A \in L({}^n c_0)$  be the symmetric  $n$ -linear form associated to  $P$ . Fix any  $m \in N$ . For each  $i=1, \dots, m$ , let  $\lambda_i = |A(e_i, \dots, e_i)| / A(e_i, \dots, e_i)$ , if  $A(e_i, \dots, e_i) \neq 0$ , and 1 otherwise. Furthermore, let  $\beta_i$  denote any  $n^{\text{th}}$  root of  $\lambda_i$ . Thus,  $\lambda_i A(e_i, \dots, e_i) = |P(e_i)|$  for each  $i=1, \dots, m$ . Adding and applying Lemma 1.2 for the integer  $n$ , we get  $\sum_{i=1}^m |P(e_i)| = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i A(e_i, \dots, e_i)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n=1}^m \int_0^1 \lambda_{i_1} s_{i_1}(t) s_{i_2}(t) \dots s_{i_n}(t) A(e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \dots, e_{i_n}) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 A(\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i s_i(t) e_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^m s_i(t) e_i) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 A(\sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i s_i(t) e_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i s_i(t) e_i) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|\sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i s_i(t) e_i\| \leq 1$  for all  $t$ , the last expression is clearly less than or equal to  $\|P\|$ . Since  $m$  was arbitrary, the proof is complete. ■

Rephrasing the above result in terms of the mapping  $R$  mentioned in the introduction, Theorem 1.3 implies that for any  $n$ ,  $R(P({}^n c_0)) \subset l_1$ . In fact,  $R$  is onto  $l_1$ , since any  $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p, \dots) \in l_1$  equals  $R(P)$ , where  $P \in P({}^n c_0)$  is given by  $P(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j x_j^n$ .

We conclude this section by proving that, up to a normalizing factor,  $R(H_b(B_R(c_0)))=l_1$ , for every  $R>1$ . Since  $H_b(B_R(c_0))$  “approaches”  $A^\infty(B(c_0))$  as  $R \downarrow 1$ , it is tempting to guess that Corollary 1.4 below is also true for the latter space. We will see in the next section that this is completely false.

**Corollary 1.4.** *Let  $R>1$  and let  $f \in H_b(B_R(c_0))$ , with  $f(0)=0$ . Then  $(f(e_n))_{n=1}^\infty \in l_1$ .*

**Proof.** By the characterization given earlier of  $H_b(B_R(c_0))$ , we see that if  $S$  is such that  $1<S<R$ , then  $\|P_m\|^{1/m} < 1/S$ , for all large  $m$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^\infty |f(e_n)| &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left| \sum_{m=1}^\infty P_m(e_n) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty |P_m(e_n)| \leq \sum_{m=1}^\infty \|P_m\| < \infty. \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

## SECTION 2

The following fundamental lemma shows in effect that any sequence of 0's and 1's can be interpolated by a norm one function in  $A^\infty(B(c_0))$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** (i). *Let  $S \subset N$  be an arbitrary set. There exists a function  $F \in A^\infty(B(c_0))$  with the following properties:*

$$\|F\| = \sup_{x \in B(c_0)} |F(x)| = 1,$$

$$F(e_n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \in S \\ 0 & \text{if } n \notin S \end{cases}$$

(ii). *If  $S$  is finite, then a function  $F \in A_c(B(c_0))$  can be found which satisfies the above conditions.*

**Proof.** Let  $\alpha_j \uparrow \infty$  so quickly that the following three conditions are satisfied:

- (i). The function  $\Phi(x) \equiv \prod_{j \in S} (1 - x_j)^{1/\alpha_j}$  converges for all  $x \in \overline{B(c_0)}$ ,
- (ii).  $\operatorname{Re} \Phi(x) \geq 0$ , for all  $x \in \overline{B(c_0)}$ ,
- (iii).  $\Phi(x) = 0$  for some  $x \in \overline{B(c_0)}$  if and only if  $\operatorname{Re} \Phi(x) = 0$ .

