

## On a Theorem of van Douwen

JORGE GALINDO AND SALVADOR HERNÁNDEZ \*

*Dpto. de Matemáticas, Univ. Jaume I, 12071-Castellón, Spain*

(Research paper presented by F. Montalvo)

AMS Subject Class. (1991): 22A05, 54H11, 54C45

Received August 16, 1997

A topological Abelian group  $G$  is maximally almost periodic (MAP) in the sense of von Neumann if for every  $e_G \neq x \in G$  there exists a continuous character  $\chi$  (i.e., a continuous homomorphism into the one-dimensional torus  $\mathbb{T}$ ) such that  $\chi(x) \neq 1$ . Every maximally almost periodic Abelian group  $G$  embeds as a dense subgroup in a compact group which is called the Bohr compactification of  $G$  and is usually denoted by  $bG$ . The topology that the group  $G$  inherits from its Bohr compactification is then called the Bohr topology of  $G$ . Following van Douwen, we will write  $G^\#$  to denote a discrete Abelian group  $G$  endowed with its Bohr topology which turns to be the maximal totally bounded group topology of  $G$ .

In his paper [3] van Douwen proved (Theorem 1.1.3 (a)) the following remarkable theorem:

**THEOREM 1.** (van Douwen) *If  $G$  is an Abelian group, then every infinite subset  $A$  of  $G^\#$  has a relatively discrete subset  $D$  with  $|D| = |A|$  that is  $C$ -embedded in  $G^\#$  and is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $bG$ .*

Van Douwen's proof is very technical and, therefore, it is difficult to place the key ideas used in it. The goal of this paper is to present an alternative and much simpler proof of that result and, over all, to make it clear the main concepts we have used in our approach. This is achieved mainly with Proposition 1 and Proposition 2, below, which intend to make clear which are the foundations that lie in our approximation to the problem and suggest the main line for subsequent extensions of van Douwen's theorem.

---

\*Research of both authors partially supported by Spanish DGES, grant number PB-96-1075.

Let  $I_1$  and  $I_{-1}$  be two closed and disjoint intervals in the one-dimensional torus  $\mathbb{T}$  which contain at least one  $n$ -th root of the unity for each  $n \geq 2$ . We will say that an Abelian group  $G$  satisfies property  $P$  if every infinite subset  $A$  of  $G$  contains a subset  $B$ , with  $|B| = |A|$  such that, for every map  $\phi : B \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$ , there exists a character  $\chi$  of  $G$  satisfying that  $\chi(b) \in I_{\phi(b)}$  for every  $b \in B$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.** *If  $G$  is an Abelian group all whose quotient groups satisfy property  $P$ , then van Douwen's theorem holds for  $G$ .*

We will split the proof of this Proposition in several lemmas in order to dissociate technical difficulties from the essence of the theorem.

Throughout Lemma 1 we adopt the notation of [3]. Given a topological space  $X$ , we say that a set  $A$  is  $X$ -embedded in another topological space  $B$  if  $A \subseteq B$  and every continuous function of  $A$  into  $X$  admits a continuous extension to  $B$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $G$  be a discrete Abelian group and let  $A$  be an independent subset of  $G$  such that all the elements in  $A$  have the same order, then  $A$  is discrete and  $C$ -embedded in  $G^\#$ .*

*Proof.* That  $A$  is closed and discrete in  $G^\#$  whenever  $G$  is discrete and  $A$  is independent, is proved in [4].

Let us denote by  $H$  the subgroup generated by  $A$ . By [1, Theorem 6.3] the group  $H^\#$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -embedded and  $\mathbb{R}$ -embedded in  $G^\#$ . On the other hand, since  $A$  is discrete in  $G^\#$ , an application of Lemma 2.1.1 of [3] shows that, in order to prove that  $A$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -embedded in  $G^\#$ , it is enough to prove that  $A$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -embedded in  $G^\#$ . Summing up, to show that  $A$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -embedded in  $G^\#$ , (i.e.  $C$ -embedded) it will be enough to prove that  $A$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -embedded in  $H^\#$ .

