REVISTA MATEMATICA de la Universidad Complutense de Madrid Volumen 6, número 1; 1993. http://dx.doi.org/10.5209/rev\_REMA.1993.v6.n1.17854

# A Continuous Surjection from the Unit Interval onto the Unit Square

JARI TASKINEN

**ABSTRACT.** We show that there exists a continuous surjection  $\varphi:I \to l^2$  which admits an averaging operator in the sense of Pełczyński and which has the additional property that the map  $\varphi^{\circ}:f \to f \circ \varphi$  is an isomorphism from  $L_n(l^2)$  onto a subspace of  $L_n(l)$ , where  $1 \le p < \infty$ .

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In [T] the author proved that for a wide class of pairs of compact metric spaces  $(K,K_1)$  there exists a continuous surjection  $\psi:K\to K_1$  admitting an averaging operator in the sense of Pełczyński, [P]. The results of [T] contain the important special case that there exists a continuous surjection  $\phi:I\to I^2$ , where  $I=[0,1]\subset\mathbb{R}$ , having a regular averaging operator (for the terminology, see below). The aim of this paper is to show that the definition of  $\phi$  can be modified such that  $\phi^\circ: f\to f\circ \phi$  in addition becomes an isomorphism from  $L_p(I^2)$  onto a subspace of  $L_p(I)$ , where  $1 \le p < \infty$  (Corollary 7 and Theorem 8). So, we get an operator  $\phi^\circ: C(I^2) \to C(I)$  which has good properties simultaneously with respect to the sup- and  $L_p$ -norms. This result, while being of interest in itself, is connected with the study of some Fréchet function spaces, see Section 4.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: primary 46B20, secondary 54C05 Editorial Complutense, Madrid, 1993.

We introduce the notations and definitions used in this paper. If K is a compact metric space, we denote by C(K) the Banach space of continuous, real or complex valued mappings, endowed with the supnorm. If  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are compact metric spaces and  $\varphi: K_1 \to K_2$  is a continuous surjection, we denote by  $\varphi^{\circ}$  the linear isometry from  $C(K_2)$  into  $C(K_1)$  given by  $\varphi^{\circ}f = f \circ \varphi$ . If  $\varphi^{\circ}(C(K_2))$  is 1-complemented in  $C(K_1)$ , i.e., if there exists a contractive projection from  $C(K_1)$  onto  $\varphi^{\circ}(C(K_2))$ , we say that  $\varphi$  admits a regular averaging operator. For more details we recommend the reference [LT], Sections II.4.h.i; see also [P].

Let  $\Delta \subset I$  be the "middle thirds"-Cantor set; see for example [R], p. 179. Using the homeomorphism

$$(\varepsilon_m)_{m=1}^{\infty} \to \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2\varepsilon_m 3^{-m},$$

where  $\varepsilon_m = 0$  or 1 for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we identify the topological product

$$\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \{0,1\} \tag{1}$$

with  $\Delta$ . By  $\psi$ :  $\Delta \rightarrow [0,1]$  we denote the continuous surjection

$$\Psi((\varepsilon_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_m 2^{-m}.$$
 (2)

Each dyadic point of the form

$$\sum_{m=0}^{n} \varepsilon_{m} 2^{-m} \in I,$$

where  $\varepsilon_n = 1$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , has two inverse images,  $(\varepsilon_1,...,\varepsilon_n,0,0,0,...)$  and  $(\varepsilon_1,...,\varepsilon_{n-1},0,1,1,1,...)$ . The other points of I have only one inverse image. We define the discontinuous right inverse  $\varrho: I \to \Delta$  of  $\psi$  by

$$\varrho(x) = \min\{y \in \Delta \mid \psi(y) = x\},\tag{3}$$

where "min" is taken with respect to the usual order of  $I \supset \Delta$ . The

mapping  $\varrho^{\circ}$  is an isometry from  $C(\Delta)$  onto

$$D(I),$$
 (4)

which is the subspace of  $l_{\infty}(I)$  (the Banach space of bounded scalar valued functions on I endowed with the sup-norm) spanned by continuous functions and the characteristic functions of intervals with dyadic endpoints. It is easy to check that such characteristic functions are contained in  $\varrho^{\circ}(C(\Delta))$ , and that the other details of this statement also hold.

The elements of  $\Delta^4$  are considered as 4 x  $\infty$ -matrices consisting of numbers 0 or 1 (see (1.2)). We denote 4 x 1-matrices, i.e., the columns of elements of  $\Delta^4$ , by  $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4)^T$ . By  $\bar{0}$  (resp.  $\bar{1}$ ) we denote a matrix which consists of numbers 0 (resp. 1) only; the dimension of such a matrix will be clear from context. If  $A = (\varepsilon_{ij})$  is a matrix with  $\varepsilon_{ij} = 0$  or 1 for all i and j, we denote by  $A^-$  the matrix  $(\varepsilon_{ij}^-)$ , where

$$\varepsilon_{ij}^- = \begin{cases}
0, & \text{if } \varepsilon_{ij} = 1 \\
1, & \text{if } \varepsilon_{ij} = 0.
\end{cases}$$
(5)

The space of  $4 \times m$ -matrices, consisting of numbers 0 and 1, is denoted by  $\Delta_m^4$ .

We denote by  $m_n$  the *n*-dimensional Lebesgue measure. We define the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  of subsets of  $\Delta$  by

$$\mathcal{M} = \{ \psi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) \mid \mathcal{A} \subset I \text{ is Lebesgue measurable} \},$$

and we define the measure  $\mu_1$  on  $(\Delta, \mathcal{M})$  by  $\mu_1(\mathcal{A}) = m_1(\psi(\mathcal{A}))$ , where  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{M}$ . Note that  $\mu_1$  is additive and even  $\sigma$ -additive in spite of the fact that  $\psi$  is not an injection: if  $\mathcal{A} \subset \Delta$  and  $\mathcal{B} \subset \Delta$  are disjoint, then  $\psi(\mathcal{A}) \cap \psi(\mathcal{B})$  is contained in the subset of the dyadic points of I; this set has Lebesgue measure 0. We denote by  $\mu_n$  the n-fold product of the measure  $\mu_1$ .

