57-68

# **One-dimensional mesh generation for critical points in an interval**

Generación de red unidimensional para puntos críticos en un intervalo

Fecha de recepción y aceptación: 22 de noviembre de 2017, 20 de febrero de 2018

#### J. L. González-Santander<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Departamento de Ciencias Experimentales. Facultad de Veterinaria y Ciencias Experimentales. Universidad Católica de Valencia San Vicente Mártir.

\* Correspondencia: Universidad Católica de Valencia San Vicente Mártir. Facultad de Veterinaria y Ciencias Experimentales. Calle Guillem de Castro, 96. 46001 Valencia. España. *E-mail*: juanluis.gonzalezsantander@gmail.com



# ABSTRACT

We provide a general theory for the generation of one-dimensional non-uniform meshes. From this theory, we derive simple procedures for the calculation of meshes wherein the density of points are concentrated in the neighborhood of a critical point, namely, a Lorentzian- and an exponential-type mesh. The latter is especially useful when the critical point is on one of the extremes of the interval. Examples are provided in order to show that the considered non-uniform meshes improve the interpolation with respect to a uniform mesh.

#### **KEYWORDS:** one-dimensional meshes, interpolation.

#### RESUMEN

Proporcionamos una teoría general para la generación de mallas unidimensionales no uniformes. A partir de esta teoría, deducimos procedimientos simples para el cálculo de mallas en donde la densidad de puntos se concentra en la vecindad de un punto crítico, es decir, una malla de tipo lorentziano y una de tipo exponencial. Este último caso es especialmente útil cuando el punto crítico está en uno de los extremos del intervalo. Se proporcionan ejemplos para mostrar que las mallas no uniformes consideradas mejoran la interpolación con respecto a una malla uniforme.

PALABRAS CLAVE: mallados unidimensionales, interpolación.

# **INTRODUCTION**

In Numerical Methods, such as interpolation, numerical integration or numerical solving of differential equations, we have to discretize the domain wherein we are looking for an approximate numerical solution of a given problem. As initial approximation to this discretization, usually uniform meshes are used. However, if some information is known in advance about the function being discretized, such an abrupt change or a violent oscillation in the neighborhood of a point, say a critical point, we can improve the numerical approximation by using non-uniform meshes which concentrate the number of mesh points nearby this critical point.

The scope of this paper is just to provide a general theory of how we can generate this non-uniform meshes for one-dimensional intervals. From this theory, we can derive closed-form expressions for some particular cases, namely, a Loretzian-type and an exponential-type meshes. Finally, we will provide some examples to see how this kind of meshes improves the interpolation of some functions.

#### **UNIFORM AND NON-UNIFORM-MESHES**

When we have a one-dimensional mesh of n points over an interval  $(x_{min}, x_{max})$ , we generate n - 1 subintervals  $(x_i, x_{i+1})$ , i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1. If the mesh is uniform, all subintervals are of the same length h,

$$h = x_{i+1} - x_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$
(1)

Summing up all the subintervals, we obtain the length of the whole interval,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} h = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_{i+1} - x_i \quad \Rightarrow \quad (n-1)h = x_n - x_1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad h = \frac{x_{\max} - x_{\min}}{n-1}.$$
(2)

We can obtain non-uniform meshes with the aid of a positive function f(x) > 0 (hereafter termed as mesh density function), defining the subintervals as,

$$\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} f(x) dx = A. \tag{3}$$

For convenience, the mesh density function f(x) is normalized to unity within the whole interval,

$$\int_{x_{\min}}^{x_{\max}} f(x) dx = 1.$$
(4)

Thereby, the subintervals will be smaller where the mesh density function is greater (see Fig. 1).



Figure 1. Non-uniform mesh subintervals.

Note that we can recover the uniform mesh taking a constant mesh density function f(x) = C. Indeed, in this case, from (3), we have

$$C\left(x_{i+1} - x_{i}\right) = A. \tag{5}$$

Therefore, summing up in (5) all the subintervals, we obtain

$$C\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_{i+1} - x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} A \quad \Rightarrow \quad C(x_{\max} - x_{\min}) = (n-1)A.$$
(6)

However, note that from (4),

$$1 = \int_{x_{\min}}^{x_{\max}} f(x) dx = C(x_{\max} - x_{\min}),$$
(7)

thus, (6) and (7) yields,

$$A = \frac{1}{n-1}.$$
(8)

Finally, combining (5), (7), and (8), taking into account (1), we arrive at (2), which is the formula for a uniform mesh.

