

## Quasinormable Spaces of Polynomials \*

JOSÉ M. ANSEMIL

*Dpto. d: Análisis Matemático, Fac. de C. Matemáticas,  
Univ. Complutense, 28040-Madrid, Spain*

AMS Subject Class. (1991): 46A03, 46A04, 46G20

Let us start by considering a real or complex Banach space  $X$  and the space of  $n$ -homogeneous continuous polynomials on  $X$ . This space, which will be represented by  $\mathcal{P}({}^n X)$ , is clearly a Banach space when we endowed it with the norm

$$\|P\| = \sup \{|P(x)|: \|x\| \leq 1\}, \quad P \in \mathcal{P}({}^n X).$$

The topology on  $\mathcal{P}({}^n X)$  induced by this norm is, of course, a natural topology, but there are others, as the compact open topology  $\tau_o$ . With this topology  $\mathcal{P}({}^n X)$  is not normable if  $X$  has infinite dimension ( $(\mathcal{P}({}^n X), \tau_o)$  is an infinite dimensional Montel space (see [7])), but at least it is quasinormable [12, 8].

The class of quasinormable spaces includes the class of normable spaces. It has been introduced by Grothendieck in 1954 [10]. It consists of the locally convex spaces  $E$  with the property that for every neighborhood  $U$  of 0 in  $E$  there exists another neighborhood  $V$  of 0 in  $E$  such that for every  $\alpha > 0$  there is a bounded subset  $B$  in  $E$  with  $V \subset \alpha U + B$ .

It is clear that every normable space is quasinormable, but not every metrizable space is quasinormable. There is a classical example by Grothendieck-Köthe of a non quasinormable Fréchet-Montel space. The quasinormability of  $(\mathcal{P}({}^n X), \tau_o)$  is not based on the normability of the space  $X$ . It is also present when the space is a real or complex Fréchet space [12, 8].

In the context of Fréchet spaces  $E$  there are, apart from the compact open topology  $\tau_o$ , other natural topologies on  $\mathcal{P}({}^n E)$  as the topology  $\tau_b$  of uniform convergence on the bounded subsets of  $E$ , the strong topology  $\beta$  as a dual of the space of  $n$ -symmetric tensors ( $n \geq 2$ ) endowed with the projective topology [9] and finally the Nachbin ported topology. This topology is generated by the

---

\*Partially supported by UCM grant PR295/95-6140.

family of seminorms  $p$  on  $\mathcal{P}({}^n E)$  that satisfy that for every open neighbourhood  $V$  of 0 in  $E$  there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$p(P) \leq C \sup\{|P(x)| : x \in V\} \text{ for all } P \text{ in } \mathcal{P}({}^n E).$$

We have  $\tau_o \leq \tau_b \leq \beta \leq \tau_\omega$  on  $\mathcal{P}({}^n E)$ . If  $E$  is a normed space,  $\tau_o < \tau_b = \beta = \tau_\omega$  and this topology agrees with the norm on  $\mathcal{P}({}^n E)$  [7].

As we have already mentioned  $(\mathcal{P}({}^n E), \tau_o)$  is a quasinormable space. With  $\tau_\omega$ ,  $\mathcal{P}({}^n E)$  is also quasinormable, because it can be seen directly from the definition of  $\tau_\omega$  that  $(\mathcal{P}({}^n E), \tau_\omega)$  is an inductive limit of a sequence of normed spaces and these spaces are quasinormable [10]. What happens with  $\tau_b$  and  $\beta$ ? Concerning  $\beta$  we can say that  $(\mathcal{P}({}^n E), \beta)$  is always quasinormable. This follows from the fact that this space is the strong dual of a Fréchet space (the completion of the symmetric projective tensor product of  $E$  by itself  $n$  times), and hence it is a  $DF$  space, so it is quasinormable.