So, let  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be any function of  $A$  into  $\mathbb{Z}$ . For each  $n \in f(A) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ , pick exactly one  $a_n \in A$  with  $f(a_n) = n$  and denote by  $L$  the subgroup generated by the set  $\{a_n : n \in f(A)\}$ . Next, define a mapping  $g : A \rightarrow \{a_n : n \in f(A)\}$ , by

$$g(a) = a_n \quad \text{when} \quad f(a) = n.$$

Since the family  $\{f^{-1}(n) : n \in f(A)\}$  defines a partition of  $A$ , it is clear that  $g$  is well defined. Since  $A$  is an independent subset of  $G$  and all the elements in  $A$  (and hence in  $g(A)$ ) have the same order, it is possible to extend  $g$  to a

homomorphism  $\bar{g} : H \rightarrow L$ . As it is well known,  $\bar{g}$  will be a continuous as a mapping of  $H^\#$  into  $L^\#$ .

Now, the set  $\{a_n : n \in f(A)\}$  is a discrete closed subset of  $L^\#$  (note that it is also an independent subset of  $L$ ), thus, by [3, Lemma 2.1.2], the restriction of the map  $f$  to  $\{a_n : a \in f(A)\}$  can be extended continuously over  $L^\#$  to a function, say,  $\bar{f} : L^\# \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . The composition  $\bar{f} \circ \bar{g}$  provides then the required extension of  $f$  over  $H^\#$ . ■

LEMMA 2. *Let  $G$  be a discrete Abelian group and let  $A$  be an uncountable subset of  $G$  such that  $o(x) \leq m < \omega$  for all  $x \in A$ . Then, there is a subset  $B$  of  $A$ , with  $|B| = |A|$  which is discrete and  $C$ -embedded in  $G^\#$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $m < \omega$ . If  $m = 2$ , we can take a maximal independent subset  $B \subseteq A$ . Since for every  $x$  in  $A$  there must exist some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $0 \neq nx \in \langle B \rangle$  and  $o(x) = 2$  for all  $x \in A$ , it is clear that  $|B| = |A|$ . It is then enough to apply Lemma 1 to  $B$ .

Suppose now that the result is proved for every group  $G$  and every subset  $A$  of  $G$  provided that  $o(x) \leq n < \omega$  for all  $x \in A$ , and consider  $A \subseteq G$  such that  $o(x) \leq n+1$  for all  $x \in A$ . By the inductive hypothesis, it can be assumed that  $o(x) = n+1$  for all  $x \in A$ .

Take a maximal independent  $B$  subset of  $A$ . If  $|B| = |A|$ , then we can apply Lemma 1 to  $B$  and the proof is complete.

Thus, we can assume that  $|B| < |A|$ . Define  $H = \langle B \rangle$ . Then, (by the maximality of  $B$ ) for each  $x \in A$ , there must exist  $m < n+1$  such that  $mx \in \langle B \rangle$ . If  $p : G \rightarrow G/H$  denotes the canonical epimorphism, then  $o(p(x)) \leq n$  for all  $x \in A$ . Since  $|A| \leq |p(A)| \cdot |B|$  and  $|B| < |A|$ , it follows that  $|A| = |p(A)|$ . By the inductive assumption, there is a discrete subset  $\tilde{B}$  of  $p(A)$  with  $|\tilde{B}| = |p(A)| = |A|$  which is  $C$ -embedded in  $(G/H)^\#$ .

Now, for every  $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{B}$  pick exactly one element  $x \in A$  such that  $p(x) = \tilde{x}$ . It is obtained thus, a subset  $B = \{x \in A : \tilde{x} \in \tilde{B}\}$  with  $|B| = |A|$ . It is easily verified that  $B$  is  $C$ -embedded in  $G^\#$ . ■

The following Lemma will be necessary to reduce the general problem to the cases studied in the previous ones. The idea of considering a clopen partition of the group  $G^\#$  is inspired in Proposition 2.4 of [4].

LEMMA 3. *Let  $G$  be a discrete Abelian group all whose quotient groups verify property  $P$  and let  $A$  be an infinite subset of  $G$ . If  $H$  is any subgroup of  $G$  such that  $|H| < |A|$ , then there exists a closed and open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $H$  in  $G^\#$  such that  $|A \setminus V| = |A|$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p : G \rightarrow G/H$  be the canonical mapping. Since  $|H| < |A|$  and  $|A| \leq |p(A)| \cdot |H|$ , it follows that  $|A| = |p(A)|$ . On the other hand the group  $G/H$  verifies property P. Consequently, it may be found a subset  $B_1 \subseteq p(A)$  with  $|B_1| = |p(A)|$ , a character  $\chi \in \widehat{(G/H)}$  and a neighbourhood  $U$  of the identity in  $\mathbb{T}$  such that  $\chi^{-1}(U) \cap B_1 = \emptyset$ . Recalling that the topology of  $(G/H)^\#$  is zero-dimensional ([3, Theorem 4.8]), it is possible to find a closed and open neighbourhood  $W$  of the identity in  $(G/H)^\#$  such that  $W \subseteq \chi^{-1}(U)$ . Then observing that

