REVISTA MATEMATICA de la Universidad Complutense de Madrid Volumen 4, números 2 y 3; 1991. http://dx.doi.org/10.5209/rev\_REMA.1991.v4.n2.17955

## On an Inequality of Gauss

HORST ALZER

ABSTRACT. In this note we prove a new extension and a converse of an inequality due to Gauss.

The following theorem which is important in Statistics (see [1, p. 183 and p. 256]) is due to C.F. Gauss:

If  $f:[0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$  is a decreasing function, then we have for all real numbers k>0:

$$k^2 \int_{k}^{\infty} f(x) dx \le \frac{4}{9} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^2 f(x) dx$$
 (1)

Interesting generalizations of this result were given by V. N. Volkov [3] and, recently, by J. E. Pečarić [2].

The aim of this paper is two-fold. On the one hand we establish a new extension of inequality (1) and on the other hand we present a converse of (1) which provides (under the additional assumption that f is nonnegative) a lower bound for

$$k^2 \int_{1}^{\infty} f(x) dx$$
.

First we prove the following proposition:

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26D15. Editorial de la Universidad Complutense. Madrid, 1991.

180 H. Alzer

**Theorem.** Let  $g:[a,b] \to \Re$  be strictly increasing, convex and differentiable, and let  $f:I \to \Re$  be decreasing. Then

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(s(x)) g'(x) dx \le \int_{g(a)}^{g(b)} f(x) dx \le \int_{a}^{b} f(t(x)) g'(x) dx$$
 (2)

where

$$s(x) = \frac{g(b) - g(a)}{b - a}(x - a) + g(a)$$

and

$$t(x) = g'(x_0)(x - x_0) + g(x_0), x_0 \in [a, b].$$

( $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  is an interval containing a, b, g(a), g(b), t(a) and t(b).)

If either g is concave (instead of convex) or f is increasing, then the reversed inequalities hold.

**Proof.** Let g be convex and let f be decreasing. We denote by h the function

$$h(x)=f(g(x));$$

then h is also decreasing. Since g is convex we obtain for all  $x \in [a, b]$ :

$$t(x) \le g(x) \le s(x)$$
.

This implies

$$g^{-1}(t(x)) \le x \le g^{-1}(s(x))$$

and

$$h(g^{-1}(t(x))) \ge h(x) \ge h(g^{-1}(s(x))),$$

where  $g^{-1}$  designates the inverse function of g.

Because of  $g' \ge 0$  we conclude

$$h(g^{-1}(t(x))) g'(x) \ge h(x) g'(x) \ge h(g^{-1}(s(x))) g'(x)$$

and integration yields

$$\int_{a}^{b} h(g^{-1}(t(x))) g'(x) dx \ge \int_{a}^{b} h(x) g'(x) dx$$

$$\ge \int_{a}^{b} h(g^{-1}(s(x))) g'(x) dx. \tag{3}$$

Finally, from (3) and

$$\int_{a}^{b} h(x) g'(x) dx = \int_{g(a)}^{g(b)} h(g^{-1}(y)) dy$$

(which follows immediately from the substitution y = g(x)) we get

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(t(x)) g'(x) dx \ge \int_{g(a)}^{g(b)} f(x) dx \ge \int_{a}^{b} f(s(x)) g'(x) dx.$$

Similarly we can verify, if either g is concave (instead of convex) or f is increasing, then in the last inequalities we have to replace " $\geq$ " by " $\leq$ ". This completes the proof.  $\square$ 

An application of the Theorem leads to a new proof and to a converse of inequality (1).

**Corollary.** If  $f:[0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  is decreasing, then we have for all real positive real numbers k:

$$k^2 \int_{k}^{\infty} f(x) dx \leq \frac{4}{9} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^2 f(x) dx,$$

and, under the additional assumption that f is nonnegative we obtain

$$3\int_{0}^{k}x^{2}f(x+k)dx \leq k^{2}\int_{0}^{\infty}f(x)dx,$$
(4)

where the constant 3 cannot be replaced by a greater number.

**Proof.** Let a=0,  $b \ge x_0 = k/2^{1/3}$  and  $g(x) = (1/k^2)x^3 + k$ .

182

Then we have

$$t(x) = (3/4^{1/3})x$$

and from the right-hand side of (2) we conclude

$$\int_{k}^{k+h^{+}k^{+}} f(x) dx \leq \frac{3}{k^{2}} \int_{0}^{h} x^{2} f(3x/4^{1/3}) dx = \frac{4}{9k^{2}} \int_{0}^{3h} x^{2} f(x) dx.$$

If b tends to  $\infty$  we obtain inequality (1).

In order to prove (4) we set a=0, b=k and  $g(x)=(1/k^2)x^3+k$ .

Then we get

$$s(x) = x + k$$

and from the first inequality of (2) we obtain

$$\frac{3}{k^2} \int_0^k x^2 f(x+k) \, dx \le \int_k^{2k} f(x) \, dx \,. \tag{5}$$

Since f is nonnegative we conclude

$$\int_{k}^{2k} f(x) dx \le \int_{k}^{\infty} f(x) dx \tag{6}$$

such that (5) and (6) imply inequality (4).

If we put

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \le x \le 2k, \\ 0, & 2k < x, \end{cases}$$

then equality holds in (4). Therefore, the constant 3 is best possible.  $\square$ 

## References

- [1] H. CRAMÉR: Mathematical Methods of Statistics, Princeton Univ. Press. Princeton, 1966.
- [2] J. E. PEČARIĆ: Connections among some inequalities of Gauss, Steffensen and Ostrowski, SEA Bull. Math. 13 (1989), 89-91.
- [3] V. N. Volkov: Inequalities connected with an inequality of Gauss (Russian), Volž. Mat. Sb. 7 (1969), 11-13.

Morsbacher Str. 10 5220 Waldbröl Germany

Recibido: 31-de julio de 1990