Note that  $\Phi \in A^\infty(B(c_0))$  and, if  $S$  is finite then in fact  $\Phi \in A_c(B(c_0))$ . Also,

$$\Phi(e_n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } n \in S \\ 1 & \text{for } n \notin S \end{cases}$$

Now, let  $G(x) \equiv e^{-\alpha(x)}$ . From the above, it is clear that  $G \in A^\infty(B(c_0))$  for arbitrary  $S$  and that  $G \in A_c(B(c_0))$  for finite  $S$ . In addition,  $|G(x)| \leq 1$  for all  $x$  and

$$G(e_n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } n \in S \\ 1/e & \text{for } n \notin S \end{cases}$$

Finally, let  $T: \bar{\Delta} \rightarrow \bar{\Delta}$  be the Mobius transformation  $T(z) = \frac{z-1/e}{1-z/e}$  (where  $\Delta$  is the complex unit disc.) It is clear that  $F \equiv T \circ G$  satisfies all the conditions of the lemma. ■

We come now to the analogue of Corollary 1.4, for the polydisc algebras  $A^\infty(B(c_0))$  and  $A_c(B(c_0))$ . Note that here the situation is completely different from the situation in Section 1.

**Theorem 2.2.** (i).  $R(A^\infty(B(c_0))) = l_\infty$ . In fact, given  $(\alpha_n) \in l_\infty$ , there is  $F \in A^\infty(B(c_0))$  such that  $F(e_n) = \alpha_n$  for all  $n \in N$  and such that  $\|F\| \leq 4\|(\alpha_n)\|_{l_\infty}$ .  
(ii).  $R(A_c(B(c_0))) = c$ . In fact, given  $(\alpha_n) \in c$ , there is  $F \in A_c(B(c_0))$  such that  $F(e_n) = \alpha_n$  for all  $n \in N$  and such that  $\|F\| \leq 8\|(\alpha_n)\|_{l_\infty}$ .

**Proof.** (i). Without loss of generality,  $\|(\alpha_n)\| \leq 1$ . Let us first suppose that  $\alpha_n \geq 0$  for all  $n$ . Write  $\alpha_n = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \alpha_{nj}$ , where each  $\alpha_{nj} = 0$  or 1. Let  $S_j = \{n \in N: \alpha_{nj} = 1\}$ , and let  $F_j$  be the associated function obtained using Lemma 2.1. It is easy to see that  $F \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} F_j$  is the required function in this case, and that  $\|F\| \leq \|(\alpha_n)\|$ . The case of general  $\alpha_n$ 's is treated by writing  $\alpha_n = p_n - q_n + iu_n - iv_n$ .

(ii). Suppose first that  $(\alpha_n) \in c$  with  $\|(\alpha_n)\| \leq 1$ , and write each  $\alpha_n = l + \beta_n$ , where  $l = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n$ . As above, if each  $\beta_n$  is expressed in binary series form, then each of the associated sets  $S_j$  is finite. As a result, each  $F_j$  is in  $A_c(B(c_0))$  by Lemma 2.1 (ii), so that  $F \in A_c(B(c_0))$ . The required function is  $G \equiv F + l$ .

Finally, note that for any  $F \in A_c(B(c_0))$ ,  $F(x)$  can be approximated uniformly for  $x \in B(c_0)$  by  $F_r(x) = F(rx)$  for  $r$  sufficiently close to 1. Next,  $F(rx)$  can be uniformly approximated on the unit ball of  $c_0$  by a finite Taylor series, say  $\sum_{k=0}^M P_k(x)$  (where  $P_0$  is a constant). Next, it is well known (see, for example, [15]) that any  $k$ -homogeneous polynomial  $P_k$  on  $c_0$  can be uniformly approximated on  $B(c_0)$  by an  $k$ -homogeneous polynomial  $Q_k$  which is a finite sum of products of  $k$  continuous linear functionals on  $c_0$ . Summarizing, we see that the original function  $F$  can be uniformly approximated on  $B(c_0)$  by  $\sum_{k=0}^M Q_k$ . Now, since,  $(e_n) \rightarrow 0$  weakly it follows that for each  $k = 1, \dots, M$ ,  $Q_k(e_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence  $R(F) \in c$ , and the proof is complete. ■