$$|A \setminus p^{-1}(W)| \geq |p(A \setminus (p^{-1}(W)))| \geq |p(A \setminus p^{-1}(G/H \setminus B_1))| \geq |B_1| = |A|$$

the lemma follows by considering  $V = p^{-1}(W)$ . ■

Now, we are ready to finish the proof of Proposition 1.

*Proof of Proposition 1.* Let  $G$  be an Abelian group and let  $A$  be an infinite subset of  $G$ . Since  $G$  satisfies property P, it will contain a subset  $B$  with  $|B| = |A|$  with the properties stated above. Take  $b \in B$ . Then there exist two closed disjoint intervals in  $\mathbb{T}$  and a character  $\chi \in \widehat{G}$  such that  $\chi(b) \in I_1$  and  $\chi(B \setminus \{b\}) \subseteq I_{-1}$ . Then  $\chi^{-1}(\mathbb{T} \setminus I_{-1})$  is a neighbourhood of  $b$  in  $G^\#$  which intersects  $B$  exactly in the point  $b$ . This is to say that  $B$  is discrete in  $G^\#$ .

On the other hand, to see that  $B$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $bG$ , it is enough to prove that every pair of disjoint subsets of  $B$ , say  $B_1$  and  $B_{-1}$ , is completely separated, i.e., there is a continuous function  $f \in C(bG)$  such that  $0 \leq f \leq 1$ ,  $f(B_1) = \{0\}$  and  $f(B_{-1}) = \{1\}$ . Applying again that  $G$  satisfies property P, we can find two closed disjoint intervals in  $\mathbb{T}$ ,  $I_1$  and  $I_{-1}$  and a character  $\chi \in \widehat{G}$  such that  $\chi(B_1) \subseteq I_1$  and  $\chi(B_{-1}) \subseteq I_{-1}$ . Denoting by  $d$  the usual metric of the complex plane, we can define  $g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$g(t) = \frac{d(t, I_1)}{d(t, I_1) + d(t, I_{-1})}$$

Clearly,  $g$  is continuous,  $0 \leq g \leq 1$ ,  $g(I_1) = \{0\}$  and  $g(I_{-1}) = \{1\}$ . Every character of  $G$  can be extended to a continuous character of the Bohr compactification. So, if  $\tilde{\chi}$  is the extension of  $\chi$  to  $bG$ , the function  $g \circ \tilde{\chi}$  shows that  $B_1$  and  $B_{-1}$  are completely separated. Thus  $B$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $bG$ . Note that  $B$  cannot be relatively compact in  $G^\#$ , because  $cl_{bG} B$  is homeomorphic to  $\beta B$ , the Stone-Čech compactification of  $B$ ,  $cl_{G^\#} B$  is a subset of  $\langle B \rangle$ , since every subgroup is closed in  $G^\#$ , and  $|\langle B \rangle| = |B|$ .

Suppose now that  $A$  is countable. Being countable,  $G_0 = \langle B \rangle^\#$  is real-compact and it follows that every functionally bounded subset of  $G_0$  is relatively compact. Hence, there is  $f \in C(G_0, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $f|_B$  is unbounded.

That is, there is a subset  $D = \{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq B$  such that  $|f(y_{n+1})| > |f(y_n)| + 1$  for every  $n < \omega$ . Let now  $V_n = \{x \in G_0 : |f(x) - f(y_n)| < 1/2\}$ . Since  $G_0$  is completely regular we can take, for every  $n < \omega$ ,  $f_n \in C(G_0)$  with the properties  $0 \leq f_n \leq 1$ ,  $f_n(y_n) = 1$  and  $f_n(G_0 \setminus V_n) = \{0\}$ . If now  $g$  is any real valued continuous function on  $D$ , the function  $\sum_{n < \omega} g \cdot f_n$  is a continuous extension of  $g$  to  $G_0$ . Since  $G_0$  is  $C$ -embedded in  $G^\#$  ([1, theorem 6.3]) it follows that  $D$  is discrete and  $C$ -embedded in  $G^\#$  and  $C^*$ -embedded in  $bG$ .