We define the homeomorphism  $\eta: \Delta \to \Delta^4$ ,

$$\eta: (\varepsilon_m)_{m=1}^{\infty} \to ((\varepsilon_{4m-2})_{m=1}^{\infty}, (\varepsilon_{4m-2})_{m=1}^{\infty}, (\varepsilon_{4m-1})_{m=1}^{\infty}, (\varepsilon_{4m})_{m=1}^{\infty})^T.$$
 (6)

By

$$\sigma: I \times I \to I \tag{7}$$

we mean the continuous surjection which assigns to  $(x,y) \in I^2$  the unique number  $t \in I$  such that (x,y) belongs to the line segment joining (0,t) with  $(1,t^2)$ . This map is used in the proof of the so called Milutin's lemma, see [LT], II.4.21.

## 2. CONSTRUCTION OF THE MAP $\phi$

We first define the continuous surjection  $\gamma: \Delta^4 \longrightarrow \Delta^4$  as follows.

Let  $A \in \Delta^4$ ; we write  $A = (A_1, A_2, A_3,...)$  where each  $A_m = (B_m, C_m)$  is a  $4 \times 2$ -matrix consisting of (2m-1):th and 2m:th columns  $B_m$  and  $C_m$  of A. We first define for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  the  $4 \times 1$ -matrices  $D_m$  inductively as follows. Let  $D_1 = C_1$ . Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , m > 1, and assume that  $D_k$  is defined for k < m. We first define  $\Gamma_m^m: \{0,1\} \to \Delta_1^4$  by

$$\Gamma_A^m(0) = \begin{cases} D_{m-1}, & \text{if } A_{m-1} = \bar{0} \\ D_{m-1}^-, & \text{if } A_{m-1} \neq \bar{0}, \end{cases}$$

$$\Gamma_A^m(1) = \begin{cases}
D_{m-1}, & \text{if } A_{m-1} = \bar{1} \\
D_{m-1}^-, & \text{if } A_{m-1} \neq \bar{1}
\end{cases}$$

To define  $D_m$  we distinguish between several cases.

1º. If 
$$B_m \neq C_m$$
 and  $B_m \neq C_m^-$ , we set  $D_m = C_m$ .

 $2^{\circ}$ . Assume that  $A_m = \bar{0}$  or  $\bar{1}$ . If  $A_m = A_{m-1}$ , we set  $D_m = D_{m-1}$ , and if  $A_m \neq A_{m-1}$ , we set  $D_m = D_{m-1}^-$ . Remark. If  $A_m = \bar{0}$ , we have  $D_m = \Gamma_A^m(0)$ , and if  $A_m = \bar{1}$ , we have  $D_m = \Gamma_A^m(1)$ .

- 3°. a) Assume that  $B_m = C_m$ ,  $A_m \neq \bar{0}$  and  $A_m \neq \bar{1}$ . If  $\Gamma_A^m(0) = C_m$ , we set  $D_m = (0,0,0,0)^T$ . If  $\Gamma_A^m(0) \neq C_m$ , we set  $D_m = C_m$ .
- b) Assume  $B_m = C_m^-$ ,  $C_m \neq (0,0,0,0)^T$  and  $C_m \neq (1,1,1,1)^T$ . If  $\Gamma_A^m(1) = C_m$ , we set  $D_m = (1,1,1,1)^T$  and  $\Gamma_A^m(1) \neq C_m$ , we define  $D_m = C_m$ .
  - $4^{\circ}$ . Consider the case  $B_m = C_m^-$ , and  $C_m = (0,0,0,0)^T$  or  $C_m = (1,1,1,1)^T$ .
- a) If  $\Gamma_A^m(0) = (1,1,1,1)^T$  and  $\Gamma_A^m(1) \neq (0,0,0,0)^T$ , we set  $D_m = (0,0,0,0)^T$ . b) If  $\Gamma_A^m(1) = (0,0,0,0)^T$  and  $\Gamma_A^m(0) \neq (1,1,1,1)^T$ , we define  $D_m = (0,0,0,0)^T$ .  $(1,1,1,1)^T$ .
  - c) In the other cases we set  $D_m = C_m$ .

We define the element  $D \in \Delta^4$  by  $D = (D_1, D_2, D_3, ...)$ . We define also for all m the mapping  $\gamma_m : \Delta^4_{2m} \longrightarrow \Delta^4_m$  by

$$\gamma_m((A_1,...,A_m)) = (D_1,...,D_m).$$

and we set

$$\gamma(A) = D$$
.

In the following we denote  $\overline{\Psi}:=(\Psi,\Psi,\Psi,\Psi,\Psi)$ :  $\Delta^4 \to I^4$  and  $\overline{\sigma}:=(\sigma,\sigma)$ :  $I^4 \rightarrow I^2$ .

**Lemma 1.** The map  $\gamma: \Delta^4 \to \Delta^4$  is a continuous surjection which admits a continuous right inverse and for which the map

$$\varphi = \overline{\sigma} \circ \overline{\psi} \circ \gamma \circ \eta \circ \varrho : I \longrightarrow I^2$$
 (8)

is a continuous surjection.

**Proof.** The continuity of  $\gamma$ , the existence of a continuous right inverse of  $\gamma$  and the surjectivity of  $\varphi$  can be proved exactly as in Lemma 3.2 of [T]. Also the idea for the proof of the continuity of  $\varphi$  is the same as in [T], but because of the details it is necessary to give the proof here. In view of Lemma 3.1 of [T] it is enough to show that

$$J:=\overline{\psi}\circ\gamma\circ\eta:\Delta\to I^4$$
 (9)

maps the elements,  $a, \tilde{a} \in \Delta$  of the form

$$a = (b,1,0,0,0,...)$$

$$\tilde{a} = (b,0,1,1,1,...),$$
(10)

where b is a finite sequence consisting of numbers 0 or 1, to the same element of  $I^4$ .

We denote  $\eta(a) = A = (A_1, A_2, ...) \in \Delta^4$  and  $\eta(\tilde{a}) = (\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2, ...) \in \Delta^4$ , where  $A_m$  (respectively,  $\tilde{A}_m$ ) consists of the 2m-1:th and 2m:th columns  $B_m$  and  $C_m$  of A (resp.  $\tilde{B}_m, \tilde{C}_m, \tilde{A}$ ). In view of (10) and the definition of  $\eta$ , (6), there exists a unique number m such that  $A_k = \tilde{A}_k$  for k < m (if m > 1),  $A_m \neq \tilde{A}_m$  and  $A_k = \bar{0}$ ,  $\tilde{A}_k = \bar{1}$  for k > m.

