

Boundary of Polyhedral Spaces: An Alternative Proof

LIBOR VESELÝ

*Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli Studi di Milano, Via C. Saldini, 50,
20133-Milano, Italy*

(Research paper presented by P.L. Papini)

AMS *Subject Class.* (1991): 46B20, 46B04, 52B99

Received September 25, 1998

A Banach space X is called *polyhedral*, if the unit ball of each of its finite-dimensional (equivalently: two-dimensional [6]) subspaces is a polytope. Polyhedral spaces were studied by various authors; most of the structural results are due to V. Fonf. We refer the reader to the surveys [1], [2] for other definitions of polyhedrality, main properties and bibliography. In this paper we present a short alternative proof of the basic result on the structure of the unit ball of a polyhedral space (Theorem 1) and a related Theorem 2.

Let us start with some definitions. Throughout the paper, X denotes an infinite-dimensional real Banach space with closed unit ball B_X , unit sphere S_X and density character $\text{dens } X$ (i.e. the minimal cardinality of a dense subset of X).

We shall say that a set $F \subset S_X$ is a *true face* of B_X if there exists a closed hyperplane $H \subset X$ supporting B_X such that $F = H \cap B_X$ and $\text{int}_H F$ (the relative topological interior of F in H) is nonempty. A set $\mathcal{B} \subset S_{X^*}$ is called *boundary* for X if for each $x \in S_X$ there exists $f \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $f(x) = 1$. (In [5], \mathcal{B} is called “James boundary”.)

The following theorem is a slight reformulation of Theorem 1 from [3].

THEOREM 1. *Let X be a polyhedral Banach space. Then the sphere S_X is covered by the true faces of B_X . Hence the set $\mathcal{B}_0 = \{f \in S_{X^*} : f^{-1}(1) \cap B_X \text{ is a true face of } B_X\}$ is a boundary for X . In particular, \mathcal{B}_0 is countable whenever X is separable.*

The original proof in [3] is rather technical. About ten years later, V. Fonf considerably simplified the proof in an unpublished manuscript (see also [4]).

Our proof is quite different from those by Fonf. It is less elementary, since it uses results about generic differentiability of convex functions, but simpler than the proof in [3]. For separable X , our proof uses only the classical Mazur's theorem about generic Gâteaux differentiability of continuous convex functions. Even in view of [4], we consider our proof geometric and maybe interesting.

Let us remark the following

FACT. Since each relative interior point of a true face has a unique supporting functional of norm one, the boundary \mathcal{B}_0 from Theorem 1 is minimal in the sense that it is contained in each boundary of the polyhedral space.

Moreover, in separable case, B_{X^*} is the *norm*-closed convex hull of \mathcal{B}_0 , as follows from the following result by Rodé [8]. (For a simpler proof of similar nature see [5]; a different and more geometric proof has been found recently by V. Fonf, J. Lindenstrauss and R. R. Phelps.)

THEOREM. (Rodé's Theorem [8]) *Let $\mathcal{B} \subset S_{X^*}$ be a separable boundary for X . Then $B_{X^*} = \overline{\text{conv}} \mathcal{B}$ (the norm-closure of $\text{conv} \mathcal{B}$).*

We shall show by a separable reduction argument that, for polyhedral spaces, the separability assumption is not necessary. We shall prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. *Let X be a polyhedral Banach space, and \mathcal{B}_0 be the boundary for X from Theorem 1. Then $B_{X^*} = \overline{\text{conv}} \mathcal{B}_0$ and $\text{card} \mathcal{B}_0 = \text{dens} X = \text{dens} X^*$. (Consequently, $B_{X^*} = \overline{\text{conv}} \mathcal{B}$ whenever \mathcal{B} is a boundary for X .)*

The *algebraic interior* of a set $A \subset X$ is the set $\text{a-int } A$ of all points $x \in A$ such that $x \in \text{int}_L(C \cap L)$ whenever $L \subset X$ is a line that contains x . Obviously, $\text{int } A$ is always contained in $\text{a-int } A$. The following lemma about F_σ -sets is well known for closed sets. The first part of it was suggested to the author by L. Zajíček.

LEMMA 1. *Let A be an F_σ -set in X . Then $\text{int } A \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\text{a-int } A \neq \emptyset$. If, moreover, A is also convex, then $\text{int } A = \text{a-int } A$.*

Proof. Suppose $0 \in \text{a-int } A$ and $A = \bigcup A_n$ where (A_n) is a sequence of closet sets. For every $v \in S_X$ there exists $t > 0$ such that the segment $[0, tv]$ is covered by A . The Baire theorem implies that some A_n contains a nontrivial

subsegment of $[0, tv]$. Consequently,

$$S_X = \bigcup \{S(n, \alpha, \beta) : n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad 0 < \alpha < \beta, \quad \alpha, \beta \text{ rational}\},$$

where $S(n, \alpha, \beta) = \{v \in S_X : [\alpha v, \beta v] \subset A_n\}$.