Suppose finally that  $A$  (and hence  $B$ ) is not countable. Since  $B = (B \cap tG) \cup (B \cap G \setminus tG)$ , it may be assumed that either all the elements of  $B$  are torsion free or all of them have finite order.

Suppose first that  $B \subseteq tG$ , the torsion part of  $G$ . Denote in this case by  $t_n G$  the subset  $\{x \in G : o(x) \leq n\}$  and let  $B_n = B \cap (t_n G)$ . If  $|B_n| = |B| = |A|$  for some  $n < \omega$ , then Lemma 2 applies and we are done.

So, we can suppose that  $|B_n| < |B|$  and define  $H_n = \langle B_n \rangle$ , for all  $n < \omega$ . Then  $H = \bigcup_{n < \omega} H_n$  is a subgroup of  $G$  and  $|B_n| \leq |H_n| < |B| = |H|$  for all  $n < \omega$ . Since  $H^\#$  is  $C$ -embedded in  $G^\#$  (see [1]), it will be enough to prove that  $B$  is  $C$ -embedded in  $H^\#$ .

Since  $B = \bigcup_{n < \omega} B_n$ , it follows that  $|B|$  has countable cofinality. Thus it may be chosen a sequence of regular cardinals  $\kappa_1 < \dots < \kappa_n < \dots$  such that  $\sup_n \kappa_n = |B|$ . Recall that a cardinal number  $m$  is called "regular" if there is no way of partitioning a set of power  $m$  into less than  $m$  pieces of smaller power or, equivalently, if the cofinality of  $m$  is exactly  $m$  (see [2], Chapter 1).

By induction on  $n < \omega$  we will construct an integer  $m_n$ , a closed and open neighbourhood  $U_n$  of  $H_n$  in  $H^\#$  and a discrete  $C$ -embedded subset  $D_n$  of  $B_{m_n} \setminus U_{n-1}$  such that

1.  $0 < m_1 < m_2 < \dots < \dots m_n < \dots$
2.  $\emptyset = U_0 \subseteq U_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq U_n \subseteq \dots$
3.  $|D_n| = \kappa_n$ , for all  $n < \omega$  and
4.  $|B \setminus U_n| = |B|$  for all  $n < \omega$ .

Given that  $\kappa_1$  is a regular cardinal, there is  $m_1 \in \omega$  such that  $|B_{m_1}| = |H_{m_1}| \geq \kappa_1$ . Then, Lemma 2 applied to  $B_{m_1}$  provides a subset  $D_1 \subseteq B_{m_1}$  with  $|D_1| = \kappa_1$  which is discrete and  $C$ -embedded in  $G^\#$ . And Lemma 3 allows us to define a closed and open neighbourhood  $U_1$  of  $H_{m_1}$  such that  $|B \setminus U_1| \geq |B|$ .

Now suppose that  $m_n$ ,  $D_n$  and  $U_n$  have been already defined so that they hold the inductive assumptions 1-4.

There is then  $m_{n+1} \in \omega$  such that  $m_{n+1} > m_n$  and

$$|B_{m_{n+1}}| = |H_{m_{n+1}}| \geq \kappa_{n+1}.$$

Lemmas 2 and 3, now applied to the subset  $B \setminus U_n$  yield a subset  $D_{n+1} \subseteq B_{m_{n+1}} \setminus U_n$  with  $|D_{n+1}| = \kappa_{n+1}$  which is discrete and  $C$ -embedded in  $G^\#$  and a closed and open neighbourhood  $V$  of  $H_{n+1}$  such that  $|B \setminus (U_n \cup V)| \geq |B|$ . Defining the set  $U_{n+1} = U_n \cup V$  the inductive process is complete.