We now consider 
$$\gamma(A) = D = (D_1, D_2, ...)$$
 and  $\gamma(\tilde{A}) = \tilde{D} = (\tilde{D_1}, \tilde{D_2}, ...)$ .

It is clear from the definition of  $\gamma$  that  $D_k = \tilde{D}_k$  for k < m. Moreover, the matrix  $A_m$  contains an element equal to 1 and, similarly,  $\tilde{A}_m$  contains an element 0. Hence, we have  $A_m \neq A_{m+1}$  and  $\tilde{A}_m \neq \tilde{A}_{m+1}$ . By  $2^{\circ}$  we get  $D_{m+1} = D_m^-$  and  $\tilde{D}_{m+1} = \tilde{D}_m^-$ , and, moreover,  $D_k = D_{m+1}$  and  $\tilde{D}_k = \tilde{D}_{m+1}$  for k > m+1. So, we have

$$\gamma \circ \eta(a) = D = (D_1, D_2, ..., D_{m-1}, D_m, D_m^-, D_m^-, D_m^-, ...),$$

$$\gamma \circ \eta(\tilde{a}) = \tilde{D} = (D_1, D_2, ..., D_{m-1}, \tilde{D}_m, \tilde{D}_m^-, \tilde{D}_m^-, \tilde{D}_m^-, ...).$$

Let us consider the i:th  $(1 \le i \le 4)$  rows  $(d_1^{(i)}, d_2^{(i)}, ...) \in \Delta$  and  $(\tilde{d}_1^{(i)}, \tilde{d}_2^{(i)}, ...) \in \Delta$  of D and  $\tilde{D}$ , respectively. We have  $d_j^{(i)} = \tilde{d}_j^{(i)}$  for  $1 \le j < m$ . Moreover,  $d_k^{(i)} = d_m^{(i)}$  and  $\tilde{d}_k^{(i)} = \tilde{d}_m^{(i)}$  for all k > m. This shows that

$$\psi((d_1^{(i)}, d_2^{(i)}, \ldots)) = \psi \; ((\tilde{d}_1^{(i)}, \tilde{d}_2^{(i)}, \ldots))$$

and, hence,  $J(a) = \overline{\psi}(D) = \overline{\psi}(\tilde{D}) = J(\tilde{a})$ .

**Theorem 2.** The map  $\varphi: I \to I^2$  (see (8)) has a regular averaging operator.

The proof is the same as that of Theorem 3.3 of [T].

#### 3. MAIN RESULT

We now show that  $\varphi$  also has the additional property that  $\varphi^{\circ}$  defines an isomorphism from  $L_{p}(I^{2})$  into  $L_{p}(I)$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

**Lemma 3.** Let m > 1 and  $A \in \Delta_{2m-2}^4$  and  $D \in \Delta_1^4$ . There exist exactly 16 different matrices  $A_m \in \Delta_2^4$  such that

$$\gamma_m((A, A_m)) = (\gamma_{m-1}(A), D).$$
 (11)

**Proof.** The proof of this lemma consists of a straightforward but elaborate verification of the different cases in the definition of  $\gamma_m$ . The numbers  $1^{\circ}-4^{\circ}$  refer there.

i) We first assume that  $D \neq (0,0,0,0)^T$  and  $D \neq (1,1,1,1)^T$ . There exist 14 vectors  $B \in \Delta_1^4$  such that  $B \neq D$  and  $B \neq D$ . By  $1^{\circ}$  we see that  $A_m = (B,D)$  satisfies (11) for all such B.

Next we check if the cases  $A_m = \bar{0}, \bar{1}$ , (D,D) or  $(D^*,D)$  could satisfy (11). First, if  $\Gamma_A^m(0) = D$ , then, by  $2^{\circ}$  and  $3^{\circ}$  a),  $A_m = \bar{0}$  satisfies (11) and  $A_m = (D,D)$  does not (use the remark in  $2^{\circ}$ ). If  $\Gamma_A^m(0) \neq D$ , then  $A_m = (D,D)$  satisfies (11) and  $A_m = \bar{0}$  does not. Hence, in every case exactly one of the matrices  $\bar{0}$  and (D,D) satisfies (11). In the same way we see that exactly one the matrices  $\bar{1}$  and  $(D^*,D)$  satisfies (11).

Since  $D \neq (0,0,0,0)^T$ ,  $(1,1,1,1)^T$  we see that  $4^\circ$  cannot produce other matrices satisfying (11). Finally, by  $1^\circ-4^\circ$ , a matrix  $A_m$  of the form  $A_m = (B,C)$ , where  $C \neq D$  and, moreover, either B or C is different from  $(0,0,0,0)^T$  and  $(1,1,1,1)^T$ , cannot satisfy (11).

Summing up, we see that (11) holds for exactly 16 different matrices  $A \in \Delta_2^4$ .

ii) We assume  $D = (0,0,0,0)^T$ . Again there exist 14 vectors  $B \in \Delta_1^4$ ,  $B \neq D$  and  $B \neq D^-$ . By  $1^\circ$ , (11) holds for  $A_m = (B,D)$ .

It follows immediately from  $1^{\circ}-4^{\circ}$  that a matrix  $A_m = (B_m, C_m)$ , where  $C_m \neq D$ , can satisfy (11) only if  $C_m = D^{\circ}$  and  $B_m = D$  or  $D^{\circ}$ , or if  $B_m = C_m = \Gamma_A^m(0)$  (see  $3^{\circ}$  a)). Hence, we need only to consider such cases and the cases  $A_m = \bar{0}$  and  $A_m = (D^{\circ}, D)$ . We should find exactly two matrices of these types satisfying (11).

