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#### Abstract

We give direct approximation theorems for some linear operators in certain weighted spaces. The results are given in terms of some DitzianTotik moduli of smoothness.


## 1. Introduction

Let $A_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}:=\{1,2, \ldots\}$, be the gamma type operators given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}(f ; x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{(2 n+3)!x^{n+3} t^{n}}{n!(n+2)!(x+t)^{2 n+4}} f(t) d t, \quad x, t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}:=(0, \infty) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and defined for any $f$ for which the above integral is convergent.
The operators (1.1) are linear and positive, and preserve the functions $e_{0}(t)=1$, $e_{2}(t)=t^{2}$ (see [5, 9, 11). Basic facts on positive linear operators, their generalizations and applications, can be found in [2, (3).

Approximation properties of $A_{n}$ were examined in [5]-8]. Recently, İzgi (4) obtained the following result.

Lemma 1.1 (4). For any $r \in \mathbb{N}_{0}:=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$,

$$
A_{n}^{(r)}(f ; x)=\frac{(2 n+3)!}{n!(n+2)!} x^{n+3-r} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n+r}}{(x+t)^{2 n+4}} f^{(r)}(t) d t, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}
$$

provided that the $r$-th derivative $f^{(r)}(r=0,1,2, \ldots)$ exists continuously.
The local rate of convergence and the Voronovskaya type theorem for operators $A_{n}^{(r)}$ were given in 4, 10].

In this paper we shall study approximation properties of $A_{n}^{(r)}$ for functions $f \in$ $C_{p}, p \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, where $C_{p}$ is a polynomial weighted space with the weight function $w_{p}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{0}(x)=1 \quad \text { and } \quad w_{p}(x)=\frac{1}{1+x^{p}}, \quad p \in \mathbb{N} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]and $C_{p}$ is the set of all real-valued functions $f$ for which $f w_{p}$ is uniformly continuous and bounded on $\mathbb{R}_{0}=[0, \infty)$. The norm on $C_{p}$ is defined by
$$
\|f\|_{p}=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}} w_{p}(x)|f(x)| .
$$

We shall prove approximation theorems which are similar to some results given in [8] for the operators (1.1).

We consider the modulus of continuity of $f \in C_{p}$,

$$
\omega_{p}(f, \delta)=\sup _{h \in[0, \delta]}\left\|\Delta_{h} f\right\|_{p}
$$

and the modulus of smoothness of $f \in C_{p}$,

$$
\omega_{p}^{2}(f, \delta)=\sup _{h \in[0, \delta]}\left\|\Delta_{h}^{2} f\right\|_{p}
$$

where

$$
\Delta_{h} f(x)=f(x+h)-f(x), \quad \Delta_{h}^{2} f(x)=f(x+2 h)-2 f(x+h)+f(x)
$$

for $x, h \in \mathbb{R}_{0}$.
Throughout this paper we shall denote by $M_{\alpha, \beta}$ positive constants depending only on indicated parameters $\alpha, \beta$, and point out that they are not the same at each occurrence.

## 2. Auxiliary results

In this section we give some preliminary results which will be used in the sequel. Let

$$
a(n, r)=\frac{(2 n+3)!}{n!(n+2)!} x^{n+3-r} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n+r}}{(x+t)^{2 n+4}} d t=\frac{(n+r)!(n+2-r)!}{n!(n+2)!} .
$$

We consider the sequence of positive operators $\left\{A_{n, r}^{*}\right\}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n, r}^{*}(g ; x)=\frac{(2 n+3)!x^{n+3-r}}{(n+r)!(n+2-r)!} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n+r}}{(x+t)^{2 n+4}} g(t) d t \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$x, t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, r \in \mathbb{N}_{0}($ see [4]).
If $f$ is right side continuous at $x=0$, we define

$$
A_{n}(f, 0)=f(0), \quad A_{n, r}^{*}(f, 0)=f(0), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

In the sequel the following functions will be meaningful:

$$
e_{s}(t)=t^{s}, \quad \phi_{x, s}(t)=(t-x)^{s}, \quad s \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}
$$

The $s$-th moments $A_{n, r}^{*}\left(e_{s} ; x\right)$ were characterized in [4].