Consider now  $D = \bigcup_{n < \omega} D_n$ . Clearly  $|D| = |B| = |A|$ ; let us see that  $D$  is  $C$ -embedded in  $G^\#$  or, equivalently, that it is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -embedded in  $G^\#$ . To this end let  $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be arbitrarily chosen and define  $f_n = f|_{D_n}$ . Since every  $D_n$  is  $C$ -embedded, there is  $g_n \in C(H^\#, \mathbb{Z})$  that extends continuously  $f_n$ . Now, since  $\{U_n \setminus U_{n-1}\}_{n < \omega}$  is a closed and open partition of  $H^\#$  we conclude that, denoting by  $\chi_{U_n \setminus U_{n-1}}$  the corresponding characteristic function, the map  $g : H^\# \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  defined by

$$g = \sum_{n < \omega} g_n \chi_{U_n \setminus U_{n-1}}$$

is the desired continuous extension of  $f$  on  $H^\#$ . Thus  $D$  (which is a subset of  $B$ ) is discrete and  $C$ -embedded in  $G^\#$  and  $C^*$ -embedded in  $bG$ .

Suppose now that the order of all the elements in  $B$  is infinite. Consider again a maximal independent subset  $C$  contained in  $B$ . If  $|C| = |B| = |A|$  it suffices to apply Lemma 1 to finish the proof. Otherwise, we define  $H = \langle C \rangle$  and  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/H$ . Then,  $|B| = |\pi(B)|$  and  $o(\pi(x)) < \infty$  for all  $x \in B$ . Applying the foregoing paragraphs to  $\pi(B)$  it follows the existence of a subset  $\tilde{C} \subseteq \pi(B)$  with  $|\tilde{C}| = |\pi(B)| = |B|$  which is discrete and  $C$ -embedded in  $(G/H)^\#$ . Now it is easy to find, as in Lemma 1, a subset  $C \subseteq \pi^{-1}(\tilde{C}) \cap B$  with  $|C| = |B|$  which verifies the required properties. The proof is now done. ■

We will split the proof of the Theorem in two parts, first we will prove the finitely generated case.

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Every finitely generated Abelian group satisfies van Douwen's theorem.*

*Proof.* Like every finitely generated Abelian group,  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^n \times F$  for some non-negative integer  $n$  and some finite Abelian group  $F$ .

Let  $A$  be an infinite subset of  $G$ . Let  $\pi_i : G \rightarrow H_i$  where  $H_i$  is isomorphic with  $\mathbb{Z}$  if  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $H_{n+1}$  is isomorphic to  $F$ . Clearly there will exist  $i$ , with  $1 \leq i \leq n$  such that the set  $A_i = \pi_i(A) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  is infinite.

Consider a sequence  $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq A_i$  such that  $|z_n| \geq |4z_{n-1}|$  and let  $\{I_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of intervals in  $\mathbb{T}$  such that  $I_n = I_1$  or  $I_n = I_{-1}$  for every  $n < \omega$ . Here  $I_1$  and  $I_{-1}$  are two closed and disjoint intervals in  $\mathbb{T}$  of length  $\rho(I_i) > 2\pi/3$  centered in 1 and  $-1$  respectively. Clearly each of them contains  $n$ -th roots of the unity for every  $n \geq 2$ . Denote by  $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  the sequence of middle points of the intervals  $I_n$ .

Let  $J_1$  be an interval in  $\mathbb{T}$  such that  $z_1(J_1) = I_1$  and such that the length of  $J_1$ ,  $\rho(J_1)$  is  $2\pi/3|z_1|$ .

Suppose now that a sequence of intervals in  $\mathbb{T}$ ,  $J_1 \dots J_k$  has been chosen such that  $J_i \subseteq J_{i-1}$ ,  $\rho(J_i) \geq 2\pi/3|z_i|$ . Consider now the set  $z_{k+1}(J_k) = \{e^{2\pi iz_{k+1}t} : e^{2\pi it} \in J_k\}$ . Since  $|z_{k+1} \cdot \rho(J_k)| \geq 2\pi + 2\pi/3$ , it follows that there exists  $x_{k+1}$  with  $e^{2\pi ix_{k+1}} \in J_k$  such that  $e^{2\pi iz_{k+1}x_{k+1}} = t_{k+1}$ . If  $\{e^{2\pi it} : |t - x_{k+1}| < 1/(6|z_{k+1}|)\}$  is contained in  $J_k$  we choose this set to be  $J_{k+1}$ . Otherwise,  $e^{2\pi i(x_{k+1}-1)} \in J_k$  and we can choose  $J_{k+1} = \{e^{2\pi it} : |t - (x_{k+1} - 1)| < 1/(6|z_{k+1}|)\}$  which will have to be contained in  $J_k$ . Moreover since  $e^{2\pi iz_{k+1}x_{k+1}} = e^{2\pi iz_{k+1}(x_{k+1}-1)} = t_{k+1}$ , (the center of  $I_{k+1}$ ) and the length of  $J_{k+1}$  is exactly  $2 \cdot \pi/(3 \cdot |z_{k+1}|)$  it follows that in any case,  $z_{k+1}(J_{k+1}) \subseteq I_{k+1}$ .