- a) We assume  $\Gamma_A^m(0) = (0,0,0,0)^T$ . Then  $A_m = \bar{0}$  satisfies (11). Moreover, if  $\Gamma_A^m(1) = (0,0,0,0)^T$ , then also  $A_m = \bar{1}$  works, by  $2^{\circ}$ , and, by  $4^{\circ}$ b), the cases  $A_m = (D^{\circ},D)$ ,  $A_m = (D,D^{\circ})$  do not work. If  $\Gamma_A^m(1) \neq (0,0,0,0)^T$ , then  $A_m = \bar{1}$  (see  $2^{\circ}$ ) and  $A_m = (D,D^{\circ})$  (see  $4^{\circ}$  c)) do not work but  $A_m = (D^{\circ},D)$  does, by  $4^{\circ}$  c). So we get altogether two positive cases.
- b) We assume  $\Gamma_A^m(0) = (1,1,1,1)^T$  so that  $A_m = \bar{0}$  does not work. If  $\Gamma_A^m(1) = (0,0,0,0)^T$ , then by  $2^{\circ}$ ,  $A_m = \bar{1}$  satisfies (11). Moreover, by  $4^{\circ}$  c), (D,D) satisfies (11) and (D,D) does not. If  $\Gamma_A^m(1) \neq (0,0,0,0)^T$ , then  $A_m = \bar{1}$  does not satisfy (11), but by  $4^{\circ}$  a), (D,D) and (D,D) do.
- c) Assume  $\Gamma_A^m(0) \neq D$  and  $\Gamma_A^m(0) \neq D^-$ . The case  $A_m = \bar{0}$  does not work. By  $3^{\circ}$  a),  $A_m = (\Gamma_A^m(0), \Gamma_A^m(0))$  satisfies (11). If  $\Gamma_A^m(1) = (0,0,0,0)^T$ , then  $A_m = \bar{1}$  works, and by  $4^{\circ}$  b),  $A_m = (D,D^-)$  and  $A_m = (D^-,D)$  do not. If  $\Gamma_A^m(1) \neq (0,0,0,0)^T$ , then  $A_m = \bar{1}$  does not work, and by  $4^{\circ}$  c),  $A_m = (D^-,D)$  satisfies (11) and  $A_m = (D,D^-)$  does not.
- iii) The case  $D = (1,1,1,1)^T$  is analogous to ii). But since the point in this kind of proofs is a careful verification of all the details, we want to give the proof also in this case.
- By 1º, there exist 14 vectors  $B \in \Delta_1^4$ ,  $B \neq D$ ,  $B \neq D^-$  such that (11) holds for  $A_m = (B,D)$ . From now on we need only to consider the cases  $A_m = \bar{1}, \bar{0}, (D^-,D), (D,D^-)$  and  $(\Gamma_M^m(1)^-, \Gamma_M^m(1))$ .

- a) We assume  $\Gamma_A^m(1) = D$ . Now  $A_m = \tilde{1}$  works. If  $\Gamma_A^m(0) = D$ , then also  $\bar{0}$  works but (D,D) and (D,D) do not. If  $\Gamma_A^m(0) \neq D$ , then  $\bar{0}$  and (D,D) do not work but (D,D) does.
- b) Assume  $\Gamma_A^m(1) = D^*$ . If  $\Gamma_A^m(0) = D$ , then  $\bar{0}$  and  $(D^*,D)$  satisfy (11) but  $\bar{1}$  and  $(D,D^*)$  do not. If  $\Gamma_A^m(0) \neq D$ , then the cases  $A_m = \bar{0}$  and  $A_m = \bar{1}$  are negative and the cases  $(D^*,D)$  and  $(D,D^*)$  are positive.
- c) Assume  $\Gamma_A^m(1) \neq D,D^-$ . By  $3^{\circ}$  b),  $A_m = (\Gamma_A^m(1)^-, \Gamma_A^m(1))$  satisfies (11). If  $\Gamma_A^m(0) = D$ , then also  $\bar{0}$  works but  $\bar{1}$ ,  $(D^-,D)$  and  $(D,D^-)$  do not. If  $\Gamma_A^m(0) \neq D$ , then  $\bar{0},\bar{1}$  and  $(D,D^-)$  do not work but  $(D^-,D)$  does.

We have now gone through all the cases.

**Corollary 4.** Given  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $D \in \Delta_m^4$  there exist exactly  $2^{4m}$  different matrices  $A \in \Delta_{2m}^4$  such that  $\gamma_m(A) = D$ .

**Proof.** Let  $A = (A_1,...,A_m) \in \Delta_{2m}^4$ , where  $A_m \in \Delta_2^4$ , and let  $A' = (A_1,...,A_{m-1})$ . Since the matrix formed by the first m-1 columns of  $\gamma_m(A)$  is equal to  $\gamma_{m-1}(A')$ , we can prove Corollary 4 using Lemma 3 and induction with respect to the number of the columns of D. Note that by definition, the 16 matrices (B,C), where  $B \in \Delta_1^4$ , are the preimages of C with respect to  $\gamma_1$ .

**Lemma 5.** Let  $K(i) \in \mathbb{N}$  for all i = 1,2,3,4 and let  $a_m^{(i)} \in \{0,1\}$  for all i and for all  $m \leq K(i)$ . Let us denote by  $A \subset \Delta^4$  the set

$$A = \{(x_m^{(i)})_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \in \Delta^4 | x_m^{(i)} = a_m^{(i)} \text{ for } m \le K(i) \}.$$
 (12)

We have

$$\mu_4(\gamma^{-1}(A)) = \prod_{i=1}^4 2^{-K(i)}.$$
 (13)

**Proof.** Let  $K = \max \{K(i) \mid 1 \le i \le 4\}$ . Let us introduce the set

$$\mathcal{A}_{K} = \{(x_{m}^{(i)})_{1 \le m \le K} \in \Delta_{K}^{4} \mid x_{m}^{(i)} = a_{m}^{(i)} \text{ for } m \le K(i)\}.$$

It is a direct consequence of the definition of  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma_K$  that  $A \in \gamma^1(\mathcal{A})$  if and only if  $A = (A_1, B)$ , where  $A_1 \in \Delta_{2K}^4$ ,  $B \in \Delta^4$  and  $A_1$  satisfies

$$\gamma_{K}(A_{1}) \in \mathcal{A}_{K}. \tag{14}$$

For a fixed  $A_1 \in \gamma_K^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_K) \subset \Delta^4_{2K}$ 

$$\mu_{s}(\{(A,B)\in\Delta^{4}|B\in\Delta^{4}\})=2^{-8K}.$$
 (15)

