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#### Abstract

In this note we give an elementary proof of the WedDERBURN decomposition of rational quaternion and rational dihedral group algebras. Key words and phrases. Rational group algebras, Wedderburn. 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20C05. Secondary 16S34. Resumen. En esta nota se da una demostración elemental de la descomposición de Wedderburn de las álgebras de grupo racionales diedras y cuaterniónicas.


Let $K$ be a field and $G$ be a finite group. A group algebra $K G$ over $K$ is a free $K$-module with a basis consisting of the elements of $G$, and with multiplication induced by the given multiplication in $G$. We say that $K G$ is a semisimple algebra if $K G=\bigoplus_{i \in J} N_{i}$ where each $N_{i}$ is a simple

[^0]right $K G$-module. It is well known by the theorem of Maschke (see [4]) that $K G$ is a semisimple algebra if and only if the characteristic of $K$ does not divide the order of $G$. In this case, by the Wedderburn's structure theorem we have
$$
K G \simeq M_{n_{1}}\left(D_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{n_{r}}\left(D_{r}\right) .
$$
where $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{r}$ are division algebras over $K$.
However, for an arbitrary finite group it is not easy to find explicitly its Wedderburn decomposition. In the case $K=\mathbb{Q}$ this decomposition is known for groups whose orders are less or equal than 32 (see [1]), or for some especial families of groups.

In this note we obtain explicitly the Wedderburn decomposition for the rational dihedral algebras, and, as a consequence, the decomposition for the rational quaternion algebras.

Our first result is the following:
Theorem 1. Let $G$ be the dihedral group of order $2 n$, i.e.,

$$
G=D_{n}=\left\langle x, y: x^{n}=1, y^{2}=1, x y=y x^{-1}\right\rangle .
$$

Then

$$
\mathbb{Q} G \cong \bigoplus_{d \mid n} A_{d}
$$

where $A_{d} \cong \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}$ if $d=1,2$, and $A_{d} \cong M_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_{d}+\zeta_{d}^{-1}\right]\right)$ if $d>2$, where $\zeta_{q}$ denotes a $q^{\text {th }}$ primitive root of the unit.

Proof. Let $d$ be a positive divisor of $n$ and $\zeta_{d}$ be a primitive $d$-th root of unity. Let

$$
\tau_{d}: \mathbb{Q} G \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q},
$$

for $\mathrm{d}=1,2$, the homomorphisms defined by $\tau_{1}(x)=(1,1)$ and $\tau_{1}(y)=$ $(1,-1) ; \tau_{2}(x)=(-1,-1)$ and $\tau_{2}(y)=(1,-1)$. If $d>2$, let

$$
\tau_{d}: \mathbb{Q} G \longrightarrow M_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_{d}\right]\right), \quad \text { if }(d>2),
$$

be defined by

$$
\tau_{d}(x)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\zeta_{d} & 0 \\
0 & \zeta_{d}^{-1}
\end{array}\right], \quad \tau_{d}(y)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

It is clear that $\left(\tau_{d}(x)\right)^{n}=1,\left(\tau_{d}(y)\right)^{2}=1$ and $\tau_{d}(y)^{-1} \tau_{d}(x) \tau_{d}(y)^{-1}=$ $\tau_{d}(x)^{-1}$. Thus $\tau_{d}$ is a well defined homomorphism for every $d \mid n$.

Let us remark that $\tau_{d}(\mathbb{Q} G)$ has dimension less than or equal to $2 \phi(d)$. In fact, for $d=1,2$ the result is trivial. For $d>2$, we consider the matrix

$$
Z_{d}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -\zeta_{d} \\
1 & -\zeta_{d}-1
\end{array}\right]
$$

and define

$$
\sigma: M_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_{d}\right]\right) \longrightarrow M_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_{d}\right]\right)
$$

by

$$
\sigma(A)=Z_{d}-1 A Z_{d}, \quad A \in M_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_{d}\right]\right)
$$

