

AN ELEMENTARY PROOF OF THE INVARIANCE AND  
INVERSION OF CHARACTERISTIC PAIRS

C. Ana Núñez

Dpto. de Algebra. Facultad de Ciencias.  
Prado de la Magdalena, S/N. 47005-VALLADOLID (SPAIN)

The purpose of this paper is to give a short and elementary proof of the invariance and the inversion of the characteristic pairs of an irreducible plane algebroid curve, using the concept of saturation given by Campillo in [2]. The inversion and invariance of these pairs is proved by Abhyankar in [1] using direct computations.

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field,  $p = \text{charac. } k$ . We will only consider subrings  $A$  of  $k[[t]]$  containing  $k[[x]]$  for some  $x \in k[[t]]$  with  $0 < \text{ord}_t(x) < \alpha$ , and such that the quotient field of  $A$  is  $F = k((t))$ . Such an  $A$  is a complete local noetherian domain of Krull dimension 1 and its integral closure in  $F$  is  $\bar{A} = k[[t]]$ . Moreover one has  $k \subset A$  and  $k$  is isomorphic to the residue field of  $A$  via the canonical map. If we denote by  $v: k[[t]] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{\alpha\}$  the order function relative to  $t$ , the semigroup of values of  $A$  is  $S(A) = \{v(z) \mid z \in A, z \neq 0\}$ . If  $S$  is an additive subsemigroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  such that  $\mathbb{Z}_+ - S$  is a finite set, the monomial ring  $A_S = \left\{ \sum_{\gamma \in S} a_\gamma t^\gamma \mid a_\gamma \in k \right\}$  verifies the above conditions.

Let  $A$  (resp.  $S$ ) as above. The ring  $A$  (resp. the semigroup  $S$ ) is said to be saturated with respect to a non zero element  $w \in A$  (resp.  $m \in S$ ) if the following property holds:

(P<sub>w</sub>) If  $z \in A$ ,  $z_1, \dots, z_r, w_1, \dots, w_s \in A - \{0\}$  and  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$  are such that  $zz_i^{-1}, zw_j^{-1}, (z_1 \dots z_r)(w_1 \dots w_s)^{-1} w^l \in \bar{A}$  then  $z(z_1 \dots z_r)(w_1 \dots w_s)^{-1} w^l \in A$ .

(A<sub>m</sub>) If  $\gamma, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_h \in S$  are such that for  $i = 1, \dots, h$  either  $\gamma \geq \gamma_i$  or  $\gamma_i = m$ , then one has  $\gamma + e \in S$  where  $e = \text{g.c.d.}(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_h) \geq 0$ .

(\*) A.M.S. Subject Clasification (1980) 14-B-05, 14-H-20, 13-J-10.

Proposition.— Let  $A$  be a ring as above and let  $S = S(A)$ . If  $A$  is saturated with respect to  $w$  with  $v(w) = m$  then  $S$  is saturated with respect to  $m$ . Conversely, if  $S$  is saturated with respect to  $m$  then  $A_S$  is saturated with respect to  $t^m$ . Finally, if  $t^m \in A$ ,  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , and  $A$  is saturated with respect to  $t^m$  then  $A = A_S$ .

The proof of the two first assertions consists in direct computations from the definitions. For the last one, observe that if  $A$  verifies  $(P_w)$  for some  $w \in A - \{0\}$  and  $z \in A$ , then the set  $A(z) = \{w \in \bar{A} \mid wz \in A\}$  is a subring of  $\bar{A}$  containing  $A$ , and so local and complete ( $A(z)$  only depends on  $\gamma = v(z)$  and its semigroup of values is  $S(\gamma) = \{\gamma' \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \mid \gamma' + \gamma \in S\}$ ). Now, let  $\gamma \in S$  and take  $z \in A$  such that  $z = t^\gamma +$  higher order terms. One has  $z(t^m)^\gamma z^{-m} \in A$ , so  $(t^\gamma z^{-1})^m \in A(z)$ . By Hensel lemma and taking into account that  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  one has  $t^\gamma z^{-1} \in A(z)$ , so  $t^\gamma \in A$ . This proves  $A_S \subset A$  and the converse is evident.

Consider the case in which  $A = k[[x, y]]$  where  $n = v(x) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and  $m = v(y) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . One has a Puiseux's type parametrization

$$(I) \quad \begin{cases} x = t^n \\ y = \sum_{i>0} a_i x^{i/n} = \sum_{i>0} a_i t^i \end{cases}, \text{ and consider the Puiseux's}$$

exponents  $\{\beta_0, \dots, \beta_g\}$  given by  $\beta_0 = n$ , and  $\beta_{v+1} = \min\{i \mid a_i \neq 0\}$  and  $\text{g.c.d.}(\beta_0, \dots, \beta_v, i) < \text{g.c.d.}(\beta_0, \dots, \beta_v)$ ,  $g$  being characterized by  $\text{g.c.d.}(\beta_0, \dots, \beta_g) = 1$ .