We thus need only to calculate  $\#(\gamma_K^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_K))$ . (We denote by #(C) the cardinality of the set C). But by Corollary 4,

$$\#(\gamma_{\kappa}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_{\kappa})) = 2^{4K} \#(\mathcal{A}_{\kappa}). \tag{16}$$

On the other hand it is elementary to see that

$$\#(\mathcal{A}_K) = \prod_{i=1}^4 2^{K-K(1)}.$$

Since the sets  $\{(A,B) \mid B \in \Delta^4\}$  and  $\{(A_1,B) \mid B \in \Delta^4\}$  are disjoint for  $A \neq A_1$ , we get by (15) and (16)

$$\mu_4(\gamma^{-1}(\mathcal{A})) = 2^{-8K} \# (\gamma_K^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_K)) = 2^{-4K} \prod_{i=1}^4 2^{K-K(i)} = \prod_{i=1}^4 2^{-K(i)}.$$

**Proposition 6.** There exist positive constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that

$$C_1 m_1(\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{A})) \leq m_2(\mathcal{A}) \leq C_2 m_1(\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{A}))$$

holds for all rectangles  $A \subset I^2$ .

**Proof.**  $1^{\circ}$ . We first find positive constants  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  such that

$$d_1\mu_4(\gamma^{-1}(\mathcal{A})) \leq \mu_4(\mathcal{A}) \leq d_2\mu_4(\gamma^{-1}(\mathcal{A})) \tag{17}$$

holds for all rectangles  $\mathcal{A} \subset \Delta^4$ ,

$$\mathcal{A} = \prod_{i=1}^{4} \left[ a^{(i)}, \tilde{a}^{(i)} \right] \tag{18}$$

where  $a^{(i)}, \tilde{a}^{(i)} \in \Delta$  for all *i*. (Intervals  $[a^{(i)}, \tilde{a}^{(i)}]$  in  $\Delta$  are defined with respect to the natural order of  $\Delta$ .)

Let us denote for 
$$x = (x_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$$
,  $y = (y_m)_{m=1}^{\infty} \in \Delta$ ,  $x \neq y$ ,  

$$n(x,y) = min\{m \mid x_m \neq y_m\}$$
(19)

and let m(a,i) (resp.  $m(\tilde{a},i)$ ) stand for the smallest number m such that  $m > n(a^{(i)},\tilde{a}^{(i)})$  and  $a_m^{(i)} = 0$  (resp.  $\tilde{a}_m^{(i)} = 1$ ). Then  $(*) x \in [a^{(i)},\tilde{a}^{(i)}]$  if either  $x_m = a_m^{(i)}$  for m < m(a,i) and  $x_{m(a,i)} = 1$ , or  $x_m = \tilde{a}_m^{(i)}$  for  $m < m(\tilde{a},i)$  and  $x_{m(\tilde{a},i)} = 0$ . Moreover, (\*\*) if  $x \in [a^{(i)},\tilde{a}^{(i)}]$  then either  $x_m = a_m^{(i)}$  for m < m(a,i), or  $x_m = \tilde{a}_m^{(i)}$  for  $m < m(\tilde{a},i)$ . Denoting  $m(\tilde{a},i) = m(\tilde{a},i)$  for all  $1 \le i \le 4$  we thus get

$$2^{-M(i)} \le \mu_1([a,\tilde{a}]) \le 2^{-M(i)+2}$$

so that.

$$\prod_{i=1}^{4} 2^{-M(i)} \le \mu_4(\mathcal{A}) \le 2^8 \prod_{i=1}^{4} 2^{-M(i)}. \tag{20}$$

Let us denote, for all i,  $b_m^{(i)} = a_m^{(i)}$  and  $b_{M(i)} = 1$ , if M(i) = m(a,i), or  $b_m^{(i)} = \tilde{a}_m^{(i)}$  and  $b_{M(i)} = 0$ , if  $M(i) = m(\tilde{a},i)$ . From (\*) we see that  $\mathcal A$  contains the set

$$\mathcal{B} = \{ (x_m^{(i)}) \in \Delta^4 \mid x_m^{(i)} = b_m^{(i)} \text{ for } m \le M(i) \}.$$
 (21)

By Lemma 5,

$$\mu_4(\gamma^{-1}(\mathcal{A})) \ge \mu_4(\gamma^{-1}(\mathcal{B})) = \prod_{i=1}^4 2^{-M(i)}.$$
 (22)

Moreover, by (\*\*) A is contained in the union of the 16 sets

$$\mathcal{B}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4) = \{ (x_m^{(i)}) \in \Delta^4 \mid x_m^{(i)} = c_m^{(i)} \text{ for } m < M(i) \}$$
 (23)

where  $\varepsilon_i \in \{0,1\}$  for i=1,...,4 and  $c_m^{(i)}=a_m^{(i)}$  for m < M(i), if  $\varepsilon_i = 0$ , or  $c_m^{(i)}=\bar{a}_m^{(i)}$  for m < M(i), if  $\varepsilon_i = 1$ . Hence, by Lemma 5

$$\mu_4(\gamma^{-1}(\mathcal{A})) \leq \mu_4(\bigcup_{\epsilon_i \in [0,1]} \gamma^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4))) \leq 2^8 \prod_{i=1}^4 2^{-M(i)}. \tag{24}$$

Combining (20), (22) and (24) we see that (17) holds with  $d_1 = 2^{-8}$ ,  $d_2 = 2^{8}$ .