It is not difficult to see that $\sigma$ is an automorphism. Thus, both

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma \tau_{d}(x) & =Z_{d}^{-1} \tau_{d}(x) Z_{d}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & \zeta_{d}+\zeta_{d}^{-1}
\end{array}\right] \\
, \sigma \tau_{d}(y) & =Z_{d}^{-1} \tau_{d}(y) Z_{d}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -\left(\zeta_{d}+\zeta_{d}^{-1}\right) \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

belong to $M_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_{d}+\zeta_{d}^{-1}\right]\right)$. Then, the dimension of the image of $\tau_{d}$ is less than or equal to

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(M_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_{d}+\zeta_{d}^{-1}\right]\right)\right)=4 \frac{\phi(d)}{2}=2 \phi(d)
$$

If $E_{d} \cong \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}$ for $d=1,2$, and $E_{d} \cong M_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_{d}\right]\right)$ for $d>2$, we define $\tau: \mathbb{Q} G \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{d \mid n} E_{d}$ as $\tau=\bigoplus \tau_{d}$. We claim that $\tau$ is a injective homomorphism. Indeed, suppose that $u$ is in the kernel of $\tau$. If we rewrite $u$ as

$$
u=\left(a_{0}+a_{1} x+\cdots+a_{n-1} x^{n-1}\right)+\left(b_{0}+b_{1} x+\cdots+b_{n-1} x^{n-1}\right) y
$$

and define $F_{1}(z)=a_{0}+a_{1} z+\cdots+a_{n-1} z^{n-1}$ and $F_{2}(z)=b_{0}+b_{1} z+$ $\cdots+b_{n-1} z^{n-1}$, then for each $d \mid n, d>2$, we have

$$
\tau_{d}(u)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
F_{1}\left(\zeta_{d}\right) & F_{2}\left(\zeta_{d}\right) \\
F_{2}\left(\zeta_{d}^{-1}\right) & F_{1}\left(\zeta_{d}^{-1}\right)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Thus, $F_{1}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)=0$ and $F_{2}\left(\zeta_{d}\right)=0$. For $d=1$, we have

$$
\tau_{1}(u)=\left(F_{1}(1)+F_{2}(1), F_{1}(1)-F_{2}(1)\right)=0,
$$

and for $d=2$ have

$$
\tau_{2}(u)=\left(F_{1}(-1)+F_{2}(-1), F_{1}(-1)-F_{2}(-1)\right)=0
$$

Then the roots of $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are roots of the polynomial $z^{n}-1$. So $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are null polynomials, and $a_{i}=b_{i}=0$ for every $i$, which shows that $u=0$.

Let now

$$
\theta: \mathbb{Q} G \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{d \mid n} A_{d}
$$

be the homomorphism defined by $\theta=\bigoplus_{d \mid n} \theta_{d}$, where $\theta_{d}$ is obtained from $\tau_{d}$ for conjugating by $Z_{d}$ if $d>2$, and $\theta_{d}=\tau_{d}$ if $d=1,2$ and $A_{d} \cong \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}$ if $d=1,2$, and $A_{d} \cong M_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_{d}+\zeta_{d}^{-1}\right]\right)$ if $d>2$. Let us remark that that $\theta$ is injective. Furthermore, the dimension of $\underset{d \mid n}{ } A_{d}$ equals $2 \sum_{d \mid n} \phi(d)=2 n$. Since the dimension of $\mathbb{Q} G$ is $2 n$, the above implies that $\theta$ is the isomorphism.

Using theorem 1, we find the Wedderburn decomposition of rational quaternion algebras, i.e.
Theorem 2. If $G$ is the quaternion group of order $4 n$, i.e.,

$$
G=Q_{n}=\left\langle x, y: x^{2 n}=1, y^{2}=x^{n}, x y=y x^{-1}\right\rangle .
$$

Then

$$
\mathbb{Q} G \cong \mathbb{Q} D_{n} \bigoplus_{\substack{d=2^{k} r \\ r \mid m}} \mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_{2 d}, j\right],
$$

where $k$ and $m$ are non negative integers with $m$ odd, such that $n=2^{k} m$, $j^{2}=-1$ and $\alpha j=j \bar{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_{2 d}\right]$.