Lemma 2.1. (4) For any $s \in \mathbb{N}, s \leq n+2-r$, and $r \leq n+2$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{n, r}^{*}\left(e_{s} ; x\right) & =\frac{(n+r+s)!(n+2-r-s)!}{(n+r)!(n+2-r)!} x^{s}, \\
A_{n, r}^{*}\left(\phi_{x, 1} ; x\right) & =\frac{2 r-1}{n+2-r} x  \tag{2.2}\\
A_{n, r}^{*}\left(\phi_{x, 2} ; x\right) & =\frac{2\left(n+2 r^{2}+1\right)}{(n+2-r)(n+1-r)} x^{2}  \tag{2.3}\\
A_{n, r}^{*}\left(\phi_{x, 4} ; x\right) & =\frac{c_{n, r}}{(n+2-r)(n+1-r)(n-r)(n-1-r)} x^{4} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{n, r}=12\left(n^{2}+6 n+5\right)+4\left(n^{2}+23 n+32\right) r+4(11 n+32) r^{2}+64 r^{3}+16 r^{4}$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}$.

Let $p, r \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. By $C_{p}^{r}$ we denote the space of all functions $f \in C_{p}$ on $\mathbb{R}_{0}$ such that $f^{\prime}, \ldots, f^{(r)} \in C_{p}$ on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$.

With the representations of Lemma 2.1 we may now determine the fundamental properties of the operators $A_{n, r}^{*}$ and $A_{n}^{(r)}$ necessary for characterizing their approximation properties in the next section.
Theorem 2.1. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. The operator $A_{n}^{(r)}$ maps $C_{p}^{r}$ into $C_{p}^{r}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{1}{a(n, r)} A_{n}^{(r)}(f ; \cdot)\right\|_{p} \leq M_{p, r}\left\|f^{(r)}\right\|_{p} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f \in C_{p}^{r}$ and $n>p+r-2$, where $M_{p, r}$ is some positive constant.
Proof. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$. First, we remark that

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{p}(x) A_{n, r}^{*}\left(\frac{1}{w_{p}} ; x\right) & =w_{p}(x)\left\{A_{n, r}^{*}\left(e_{0} ; x\right)+A_{n, r}^{*}\left(e_{p} ; x\right)\right\} \\
& =w_{p}(x)\left\{1+\frac{(n+r+p)!(n+2-r-p)!}{(n+r)!(n+2-r)!} x^{p}\right\}  \tag{2.6}\\
& \leq M_{p, r} w_{p}(x)\left\{1+x^{p}\right\}=M_{p, r},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
M_{p, r}=\max \left\{\sup _{n} \frac{(n+r+p)!(n+2-r-p)!}{(n+r)!(n+2-r)!}, 1\right\} .
$$

Observe that for all $f \in C_{p}^{r}$ and every $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{p}(x)\left|\frac{1}{a(n, r)} A_{n}^{(r)}(f ; x)\right| \\
& \quad \leq w_{p}(x) \frac{\alpha_{n} x^{n+3-r}}{a(n, r)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n+r}}{(x+t)^{2 n+4}}\left|f^{(r)}(t)\right| w_{p}(t) \frac{1}{w_{p}(t)} d t \\
& \quad \leq\left\|f^{(r)}\right\|_{p} w_{p}(x) A_{n, r}^{*}\left(\frac{1}{w_{p}} ; x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (2.6 we obtain

$$
w_{p}(x)\left|\frac{1}{a(n, r)} A_{n}^{(r)}(f ; x)\right| \leq M_{p, r}\left\|f^{(r)}\right\|_{p}
$$

and we have the assertion for $p \in \mathbb{N}$.
In the case $p=0$ the proof follows immediately.
Lemma 2.2. Let $p, r \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. For the operators $A_{n, r}^{*}$, there exists a constant $M_{p, r}>$ 0 such that