 $2^{\circ}$ . We find constants  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  such that

$$c_1 \mu_1(\eta^{-1}(\mathcal{A})) \le \mu_4(\mathcal{A}) \le c_2 \mu_1(\eta^{-1}(\mathcal{A})) \tag{25}$$

holds for all 4-rectangles  $\mathcal{A} \subset \Delta^4$ . We define the elements  $a^{(i)}$  and  $\tilde{a}^{(i)}$  and the numbers m(a,i),  $m(\tilde{a},i)$ ,  $b_m^{(i)}$  and M(i) as in 1°. Let  $M = \max \{M(i) \mid i = 1,...,4\}$ . Note that by (20) we again have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{4} 2^{-M(i)} \le \mu_4(\mathcal{A}) \le 2^8 \prod_{i=1}^{4} 2^{-M(i)}.$$
 (26)

Let us define the set  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  as in  $1^{\circ}$ , (21). From the definition of  $\eta$ , (6), we see that

$$\eta^{-1}(\mathcal{B}) = \{ (x_m)_{m=1}^{\infty} \in \Delta_{4M} \mid x_{4(m-1)+i} = b_m^{(i)} \text{ for all } 1 \le i \le 4 \text{ and } m \le M(i) \}.$$
 (27)

Let us denote

A Continuous Surjection from the Unit... 113

$$C = \{ (x_m)_{m=1}^{4M} \in \Delta_{4M} \mid x_{4(m-1)+i} = b_m^{(i)} \text{ for all } 1 \le i \le 4 \text{ and } m \le M(i) \}.$$
 (28)

Now  $x = (x_m) \in \eta^{-1}(\mathcal{B})$  if and only if x = (y,z), where  $y \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $z \in \Delta$ . For a fixed  $y \in \mathcal{C}$  we have

$$\mu_{t}(\{(y,z)|z\in\Delta\})=2^{-4M}.$$
 (29)

We calculate #(C). In view of (28), the elements of C are vectors with 4M components out of which  $\sum_{i=1}^{4} M(i)$  are fixed and thus  $4M - \sum_{i=1}^{4} M(i)$  may be chosen arbitrarily from the set  $\{0,1\}$ . So,

$$\#(\mathcal{C}) = 2^{4M \cdot \Sigma_{i,\nu}^{\dagger} M(i)}. \tag{30}$$

Combining this with (29) we get

$$\mu_{1}(\eta^{-1}(\mathcal{A})) \geq \mu_{1}(\eta^{-1}(\mathcal{B})) = \prod_{i=1}^{4} 2^{-M(i)}.$$
 (31)

To get an upper estimate for  $\mu(\eta^{-1}(\mathcal{A}))$  we define the 16 sets  $\mathcal{B}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4)$ , where  $\varepsilon_i \in \{0,1\}$ , as in  $1^{\circ}$ , (23). Since these sets are of the same form as  $\mathcal{B}$  above, we get by (31)

$$\mu_1(\eta^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4))) = \prod_{i=1}^4 2^{-M(i)+1}. \tag{32}$$

Since the union of all the sets  $\mathcal{B}(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4)$  contains  $\mathcal{A}$ , we get from (32)

$$\mu_1(\eta^{-1}(\mathcal{A})) \le 2^8 \prod_{i=1}^4 2^{-M(i)}.$$
 (33)

Combining (26), (31) and (33) yields (25) with  $c_1 = 2^{-8}$ ,  $c_2 = 2^{8}$ .

3°. We consider the map  $\overline{\sigma}$ . If  $[a,b] \subset I$ , the definition of  $\sigma$  implies

$$m_2(\sigma^{-1}([a,b])) = ((b+b^2)-(a+a^2))/2 = (b-a)(1+b+a)/2.$$

Hence,  $m_1([a,b])/2 \le m_2(\sigma^{-1}([a,b]) \le 2m_1([a,b])$ , and so

$$m_4(\bar{\sigma}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}))/4 \le m_2(\mathcal{A}) \le 4m_4(\bar{\sigma}^{-1}(\mathcal{A})) \tag{34}$$

for all rectangles  $\mathcal{A} \subset I^2$ .

12 1 ...

 $4^{\circ}$ . Our statement now follows by combining (17), (25) and (34); the maps  $\varrho$  and  $\overline{\psi}$  are measure preserving. Note that if  $\mathcal{A} \subset I^2$  is a rectangle, then  $\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$  is not a 4-rectangle but there is no difficulty to approximate it as well as we wish by finite unions of 4-rectangles. Moreover, if  $\mathcal{A} \subset \Delta^4$  is a rectangle, then  $\gamma^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$  need not be. However, the lower and upper estimates for  $\mu_4(\gamma^{-1}(\mathcal{A}))$  are done using 4-rectangles in  $\Delta^4$ , see (21) and (23), respectively. Hence, we need also the result of  $2^{\varrho}$  only for rectangles.

**Corollary 7.** There exist positive constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that for all  $f \in C(I^2)$ 

$$C_1 \int_{I} |f \circ \varphi(x)| dx \le \int_{I^2} |f(x)| dx \le C_2 \int_{I} |f \circ \varphi(x)| dx.$$
 (35)

**Proof.** If  $(A_i)_{i=1}^n$  is a sequence of disjoint rectangles in  $I^2$ , we have for all sequences  $(a_i)_{i=1}^n$  of scalars

$$\int_{i^2} |\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_i(x)| dx = \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| m_2(A_i),$$

$$\int_{I} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \chi_{i} \circ \varphi(x) \right| dx = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |a_{i}| m_{1}(\varphi^{-1}(A_{i})),$$

where  $\chi_i$  is the characteristic function of  $A_i$ . So, for simple functions of

this form (35) follows from Proposition 6, and for continuous functions we get the statement by approximation.

We immediately get the following

**Theorem 8.** The operator  $\varphi^{\circ}$  can be extended to an isomorphism from  $L_p(I^2)$  into  $L_p(I)$ , where  $1 \le p < \infty$ .

# **4. ON THE SPACES** $C(\Omega) \cap L_p(\Omega)$

If  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , is an open set, we denote by  $C(\Omega) \cap L_p(\Omega)$ ,  $1 \le p < \infty$ , the Fréchet space of continuous,  $L_p$ -integrable functions from  $\Omega$  into  $\mathbb{K}$ . The topology of this space is determined by the seminorms

$$p_0(f) = (\int_{\Omega} |f|^p)^{1/p},$$

$$p_{k}(f) = \sup_{x \in \Omega_{k}} |f(x)|, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$
(36)

where  $(\Omega_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is an increasing sequence of compact subsets of  $\Omega$ , whose union is  $\Omega$ . For more details on these spaces we refer to [BT].