Since the sets $S(n, \alpha, \beta)$ are easily seen to be closed and they are countably many, another application of the Baire category theorem implies that some $S(\bar{n}, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})$ has nonempty interior in S_X . Thus $A_{\bar{n}}$ (and hence A) contains the nonempty open set

$$\bigcup \{(\bar{\alpha}v, \bar{\beta}v) : v \in \text{int}_{S_X} S(\bar{n}, \bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})\}.$$

The assertion concerning convex sets follows from the Hahn-Banach theorem (indeed, if A is convex and $\text{int } A$ is nonempty, no boundary point of A can belong to $\text{a-int } A$ because it is a support point). ■

If $A \subset Y$ and Y is an affine set in X , we denote by $\text{a-int}_Y A$ the relative algebraic interior of A in Y :

$$\text{a-int}_Y A = \{x \in A : x \in \text{int}_L(A \cap L) \text{ whenever } L \text{ is a line and } x \in L \subset Y\}.$$

Remark. (a) Lemma 1 clearly implies: if A is a set of the first category in a Banach space, then $\text{a-int } A$ is empty. (Indeed, A is contained in an F_σ -set with empty interior.)

(b) The equality $\text{int } A = \text{a-int } A$ does not hold in general. Consider the origin in $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ and the set $A = \{(x, y) : y \geq x^2\} \cup \{(x, y) : y \leq 0\}$.

(c) Lemma 1 remains valid if we replace X by a closed affine subspace of a Banach space (and consider relative interior and relative algebraic interior).

LEMMA 2. *Let X be polyhedral, $x_0 \in S_X$. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (i) x_0 is interior point of a true face of B_X ;
- (ii) B_X is Fréchet smooth in x_0 ;
- (iii) B_X is Gâteaux smooth in x_0 .

Proof. The implications (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) are obvious. Suppose (iii) holds. Then B_X has a unique supporting hyperplane Y at x_0 . For any two-dimensional subspace $Z \subset X$ that contains x_0 , the line $Y \cap Z$ is the unique supporting line of the polygon $B_X \cap Z$ at x_0 , hence the line intersects the polygon in a nontrivial line segment that contains x_0 as its (relative) interior point. Consequently, $x_0 \in \text{int}_Y(Y \cap B_X)$. Then Lemma 1 implies that $Y \cap B_X$ is a true face and (i) holds. ■

Proof of Theorem 1. Let Q be the set of the points from S_X that are not contained in the union of all true faces.

Fix a point $u \in Q$ and a functional $f \in S_{X^*}$ with $f(u) = 1$. Let $Z = f^{-1}(0)$ and let $\pi: X \rightarrow Z$ be the linear projection along u , i.e. $\pi(z+tu) = z$ whenever $z \in Z$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$. It is easy to see that π is a homeomorphism of an open neighborhood G of u in S_X onto $G_0 := Z \cap \text{int}(\frac{1}{2}B_X)$. Define $p: G \rightarrow G_0$ by $p(x) = \pi(x)$. Then for each $z \in G_0$ we have

$$p^{-1}(z) = z + \varphi(z)u$$

where $\varphi: G_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is continuous and concave. Let $Q_0 = p(Q \cap G)$.

Claim: the point $u_0 = p(u)$ belongs to $\text{a-int}_Z Q_0$.

Let z be an arbitrary nonzero vector from Z . Since the unit ball of $\text{span}\{u, z\}$ is a polygon that contains u as a boundary point, the boundary of this polygon contains two non-overlapping nondegenerate segments $[v_1, u]$ and $[u, v_2]$ with $v_1, v_2 \in G$. It is easy to see that the segment $p([v_1, u] \cup [u, v_2]) = [p(v_1), p(v_2)]$ is parallel to z and contains u_0 as an interior point. Now it is not difficult to see that $(v_1, u] \cup [u, v_2) \subset Q$. Indeed, if some point $y \in (v_1, u)$ belonged to a true face, the hyperplane that defines this face would support B_X at y and hence also at each point of $[v_1, u]$. But this is impossible since $u \in Q$. (Similarly for $y \in (u, v_2)$.) This implies that $(p(v_1), p(v_2)) \subset Q_0$. The claim is proved.

Lemma 2 implies that no point of Q is a point of Gâteaux differentiability of B_X ; hence Q_0 contains only points of Gâteaux nondifferentiability of φ .