$$
w_{p}(x) A_{n, r}^{*}\left(\frac{\phi_{x, 2}}{w_{p}} ; x\right) \leq M_{p, r} \frac{x^{2}}{n}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}$ and $n>p+r-2$.
Proof. Using Lemma 2.1 we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{0}(x) A_{n, r}^{*}\left(\frac{\phi_{x, 2}}{w_{0}} ; x\right) & =\frac{2\left(n+2 r^{2}+1\right)}{(n+2-r)(n+1-r)} x^{2} \\
& =\frac{2 n\left(n+2 r^{2}+1\right)}{(n+2-r)(n+1-r)} \cdot \frac{x^{2}}{n} \leq M_{r} \frac{x^{2}}{n} \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

which gives the result for $p=0$.
Let $p \geq 1$. Then, we get from Lemma 2.1

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{n, r}^{*} & \left(\frac{\phi_{x, 2}}{w_{p}} ; x\right) \\
= & A_{n, r}^{*}\left(e_{p+2} ; x\right)-2 x A_{n, r}^{*}\left(e_{p+1} ; x\right)+x^{2} A_{n, r}^{*}\left(e_{p} ; x\right)+A_{n, r}^{*}\left(\phi_{x, 2} ; x\right) \\
= & \frac{(n+r+p)!(n-r-p)!}{(n+r)!(n+2-r)!} x^{p+2}[(n+r+p+1)(n+r+p+2) \\
& -2(n+r+p+1)(n+1-r-p)+(n+1-r-p)(n+2-r-p)] \\
& +\frac{2\left(n+2 r^{2}+1\right)}{(n+2-r)(n+1-r)} x^{2} \\
= & \left\{1+\left[1+\frac{4 r p+2 p^{2}}{n+2 r^{2}+1}\right] \frac{(n+r+p)!(n-r-p)!}{(n+r)!(n-r)!} x^{p}\right\} \\
& \times \frac{2 x^{2}\left(n+2 r^{2}+1\right)}{(n+2-r)(n+1-r)} \leq M_{p, r} \frac{x^{2}}{n}\left(1+x^{p}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M_{p, r}$ is some positive constant. This completes the proof.

## 3. Rate of convergence

The proof of the direct theorems will follow along standard lines using a Jackson type inequality, the Steklov means, and appropriate estimates of the moments of the operators.

Theorem 3.1. Let $r, p \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. If $g \in C_{p}^{1}$, then there exists a positive constant $M_{p, r}$ such that

$$
w_{p}(x)\left|A_{n, r}^{*}(g ; x)-g(x)\right| \leq M_{p, r}\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{p} \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and $n>p+r-2$.
Proof. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. We have

$$
g(t)-g(x)=\int_{x}^{t} g^{\prime}(u) d u, \quad t \geq 0
$$

Taking into account the fact that $A_{n, r}^{*}(1 ; x)=1$ and using the linearity of $A_{n, r}^{*}$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n, r}^{*}(g ; x)-g(x)=A_{n, r}^{*}\left(\int_{x}^{t} g^{\prime}(u) d u ; x\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark that

$$
\left|\int_{x}^{t} g^{\prime}(u) d u\right| \leq\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{p}\left|\int_{x}^{t} \frac{d u}{w_{p}(u)}\right| \leq\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{p}\left(\frac{1}{w_{p}(t)}+\frac{1}{w_{p}(x)}\right)|t-x| .
$$

Hence and from (3.1) we obtain

$$
w_{p}(x)\left|A_{n, r}^{*}(g ; x)-g(x)\right| \leq\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{p}\left\{A_{n, r}^{*}\left(\left|\phi_{x, 1}\right| ; x\right)+w_{p}(x) A_{n, r}^{*}\left(\frac{\left|\phi_{x, 1}\right|}{w_{p}} ; x\right)\right\} .
$$

Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we can write

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{n, r}^{*}\left(\left|\phi_{x, 1}\right| ; x\right) \leq\left\{A_{n, r}^{*}\left(\phi_{x, 2} ; x\right)\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
A_{n, r}^{*}\left(\frac{\left|\phi_{x, 1}\right|}{w_{p}} ; x\right) \leq\left\{A_{n, r}^{*}\left(\frac{1}{w_{p}} ; x\right)\right\}^{1 / 2}\left\{A_{n, r}^{*}\left(\frac{\phi_{x, 2}}{w_{p}} ; x\right)\right\}^{1 / 2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Finally, using 2.3, 2.7, 2.6 and Lemma 2.2 we obtain

$$
w_{p}(x)\left|A_{n, r}^{*}(g ; x)-g(x)\right| \leq M_{p, r}\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{p} \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}
$$

for $n>p+r-2$.
Theorem 3.2. Let $p, r \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. If $f \in C_{p}^{r}$, then there exists a positive constant $M_{p, r}$ such that

$$
w_{p}(x)\left|\frac{1}{a(n, r)} A_{n}^{(r)}(f ; x)-f^{(r)}(x)\right| \leq M_{p, r} \omega_{p}\left(f^{(r)}, \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right)
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and $n>p+r-2$.
Proof. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. We denote the Steklov means of $f^{(r)}$ by $f_{h}^{(r)}, h>0$. Here we recall that

$$
f_{h}^{(r)}(x)=\frac{1}{h} \int_{0}^{h} f^{(r)}(x+t) d t, \quad h, x \in \mathbb{R}_{+} .
$$

It is obvious that

$$
f_{h}^{(r)}(x)-f^{(r)}(x)=\frac{1}{h} \int_{0}^{h}\left[f^{(r)}(x+t)-f^{(r)}(x)\right] d t
$$

$$
f_{h}^{(r+1)}(x)=\frac{1}{h}\left[f^{(r)}(x+h)-f^{(r)}(x)\right]
$$

for $h, x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. Hence, if $f^{(r)} \in C_{p}$, then $f_{h}^{(r)} \in C_{p}^{1}$ for every fixed $h>0$. Moreover we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{h}^{(r)}-f^{(r)}\right\|_{p} \leq \omega_{p}\left(f^{(r)}, h\right), \quad\left\|f_{h}^{(r+1)}\right\|_{p} \leq \frac{1}{h} \omega_{p}\left(f^{(r)}, h\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{p}(x) & \left|A_{n, r}^{*}\left(f^{(r)} ; x\right)-f^{(r)}(x)\right| \\
\leq & w_{p}(x)\left|A_{n, r}^{*}\left(f^{(r)}-f_{h}^{(r)} ; x\right)\right|+w_{p}(x)\left|A_{n, r}^{*}\left(f_{h}^{(r)} ; x\right)-f_{h}^{(r)}(x)\right| \\
& \quad+w_{p}(x)\left|f_{h}^{(r)}(x)-f^{(r)}(x)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Theorem 2.1 and (3.2) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{p}(x)\left|A_{n, r}^{*}\left(f^{(r)}-f_{h}^{(r)} ; x\right)\right| \\
& \quad=w_{p}(x)\left|A_{n, r}^{*}\left(\left(f-f_{h}\right)^{(r)} ; x\right)\right|=w_{p}(x)\left|\frac{1}{a(n, r)} A_{n}^{(r)}\left(f-f_{h} ; x\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq M_{p, r}\left\|\left(f-f_{h}\right)^{(r)}\right\|_{p}=M_{p, r}\left\|f^{(r)}-f_{h}^{(r)}\right\|_{p} \leq M_{p, r} \omega_{p}\left(f^{(r)}, h\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $h, x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and $n>p+r-2$. From Theorem 3.1 and (3.2) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{p}(x)\left|A_{n, r}^{*}\left(f_{h}^{(r)} ; x\right)-f_{h}^{(r)}(x)\right| & \leq M_{p, r}\left\|f_{h}^{(r+1)}\right\|_{p} \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}} \\
& \leq M_{p, r} \frac{1}{h} \omega_{p}\left(f^{(r)}, h\right) \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (3.2) we can write

