

A GENERALIZATION OF SEMI-FREDHOLM OPERATORS

MANUEL GONZALEZ, Dpto. Matemáticas, Univ. Cantabria, 39005 Santander (Spain)

ANTONIO MARTINON, Dpto. Anál. Mat., Univ. La Laguna, 38271 Tenerife (Spain)

Classification A.M.S. (1980): 47D30, 47A53

Supported in part by DGICYT Grant PB88-0417

Several authors have introduced generalizations of the class SF_+ of upper semi-Fredholm operators [2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 12, 14]. SF_+ has good algebraic and topological properties: it is a semigroup (i.e., $ST \in SF_+$ when S and T belong to SF_+) which is stable under small norm perturbations (i.e., it is open). Also SF_+ is stable under perturbation by the class SS of the strictly singular operators. On the other hand, if $SF_+(X,Y)$ is nonempty, then the space X is essentially (up to finite dimensional subspace) isomorphic to a subspace of the space Y .

It is important to note that the definition and the properties of SF_+ are closely related with the class F of finite dimensional Banach spaces. In all the generalizations the underlying idea is to select another class of Banach spaces \mathcal{A} (usually a space ideal in the sense of [9]), instead of F , and then to define a class of operators SA_+ which includes SF_+ , and whose operators have kernel belonging to \mathcal{A} . And all that trying to preserve the nice properties of semi-Fredholm operators.

One of the applications of the generalized semi-Fredholm operators could be the classification of isomorphic properties of Banach spaces, since from the existence of an operator in $SA_+(X,Y)$ it follows that X is (in some sense) isomorphic to a subspace of Y up to a subspace in \mathcal{A} .

With respect to the possible definitions of the generalized semi-Fredholm operators, if one only asks the kernel of the operator to be in \mathcal{A} , then the algebraic and topological properties of SA_+ are not good [2], and it is not stable under perturbation.

On the other hand, it is too much to ask the ranges of the operators to be closed, since for non-semi-Fredholm operators this property is not stable under products or under compact perturbations of small norm [3; V.2.6].

The approach in [5, 6], using an operator ideal U , provides with classes of operators with good algebraic properties and stable under perturbations by operators in U , but in general these classes are not open [1,4].

In this paper, for every space ideal A , we define generalized semi-Fredholm operators in a similar way to the approach to semi-Fredholm operators due to Schechter [11], Weis [13], Zemanek [15] and other authors, and show that its properties are better than that of the previous generalizations [2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 12, 14].

Recall that two Banach spaces X and Y are totally incomparable [10] if Banach spaces isomorphic to a subspace of X and to a subspace of Y have finite dimension. Given a space ideal A we shall denote A^i the class of Banach spaces which are totally incomparable with every space in A .

F is the class of all finite dimensional Banach spaces; A is a space ideal in the sense of [9].

MAIN RESULTS. For $T \in L(X, Y)$, a continuous and linear operator from X into Y , we consider [9] $j(T) := \inf \{\|Tx\| : \|x\|=1\}$, the injection modulus of T . If $X \notin A$, then we define

$$sj_A(T) := \sup \{j(TJ_M) : M \subset X, M \notin A\}$$

$$isj_A(T) := \inf \{sj_A(TJ_M) : M \subset X, M \notin A\}$$

Analogously, using the norm $n(T) := \|T\|$, we can define in_A, sin_A . We use the following characterizations: $T \in SF_+ \Leftrightarrow isj_F(T) > 0$, $T \in SS \Leftrightarrow sin_F(T) = 0$.

Definition. (1) $SA_+(X, Y) := \{T \in L(X, Y) : isj_A(T) > 0\}$, if $X \notin A$ and $Y \notin A$,
 $:= \emptyset$, the empty set, if $X \notin A$ and $Y \in A$,
 $:= L(X, Y)$, if $X \in A$.

(2) $ASS(X, Y) := \{T \in L(X, Y) : sin_A(T) = 0\}$, if $X \notin A$ and $Y \notin A$,
 $:= L(X, Y)$, if $X \in A$ or $Y \in A$.

Theorem. (a) SA_+ is an open semigroup and $SF_+ \subset SA_+$.

(b) $T \in SA_+ \Rightarrow N(T) \in A$

(c) ASS is closed and $SS \subset ASS$.

(d) $A = A^{ii} \Rightarrow ASS$ is a closed operator ideal.

(e) $Sp(ASS) := \{X \in B : I_X \in ASS\} = A$.

(e) SA_+ is invariant by $ASS : T \in SA_+, S \in ASS \Rightarrow T + S \in SA_+$

EXAMPLES. In the following examples, we show that the semigroup SA_+ can contain or not contain the operators with kernel in A and closed range. This give us an idea of the relative size of the semigroups.

1 Let $A=NL_1$: Banach spaces containing no copies of l_1 . We show that in this case the semigroup SA_+ is relatively broad. Let $T \in L(X, Y)$. If $R(T)$ is closed and $N(T) \in A$, then $T \in SA_+$.

If X be a Banach space such that the dual $X^* \in NL_1$, we can construct an operator $T \in L(l_\infty, l_\infty)$ with kernel isomorphic to X^* and closed range. That show in particular that, for $A=NL_1$, the open semigroup $SA_+(l_\infty, l_\infty)$ is strictly bigger than $SF_+(l_\infty, l_\infty)$.

2 Let $A=l_2^{ii}$: Banach spaces such that every infinite dimensional subspace contains a copy of l_2 . Contrarily to the case $A=NL_1$, in this case the semigroup SA_+ does not contain all the operators with closed range and kernel in A .

3 Let $A=R$: the class of all reflexive spaces. Recall that $T \in L(X, Y)$ is tauberian [7] when $T^{**^{-1}}J_Y(Y)=J_X(X)$, where J_X is the canonical inclusion of X in X^{**} . The semigroup SR_+ is properly contained in the class of tauberian operators. We do not know if operators with closed range and reflexive kernel belong to SR_+ . Note that these operators belong to the interior of the class of tauberian operators [1].

OBSERVATION. We have obtained an analogous generalization of the classes SF_- and SC of lower semi-Fredholm operators and strictly cosingular operators, respectively.

REFERENCES

- [1] Alvarez, Gonzalez: Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
- [2] Alvarez, Onieva: Arch. Math. 44 (1985), 270-277.
- [3] Goldberg: *Unbounded linear operators*. McGraw Hill, 1966.
- [4] Gonzalez, Martinon: Actas XIV Jor. Hispano Lusas Math. (1989), to appear.
- [5] Gonzalez, Onieva: Proc. Royal Irish Acad. 88A (1988), 35-38, 119-124.
- [6] Gonzalez, Onieva: Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
- [7] Kalton, Wilansky: Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 57 (1976), 233-240.
- [8] Martinon: Tesis. Univ. La Laguna, 1989.
- [9] Pietsch: *Operator ideals*. North-Holland, 1980.
- [10] Rosenthal: J. Funct. Anal. 4 (1969), 167-175.
- [11] Schechter: Indiana Univ. Math. J. 21 (1972), 1061-1071.
- [12] Tacon: J. Austral. Math. Soc. A 34 (1983), 60-70.
- [13] Weis: Dissertation. Univ. Bonn, 1974.
- [14] Yang: Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 65 (1977), 252-254.
- [15] Zemanek: Studia Math. 80 (1984), 219-234.