The isomorphic classification of such spaces is an open problem. Probably the most interesting question in this area is, whether the spaces  $C(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R})$  and  $C(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R}^2)$  are isomorphic to each other. It is not difficult to see, using a natural imbedding, that  $C(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R})$  is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of  $C(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . (First, select a continuous cut-off function with compact support  $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $0 \le \varphi \le 1$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\varphi = 1$  for every  $x \in [0,1]$ . Put  $E := C(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $F := C(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R})$  and define  $T : F \to E$  by  $Tf(x,y) = f(x)\varphi(y)$  for all  $f \in F$ ,  $x,y \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $S : E \to F$  by  $Sg(x) := \int_0^1 g(x,y) dy$  for all  $g \in E$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is a direct matter to check that T and S are continuous linear maps such that  $S \circ T$  is the identity of F. So,  $P := T \circ S$  is a continuous projection on E whose image is isomorphic to F.) So, in view of the decomposition

method of Pełczyński, the crucial problem is, whether  $C(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of  $C(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R})$ . Using the results in earlier sections we can prove that the space defined on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is isomorphic to a subspace of  $C(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R})$ , but to prove the complementedness we would still need a "measure preserving" continuous surjection  $\phi: I \to I^2$  and a projection P which is simultaneuously bounded  $C(I) \to \phi^{\circ}(C(I^2))$  and  $L_p(I) \to \phi^{\circ}(L_p(I^2))$ , and this result is not (yet?) available.

So, let us prove what we can do.

**Lemma 9.** There exists an enumeration  $(Q_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  of the family of closed squares  $(Q_{n,m})_{n,m\in\mathbb{Z}}$ , where  $Q_{n,m}=\{(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^2\mid n\leq x\leq n+1,\,m\leq y\leq m+1\}$ , such that  $Q_n$  and  $Q_{n+1}$  have a common side for all  $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ .

There is no difficulty to make such an enumeration for example according to the following picture:

		-17	-16	15	16	17	18	
[	-13	-14	-15	_14	_13	_12	19	
· },	-12	-3	-2	1	2	11	20	
ļi	-11	-4	-1	_ 0	3	_10	21_	
 	-10	-5	-6	_ 5	4	9	22	
	-9	-8	-7	_ 6	7	8	23	
<u> </u>				_27	26	25	24	
l				28	29			

Figure 1.

**Proposition 10.** For all  $p, 1 \le p < \infty$ , the space  $C(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is isomorphic to a subspace of  $C(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\varphi$  be the map constructed in Section 2. It can be verified from the definition that  $\varphi(0) = (0,0)$  and  $\varphi(1) = (1,1)$ . Let  $\psi^{(1)}$  be a homeomorphism from  $I^2$  onto itself such that  $\psi^{(1)}(0,0) = (0,0)$  and  $\psi^{(1)}(1,1) = (1,0)$ . It is then clear that also the operator  $\psi^{\circ}$ , where  $\psi:=\psi^{(1)} \circ \varphi$ , is an isometry from  $C(I^2)$  onto a subspace of C(I) and an isomorphism from  $L_p(I^2)$  onto a subspace of  $L_p(I)$ . Let  $(Q_n)_{n=\infty}^{\infty}$  be the sequence of closed squares as in Lemma 9. We claim that it is possible to choose a sequence of continuous surjections  $\varphi^{(n)}:[n,n+1] \longrightarrow Q_n$  such that

(i)) each  $\varphi^{(n)}$  is of the form  $\tau_n^{(2)} \circ r_n \circ \varphi \circ \tau_n$  or  $\tau_n^{(2)} \circ r_n \circ \psi \circ \tau_n$ , where  $\tau_n$  is the translation from [n,n+1] onto I,  $r_n$  is an isometry from  $I^2$  onto itself, and  $\tau_n^{(2)}$  is the translation from  $I^2$  onto  $Q_n$ , and

(ii)) 
$$\varphi^{(n-1)}(n) = \varphi^{(n)}(n)$$
 for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Note that ii) means in particular that

$$\varphi^{(n-1)}(n) \in Q_n. \tag{37}$$

To prove this we first choose  $\varphi^{(0)}$  of the form i) such that  $\varphi^{(0)}(0) \in Q_{-1}$  and  $\varphi^{(0)}(1) \in Q_1$ . Assume that  $n \ge 1$  and that  $\varphi^{(k)}$  is constructed for  $-n+1 \le k \le n-1$  such that i) holds for these  $\varphi^{(k)}$  and such that  $\varphi^{(k-1)}(k) = \varphi^{(k)}(k)$  for  $-n+1 < k \le n-1$  and  $\varphi^{(n-1)}(n) \in Q_n$  and  $\varphi^{(n-1)}(-n+1) \in Q_{-n}$ . By Lemma 9 the squares  $Q_n$  and  $Q_{n+1}$  have one common side  $S_n$ . So, it is possible to join one of the endpoints, say  $s_n$ , of  $S_n$  and  $\varphi^{(n-1)}(n)$  by one side of  $Q_n$  or one diagonal of  $Q_n$ . We thus can find a map  $\varphi^{(n)}$  which is of the form i) and satisfies  $\varphi^{(n)}(n) = \varphi^{(n-1)}(n)$  and  $\varphi^{(n)}(n+1) = s_n \in Q_{n+1}$ . (If  $s_n$  and  $\varphi^{(n-1)}(n)$  are the endpoints of a side of  $Q_n$ , we can take a map of the form  $\tau_n^{(2)} \circ r_n \circ \psi \circ \tau_n$ , and if  $s_n$  and  $\varphi^{(n-1)}(n)$  are in the opposite corners of  $Q_n$ , i.e. they are the endpoints of a diagonal of  $Q_n$ , we can take a map of the form  $\tau_n^{(2)} \circ r_n \circ \varphi \circ \tau_n$ . In each case  $r_n$  is the combination of some rotation and reflection.) The map  $\varphi^{(-n)}$  is defined analogously.