$$
w_{p}(x)\left|f_{h}^{(r)}(x)-f^{(r)}(x)\right| \leq\left\|f_{h}^{(r)}-f^{(r)}\right\|_{p} \leq \omega_{p}\left(f^{(r)}, h\right)
$$

for $h, x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and $n>p+r-2$. Finally we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{p}(x)\left|\frac{1}{a(n, r)} A_{n}^{(r)}(f ; x)-f^{(r)}(x)\right| & =w_{p}(x)\left|A_{n, r}^{*}\left(f^{(r)}-f_{h}^{(r)} ; x\right)\right| \\
& \leq \omega_{p}\left(f^{(r)}, h\right)\left[M_{p, r}+\frac{1}{h} M_{p, r} \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}+1\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

for $h, x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, n>p+r-2$. Thus, choosing $h=\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}$, the proof is completed.
Now, we establish the next auxiliary result.
Lemma 3.1. Let $p, r \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $n_{0}=\max \{4-2 r, p+r-2\}$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n, r}(f ; x)=A_{n, r}^{*}(f ; x)-f\left(x+\frac{2 r-1}{n+2-r} x\right)+f(x), \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists a positive constant $M_{p, r}$ such that, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and $n>n_{0}$, we have

$$
w_{p}(x)\left|H_{n, r}(g ; x)-g(x)\right| \leq M_{p, r}\left\|g^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{p} \frac{x^{2}}{n}
$$

for any function $g \in C_{p}^{2}$.
Proof. By the Taylor formula one can write

$$
g(t)-g(x)=(t-x) g^{\prime}(x)+\int_{x}^{t}(t-u) g^{\prime \prime}(u) d u, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_{+} .
$$

Observe that

$$
H_{n, r}\left(e_{0} ; x\right)=H_{n, r}(1 ; x)=1, \quad H_{n, r}\left(\phi_{x, 1} ; x\right)=0
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|H_{n, r}(g ; x)-g(x)\right|= & \left|H_{n, r}(g-g(x) ; x)\right|=\left|H_{n, r}\left(\int_{x}^{t}(t-u) g^{\prime \prime}(u) d u ; x\right)\right| \\
= & \mid A_{n, r}^{*}\left(\int_{x}^{t}(t-u) g^{\prime \prime}(u) d u ; x\right) \\
& \left.\quad-\int_{x}^{x+\frac{2 r-1}{n+2-r} x}\left(x+\frac{2 r-1}{n+2-r} x-u\right) g^{\prime \prime}(u) d u \right\rvert\,
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\left|\int_{x}^{t}(t-u) g^{\prime \prime}(u) d u\right| \leq \frac{\left\|g^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{p}(t-x)^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{1}{w_{p}(x)}+\frac{1}{w_{p}(t)}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left|\int_{x}^{x+\frac{2 r-1}{n+2-r} x}\left(x+\frac{2 r-1}{n+2-r} x-u\right) g^{\prime \prime}(u) d u\right| \leq \frac{\left\|g^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{p}}{2 w_{p}(x)}\left(\frac{2 r-1}{n+2-r} x\right)^{2}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{p}(x)\left|H_{n, r}(g ; x)-g(x)\right| \leq & \frac{\left\|g^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{p}}{2}\left[A_{n, r}^{*}\left(\phi_{x, 2} ; x\right)+w_{p}(x) A_{n, r}^{*}\left(\frac{\phi_{x, 2}}{w_{p}} ; x\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{\left\|g^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{p}}{2}\left(\frac{2 r-1}{n+2-r} x\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by 2.7 and Lemma 2.2 we obtain

$$
w_{p}(x)\left|H_{n, r}(g ; x)-g(x)\right| \leq M_{p, r}\left\|g^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{p} \frac{x^{2}}{n}
$$

for any function $g \in C_{p}^{2}$ and $n>n_{0}$, where $n_{0}=\max \{4-2 r, p+r-2\}$. The lemma is proved.

A further uniform estimate is indicated in the next theorem.