It would be interesting to determine the best possible estimates in Theorem 2.2. In [2], we note that in this situation, the best estimate must be strictly

larger than 1. To see this, suppose that there is  $F \in A^\infty(B(c_0))$  such that  $\|F\| = 1$  and such that  $F(e_1) = 1$ ,  $F(e_2) = -1$ , and  $F(e_j) = 0$  for all  $j \geq 3$ . Then the function  $f_1(z) \equiv F(1, z, 0, \dots)$  would be in the disc algebra  $A(\Delta)$ , and  $f_1$  would attain its maximum at 0. Hence,  $f_1$  would be a constant and, in particular,  $1 = f_1(1) = F(1, 1, 0, \dots)$ . Similarly, the function  $f_2(z) \equiv F(z, 1, 0, \dots)$  would be constant, and so  $-1 = f_2(1) = F(1, 1, 0, \dots)$ , a contradiction. In [2], the authors find necessary and sufficient conditions on the sequence  $(x_n) \subset c_0$  in order that the mapping  $F \in A^\infty(B(c_0)) \rightarrow (F(x_n)) \in l_\infty$  be surjective and satisfy the following condition: For each  $(\alpha_n) \in l_\infty$ , there is  $F \in A^\infty(B(c_0))$  such that  $F(x_n) = \alpha_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\|F\| = \sup_n |\alpha_n|$ .

### References

- [1] R. M. ARON, B. COLE, and T. GAMELIN, *The spectra of algebras of analytic functions associated with a Banach space*, to appear.
- [2] R. M. ARON and J. GLOBENIK, *Interpolation by analytic functions on  $c_0$* , Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 104(1988)295-302.
- [3] S. D. CHATTERJI, *Continuous functions representable as sums of independent random variables*, Z. Wahrsch., 13 (1969) 338-341.
- [4] B. COLE and T. GAMELIN, *Representing measures and Hardy spaces for the infinite polydisc algebra*, Proc. London Math. Soc (3) 53 (1986) 1, 112-142.
- [5] B. COLE and T. GAMELIN, *Weak-star continuous homomorphisms and a decomposition of orthogonal measures*, Ann. Inst. Fourier 35 (1985) 149-189.
- [6] H. H. CORSON, *The weak topology of a Banach space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 101 (1961), 1-15.
- [7] A. M. DAVIE, *Quotient algebras of uniform algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. 7 (1973) 31-40.
- [8] S. DINEEN, *Complex analysis in locally convex spaces*, Mathematics Studies 83, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1981.
- [9] J. FERRERA, *Spaces of weakly continuous functions*, Pacific J. Math. 102(1982) 285-291.
- [10] J. GLOBEVNIK, *Boundaries for polydisc algebras in infinite dimensions*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 85 (1979) 291-303.
- [11] J. A. JARAMILLO, *Algebras de funciones continuas y diferenciables*, PhD dissertation, Univ. Complutense de Madrid, 1988.
- [12] K. JOHN, *On tensor product characterization of nuclear spaces*, Math. Ann. 257(1981) 341-353.
- [13] J. LITTLEWOOD, *On bounded bilinear forms in an infinite number of variables*, Quart. Journ. of Math. (2) 2 (1930), 164-174.
- [14] J. MUJICA, *Complex analysis in Banach spaces*, Math. Studies 120, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1986.

- [15] A. PELCZYNSKI, *A theorem of Dunford-Pettis type for polynomial operators*, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sc. XI, 6 (1963) 379-386.

Department of Mathematics  
Kent State University  
Kent, Ohio 44242  
U.S.A.

Institute of Mathematics  
University of Ljubljana  
19 Jadranska  
61000 Ljubljana  
Yugoslavia