For  $\tau_b$  the question is more complicated. There are examples by Peris [13] of Fréchet spaces  $E$  such that  $(\mathcal{P}({}^n E), \tau_b)$  is not quasinormable. Nevertheless, it is quasinormable in several cases. Let us mention some examples:

- The Fréchet-Montel spaces (because for them  $\tau_b = \tau_o$  on  $\mathcal{P}({}^n E)$ ).
- The spaces with the property  $(BB)_{n,s}$  [1] (which means that every bounded subset of the projective symmetric  $n$ -tensor product of  $E$  is contained in the absolutely convex closed hull of a symmetric tensor product of  $n$  copies of a bounded subset of  $E$ ). With this property  $\tau_b = \beta$  on  $\mathcal{P}({}^n E)$ .
- The spaces with a  $T$ -Schauder decomposition and the density condition. In this situation it happens that  $\tau_b = \tau_\omega$  on  $\mathcal{P}({}^n E)$  [9].

In spite of what we have seen, the quasinormability of  $(\mathcal{P}({}^n E), \tau_b)$  is not conditioned to the fact that the topology of uniform convergence on bounded subsets agrees with the compact-open, the strong or the Nachbin ported topologies. In what follows we are going to present an example of a Fréchet space  $E$  such that  $(\mathcal{P}({}^n E), \tau_b)$  is quasinormable but all the topologies we are considering on  $\mathcal{P}({}^n E)$  are different. The result is in a joint paper with Fernando Blasco and Socorro Ponte [2].

To give the example we will consider the Banach space  $\ell_1$  and a particular Fréchet space, we take the projective tensor product of both spaces and then we consider its completion. This will be our example. Let me explain which Fréchet space we are going to consider.

From Grothendieck thesis (1955) [11], it was open the question of knowing if the completion of the projective tensor product of two Fréchet-Montel spaces is also a Montel space. Now we know that this is not true and the counterexample

was given by Taskinen in 1986 [14] who built a Fréchet-Montel space, which we are going to denote by  $T$ , such that  $T \hat{\otimes}_\pi T$  (the completion or the projective tensor product) contains a complemented copy of  $\ell_1$  and hence it is not a Montel space. This counterexample has been modified by Taskinen [15] to get, from a given Köthe sequence space,  $\lambda_1$ , a Fréchet-Montel space (which we will also denote by  $T$ ) such that  $T \hat{\otimes}_\pi T$  contains a complemented copy of the given  $\lambda_1$ . Even this complemented copy of  $\lambda_1$  is inside  $T \hat{\otimes}_{s,\pi} T$  (the completion of the symmetric projective tensor product). Our counterexample will be  $E = \ell_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi T$ .

**THEOREM.** *For every  $n \geq 2$  the space  $(\mathcal{P}({}^n E), \tau)$  (with  $E = \ell_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi T$ ), is quasinormable for  $\tau = \tau_o, \tau_b, \beta$  and  $\tau_\omega$ , and  $\tau_o < \tau_b < \beta < \tau_\omega$  on  $\mathcal{P}({}^n E)$ .*

Sketch of the proof: We already know that  $(\mathcal{P}({}^n E), \tau)$  is quasinormable for  $\tau = \tau_o, \beta$  and  $\tau_\omega$ . Let us see how it is also quasinormable for  $\tau_b$ . The proof will be a consequence of the following,

**LEMMA.** *For  $E = \ell_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi T$  we have  $(\mathcal{L}({}^n E), \tau_b) \cong \ell_\infty([T \hat{\otimes}_\pi \overbrace{\cdots}^n \hat{\otimes}_\pi T]', \tau_o)$ .*

$(\mathcal{L}({}^n E))$  stands for the space of  $n$ -linear continuous functions on  $E$  and  $\tau_b$  for the topology of uniform convergence on the bounded subsets of  $E \times \cdots \times E$ . The result is also true with any Fréchet-Montel space  $F$  instead of  $T$ .

From the Lemma the quasinormability of  $(\mathcal{P}({}^n E), \tau_b)$  follows in this way:

The space  $(\mathcal{L}({}^n E), \tau_b)$  is isomorphic to  $\ell_\infty(G', \tau_o)$  where  $G$  is a Fréchet space and this space is quasinormable [6]. Then, since  $(\mathcal{P}({}^n E), \tau_b)$  is a complemented subspace of  $(\mathcal{L}({}^n E), \tau_b)$  [7], it is quasinormable.

Let us see how  $\tau_o < \tau_b < \beta < \tau_\omega$  on  $\mathcal{P}({}^n E)$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Indeed, if  $\tau_o$  agrees with  $\tau_b$ , then  $E$  has to be a Montel space [7], what is not possible because  $E = \ell_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi T$  has  $\ell_1$  as a complemented subspace.