# PARTICULAR CASES OF NON-UNIFORM MESHES

# Lorentzian mesh density function

Let us consider now a Lorentzian-type mesh density function, that for convenience, we will express as follows:

$$f(x) = \frac{\beta/\alpha}{\left(x - x_0\right)^2 + \beta^2}.$$
(9)

The above mesh density function (9) is positive in the real domain for  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta > 0$ , and has got a unique maximum at  $x = x_0$  (see Fig. 2). Therefore, this point is going to be the critical point around which the density of points in the mesh is going to be higher.



Figure 2. Lorentzian mesh density function.

Now, in order to calculate the non-uniform mesh with this Lorentzian-type density function, let us define a subinterval centered on  $x = x_0$ , and of width  $h^* = 2\delta$  (see Fig. 2). Hereafter we will term this subinterval as the maximum subinterval. According to (3), the maximum subinterval has to satisfy,

$$\int_{x_0-\delta}^{x_0+\delta} f(x)dx = A.$$
(10)

As aforementioned, if we have n points in the mesh, the length h of each subinterval in a uniform mesh will be given by (2). However, the length of the maximum subinterval must be smaller, thus

$$\delta = \frac{h^*}{2} = \frac{\varepsilon h}{2}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon < 1.$$
(11)

The shrinkage factor  $\varepsilon$  will tell us how much the points of the mesh gather around the critical point  $x_0$ . The points of the mesh are calculated iteratively as follows. Considering a Lorentzian density (9) in (3), and knowing that [1]

$$\int \frac{\beta/\alpha}{\left(x-x_0\right)^2+\beta^2} dx = \frac{1}{\alpha} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{x-x_0}{\beta}\right),\tag{12}$$

we have, for i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1,

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{x - x_0}{\beta} \right) \Big|_{x = x_i}^{x_{i+1}} = A \quad \Rightarrow \quad x_{i+1} = x_0 + \tan \left( A \alpha + \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{x_i - x_0}{\beta} \right) \right)$$
(13)

where for the initial iteration we know that  $x_1 = x_{\min}$ .

Let us calculate now the parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  from the condition for the maximum subinterval (10) and the normalization condition (4). For this purpose, apply the following identity [2]

$$\tan^{-1}(x) - \tan^{-1}(y) = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{x - y}{1 - xy}\right), \qquad xy > -1,$$
(14)

to (12), setting integration limits, hence

$$\int_{a}^{b} \frac{\beta/\alpha}{(x-x_{0})^{2}+\beta^{2}} dx = \frac{1}{\alpha} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\beta(b-a)}{\beta^{2}+(a-x_{0})(b-x_{0})} \right).$$
(15)

Therefore, according to (15), the condition for the maximum subinterval (10) is rewritten as,

$$\tan\left(A\alpha\right) = \frac{2\delta\beta}{\beta^2 - \delta^2}.$$
(16)

Also, the normalization condition (4) is rewritten as,

$$\tan \alpha = \frac{\beta \Delta}{\beta^2 - K^2},\tag{17}$$

where we have set,

$$\Delta = x_{\max} - x_{\min},$$
  

$$K^{2} = (x_{\max} - x_{0})(x_{0} - x_{\min}).$$
(18)

Also, notice that from (2) and (18) we can rewrite (11) as

$$\delta = \frac{\varepsilon \Delta A}{2}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon < 1.$$
<sup>(19)</sup>

Solving for  $\beta^2$  in (16) and (17), and equating both results, we can solve for  $\beta$ , obtaining,

$$\beta = \frac{K^2 - \delta^2}{2\delta \cot(A\alpha) - \Delta \cot(\alpha)}.$$
(20)

Now, inserting (20) in (17), we arrive at

$$4K^{2}\delta^{2}\cot^{2}(A\alpha) + \Delta^{2}\delta^{2}\cot^{2}(\alpha) - 2\delta\Delta(K^{2} + \delta^{2})\cot(\alpha)\cot(A\alpha) = (K^{2} - \delta^{2})^{2}.$$
(21)

The above equation (21) can be solved numerically using Brent's method [3], taking as initial bracketing  $(0, \pi)$ .