Theorem 3.3. Let $p, r \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $n_{0}=\max \{4-2 r, p+r-2\}$. If $f \in C_{p}^{r}$, then there exists a positive constant $M_{p, r}$ such that
$w_{p}(x)\left|\frac{1}{a(n, r)} A_{n}^{(r)}(f ; x)-f^{(r)}(x)\right| \leq M_{p, r} \omega_{p}^{2}\left(f^{(r)}, \frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}\right)+\omega_{p}\left(f^{(r)}, \frac{2 r-1}{n+2-r} x\right)$
for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$and $n>n_{0}$.
Proof. Let $f \in C_{p}^{r}$. We consider the Steklov means $\tilde{f}_{h}^{(r)}$ of second order of $f^{(r)}$ given by the formula (see [1] p. 317)

$$
\tilde{f}_{h}^{(r)}(x)=\frac{4}{h^{2}} \int_{0}^{h / 2} \int_{0}^{h / 2}\left\{2 f^{(r)}(x+s+t)-f^{(r)}(x+2(s+t))\right\} d s d t
$$

for $h, x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. We have

$$
f^{(r)}(x)-\tilde{f}_{h}^{(r)}(x)=\frac{4}{h^{2}} \int_{0}^{h / 2} \int_{0}^{h / 2} \Delta_{s+t}^{2} f^{(r)}(x) d s d t
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f^{(r)}-\tilde{f}_{h}^{(r)}\right\|_{p} \leq \omega_{p}^{2}\left(f^{(r)}, h\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark that

$$
\tilde{f}_{h}^{(r+2)}(x)=\frac{1}{h^{2}}\left(8 \Delta_{h / 2}^{2} f^{(r)}(x)-\Delta_{h}^{2} f^{(r)}(x)\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\tilde{f}_{h}^{(r+2)}\right\|_{p} \leq \frac{9}{h^{2}} \omega_{p}^{2}\left(f^{(r)}, h\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.4 and 3.5 we conclude that $\tilde{f}_{h}^{(r)} \in C_{p}^{2}$ if $f^{(r)} \in C_{p}$.
Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|A_{n, r}^{*}\left(f^{(r)} ; x\right)-f^{(r)}(x)\right| \\
& \quad \leq H_{n, r}\left(\left|f^{(r)}-\tilde{f}_{h}^{(r)}\right| ; x\right)+\left|f^{(r)}(x)-\tilde{f}_{h}^{(r)}(x)\right| \\
& \quad+\left|H_{n, r}\left(\tilde{f}_{h}^{(r)} ; x\right)-\tilde{f}_{h}^{(r)}(x)\right|+\left|f^{(r)}\left(x+\frac{2 r-1}{n+2-r} x\right)-f^{(r)}(x)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

where $H_{n, r}$ is defined in 3.3 . Since $\tilde{f}_{h}^{(r)} \in C_{p}^{2}$ by the above, it follows from Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 3.1 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{p}(x) \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{a(n, r)}\right. & A_{n}^{(r)}(f ; x)-f^{(r)}(x)\left|=w_{p}(x)\right| A_{n, r}^{*}\left(f^{(r)} ; x\right)-f^{(r)}(x) \mid \\
\leq & (M+3)\left\|f^{(r)}-\tilde{f}_{h}^{(r)}\right\|_{p}+M_{p, r}\left\|\tilde{f}_{h}^{(r+2)}\right\|_{p} \frac{x^{2}}{n} \\
& +w_{p}(x)\left|f^{(r)}\left(x+\frac{2 r-1}{n+2-r} x\right)-f^{(r)}(x)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n>n_{0}, n_{0}=\max \{4-2 r, p+r-2\}$. By (3.4) and (3.5), the last inequality yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{p}(x) \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{a(n, r)} A_{n}^{(r)}(f ; x)\right. & -f^{(r)}(x) \mid \\
\leq & M_{p, r} \omega_{p}^{2}(f, h)\left\{1+\frac{1}{h^{2}} \frac{x^{2}}{n}\right\}+\omega_{p}\left(f^{(r)}, \frac{2 r-1}{n+2-r} x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, choosing $h=\frac{x}{\sqrt{n}}$ we get the result.
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