

THEOREMS OF ε -PSEUDOORTHOGONAL DECOMPOSITION
IN NORMED LINEAR SPACES.

Sever Silvestru Dragomir

Strada Trandafirilor 60 Bl. 34 Sc. D Ap. 9

1600-BAILE HERCULANE-ROMANIA

A.M.S. Class: 46B20.

Let X be a normed linear space over real or complex number field K . The following definition is a natural generalization of Birkhoff-James' orthogonality in normed linear spaces [1], [3].

DEFINITION 1. Let $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$. The element $x \in X$ will be called ε -Birkhoff-James pseudoorthogonal on the element $y \in X$ or ε -B-J-pseudoorthogonal, for short, if we have $\|x + \lambda y\| \geq (1-\varepsilon)\|x\|$ for all $\lambda \in K$. We denote this $x \perp_{\varepsilon} y$ (B-J).

If A is a nonempty subset in X , then by $A \perp_{\varepsilon}^{\perp}$ (B-J) we denote the set of all elements which are ε -B-J-pseudoorthogonal over A . We remark that $0 \in A \perp_{\varepsilon}^{\perp}$ (B-J) and $A \cap A \perp_{\varepsilon}^{\perp}$ (B-J) $\subseteq \{0\}$ for every $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$.

The following statement is a variant of F. Riesz' result (see e.g. [4], p. 84):

PROPOSITION 1. Let X be as above and E be its closed linear subspace. Suppose $E \neq X$. Then for every $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ the set $E \perp_{\varepsilon}^{\perp}$ (B-J) is nonzero.

Now, we can give the first ε -pseudoorthogonal decomposition theorem which works in normed linear spaces.

THEOREMS 1. Let X be a normed space and E be its closed linear subspace. Then for every $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ the following decomposition holds:

$$X = E + E \perp_{\varepsilon}^{\perp} (B-J).$$

Indeed, it is clear that for $E \neq X$ and $x \notin E$, there exists an element $y_{\varepsilon} \in E$ such that $0 < d(x, E) \leq \|x - y_{\varepsilon}\| \leq d/(1-\varepsilon)$ and since $x_{\varepsilon} := x - y_{\varepsilon} \in E \perp_{\varepsilon}^{\perp}$ (B-J) we obtain the desired representation.

A mapping $[\cdot, \cdot]: X \times X \rightarrow K$ is called semi-inner product (s.i.p.) on linear space X if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $[x,x] \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$ and $[x,x]=0$ implies $x=0$;
- (ii) $[\lambda x,y]=\lambda[x,y]$ and $[x,\lambda y]=\bar{\lambda}[x,y]$ for all $x,y \in X$;
- (iii) $|[x,y]|^2 \leq [x,x][y,y]$ for all x,y in X ;
- (iv) $[x+y,z]=[x,z]+[y,z]$ for all x,y,z in X .

It is clear that the mapping $x \in X \longrightarrow [x,x]^{1/2} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is a norm on X and every s.i.p. on normed space X which generates its norm is of the form: $[x,y]=\langle \tilde{J}(y),x \rangle$ for all x,y in X where \tilde{J} is a section of normalized dual mapping.

The following concept is a generalization in one sense of Giles' orthogonality [2]:

DEFINITION 2. Let $\epsilon \in (0,1)$. The element $x \in X$ is called ϵ -Giles pseudo-orthogonal on the element $y \in X$ (relative to s.i.p. $[\cdot, \cdot]$) or ϵ -G-pseudo-orthogonal, for short, if $|[y,x]| \leq \epsilon \|x\| \|y\|$ and we denote $x \perp_{\epsilon} y(G)$.

If A is a nonempty subset of X , then by $A \perp_{\epsilon}^{\perp}(G)$ we shall denote the set of all elements which are ϵ -G-pseudoorthogonal over A . It is easy to see that $0 \in A \perp_{\epsilon}^{\perp}(G)$ and $A \cap A \perp_{\epsilon}^{\perp}(G) \subseteq \{0\}$ for all $\epsilon \in (0,1)$.

PROPOSITION 2. Let $(X; [\cdot, \cdot])$ be an inner-product space and $\epsilon \in (0,1)$. Then $x \perp_{\epsilon} y(G)$ iff $x \perp_{\frac{1}{\eta(\epsilon)}} y(B-J)$ where $\eta(\epsilon) = 1 - (1 - \epsilon^2)^{1/2}$.

The proof follows by the properties of i.p. and we omit it.

In virtue of this fact, we can introduce the following concept.

DEFINITION 3. Let X be a normed space and $[\cdot, \cdot]$ be a s.i.p. on it which generates its norm. The s.i.p. $[\cdot, \cdot]$ will be called of (APP)-type if there exists a mapping $\eta: (0,1) \longrightarrow (0,1)$ (called the transition mapping) such that $\eta(\epsilon)=0$ iff $\epsilon=0$ and with the property that $x \perp_{\frac{1}{\eta(\epsilon)}} y(B-J)$ implies $x \perp_{\epsilon} y(G)$, for all $\epsilon \in (0,1)$.

It is clear that every i.p. on a linear space X is a s.i.p. of (APP)-type. Now, let (Ω, A, μ) be a measure space and $L^p(\Omega)$ ($p > 1$) be the Banach space of p -integrables real functions on Ω . If we put $(y,x)_p := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (\|x+ty\|_p^2 - \|x\|_p^2) / 2t$ for x, y in $L^p(\Omega)$, $p \geq 2$, then $(\cdot, \cdot)_p$ is a s.i.p. of (APP)-type with the transition mapping $\eta(\epsilon) := 1 - [1 - \epsilon^2 / (2p-3)]^{1/2}$, $\epsilon \in (0,1)$.

PROPOSITION 3. Let X be a normed space and $[\cdot, \cdot]$ be a s.i.p. of (APP)-type which generates its norm. If E is a proper closed linear

subspace in X then $E^{\perp}_{\epsilon}(G)$ is nonzero for all $\epsilon \in (0,1)$.

COROLLARY. If X_p is a nonzero linear subspace in $L^p(\Omega)$ ($p \geq 2$) and E_p is a proper closed linear subspace in X_p , then $E^{\perp}_{\epsilon}(G)$ is nonzero for all $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ where $E^{\perp}_{\epsilon}(G)$ is taken in X_p .

The following ϵ -pseudoorthogonal decomposition theorem also holds.

THEOREM 2. Let X and E be as above. Then for all $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ we have the decomposition:

$$X = E + E^{\perp}_{\epsilon}(G).$$

COROLLARY. If X_p and E_p are as above, then for any $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ we have the decomposition:

$$X_p = E_p + E^{\perp}_{\epsilon}(G).$$

REFERENCES

- [1]. G. BIRKHOFF, Orthogonality in linear metric spaces. Duke Math. J., 1 (1935), 169-172.
- [2]. J.R. GILES, Classes of semi-inner-product spaces. Trans. Amer. Mat. Soc., 129 (1967), 436-446.
- [3]. R.C. JAMES, Orthogonality and linear functionals in normed linear spaces. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 61 (1947), 265-292.
- [4]. K. YOSIDA, Functional Analysis. Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2-nd Ed., 1968.