# Procedure

In summary, knowing the interval  $I = (x_{\min}, x_{\max})$ , the critical point  $x_0$  within the interval *I*, the number of points in the mesh *n*, and the shrinkage factor  $\varepsilon$ , we can compute *A* with (8),  $\Delta$  and K with (18), and  $\delta$  with (19). Once all these parameters are set, we can solve for  $\alpha$  with (21). Next,  $\beta$  is computed using (20). Finally, the points of the mesh are calculated iteratively using (13).

#### **Exponential mesh density function**

The Lorentzian-type mesh cannot be used when the critical point  $x_0$  is at one of the extremes of the interval  $(x_{\min}, x_{\max})$ . For these cases, consider an exponential mesh density function,

$$f(x) = \alpha \, e^{\beta x}.\tag{22}$$

The exponential function (22) is positive in the real domain for  $\alpha > 0$ . Also, in the interval  $(x_{\min}, x_{\max})$ , the maximum is at  $x = x_{\max}$  when  $\beta > 0$ , and at  $x = x_{\min}$  when  $\beta < 0$ . Therefore, the critical point will be found at one of the extremes of the interval  $(x_{\min}, x_{\max})$  depending on the sign of  $\beta$ .

The points of the mesh are calculated as follows. Inserting (22) in (3), we have,

$$\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \left( e^{\beta x_{i+1}} - e^{\beta x_i} \right) = A \quad \Rightarrow \quad x_{i+1} = \frac{1}{\beta} \log \left( \frac{\beta A}{\alpha} + e^{\beta x_i} \right), \tag{23}$$

where the initial iteration is given by  $x_1 = x_{\min}$ .

In order to calculate the parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , we use the normalization condition (4), which according to (18) and (22) reads as

$$\frac{\alpha}{\beta} e^{\beta x_{\max}} \left( 1 - e^{-\beta \Delta} \right) = 1.$$
(24)

Now, consider  $\beta > 0$ , thus the maximum subinterval is given by  $(x_{max} - \delta, x_{max})$ . Similar to (11), the length of this maximum subinterval must be shrunk by a factor  $\varepsilon$  with respect to a uniform mesh, hence

$$\delta = \varepsilon h = \varepsilon \Delta A, \quad 0 < \varepsilon < 1.$$
<sup>(25)</sup>

Therefore, considering this maximum subinterval in (3), we get a second condition for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ,

$$\frac{\alpha}{\beta}e^{\beta x_{\max}}\left(1-e^{-\beta\delta}\right) = A.$$
(26)

Now, from (24) and (26), we obtain the following equation for  $\beta$ ,

$$A\left(1-e^{-\beta\Lambda}\right) = 1-e^{-\beta\delta},\tag{27}$$

that can be solved using Newton-Raphson's method. For the initial iteration  $\beta_0$ , we can expand in McLaurin series the exponentials given in (27) up to second order, arriving at the following approximate equation,

$$\delta - A\Delta \approx \frac{\beta}{2} \left( \delta^2 - \Delta^2 A \right) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \beta_0 = \frac{2(\delta - A\Delta)}{\delta^2 - \Delta^2 A}. \tag{28}$$

Once  $\beta$  is computed, we can calculate  $\alpha$  from (24) as,

$$\alpha = \frac{\beta e^{-\beta x_{\max}}}{1 - e^{-\beta \Delta}}.$$
(29)

As mentioned before, if we consider the first interval as the maximum subinterval, then we have to change  $\beta \rightarrow -\beta$ .

#### NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

#### Interpolation with a Lorentzian mesh

In order to test the usefulness of the Lorentzian mesh, we have interpolated the sigmoid-like function:

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-3x^2 + 10x + 2}},$$
(30)

taking n = 9 points. Fig. 3 shows the plot of the g(x) function and the interpolated function with second order splines [4] using a uniform mesh within the interval (-2, 1). We can see that the interpolation deviates from the g(x) function where this function changes abruptly.



Figure 3. Interpolation using a uniform mesh.

However, taking the same number of points, n = 9, but using a Lorentzian mesh centered in  $x_0 = -0.2$  with a shrinkage factor  $\varepsilon = 0.4$ , the second order splines interpolation improves the result, as it is shown in Fig. 4.