Before proving this theorem, we make the following remark:
Remark. Denote by $\left(\frac{a, b}{K}\right)$ the quaternion algebra over the field $K$, generated for $i, j$, where $i^{2}=a, j^{2}=b$ and $i j=-j i$. Then, if $d=1$,
$\mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_{2 d}, j\right] \cong \mathbb{Q}(i)$, and if $d \neq 1$ and writing $w_{2 d}=\zeta_{2 d}+\zeta_{2 d}^{-1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_{2 d}, j\right] & \cong \mathbb{Q}\left[w_{2 d}\right]\left[\zeta_{2 d}-\zeta_{2 d}^{-1}, j\right] \\
& \cong\left(\frac{\left(\zeta_{2 d}-\zeta_{2 d}^{-1}\right)^{2},-1}{\mathbb{Q}\left[w_{2 d}\right]}\right)=\left(\frac{w_{2 d}^{2}-4,-1}{\mathbb{Q}\left[w_{2 d}\right]}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Since $\left\langle x^{n}\right\rangle$ is a normal subgroup of $G$, then $\frac{1+x^{n}}{2}$ and $\frac{1-x^{n}}{2}$ are idempotent orthogonal elements of $\mathbb{Q} G$. Thus,

$$
\mathbb{Q} G \cong \mathbb{Q} G\left(\frac{1+x^{n}}{2}\right) \oplus \mathbb{Q} G\left(\frac{1-x^{n}}{2}\right),
$$

and $x^{n}$ plays the role of identity in $\mathbb{Q} G\left(\frac{1+x^{n}}{2}\right)$, so

$$
\mathbb{Q} G\left(\frac{1+x^{n}}{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Q} D_{n},
$$

where $D_{n}$ is the dihedral group of order $2 n$.
Let us suppose now that $n=2^{k} m$ where $m$ is odd. We intend to show that

$$
\mathbb{Q} G\left(\frac{1-x^{n}}{2}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{d=2^{k} r \\ r \mid m}} \mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_{2 d}, j\right]
$$

where $j^{2}=-1$ and $\alpha j=j \bar{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_{2 d}\right]$.
Let us consider the homomorphism

$$
\tau_{2 d}: \mathbb{Q} G\left(\frac{1-x^{n}}{2}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_{2 d}, j\right]
$$

where $d=2^{k} r$ with $r \mid m$, defined by $\tau_{2 d}(x)=\zeta_{2 d}$ and $\tau_{2 d}(y)=j$. It is clear that, $\left(\tau_{2 d}(x)\right)^{n}=\zeta_{2 d}^{n}=\zeta_{2 d}^{2^{k} m}=-1=j^{2}=\left(\tau_{2 d}(y)\right)^{2}$, $\tau_{2 d}\left(y^{-1} x y x\right)=1$ and $\tau_{2 d}\left(\frac{1-x^{n}}{2}\right)=1$. Thus $\tau_{2 d}$ is a well defined homomorphism. Furthermore, the dimension of $\mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_{2 d}, j\right]$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ equals $2 \phi(2 d)$.

Let now

$$
\tau: \mathbb{Q} G\left(\frac{1-x^{n}}{2}\right) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{d=2^{k} r \\ r \mid m}} \mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_{2 d}, j\right]
$$

where $\tau=\bigoplus_{d} \tau_{2 d}$. Now we claim that $\tau$ is an injective homomorphism. Indeed, suppose that $u$ is in the kernel of $\tau$. If now we rewrite $u$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{i=0}^{2 n-1} a_{i} x^{i}+\sum_{i=0}^{2 n-1} b_{i} x^{i} y\right)\left(\frac{1-x^{n}}{2}\right)= \\
& \frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(a_{i}-a_{i+n}\right)\left(x^{n}-x^{i+n}\right)+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(b_{i}-b_{i+n}\right)\left(x^{n}-x^{i+n}\right) y\right)= \\
& \quad\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(a_{i}-a_{i+n}\right) x^{i}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(b_{i}-b_{i+n}\right) x^{i} y\right)\left(\frac{1-x^{n}}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and define $F_{1}(z)=c_{0}+c_{1} z+\cdots+c_{n-1} z^{n-1}$ and $F_{2}(z)=d_{0}+d_{1} z+\cdots+$ $d_{n-1} z^{n-1}$, where $c_{i}=a_{i}-a_{i+n}$ and $d_{i}=b_{i}-b_{i+n}$ with $i=0, \ldots, n-1$, then for each $d$ we get

$$
\tau_{2 d}(u)=F_{1}\left(\zeta_{2 d}\right)+F_{2}\left(\zeta_{2 d}\right) j=0
$$