(α) If X is separable, φ is generically Gâteaux differentiable on G_0 by Mazur's theorem ([7], [5]). By Remark (a), we must have $\text{a-int}_Z Q_0 = \emptyset$. But this contradicts our Claim. Thus Theorem 1 holds for separable spaces.

(β) If X is not separable, then each separable subspace of X has a countable boundary by (α), and hence, by Rodé's theorem, a separable dual. Thus φ is generically Fréchet differentiable on G_0 (cf. [7]). By Remark (a), we get again $\text{a-int}_Z Q_0 = \emptyset$, a contradiction with our Claim. ■

Proof of Theorem 2. Suppose that $\text{dist}(f, \overline{\text{conv}} \mathcal{B}_0) > \varepsilon$ for some $f \in S_{X^*}$ and some $\varepsilon > 0$. Then, for every $g \in \text{conv} \mathcal{B}_0$ there exists $z_g \in S_X$ such that $|(f - g)(z_g)| > \varepsilon$.

Let us perform the following inductive procedure. For a set $H \subset X^*$ and a subspace $L \subset X$, we denote by $H|_L$ the set $\{h|_L : h \in H\}$ of all restrictions to L of elements of H .

1) Let $\{x_i\}_1^\infty \subset S_X$ be such that $f(x_i) \rightarrow 1$. Put $Y_1 = \overline{\text{span}} \{x_i\}_1^\infty$. Since $\mathcal{B}_0|_{Y_1}$ is obviously a boundary for Y_1 , by Theorem 1 and Fact, there exists a

countable set $B_1 \subset \mathcal{B}_0$ such that $B_1|_{Y_1}$ is a boundary for Y_1 . Let D_1 be a countable dense subset of $\text{conv } B_1$.

2) Suppose we already have separable subspaces $Y_1 \subset \dots \subset Y_n$, countable subsets $B_1 \subset \dots \subset B_n$ of \mathcal{B}_0 , and countable dense sets D_k in $\text{conv } B_k$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$. Put $Y_{n+1} = \overline{\text{span}}(Y_n \cup \{z_g : g \in D_n\})$. As above, take a countable set $B_{n+1} \subset \mathcal{B}_0$ such that $B_{n+1} \supset B_n$ and $B_{n+1}|_{Y_{n+1}}$ is a boundary for Y_{n+1} . Let D_{n+1} be any countable dense subset of $\text{conv } B_{n+1}$.

Let us put $Y = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n$, $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$ and $D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$. Then Y is separable, A is countable, and D is a countable dense subset of $\text{conv } A$.

We claim that $A|_Y$ is a boundary for Y . Indeed, since Y is polyhedral, by Theorem 1 each true face F of B_Y contains in its relative interior a point y that belongs to some Y_n . By our construction, there exists $h \in B_n \subset A$ such that $h(y) = 1$. Thus the face F is all contained in $h^{-1}(1)$.

Since for each $g \in D$ the point z_g belongs to S_Y , we have

$$\text{dist}(f|_Y, \text{conv } A|_Y) = \text{dist}(f|_Y, D|_Y) \geq \inf_{g \in D} |(f - g)(z_g)| \geq \varepsilon.$$

This contradiction with Rodé's theorem proves that B_{X^*} is the closed convex hull of \mathcal{B}_0 . Consequently, we have $\text{card } \mathcal{B}_0 \leq \text{dens } X \leq \text{dens } X^* \leq \text{card } \mathcal{B}_0$ (the first inequality follows from Theorem 1, and the second one holds for any normed space). ■

REFERENCES

- [1] DURIER, R., PAPINI, P.L., Polyhedral norms and related properties in infinite-dimensional Banach spaces: a survey, *Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena*, **40** (1992), 623–645.
- [2] DURIER, R., PAPINI, P.L., Polyhedral norms in an infinite dimensional space, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, **23** (1993), 863–875.
- [3] FONF, V.P., Polyhedral Banach spaces, *Math. Notes USSR*, **30** (1981), 809–813.
- [4] FONF, V.P., On the boundary of a polyhedral Banach space, *Extracta Math.*, **15** (1) (2000), 145–154.
- [5] HABALA, P., HÁJEK, P., ZIZLER, V., “Introduction to Banach Spaces”, Matfyzpress, Praha, 1996.
- [6] KLEE, V., Some characterizations of convex polyhedra, *Acta Math.*, **102** (1959), 79–107.
- [7] PHELPS, R., “Convex Functions, Monotone Operators and Differentiability”, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1364, Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [8] RODÉ, G., Superconvexität und schwache Kompaktheit, *Arch. Math.*, **36** (1981), 62–72.