If  $\tau_b$  agrees with  $\beta$  then the space  $E$  has the property  $(BB)_{n,s}$  [9] and hence  $(BB)_{2,s}$  [4], but then  $T$  would also have this property [4] ( $T$  is complemented in  $E$ ) but, as we have mentioned, Taskinen's space fails to have this property (if it had it  $T \hat{\otimes}_{s,\pi} T$  would be a Montel space what is impossible because it contains, as a complemented subspace, a non distinguished  $\lambda_1$  space).

Finally, if  $\beta$  agrees with  $\tau_\omega$  then  $E \hat{\otimes}_{s,\pi} E$  is distinguished ([7] and [4]). This would imply that  $T \hat{\otimes}_{s,\pi} T$  is a also distinguished what is impossible because we have chosen  $T$  such that  $T \hat{\otimes}_{s,\pi} T$  contains, as a complemented subspace, a non distinguished  $\lambda_1$ .

We note that the results in the above theorem are obtained for  $n \geq 2$ . What happens for  $n = 1$ ? Of course  $(\mathcal{P}^1 E, \tau_b)$ , that is exactly the strong dual of  $E$ , is quasinormable,  $\tau_o \neq \tau_b$  (since  $E$  is not a Montel space), nothing to say about the possibility of the equality between  $\tau_b$  and  $\beta$  ( $\beta$  only has sense for  $n \geq 2$ ), but  $\tau_b = \tau_w$  because  $E = \ell_1 \hat{\otimes}_\pi T$  is a distinguished space [5] ( $\tau_w$  is always the barrelled topology associated with  $\tau_b$  [7]).

Nevertheless, our example can be modified to get the above theorem also for  $n = 1$ . The details of this can be seen in Fernando Blasco's thesis [3].

## REFERENCES

- [1] ANSEMIL, J.M., On the quasinormability of  $\mathcal{H}_b(U)$ , *Extract. Math.*, **9** (1) (1994), 71–74.
- [2] ANSEMIL, J.M., BLASCO, F., PONTE, S., About quasinormability and topologies on spaces of polynomials, to appear in *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*
- [3] BLASCO, F., “Complementación, Casinormabilidad y Tonelación en Espacios de Polinomios”, Tesis Doctoral, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 1996.
- [4] BLASCO, F., Complementation in spaces of polynomials, *Studia Math.*, **123** (2) (1997), 165–173.
- [5] BIERSTEDT, K.D., BONET, J., Stefan Heinrich's density condition for Fréchet spaces and a characterization of the distinguished Köthe echelon spaces, *Math. Nachr.*, **135** (1988), 149–180.
- [6] DIEROLF, S., On spaces of continuous linear mappings between locally convex spaces, *Note di Matematica*, **V** (1985), 147–255.
- [7] DINEEN, S., “Complex Analysis in Locally Convex Spaces”, North-Holland Math. Studies, 57, Amsterdam, 1981.
- [8] DINEEN, S., Quasinormable spaces of holomorphic functions, *Note di Matematica*, **XII** (1) (1993), 155–195.
- [9] DINEEN, S., Holomorphic functions and the (BB)-property, *Math. Scand.*, **74** (1994), 215–236.
- [10] GROTHENDIECK, A., Sur les espaces (F) and (DF), *Summa Brasiliensis Math.*, **3** (6) (1954), 57–123.
- [11] GROTHENDIECK, A., “Produits Tensoriels Topologiques et Espaces Nucleaires”, Mem. A.M.S., 16, Providence, Rhode Island, 1955.
- [12] NELIMARKKA, E., On spaces of holomorphic functions on locally convex spaces defined by an operator ideal, in “Notes on Funct. Anal. II”, Ed. L. Holmström, Univ. of Helsinki, 1980, 25–35.
- [13] PERIS, A., “Productos tensoriales de espacios localmente convexos casi-normables y otras clases relacionadas”, Tesis, Universidad de Valencia, Valencia, 1992.
- [14] TASKINEN, J., The Projective Tensor Product of Fréchet-Montel Spaces, *Studia Math.*, **91** (1988), 17–30.
- [15] TASKINEN, J., Examples of non-Distinguished Fréchet Spaces, *Ann. Acad. Sc. Fennicae. Math.*, **14** (1989), 75–88.