It has been thus constructed a sequence  $\{J_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of closed intervals in  $\mathbb{T}$  such that  $z_n(J_n) \subseteq I_n$ . Since the intervals satisfy the finite intersection property, one point  $x_0 \in \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty J_n$  may be chosen. This point can be identified with a character of  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x_0(z_n) \in I_n$  for all  $n < \omega$ .

Now choose a sequence  $\{y_n\} \subseteq A$  such that  $\pi_i(y_n) = z_n$ . The character group  $\widehat{G}$  of  $G$  is exactly  $\mathbb{T} \times F$ , so if  $\chi \in \widehat{G}$  is the character of  $G$  with  $i$ -th. projection equal to  $x_0$  and  $j$ -th. projection equal to 0 for all  $j \neq i$ , then it also holds that  $\chi(y_n) \in I_n$  for all  $n < \omega$ . Since the subset  $A$  and the sequence  $\{I_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  were arbitrarily chosen, this means that  $G$  satisfies property  $P$ . Every quotient of a finitely generated group is also finitely generated, hence by Proposition 1  $G$  also satisfies van Douwen's theorem. ■

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $G$  be a discrete Abelian group. Every subset  $A$  of  $G^\#$  has a relatively discrete subset  $B$ , with  $|B| = |A|$ , such that  $B$  is  $C$ -embedded in  $G^\#$  and  $C^*$ -embedded in  $bG$ .*

*Proof.* In view of Proposition 1 it suffices to prove that every discrete Abelian group  $G$  satisfies property  $P$ . In order to do this, consider  $I_1$  and  $I_{-1}$  two closed disjoint intervals in  $\mathbb{T}$ , both of them containing at least one  $n$ -root of the unity for each  $n \geq 2$ .

Given any subset  $C$  of  $A$  and any mapping  $\phi : C \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$  we define

$$N(\phi, C) = \{\chi \in \widehat{G} : \chi(c) \in I_{\phi(c)} \text{ for all } c \in C\}.$$

Now, we introduce a family  $\mathcal{X}$  of subsets of  $A$ , as follows:

$$\mathcal{X} = \{C \subseteq A : N(\phi, C) \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } \phi \in \{1, -1\}^C\}.$$

To show that the family  $\mathcal{X}$  is not empty, take  $x \in A$  and consider  $\phi : B \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$ . If  $x$  has infinite order, then we can define  $\chi(x) \in I_{\phi(x)}$  arbitrarily and extend it to the subgroup generated by  $x$ . If  $o(x) = n$ , then we choose  $t \in I_{\phi(x)}$  such that  $t^n = 1$ , and then it can be defined a homomorphism  $\chi$  of  $\langle x \rangle$  into  $\mathbb{T}$  with  $\chi(x) = t$ . Since  $\mathbb{T}$  is divisible, the resulting homomorphism can be extended in both cases to a character of  $G$  ([5, A.14]). This character belongs to  $N(\phi, \{x\})$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{X}$  is not an empty family.

The set  $\mathcal{X}$  can be ordered in the following way: given  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ ,  $B_1 \preceq B_2$  if and only if:

1.  $B_1 \subseteq B_2$  and
2.  $(N(\phi, B_2))|_{B_1} = (N(\phi|_{B_1}, B_1))|_{B_1}$  for all  $\phi \in \{1, -1\}^{B_2}$ .

Here, given a subset  $D$  of  $\widehat{G}$  and a subset  $Y$  of  $G$ , we denote by  $D|_Y$  the subset  $\{\chi|_Y : \chi \in D\}$ .

