

VARIETIES OF NILPOTENT LIE ALGEBRAS OF DIMENSION LESS THAN 8

by

José-María ANCOCHEA-BERMUDEZ and Michel GOZE

J.M.A.B. Departamento de Geometría y Topología. Facultad de Matemáticas.
Universidad Complutense. 28040 MADRID (SPAIN).M.G. Université Haute Alsace, F.S.T. 4, rue des Frères Lumière. 68093
MULHOUSE Cédex. (FRANCE).

A.M.S. Clasificación (1980): 17B30

Let N^n be the variety of n -dimensional complex nilpotent Lie algebra laws. Let us consider the "change of basis" action of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ on N^n and let us denote by $\theta(\mu)$ the orbit of the law μ in N^n under the above action. If $n \leq 6$, it is well known (see [5], [6], [7]) that N^n is irreducible and there exists a rigid filiform law μ_n^1 in N^n (that is, the orbit of μ_n^1 is open), and therefore N^n is the Zariski closure of $\theta(\mu_n^1)$. Nevertheless, N^7 is irreducible (see [3], [6], [7]) and it contains no rigid nilpotent Lie algebra (see [1], [4]). In this note the classification of complex nilpotent Lie algebras of dimension 7 obtained in [4] is used to prove that N^7 has precisely two irreducible components, both of dimension 40, which are respectively the Zariski closures of the orbits of a family $(\{\mu_\alpha^1\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}})$ of filiform algebras, and a family $(\{\mu_\alpha^2\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}})$ of characteristically nilpotent algebras. It is also shown that in N^8 there exist at least two irreducible components intersecting the open subset of all filiform Lie algebras, and one of which is the closure of the orbit of a rigid law in N^8 ; this is a counterexample of the conjecture: "there exists no rigid nilpotent Lie algebra in N^n for $n \geq 7$: given by M. Vergue in [7].

I. THE VARIETY N^7

Considerer the families $\{\mu_\alpha^1\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}}$ and $\{\mu_\alpha^2\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}}$ of Lie algebra laws in N^7 given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdot \mu_\alpha^1(X_1, X_i) = X_{i-1}, \quad i=3, 4, 5, 6, 7; \quad \mu_\alpha^1(X_4, X_7) = \alpha X_2; \quad \mu_\alpha^1(X_5, X_6) = X_2; \\ & \mu_\alpha^1(X_5, X_7) = (1+\alpha)X_3; \quad \mu_\alpha^1(X_6, X_7) = (1+\alpha)X_4, \quad \text{with } \alpha \in \mathbb{C}. \\ & \cdot \mu_\alpha^2(X_1, X_i) = X_{i-1}, \quad i=4, 5, 6, 7; \quad \mu_\alpha^2(X_2, X_6) = X_3; \quad \mu_\alpha^2(X_2, X_7) = X_3 + X_4; \\ & \mu_\alpha^2(X_5, X_7) = \alpha X_3; \quad \mu_\alpha^2(X_6, X_7) = \alpha X_4 + X_2, \quad \text{with } \alpha \in \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned}$$

where the undefined products are supposed to be zero.

Proposition. If $\alpha \neq \alpha'$ (respectively $\alpha \neq \alpha'$), then the laws μ_α^1 and $\mu_{\alpha'}^1$ (resp. μ_α^2 and $\mu_{\alpha'}^2$) are not isomorphic.

Proposition. The families $\{\mu_\alpha^1\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}}$ and $\{\mu_\alpha^2\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}}$ are rigid in the following sense: Any perturbation μ of a standard element μ_α^1 (resp. μ_α^2) is isomorphic to a law in the family $\{\mu_\alpha^1\}$ (resp. $\{\mu_\alpha^2\}$). Moreover, there is no contraction between different standard members of these families.

We recall that μ is a perturbation of a standard law μ_1 of N^n if $\mu \in N^n$ and the structure constants relative to a standard basis in \mathbb{C}^n are infinitely close. Also, the standard law μ_1 of N^n can be contracted on the standard law $\mu_2 \in N^n$ if there is a $f \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that $f^{-1} \cdot \mu_1 \cdot (f \times f)$ is a perturbation of μ_2 .

Proposition. All nilpotent standard laws in N^7 can be perturbed into a law in the families $\{\mu_\alpha^1\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}}$ or $\{\mu_\alpha^2\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}}$.

Theorem: The closures of the orbits of $\{\mu_\alpha^1\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}}$ and $\{\mu_\alpha^2\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}}$ are the only irreducible components in N^7 . Moreover, both components have dimension 40.

II. THE VARIETY N^8

Let μ be the following law:

$$\mu(X_1, X_i) = X_{i-1}, \quad i=3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8; \quad \mu(X_4, X_7) = X_2; \quad \mu(X_4, X_8) = X_2 + X_3;$$

$$\mu(X_5, X_6) = -X_2; \quad \mu(X_5, X_7) = -\frac{2}{5}X_2; \quad \mu(X_5, X_8) = X_4 + \frac{3}{5}X_3;$$

$$\mu(X_6, X_7) = -\frac{2}{5}X_3, \quad \mu(X_6, X_8) = X_5 + \frac{1}{5}X_4; \quad \mu(X_7, X_8) = X_6 + \frac{1}{5}X_5.$$

Proposition. The law μ is the only rigid filiform law in N^8 (if [2]). Therefore, the closure of $\theta(\mu)$ is an irreducible component of N^8 .

Consider the family of filiform algebras in N^8 defined by:

$$\mu_\alpha(X_1, X_i) = X_{i-1}, \quad i=3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8; \quad \mu_\alpha(X_4, X_8) = \alpha X_2; \quad \mu_\alpha(X_5, X_7) = X_2;$$

$$\mu_\alpha(X_5, X_8) = (1+\alpha)X_3 + X_2; \quad \mu_\alpha(X_6, X_7) = X_3; \quad \mu_\alpha(X_6, X_8) = (2+\alpha)X_4 + X_3;$$

$$\mu_\alpha(X_7, X_8) = (2+\alpha)X_5 + X_4 \quad \text{with } \alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-\frac{2}{5}\}.$$

Proposition. The laws in the preceding family are not isomorphic. Moreover, no standard law in this family can be perturbed on μ . Therefore, the variety N^8 has at least two irreducible components which intersect the open subset of filiform algebras.

REFERENCES.

[1]. J.M. ANCOCHEA BERMUDEZ and M. GOZE. Sur la classification des algèbres de Lie nilpotentes de dimension 7. C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, t. 302. p. 611-613 (1986).

[2]. J.M. ANCOCHEA BERMUDEZ and M. GOZE. Classification des algèbres de Lie filiformes de dimension 8. (to appear in Arch. der Math.)

- [3]. R. CARLES. Sur les algèbres de Lie caractéristiquement nilpotentes. Preprint Univ. de Poitiers.
- [4]. M. GOZE and J.M. ANCOCHEA BERMUDEZ. Classification des algèbres de Lie nilpotentes complexes de dimension 7. (to appear in Arch. der Math.)
- [5]. F. GRUNEWALD and J. O'HALLORAN. Varieties of nilpotent Lie algebras of dimension less than six. J. Algebra 112: p. 315-325. (1988)
- [6]. M. VERGNE. Sur la variété des lois nilpotentes. These 3-ème cycle. Paris (1966).
- [7]. M. VERGNE. Cohomologie des algèbres de Lie nilpotentes. Application à l'étude de la variété des algèbres de Lie nilpotentes. Bull. Soc. Math. France 98. p. 81-116 (1970).