

W. Okrasinski

Institute of Mathematics. Wrocław University. Pl. Grunwaldski
2-4. 50-384 Wrocław. Poland.

AMS (1980) Class Number: 45D05, 45G10

We consider the equation (see [3]):

$$(1) \quad u(x) = \int_0^x k(x-s)g(u(s))ds \quad (x \geq 0)$$

where

$$(k) \quad k: [0, +\infty) \longrightarrow [0, +\infty) \text{ is such that } \int_0^x k(s)ds > 0 \text{ for } x > 0$$

and

$$(g) \quad g \text{ is a continuous nondecreasing function such that } g(0)=0, g(u) > 0 \text{ for } u > 0 \text{ and } g(u)/u \longrightarrow +\infty \text{ as } u \longrightarrow 0+.$$

Let us note, that $u=0$ is the trivial solution to (1). But we consider continuous solutions u such that $u(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$. These are so-called nontrivial solutions. We ask about the uniqueness and the possibility of the extension to the maximal interval of nontrivial solutions.

If we assume additionally

$$(g_1) \quad g(u)/u \text{ is strictly decreasing and } g(u)/u \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } u \longrightarrow +\infty$$

Then we can show two following theorems ([1], [2]).

Theorem 1. Assume (k), (g) and (g₁) are satisfied. If equation (1) has a nontrivial solution on $[0, x_0]$, ($x_0 > 0$), then it is unique and nondecreasing on $[0, x_0]$.

Theorem 2. Assume (k), (g) and (g₁) are satisfied. If equation (1) has a nontrivial solution on $[0, x_0]$, ($x_0 > 0$), then it can be extended to the unique nontrivial solution on $[0, +\infty)$.

But we can prove Theorem 1 under weaker assumptions:

Theorem 1'. Assume (k) and (g) are satisfied. If equation (1) has a nontrivial solution on $[0, x_0]$, then it is unique on $[0, x_0]$. Moreover it is nondecreasing.

We can show this theorem in two steps:

Lemma 1. If equation (1) has a nontrivial solution u on $[0, x_0]$, ($x_0 > 0$), then there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ ($\delta_0 \leq x_0$) such that u is unique on $[0, \delta_0]$. Moreover u is nondecreasing on $[0, \delta_0]$.

The proof of this lemma can be found in [2].

Lemma 2. Let u_i ($i=1,2$) be two nontrivial solutions to (1) on $[0, x_0]$, ($x_0 > 0$) such that $u_1(x) = u_2(x)$ on $[0, \delta_0]$, ($\delta_0 \in (0, x_0)$). Then $u_1 = u_2$ on $[0, x_0]$.

Proof of Lemma 2 (for the comparison see [1]).

Let

$$M = \max \left\{ \max_{s \in [\delta, x_0]} (g(u_i(s))/u_i(s)) : i=1,2 \right\}$$

and

$$m = \min \left\{ \min_{s \in [\delta, x_0]} (g(u_i(s))/u_i(s)) : i=1,2 \right\}$$

We get

$$m u_i(x) \leq g(u_i(x)) \leq M u_i(x)$$

for $x \in [\delta, x_0]$ and $i=1,2$. Hence

$$|g(u_1(x)) - g(u_2(x))| \leq (M-m) |u_1(x) - u_2(x)|$$

for $x \in [\delta, x_0]$. The result follows by standard methods.

Corollary 1. The nontrivial solution u is nondecreasing on $[0, x_0]$.

Let $[0, \alpha)$, ($\alpha > 0$) or $\alpha = +\infty$ be the maximal interval of existence of the nontrivial solution u . By Theorem 1' it is easy to see that u is the unique nontrivial solution to (1) on $[0, \alpha)$. Moreover it is nondecreasing.

Corollary 2. If $[0, \alpha)$ is the maximal interval of the existence of the nontrivial solution u then either $\alpha < \infty$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \alpha^-} u(x) = +\infty$ or $\alpha = +\infty$.

Now we present an example. We consider equation (1) with $k(x) = 2$ and

$$g(\cdot) = \begin{cases} u^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{for } u \in [0, 1] \\ u^2 & \text{for } u > 1 \end{cases}$$

We can easily compute that

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 & \text{for } x \in [0, 1] \\ 1/(3-2x) & \text{for } x > 1 \end{cases}$$

is the unique nontrivial solution to (1) with the maximal interval equal to $[0, 3/2)$.

We can formulate:

Theorem 2'. Assume (k) and (g) are satisfied. If equation (1) has a nontrivial solution on $[0, x_0]$ and for $x_1 > x_0$ is

$$K(x_1) \leq \sup_{u \in [0, \infty)} \{ (u/g(u)) \}, \quad (K(x) = \int_0^x k(s) ds)$$

then the solution u can be extended to $[0, x_1]$.

Corollary 2. If $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} K(x) \leq \sup_{u \in [0, \infty)} (u/g(u))$ then every nontrivial solution to (1) (if there exists) can be extended to $[0, +\infty)$.

For similar proofs look [2].

REFERENCES

- [1] P.J. BUSHELL, W. OKRASINSKI. Uniqueness of solutions for nonlinear Volterra integral equation with convolution kernel. Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 106(1989).
- [2] W. OKRASINSKI. Nonnegative solutions of some nonlinear integral equations. Annal. Polon. Math. 44(1984).
- [3] W. OKRASINSKI. Nonlinear Volterra equations and physical applications. Extracta Mathematicae, 4(1989) **vol 2**.