Theorem (Invariance).— Let  $\tilde{A}_x$  the minimum subring of  $\bar{A}$  containing  $A$  and verifying  $(P_x)$ . Then  $S(\tilde{A}_x)$  is the minimum subsemigroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  containing  $\beta_0, \dots, \beta_g$  and verifying  $(A_n)$ . In particular  $\beta_0, \dots, \beta_g$  only depend on  $A$  and  $x$ , and if  $n \leq m$ ,  $\beta_0, \dots, \beta_g$  only depend on  $A$ .

For the proof, let  $e_v = \text{g.c.d.}(\beta_0, \dots, \beta_v)$  and let  $S' = \{\beta_i + l e_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq g \text{ and } l \geq 0\} \cup \{0\}$ .  $S'$  is the minimum semigroup saturated with respect to  $n$  containing  $\beta_0, \dots, \beta_g$ , and from the proposition one proves  $S' = S(\tilde{A}_x)$ . On the other hand, observe that  $\beta_0 = n$  and  $\beta_{v+1} = \min\{\gamma \in S' - \{0\} \mid (\gamma, e_v) < e_v\}$ , so  $\beta_0, \dots, \beta_g$  only depends on  $S(\tilde{A}_x)$ . Finally, if  $n \leq m$   $\tilde{A}_x$  does not depend on the element  $x$  with  $v(x) = n = \min(S(A) - \{0\})$ .

Take  $t^* \in \bar{A}$  such that  $y = (t^*)^m$  and set  $x = \sum_{j>0} b_j y^{j/m}$ .  
 Let  $\beta_0^* \dots, \beta_g^*$  the Puiseux's exponents of this parametrization. The main technical result is the following:

Theorem. - If  $n \leq m$  then  $\tilde{A}_y = k + \tilde{A}_x(y)$ .

First of all, from the property  $(P_x)$ ,  $k + x\tilde{A}_x(y)$  is a subring of  $\bar{A}$  verifying  $(P_y)$  and containing  $A$ , so  $\tilde{A}_y \subset k + x\tilde{A}_x(y)$ . Set  $S = S(\tilde{A}_x)$ ,  $S^* = S(\tilde{A}_y)$ ,  $S^{**} = S(k + x\tilde{A}_x(y)) = \{n + \gamma - m \mid \gamma \geq m \text{ and } \gamma \in S\} \cup \{0\}$ . Since  $t^{n^*} \in A$ , from the proposition one has  $\tilde{A}_y = A_{S^*}$  and  $k + x\tilde{A}_x(y) = A_{S^{**}}$  so it is sufficient to see that  $S^{**} \subset S^*$ . Assume, without loss of generality, that  $y = t^m + \text{higher order terms}$ . One has  $(yt^{-m})^n \in \tilde{A}_y(x)$ , so  $yt^{-m} \in \tilde{A}_y(x)$  and  $xyt^{-m} \in \tilde{A}_y = A_{S^*}$ . Now, since  $S = \{\beta_i + 1e_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq g, 1 \geq 0\} \cup \{0\}$  and  $n + \beta_i - m \in S^*$  (look at the  $t$ -expansion of  $xyt^{-m}$ ) one has  $S^{**} \subset S^*$ , as  $S^*$  is saturated with respect to  $m$ .

On the other hand  $\beta_0^*, \dots, \beta_g^*$ , (resp.  $\beta_0 \dots, \beta_g$ ) can be obtained from  $S^*$  (resp. from  $S$ ) as in the proof of the proposition. Since  $S^* = S^{**} = \{n + \gamma - m \mid \gamma \geq m, \gamma \in S\} \cup \{0\}$ , one has:

Theorem (Inversion formula). - With the assumptions as in the above theorem one has

- a) If  $n \nmid m$  then  $g^* = g$ ,  $\beta_0^* = \beta_1$ ,  $\beta_{i^*}^* = n + \beta_i - m$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, g$ .
- b) If  $n \mid m$  then  $g^* = g+1$ ,  $\beta_0^* = m$ ,  $\beta_1^* = n$ ,  $\beta_{i^*}^* = n + \beta_{i-1} - m$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, g+1$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. ABHYANKAR, Inversion and invariance of characteristic pairs, Am. J. Math. 89 (1967), 363-372.
- [2] A. CAMPILLO, On saturations of curve singularities, Proc. Symp. in Pure Math. 40 (1983), 211-220.
- [3] C.A. NUÑEZ, An elementary proof of the invariance and inversion of characteristic pairs, Preprint, Universidad de Valladolid (1985)
- [4] O. ZARISKI, Studies in equisingularity III, Amer. J. Math. 90 (1968), 961-1023.