Defining

$$\phi(t) = \varphi^{(n)}(t) \text{ for } t \in [n, n+1], n \in \mathbb{Z},$$
 (38)

we get a continuous surjection from  $\mathbb{R}$  onto  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We claim that  $\phi^{\circ}:f \to f \circ \phi$ 

is the desired isomorphism from  $C(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R}^2)$  onto a subspace of  $C(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R})$ . Since  $\phi$  is a continuous surjection and since  $\phi^{-1}(K)$  is compact for all compact  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\phi^{\circ}$  is an isomorphism from  $C(\mathbb{R}^2)$  onto a subspace of  $C(\mathbb{R})$ . It is thus enough to prove the corresponding statement between  $L_p$ -spaces. For all  $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L_p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ 

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} |f \circ \phi|^p = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{n}^{n+1} |f \circ \phi|^p = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{0}^{1} |f \circ \tau_n^{(2)} \circ r_n \circ \phi_n|^p$$
(39)

where, for all n,  $\phi_n$  equals  $\varphi$  or  $\psi$ . According to the definition of  $\psi$  we can find positive constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  such that

$$c \int_{I_{2}} |f \circ \tau_{n}^{(2)} \circ r_{n}|^{p} \le \int_{0}^{1} |f \circ \tau_{n}^{(2)} \circ r_{n} \circ \phi_{n}|^{p} \le c \int_{I_{2}} |f \circ \tau_{n}^{(2)} \circ r_{n}|^{p}$$

$$(40)$$

for all f and n. Since  $r_n$  is an isometry, we can further write

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{I^2} |f \circ \tau_n^{(2)} \circ r_n|^p = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{I^2} |f \circ \tau_n^{(2)}|^p = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{O} |f|^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f|^p. \tag{41}$$

Combining (39), (40) and (41) we see that  $\phi^{\circ}$  is also an isomorphism from  $L_p(\mathbb{R}^2)$  onto a subspace of  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ .

### NOTE ADDED IN PROOF

After the paper "A continuous surjection from the unit interval onto the unit square" and the reference [T] in it, "Averaging operators on spaces of continuous functions" were submitted, I realized that some of the results of [T] were already proved by B. Hoffmann in "An injective characterization of Peano spaces", Topol. and Appl. 11 (1980), 37-46. This is why [T] does not appear anywhere. In this note we give the missing details of the proofs of Lemma 1 and Theorem 2 of "A continuous surjection from the unit interval onto the unit square".

**Proof of Lemma 1:** The map  $\gamma: \Delta^4 \to \Delta^4$  is continuous, since the

first m columns of  $\gamma(A)$ ,  $A \in \Delta^4$  depend only on the first 2m columns of A. We show that  $\gamma$  is a surjection having a continuous right inverse. Let  $D=(D_1,D_2,...)\in \Delta^4$ , where each  $D_m\in \Delta_1^4$ . We define the element  $A\in \Delta^4$ , using the same notation as in the definition of  $\gamma$ , as follows. Let  $B_1=C_1=D_1$ . For m>1 we set  $C_m=D_m$  and for  $B_m$  we choose a matrix which is not equal to anyone of the matrices  $D_m$ ,  $D_m^-$ ,  $\bar{0}$  or  $\bar{1}$ . We set  $A=(A_1,A_2,...)$ , where  $A_m=(B_m,C_m)$  for all m. It follows now directly from  $1^\circ$  in the definition of  $\gamma$  that  $\gamma(A)=D$ . Moreover, the element A depends continuously on D, since the first 2m columns of A depend only on the first m columns of D.

We denote by  $\gamma^{-1}$  the continuous right inverse of  $\gamma$  constructed above.

Finally, we show that  $\varphi$  is a surjection. Since  $\bar{\sigma}$  and  $\bar{\Psi}$  are surjections, it is enough to prove that  $\gamma \circ \eta \circ \varrho$  is surjective. Let  $D \in \Delta^4$  be arbitrary and let  $A = (A_1, A_2, ...) = \gamma^{-1}(D)$ . Each  $A_m, m > 1$ , contains both numbers 0 and 1. Hence,  $\eta^{-1}(A)$  is of the form  $(\varepsilon_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ , where both numbers 0 and 1 occur as  $\varepsilon_m$  for arbitrarily large m. But for such sequences we have

$$Q(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_m 2^{-m}) = (\varepsilon_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$$

so that  $\gamma \circ \eta \circ \varrho$  is surjective.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** The original proof of Milutin's lemma, which is also presented in [LT], Proposition 2.4.21, shows that the continuous map  $\sigma \circ (\psi, \psi)$ :  $\Delta^2 \rightarrow I$  admits a regular averaging operator. Hence, the same is also true for

$$\bar{\sigma} \circ \bar{\Psi} : \Delta^4 \longrightarrow I^2$$
.

Let P, ||P|| = 1, be a projection from  $C(\Delta^4)$  onto  $(\bar{\sigma} \circ \bar{\Psi}) \circ (C(l^2))$ .

Let  $\gamma: \Delta^4 \to \Delta^4$  be as above. The operator  $f \mapsto \gamma \circ P(\gamma^{-1}) \circ f$  is a contractive projection from  $C(\Delta^4)$  onto  $(\bar{\sigma} \circ \bar{\psi} \circ \gamma) \circ (C(I^2))$ . Hence, also  $(\bar{\sigma} \circ \bar{\psi} \circ \gamma \circ \eta) \circ (C(I^2))$  is a 1-complemented subspace of  $C(\Delta)$ .

Let  $\varrho: I \to \Delta$  be the discontinuous map defined in (3). By (4),  $\varrho^{\circ}$  is an isometry from  $C(\Delta)$  onto D(I) so that there exists a contractive projection R from D(I) onto  $\varphi^{\circ}(C(I^2))$ , where

$$\phi := \bar{\sigma} \circ \bar{\psi} \circ \gamma \circ \eta \circ \varrho$$
.

By Lemma 1,  $\varphi$  is continuous so that  $\varphi^{\circ}(C(I^2))$  is a subspace of  $C(I) \subset D(I)$ . The restriction of R to C(I) gives the desired projection.

## References

- [BT] BONET, J. and TASKINEN, J. Non-distinguished Fréchet function spaces. Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liége 58, 6 (1989), 483-490.
- [LT] LINDENSTRAUSS, J. and TZAFRIRI, L. Classical Banach spaces. Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 338.
- [MT] MATTILA, P. and TASKINEN, J. Remarks on bases in a Fréchet function space. Rev. Mat. Univ. Complut., 6, Nº 1 (1993), 83-99.
- [P] PEŁCZYŃSKI, A. Linear extensions, linear averaging and application to linear topological classification of spaces of continuous functions. Rozprawy Matematyczne 58 (1968).
- [R] RUDIN, W. Real and complex analysis. Second edition. Mc Graw-Hill.
- [T] TASKINEN, J. Averaging operators on spaces of continuous functions. Manuscript (1992).

Department of Mathematics University of Helsinki Hallituskatu 15 SF-00100 Helsinki FINLAND Recibido: 28 de agosto de 1992 Revisado: 10 de diciembre de 1992