The set  $\mathcal{X}$  with the order introduced above is inductive. Indeed, assume that  $\{C_\delta : \delta \in \Delta\}$  is a chain in  $\mathcal{X}$  and define  $C = \bigcup_{\delta \in \Delta} C_\delta$ . Given any mapping  $\phi : C \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$  it is easily verified that  $N(\phi, C) = \bigcap_{\delta \in \Delta} N(\phi|_{C_\delta}, C_\delta)$ . Hence to show that  $C$  belongs to  $\mathcal{X}$  it is enough to show that the family  $\{N(\phi|_{C_\delta}, C_\delta) : \delta \in \Delta\}$  has the finite intersection property. But this is clear since for any finite sequence  $\delta_1 \dots \delta_n$ , the sets can be ordered such that  $C_{\delta_1} \preceq \dots \preceq C_{\delta_n}$  and then  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n N(\phi|_{C_{\delta_i}}, C_{\delta_i}) = N(\phi|_{C_{\delta_n}}, C_{\delta_n}) \neq \emptyset$ . In order to check that the set  $C$  is an upper bound of the chain, take  $\delta$  an arbitrary element of  $\Delta$  and any element  $\chi \in N(\phi|_{B_\delta}, B_\delta)$ . For every  $\delta \in \Delta$  define

$$P_\delta = \{\psi \in N(\phi|_{C_\delta}, C_\delta) : \psi|_{C_\delta} = \chi|_{C_\delta}\}.$$

Since  $\{C_\delta : \delta \in \Delta\}$  is a chain, it is easy to verify that the family of compact sets  $\{P_\delta\}_{\delta \in \Delta}$  has the finite intersection property and an element  $\psi \in \bigcap_{\delta \in \Delta} P_\delta$  can be chosen. Then  $\psi \in N(\phi, C)$  and  $\psi|_{B_\delta} = \chi|_{B_\delta}$ , showing that  $B_\delta \preceq C$  and that  $C$  is an upper bound of the chain. Hence we may apply Zorn's Lemma to find a maximal set  $B$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  for the order  $\preceq$ .



Suppose now that  $A \not\subseteq \langle B \rangle$ , then there must exist  $a \in A \setminus \langle B \rangle$ . Consider  $B^* = B \cup \{a\}$ .

Take any mapping  $\phi : B^* \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$  and let  $\chi \in N(\phi|_B, B)$ . If  $\langle a \rangle \cap \langle B \rangle = \{0\}$ , then any function coinciding with  $\chi$  in  $\langle B \rangle$  and mapping  $a$  into  $I_{\phi(a)}$  can be extended to a homomorphism  $\psi$  of  $G$  into  $\mathbb{T}$ . On the contrary, if  $\langle a \rangle \cap \langle B \rangle \neq \{0\}$ , choose  $n$  such that  $na$  generates  $\langle a \rangle \cap \langle B \rangle$ . Since  $I_{\phi(a)}$  contains one  $n$ -root of the unity, say  $t_n$ , we can define a function coinciding with  $\chi$  in  $\langle B \rangle$  and mapping  $a$  onto  $t_n$  and extend it to a homomorphism  $\psi$  of  $G$  into  $\mathbb{T}$ . So, in either case, we obtain that  $\psi \in N(\phi, B^*)$  and that  $\psi|_B = \chi|_B$ . Thus,  $B^* \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $B \prec B^*$ . This goes against the maximality of  $B$  and implies that  $A \subseteq \langle B \rangle$ .

If  $B$  is infinite, it follows that  $|A| \leq |\langle B \rangle| = |B|$ . Hence  $|A| = |B|$  and the proof is done.

If  $B$  is finite, we may apply Proposition 2 to the group  $\langle B \rangle$ . Since the Bohr compactification of  $\langle B \rangle$  is contained in  $bG$  and  $\langle B \rangle^\#$  is  $C$ -embedded in  $G^\#$  ([1, 6.3]) the result also follows. ■

## REFERENCES

- [1] COMFORT, W.W., HERNÁNDEZ, S. AND TRIGOS-ARRIETA, F.J., Relating a locally compact Abelian group to its Bohr compactification, *Adv. Math.*, **120** (1996), 322–344.
- [2] COMFORT, W.W., NEGREPONTIS, S., “The Theory of Ultrafilters”, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
- [3] VAN DOUWEN, E.K., The maximal totally bounded group topology on  $G$  and the biggest minimal  $G$ -space for Abelian groups  $G$ , *Topology Appl.*, **34** (1990), 69–91.
- [4] HART, K.P., VAN MILL, J., Discrete subsets and the maximal totally bounded group topology, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **70** (1991), 73–80.
- [5] HEWITT, E., ROSS, K.A., “Abstract Harmonic Analysis”, volume I, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen, volume 115, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Göttingen, 1963.