Thus, $F_{1}\left(\zeta_{2 d}\right)=0$ and $F_{2}\left(\zeta_{2 d}\right)=0$. Then $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ have all the roots of the polynomial $z^{n}+1$ as roots. Therefore, $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are null polynomials. Thus, $c_{i}=d_{i}=0$ for every $i=0, \ldots, n-1$, implies $u=0$, i.e., $\tau$ is injective. Moreover, the dimension of

$$
\bigoplus_{\substack{d=2^{k} r \\ r \mid m}} \mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_{2 d}, j\right]
$$

equals

$$
2 \sum_{r \mid m} \phi\left(2^{k+1} r\right)=2^{k+1} \sum_{r \mid m} \phi(r)=2^{k+1} m=2 n
$$

Since the dimension of $\mathbb{Q} G\left(\frac{1-x^{n}}{2}\right)$ is $2 n$, we conclude that $\tau$ is an isomorphism.

In the following tables we exhibit the Wedderburn decomposition of the rational quaternion and dihedral algebras of dimensions in the range comprised between 16 and 32 .

| Group | WEDDERBURN Decomposition |
| :---: | :--- |
| $D_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Q} D_{8} \cong 4 \mathbb{Q} \oplus M_{2}(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus M_{2}(\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}])$ |
| $D_{9}$ | $\mathbb{Q} D_{9} \cong 2 \mathbb{Q} \oplus M_{2}(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus M_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_{9}+\zeta_{9}^{-1}\right]\right)$ |
| $D_{10}$ | $\mathbb{Q} D_{10} \cong 4 \mathbb{Q} \oplus 2 M_{2}(\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{5}])$ |
| $D_{11}$ | $\mathbb{Q} D_{11} \cong \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q} \oplus M_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_{11}+\zeta_{11}^{-1}\right]\right)$ |
| $D_{12}$ | $\mathbb{Q} D_{12} \cong 4 \mathbb{Q} \oplus 3 M_{2}(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus M_{2}(\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{3}])$ |
| $D_{13}$ | $\mathbb{Q} D_{13} \cong \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q} \oplus M_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_{13}+\zeta_{13}^{-1}\right]\right)$ |
| $D_{14}$ | $\mathbb{Q} D_{14} \cong 4 \mathbb{Q} \oplus M_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_{7}+\zeta_{7}^{-1}\right]\right) \oplus M_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_{14}+\zeta_{14}^{-1}\right]\right)$ |
| $D_{15}$ | $\mathbb{Q} D_{15} \cong 2 \mathbb{Q} \oplus M_{2}(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus M_{2}(\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{5}]) \oplus M_{2}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_{15}+\zeta_{15}^{-1}\right]\right)$ |
| $D_{16}$ | $\mathbb{Q} D_{16} \cong 4 \mathbb{Q} \oplus M_{2}(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus M_{2}(\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]) \oplus M_{2}(\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2+\sqrt{2}}])$ |

$\left.\begin{array}{|c|l|}\hline \text { Group } & \text { WEDDERBURN Decomposition } \\ \hline Q_{4} & \mathbb{Q} Q_{4} \cong 4 \mathbb{Q} \oplus M_{2}(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus\left(\frac{-1,-1}{\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]}\right) \\ \hline Q_{5} & \left.\mathbb{Q} Q_{5} \cong 2 \mathbb{Q} \oplus 2 \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}(i) \oplus M_{2}(\sqrt{5}]\right) \oplus\left(\frac{-5+\sqrt{5},-1}{2}\right) \\ \hline Q_{6}[\sqrt{5}]\end{array}\right)$
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