Figure 4. Interpolation using a Lorentzian-type mesh.

We can evaluate numerically the performance of both interpolations shown in Figs. 3 and 4, by using the following distance [5] between two non-negative functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ , integrable in a certain interval I = (a, b):

$$\Delta_{I}(f_{1},f_{2}) = \begin{cases} \int_{a}^{b} |f_{1}(x) - f_{2}(x)| dx \\ \int_{a}^{b} |f_{1}(x) + f_{2}(x)| dx \\ 0, \qquad f_{1} = f_{2} = 0 \end{cases}, \quad f_{1} = f_{2} = 0$$
(31)

In [5] is proved that  $0 \le \Delta_I(f_1, f_2) \le 1$ , wherein  $\Delta_I(f_1, f_2) = 0$  means that  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are overlapped within the integration interval I = (a, b), and  $\Delta_I(f_1, f_2) = 1$  means that both functions are relatively infinitely far one from each other.

Denoting  $g_{unif}(x)$  as the interpolating function with a uniform mesh (Fig. 3), and  $g_{lorentz}(x)$  as the interpolating function with a Lorentzian mesh (Fig. 4), we have obtained,

$$\Delta_{(-2,1)}(g_{\text{unif}},g) \approx 0.015937,$$
  
$$\Delta_{(-2,1)}(g_{\text{lorentz}},g) \approx 0.001690,$$
(32)

which indicates that the Lorentz mesh improves the interpolation quite significantly.

#### Interpolation with an exponential mesh

Now, let us interpolate the function,

$$g(x) = \sin\left(\frac{1}{x^2 + 0.05}\right),$$
 (33)

within the interval  $(0, \pi)$ , taking n = 10 points in the mesh. Fig. 5 shows the plot of the g(x) function and the interpolated function with second order splines [4] using a uniform mesh. We can see that the interpolation deviates from the g(x) function nearby the origin since there the function oscillates significantly.



Figure 5. Interpolation using a uniform mesh.

However, taking the same number of points, n = 10, but using an exponential mesh with the critical point at  $x_{\min} = 0$  with a shrinkage factor  $\varepsilon = 0.25$ , the second order splines interpolation improves the result, as it is shown in Fig. 6.



Figure 6. Interpolation using an exponential mesh.

Denoting  $g_{unif}(x)$  as the interpolating function with a uniform mesh (Fig. 5), and  $g_{exp}(x)$  as the interpolating function with an exponential mesh (Fig. 6), we have obtained,

$$\Delta_{(0,\pi)}(g_{\text{unif}},g) \approx 0.1847,$$
  
$$\Delta_{(0,\pi)}(g_{\text{exp}},g) \approx 0.04130,$$
(34)

which indicates that the exponential mesh improves the interpolation quite significantly.

# CONCLUSIONS

We have obtained a general procedure to generate non-uniform meshes in terms of the density of points required (mesh density function) within a given interval, (3) and (4). This is useful when we know in advance that a function changes abruptly or oscillates violently in the neighborhood of a certain point of the interval (i.e. a critical point  $x_0$ ), thereby more points in the mesh are needed nearby  $x_0$ . In particular, we have obtained closed-form expressions for a Lorentzian mesh density function in (13), which can be applied when the central subinterval belongs to the interval. When the critical point is on one extreme of the interval, an exponential mesh is used (23). Finally, numerical examples are given to highlight how this kind of non-uniform meshes improves the interpolation.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to acknowledge the financial support received from Universidad Católica de Valencia under Grant 2017-160-001.

# LITERATURE CITED

- [1] Spiegel, MR. Mathematical handbook of formulas and tables. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1968. Eqn. 14.39.
- [2] Gradsthteyn IS, Ryzhik IM. Table of integrals, series and products. 7th ed. New York: Academic Press Inc; 2007. Eqn. 1.625.9.
- [3] Brent, RP. Algorithms for Minimization without Derivatives. Dover, 2002 (Original edition 1973).
- [4] Hoffman, JD, Frankel, S. Numerical methods for engineers and scientists. 2nd ed. New York: Marcel Dekker Inc, 2001. Sect. 4.9.
- [5] González-Santander JL, Martín G. Relative distance between two scalar fields. Application to mathematical modeling approximation. Math Method Appl Sci 2014;37:2906-2922.